```
1
    E. MARTIN ESTRADA
    United States Attorney
 2
    MACK E. JENKINS
    Assistant United States Attorney
    Chief, Criminal Division
    JAMES C. HUGHES (Cal. Bar No. 263878)
 4
    RICHARD E. ROBINSON (Cal. Bar No. 090840)
    Assistant United States Attorneys
 5
    Major Frauds Section
         1100 United States Courthouse
 6
         312 North Spring Street
         Los Angeles, California 90012
 7
         Telephone: (213) 894-2579; 894-0713
         Facsimile: (213) 894-6269
 8
         E-mail:
                     james.hughes2@usdoj.gov
                      richard.robinson@usdoj.gov
 9
10
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
11
                          UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12
                    FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                        No. CR 23-302-JLS-2
14
              Plaintiff,
                                         PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DEFENDANT
15
                                         LIJUAN CHEN
                   v.
16
    LIJUAN CHEN,
17
              Defendant.
18
19
              This constitutes the plea agreement between Lijuan Chen
         1.
20
    ("defendant") and the United States Attorney's Office for the Central
21
    District of California ("the USAO") in the above-captioned case.
22
    This agreement is limited to the USAO and cannot bind any other
23
    federal, state, local, or foreign prosecuting, enforcement,
24
    administrative, or regulatory authorities.
25
                            DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS
26
         2.
              Defendant agrees to:
27
```

- a. At the earliest opportunity requested by the USAO and provided by the Court, appear and plead guilty to counts one and five of the indictment in <u>United States v. Lijuan Chen</u>, CR No. 23-302-JLS, which charges defendant with violations of 18 U.S.C. § 371 and 18 U.S.C. § 501.
 - b. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- c. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- d. Appear for all court appearances, surrender as ordered for service of sentence, obey all conditions of any bond, and obey any other ongoing court order in this matter.
- e. Not commit any crime; however, offenses that would be excluded for sentencing purposes under United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines") § 4A1.2(c) are not within the scope of this agreement.
- f. Be truthful at all times with the United States
 Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the Court.
- g. Pay the applicable special assessments at or before the time of sentencing unless defendant has demonstrated a lack of ability to pay such assessments.
- h. Defendant agrees that any and all criminal debt ordered by the Court will be due in full and immediately. The government is not precluded from pursuing, in excess of any payment schedule set by the Court, any and all available remedies by which to satisfy defendant's payment of the full financial obligation, including referral to the Treasury Offset Program.
- i. Complete the Financial Disclosure Statement on a form provided by the USAO and, within 30 days of defendant's entry of a

guilty plea, deliver the signed and dated statement, along with all of the documents requested therein, to the USAO by either email at usacac.FinLit@usdoj.gov (preferred) or mail to the USAO Financial Litigation Section at 300 North Los Angeles Street, Suite 7516, Los Angeles, CA 90012. Defendant agrees that defendant's ability to pay criminal debt shall be assessed based on the completed Financial Disclosure Statement and all required supporting documents, as well as other relevant information relating to ability to pay.

- j. Authorize the USAO to obtain a credit report upon returning a signed copy of this plea agreement.
- k. Consent to the USAO inspecting and copying all of defendant's financial documents and financial information held by the United States Probation and Pretrial Services Office.
 - 1. Defendant further agrees:
- i. To forfeit, on behalf of defendant and any entity in which defendant has held an ownership interest or has served as an officer, director, manager, partner, trustee, or other representative (which entities are collectively referred to herein as the "Defendant Entities" and include, without limitation, First Dragon Investment Inc., ZHC Logistics Inc., First Monkey Group Inc., Third Monkey International Inc., Second Monkey Investment Inc., Fourth Monkey International Inc., Fifth Monkey Investment Inc.), to the extent Defendant has the ability to do so on behalf of Defendant Entities, all right, title, and interest in and to any and all monies, properties, and/or assets of any kind, derived from or acquired as a result of, or used to facilitate the commission of, or involved in the illegal activity to which defendant is pleading guilty

```
(collectively the "Forfeitable Property"), specifically including,
 1
 2
    but not limited to, the following:
 3
                   ii. All funds on deposit in an East West Bank
    Certificate of Deposit account ending in 9982, held in the name of
 4
    First Dragon Investment Inc., and seized pursuant to a federal
 5
    seizure warrant executed on or about June 30, 2023;
 6
 7
                   iii. All funds on deposit in an East West Bank
8
    Certificate of Deposit account ending in 3634, held in the name of
    ZHC Logistics Inc., and seized pursuant to a federal seizure warrant
 9
    executed on or about June 30, 2023;
10
11
                   iii. All funds on deposit in an East West Bank
    Certificate of Deposit account ending in 6941, held in the name of
12
13
    ZHC Logistics Inc., and seized pursuant to a federal seizure warrant
    executed on or about June 30, 2023;
14
15
                   iv. All funds on deposit in an East West Bank
    Certificate of Deposit account ending in 2997, held in the name of
16
    First Dragon Investment Inc., and seized pursuant to a federal
17
    seizure warrant executed on or about June 30, 2023;
18
19
                        Real property commonly known as 1357 Bellavista
                   V.
20
    Drive, Walnut, California 91789 (APN 8762-022-022);
21
                   vii. Real property commonly known as 14244 Willamette
22
    Ave., Chino, California 91710 (APN 1026-362-02-0000);
23
                             Real property commonly known as 6575 Mogano
                   viii.
24
    Dr., Chino, California 91710 (APN 1051-643-58-0000);
25
                   viii. Real property commonly known as 11080 Garvey
    Ave., South El Monte, California 91733 (APN 8105-001-106);
26
                   ix. Real property commonly known as 3207 Edgewood Rd.,
27
28
    West Covina, California 91791 (APN 8480-002-099);
```

