

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO**

In re PILGRIM'S PRIDE CORPORATION No. 1:16-cv-02611-RBJ
SECURITIES LITIGATION

Hon. R. Brooke Jackson

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

This matter came before the Court for hearing on June 17, 2025 upon Lead Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Plan of Allocation, and Certification of Settlement Class (the "Final Approval Motion") and Lead Counsel's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Expenses and Service Award to Lead Plaintiff (the "Fee and Expense Application"). Due and adequate notice having been given of the Settlement, and the Court having previously certified the Class, for Settlement purposes only, and having considered all papers filed and proceedings held herein, and good cause appearing,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

1. This Judgment incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation, and all capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meanings set forth in the Stipulation. This Judgment incorporates and makes a part hereof: (a) the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement; and (b) the Notice and the Summary Notice.
2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all Parties to the Action, including Settlement Class Members.
3. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby approves the Settlement and finds that said Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, adequate to, and in the best interests of the Plaintiff, the Released Plaintiff's Parties, and each of the Settlement Class Members. This Court further finds the Settlement is the result of arm's-length

negotiations between experienced counsel representing the interests of the Plaintiff, the Released Plaintiff's Parties, the Settlement Class Members, and Defendants. Plaintiff and Lead Counsel have fairly and adequately represented the Settlement Class both in terms of litigating the Action and for purposes of entering into and implementing the Settlement and have satisfied the requirements of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a)(4) and 23(g), respectively. Accordingly, the Settlement is hereby approved in all respects and shall be consummated in accordance with its terms and provisions. The Parties are hereby directed to perform the Stipulation.

4. The Action and all claims contained therein, as well as all the Settled Claims, are dismissed with prejudice as against each and all of the Released Defendants' Parties, including Pilgrim's Pride Corporation, William Lovette, and Fabio Sandri, the Released Plaintiff's Parties. The Settlement Class will not make applications against any of Released Defendants' Parties and Released Defendants will not make applications against Plaintiff or the Released Plaintiff's Parties for fees, costs, or sanctions pursuant to Rule 11, Rule 37, Rule 45 or any other court rule or statute, with respect to any claims or defenses in this Action or to any aspect of the institution, prosecution, or defense of this Action.

5. Upon the Effective Date, Plaintiff, the Released Plaintiff's Parties, and each of the Settlement Class Members shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged all Released Claims (including Unknown Claims) as against the Released Defendants' Parties, whether or not such Settlement Class Member executes and delivers a Claim Form or participates in the Settlement Fund.

6. Upon the Effective Date, all Settlement Class Members (including Plaintiff) and anyone claiming through or on behalf of any of them, except any Person who has validly and

timely requested exclusion from the Settlement Class, will be forever barred and enjoined from commencing, instituting, intervening in or participating in, prosecuting, or continuing to prosecute any action or other proceeding in any court of law or equity, arbitration tribunal, administrative forum, or other forum of any kind or character (whether brought directly, in a representative capacity, derivatively, or in any other capacity) asserting any of the Released Claims against any of the Released Defendants' Parties.

7. Upon the Effective Date, each of the Released Defendants' Parties shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged Plaintiff, the Released Plaintiff's Parties, each and all of the Settlement Class Members, and Lead Counsel from all claims whatsoever arising out of, relating to, or in connection with the investigation, institution, prosecution, assertion, settlement, or resolution of the Action or the Released Claims, except for those claims brought to enforce the Settlement.

8. Upon the Effective Date, any and all claims for contribution, however denominated, arising out of or related in any way to the Action: (a) by any person or entity against any Released Defendants' Parties; or (b) by any Released Defendants' Parties against any person or entity, other than a person or entity whose liability to the Settlement Class has been extinguished pursuant to the Settlement, are permanently barred, enjoined and finally discharged to the fullest extent provided 15 U.S.C. § 78u4(f)(7) and any other applicable law or regulation (the "Bar Order"). Nothing herein is intended to broaden the language of the PSLRA.

9. Upon the Effective Date Judgment Reduction: Any final verdict or judgment that may be obtained by or on behalf of the Settlement Class or a Settlement Class Member against any

person or entity subject to the Bar Order shall be reduced by the greater of: (a) an amount that corresponds to the percentage of responsibility of the Released Defendants' Parties for common damages; or (b) the amount paid by or on behalf of the Released Defendants' Parties to the Settlement Class or Settlement Class Member for common damages.