- 1 Real property commonly known as 35 Weiss Dr., 2 South El Monte, California 91733 (APN 8119-008-090); 3 xi. Real property commonly known as 15335 Lotus Circle, Chino Hills, California 91709 (APN 1028-103-81-0000); 4 5 xii. Real property commonly known as 16140 Sereno Ln, Chino Hills, California 91709 (APN 1028-671-61-0000); 6 7 xiii. Real property commonly known as 6968 Silverado St., Chino, California 91710 (APN 1053-061-49-0-000); 8 9 xiv. Real property commonly known as 1205 Porto 10 Grande, Unit 2, Diamond Bar, California 91765 (APN 8293-046-013); 11 xv. Real property commonly known as 15902 Ellington Way, Chino Hills, California 91709 (APN 1028-661-28-0-000); 12 13 xvi. Real property commonly known as 14409 Figueroa Ln, Chino, California 91710 (APN 1053-611-31-0000); and 14 15 xvii. Insurance policies held with New York Life 16 Insurance Company with the policy numbers ending #39844, #60938, 17 #63430, #35643, #35644, and #55644. To the Court's entry of an order of forfeiture at or 18 m. 19 before sentencing with respect to the Forfeitable Property. 20 That the Preliminary Order of Forfeiture shall become n. final as to the defendant upon entry. 21 22 To take whatever steps are necessary to pass to the 0. 23 United States clear title to the Forfeitable Property, including, 24 without limitation, the execution of a consent decree of forfeiture and the completing of any other legal documents required for the 25 transfer of title to the United States. 26
 - p. Not to contest any administrative forfeiture proceedings or civil judicial proceedings commenced against the

27

Forfeitable Property. If defendant submitted a claim and/or petition for remission for all or part of the Forfeitable Property on behalf of herself or any other individual or entity, defendant shall and hereby does withdraw any such claims or petitions, and further agrees to waive any right she may have to seek remission or mitigation of the forfeiture of the Forfeitable Property. Defendant further waives any and all notice requirements of 18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(1)(A).

- q. Not to assist any other individual in any effort falsely to contest the forfeiture of the Forfeitable Property.
- r. Not to claim that reasonable cause to seize the Forfeitable Property was lacking.
- s. To prevent the transfer, sale, destruction, or loss of the Forfeitable Property to the extent defendant has the ability to do so.
- t. To fill out and deliver to the USAO a completed financial statement listing defendant's assets on a form provided by the USAO.
- u. That forfeiture of Forfeitable Property shall not be counted toward satisfaction of any special assessment, fine, restitution, costs, or other penalty the Court may impose.
- v. That with respect to any criminal forfeiture ordered as a result of this agreement, defendant waives: (1) the requirements of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 32.2 and 43(a) regarding notice of the forfeiture in the charging instrument, announcements of the forfeiture at sentencing, and incorporation of the forfeiture in the judgment; (2) all constitutional and statutory challenges to the forfeiture (including by direct appeal, habeas corpus or any other means); and (3) all constitutional, legal, and equitable defenses to

the forfeiture of the Forfeitable Property in any proceeding on any grounds including, without limitation, that the forfeiture constitutes an excessive fine or punishment. Defendant acknowledges that the forfeiture of the Forfeitable Property is part of the sentence that may be imposed in this case and waives any failure by the Court to advise defendant of this, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(b)(1)(J), at the time the Court accepts defendant's guilty pleas.

- 3. Defendant further agrees to cooperate fully with the USAO, the United States Postal Inspection Service, and, as directed by the USAO, any other federal, state, local, or foreign prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authority. This cooperation requires defendant to:
- a. Respond truthfully and completely to all questions that may be put to defendant, whether in interviews, before a grand jury, or at any trial or other court proceeding.
- b. Attend all meetings, grand jury sessions, trials or other proceedings at which defendant's presence is requested by the USAO or compelled by subpoena or court order.
- c. Produce voluntarily all documents, records, or other tangible evidence relating to matters about which the USAO, or its designee, inquires.
- 4. For purposes of this agreement: (1) "Cooperation Information" shall mean any statements made, or documents, records, tangible evidence, or other information provided, by defendant pursuant to defendant's cooperation under this agreement or pursuant to the letter agreement previously entered into by the parties dated October 26, 2023 (the "Letter Agreement"); and (2) "Plea Information"

shall mean any statements made by defendant, under oath, at the guilty plea hearing and the agreed to factual basis statement in this agreement.

THE USAO'S OBLIGATIONS

5. The USAO agrees to:

- a. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- b. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- c. At the time of sentencing, move to dismiss the remaining counts of the indictment as against defendant. Defendant agrees, however, that at the time of sentencing the Court may consider any dismissed charges in determining the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, the propriety and extent of any departure from that range, and the sentence to be imposed.
- d. At the time of sentencing, provided that defendant demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility for the offenses up to and including the time of sentencing, recommend a two-level reduction in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines offense level, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, and recommend and, if necessary, move for an additional one-level reduction if available under that section.
 - 6. The USAO further agrees:
- a. Not to offer as evidence in its case-in-chief in the above-captioned case or any other criminal prosecution that may be brought against defendant by the USAO, or in connection with any sentencing proceeding in any criminal case that may be brought against defendant by the USAO, any Cooperation Information.

 Defendant agrees, however, that the USAO may use both Cooperation Information and Plea Information: (1) to obtain and pursue leads to

other evidence, which evidence may be used for any purpose, including any criminal prosecution of defendant; (2) to cross-examine defendant should defendant testify, or to rebut any evidence offered, or argument or representation made, by defendant, defendant's counsel, or a witness called by defendant in any trial, sentencing hearing, or other court proceeding; and (3) in any criminal prosecution of defendant for false statement, obstruction of justice, or perjury.

- b. Not to use Cooperation Information against defendant at sentencing for the purpose of determining the applicable guideline range, including the appropriateness of an upward departure, or the sentence to be imposed, and to recommend to the Court that Cooperation Information not be used in determining the applicable guideline range or the sentence to be imposed. Defendant understands, however, that Cooperation Information will be disclosed to the United States Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the Court, and that the Court may use Cooperation Information for the purposes set forth in U.S.S.G § 1B1.8(b) and for determining the sentence to be imposed.
- c. In connection with defendant's sentencing, to bring to the Court's attention the nature and extent of defendant's cooperation.
- d. If the USAO determines, in its exclusive judgment, that defendant has both complied with defendant's obligations under paragraphs 2 and 3 above and provided substantial assistance to law enforcement in the prosecution or investigation of another ("substantial assistance"), to move the Court pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 to fix an offense level and corresponding guideline range

below that otherwise dictated by the sentencing guidelines, and to recommend a term of imprisonment within this reduced range.

e. Not further criminally prosecute defendant for violations of 18 U.S.C. § 501 arising out of defendant's conduct described in the agreed-to factual basis set forth in Attachment A. Defendant understands that the USAO is free to criminally prosecute defendant for any unlawful conduct that occurs after the date of this agreement. Defendant agrees that at the time of sentencing the Court may consider the uncharged conduct in determining the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, the propriety and extent of any departure from that range, and the sentence to be imposed after consideration of the Sentencing Guidelines and all other relevant factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

DEFENDANT'S UNDERSTANDINGS REGARDING COOPERATION

- 7. Defendant understands the following:
- a. Any knowingly false or misleading statement by defendant will subject defendant to prosecution for false statement, obstruction of justice, and perjury and will constitute a breach by defendant of this agreement.
- b. Nothing in this agreement requires the USAO or any other prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authority to accept any cooperation or assistance that defendant may offer, or to use it in any particular way.
- c. Defendant cannot withdraw defendant's guilty pleas if the USAO does not make a motion pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 for a reduced guideline range or if the USAO makes such a motion and the Court does not grant it or if the Court grants such a USAO motion but elects to sentence above the reduced range.