10. The Court hereby finds that the distribution of the Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Class Action, mailing of Postcard Notice, and emailing and publication of the Summary Notice as provided for in the Preliminary Approval Order, as previously ordered at ¶ 7 of the Order Preliminarily Approving Settlement Providing for Notice of Pendency, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances – including individual notice to all Settlement Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort – of those proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed Settlement, to all Persons entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, the requirements of due process, and any other applicable law.

11. There were no timely objections to the Settlement, Plan of Allocation, or Lead Counsel's Attorneys' Fee and Expense application. No post-Judgment objection(s) to this Court's approval of the Plan of Allocation or any portion of this order regarding the Attorneys' Fee and Expense Application shall in any way disturb or affect the finality of this Judgment.

12. Neither the Stipulation, nor the Settlement, nor any of their terms or provisions, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of them, nor any of the negotiations or proceedings connected with them: (a) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, concession or evidence of, the validity of any Released Claim, the truth of any fact alleged in the Action, the deficiency of any defense that has been or could have been asserted

in the Action, or of any alleged wrongdoing, liability, negligence, or fault of any Released Defendants' Parties; or (b) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission, concession or evidence of, any fault or misrepresentation or omission of, including with respect to any statement or written document attributed to, approved or made by, any of the Released Defendants' Parties in any civil, criminal, administrative, or other proceeding before any court, administrative agency, arbitration tribunal, or other body. Any of the Released Defendants' Parties may file the Stipulation and/or the Judgment in any other action or other proceeding that may be brought against them in order to support a defense, argument, or counterclaim based on principles of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense, argument, or counterclaim.

13. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this Settlement; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund; and (c) all Parties hereto for the purpose of construing, enforcing, and administering the Stipulation and this Judgment.

14. After completion of the processing of all claims by the Claims Administrator, the Escrow Agent shall disburse the Net Settlement Fund in accordance with the Stipulation and Plan of Allocation without further order of this Court.

15. The Court finds that during the course of the Action, the Parties and their respective counsel at all times complied with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.

16. Pursuant to and in full compliance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court finds and concludes that due and adequate notice was directed to all Settlement Class Members advising them: (a) that Lead Counsel would seek an award of attorneys'

fees of up to one-third (33 1/3%) of the Settlement Fund and reimbursement of expenses incurred in connection with the prosecution of the Action not to exceed \$425,000, as well as an award of up to \$40,000 for Lead Plaintiff; and (b) that Settlement Class Members had a right to object to such application(s). A full and fair opportunity was given to all Persons who are Settlement Class Members to be heard with respect to the application for the award of attorneys' fees and expenses. The Court finds and concludes that the requested fee award is reasonable and awards attorneys' fees of 33 1/3% percent of the Settlement Fund (\$13,833,333.33), plus all interest accrued on that amount, and reimbursement of expenses in the amount of \$425,000, plus all interest accrued on that amount, both to be paid from the Settlement Fund pursuant to the Stipulation, upon entry of this Order. The Court further finds and concludes that the requested service award is reasonable and awards a service award of \$40,000 to Lead Plaintiff George James Fuller.

17. Pursuant to and in full compliance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court finds and concludes that due and adequate notice was directed to all Settlement Class Members advising them of the Plan of Allocation and of their right to object, and a full and fair opportunity was given to all Settlement Class Members to be heard with respect to the Plan of Allocation. The Court finds that the formula for the calculation of the claims of Authorized Claimants, which is set forth in the Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Class Action sent to Settlement Class Members, provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate among Settlement Class Members the proceeds of the Settlement Fund established by the Stipulation, with due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and necessity. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation set forth in the Notice is in all respects fair and reasonable and the Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation.

18. This Action is hereby dismissed in its entirety with prejudice as to the Released Defendants' Parties.

19. All agreements made and orders entered during the course of the Action relating to the confidentiality of information shall survive this Order, pursuant to their terms. The terms of the Stipulation and of this Judgment shall be forever binding on Released Defendants' Parties, Plaintiff, and all other Settlement Class Members (regardless of whether or not any individual Settlement Class Member submits a Claim Form or seeks or obtains a distribution from the Net Settlement Fund), as well as their respective successors and assigns.

20. In the event that the Settlement does not become Final in accordance with the Stipulation, or the Effective Date does not occur, this Judgment shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation and shall be vacated. In such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall also be null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation, and this litigation shall revert to the state at which it existed on December 9, 2024.

21. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Judgment and immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

DATED: 6/17/25



THE HONORABLE R. BROOKE JACKSON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF COLORADO