- d. At this time the USAO makes no agreement or representation as to whether any cooperation that defendant has provided or intends to provide constitutes or will constitute substantial assistance. The decision whether defendant has provided substantial assistance will rest solely within the exclusive judgment of the USAO.
- e. The USAO's determination whether defendant has provided substantial assistance will not depend in any way on whether the government prevails at any trial or court hearing in which defendant testifies or in which the government otherwise presents information resulting from defendant's cooperation.

NATURE OF THE OFFENSES

- 8. Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in count one, that is, conspiracy to defraud the United States, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371, the following must be true:
- a. There was an agreement between two or more persons to defraud the United States by obstructing the lawful functions of the United States Postal Service by deceitful or dishonest means;
- b. Defendant became aware of the conspiracy knowing of at least one of its objects and intending to help accomplish it; and
- c. One of the members of the conspiracy performed at least one overt act for the purpose of carrying out the conspiracy.
- 9. Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in count five, that is, use of counterfeit postage, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 501, the following must be true:

- a. Defendant made, printed, knowingly used or sold, or possessed with the intent to use or sell, forged or counterfeit postage meter stamps; and
- b. At the time defendant made, printed, knowingly used or sold, or possessed with the intent to use or sell such postage meter stamps, defendant knew the postage meter stamps were forged or counterfeit.

PENALTIES AND RESTITUTION

- 10. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371, is: 5 years' imprisonment; a 3-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 501, is: 5 years' imprisonment; a 3-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.
- 11. Defendant understands, therefore, that the total maximum sentence for all offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty is:
 10 years imprisonment; a 3-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$500,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offenses, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$200.
- 12. Defendant understands that defendant will be required to pay full restitution to the victim of the offenses to which defendant

is pleading guilty. Defendant agrees that, in return for the USAO's compliance with its obligations under this agreement, the Court may order restitution to persons other than the victim of the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty and in amounts greater than those alleged in the counts to which defendant is pleading guilty. In particular, defendant agrees that the Court may order restitution to any victim of any of the following for any losses suffered by that victim as a result: (a) any relevant conduct, as defined in U.S.S.G. § 1B1.3, in connection with the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty; and (b) any counts dismissed pursuant to this agreement as well as all relevant conduct, as defined in U.S.S.G. § 1B1.3, in connection with those counts. The parties currently believe that the applicable amount of restitution is approximately \$158,288,991, but recognize and agree that this amount could change based on facts that come to the attention of the parties prior to sentencing.

- of time following imprisonment during which defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release authorized by statute for the offense that resulted in the term of supervised release, which could result in defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.
- 14. Defendant understands that, by pleading guilty, defendant may be giving up valuable government benefits and valuable civic rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm,

the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury.

Defendant understands that she is pleading guilty to a felony and that it is a federal crime for a convicted felon to possess a firearm or ammunition. Defendant understands that the convictions in this case may also subject defendant to various other collateral consequences, including but not limited to revocation of probation, parole, or supervised release in another case and suspension or revocation of a professional license. Defendant understands that unanticipated collateral consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty pleas.

Defendant and her counsel have discussed the fact that, and defendant understands that, if defendant is not a United States citizen, the convictions in this case makes it practically inevitable and a virtual certainty that defendant will be removed or deported from the United States. Defendant may also be denied United States citizenship and admission to the United States in the future. Defendant understands that while there may be arguments that defendant can raise in immigration proceedings to avoid or delay removal, removal is presumptively mandatory and a virtual certainty in this case. Defendant further understands that removal and immigration consequences are the subject of a separate proceeding and that no one, including her attorney or the Court, can predict to an absolute certainty the effect of her convictions on her immigration status. Defendant nevertheless affirms that she wants to plead quilty regardless of any immigration consequences that her pleas may entail, even if the consequence is automatic removal from the United States.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

FACTUAL BASIS

16. Defendant admits that defendant is, in fact, guilty of the offenses to which defendant is agreeing to plead guilty. Defendant and the USAO agree to the statement of facts provided in the attachment marked as Attachment A, and agree that this statement of facts is sufficient to support pleas of guilty to the charges described in this agreement and to establish the Sentencing Guidelines factors set forth in paragraph 18 below but is not meant to be a complete recitation of all facts relevant to the underlying criminal conduct or all facts known to either party that relate to that conduct.

SENTENCING FACTORS

- 17. Defendant understands that in determining defendant's sentence the Court is required to calculate the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range and to consider that range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and the other sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory only, that defendant cannot have any expectation of receiving a sentence within the calculated Sentencing Guidelines range, and that after considering the Sentencing Guidelines and the other § 3553(a) factors, the Court will be free to exercise its discretion to impose any sentence it finds appropriate up to the maximum set by statute for the crimes of conviction.
- 18. Defendant and the USAO agree to the following applicable Sentencing Guidelines factors:

Base Offense Level: 9

[U.S.S.G. § 2B5.1]

2.7

Specific Offense 1 Characteristics: 2 Loss Amount Between 26 [U.S.S.G. §§ 2B5.1(b)(1)] 3 \$150 Million - \$250 Million 2 Manufacture of Counterfeit [U.S.S.G. § 2B5.1(b)(2)]4 Government Obligations: 5 Part of Offense Committed [U.S.S.G. § 2B5.1(b)(5)]2 6 Outside United States 7 Role in the Offense: [U.S.S.G. § 3B1.1] 8 Organizer or Manager in 2 Criminal Activity 9

Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to argue that additional specific offense characteristics, adjustments, and departures under the Sentencing Guidelines are appropriate.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 19. Defendant understands that there is no agreement as to defendant's criminal history or criminal history category.
- 20. Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to argue for a sentence outside the sentencing range established by the Sentencing Guidelines based on the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(6), and (a)(7).

WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

- 21. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty, defendant gives up the following rights:
 - a. The right to persist in a plea of not guilty.
 - b. The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.
- c. The right to be represented by counsel and if necessary have the Court appoint counsel at trial. Defendant understands, however, that, defendant retains the right to be represented by counsel and if necessary have the Court appoint counsel at every other stage of the proceeding.

- d. The right to be presumed innocent and to have the burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
- e. The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against defendant.
- f. The right to testify and to present evidence in opposition to the charges, including the right to compel the attendance of witnesses to testify.
- g. The right not to be compelled to testify, and, if defendant chose not to testify or present evidence, to have that choice not be used against defendant.
- h. Any and all rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.

WAIVER OF APPEAL OF CONVICTION

22. Defendant understands that, with the exception of an appeal based on a claim that defendant's guilty pleas were involuntary, by pleading guilty defendant is waiving and giving up any right to appeal defendant's convictions on the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty. Defendant understands that this waiver includes, but is not limited to, arguments that the statutes to which defendant is pleading guilty are unconstitutional, and any and all claims that the statement of facts provided herein is insufficient to support defendant's pleas of guilty.

LIMITED MUTUAL WAIVER OF APPEAL OF SENTENCE

23. Defendant agrees that, provided the Court imposes a total term of imprisonment on all counts of conviction of no more than 120 months defendant gives up the right to appeal all of the following:

- (a) the procedures and calculations used to determine and impose any portion of the sentence; (b) the term of imprisonment imposed by the Court; (c) the fine imposed by the Court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (d) to the extent permitted by law, the constitutionality or legality of defendant's sentence, provided it is within the statutory maximum; e) the amount and terms of any restitution order, provided it requires payment of no more than \$158,288,991; (f) the term of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; and (g) any of the following conditions of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court: the conditions set forth in Second Amended General Order 20-04 of this Court; the drug testing conditions mandated by 18 U.S.C. §§ 3563(a)(5) and 3583(d); and the alcohol and drug use conditions authorized by 18 U.S.C. § 3563(b)(7).
- 24. Defendant also gives up any right to bring a postconviction collateral attack on the convictions or sentence,
 including any order of restitution, except a post-conviction
 collateral attack based on a claim of ineffective assistance of
 counsel, a claim of newly discovered evidence, or an explicitly
 retroactive change in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines,
 sentencing statutes, or statutes of conviction. Defendant understands
 that this waiver includes, but is not limited to, arguments that the
 statutes to which defendant is pleading guilty are unconstitutional,
 and any and all claims that the statement of facts provided herein is
 insufficient to support defendant's pleas of guilty.
- 25. The USAO agrees that, provided all portions of the sentence are at or below the statutory maximum specified above the USAO gives up its right to appeal any portion of the sentence, with the

exception that the USAO reserves the right to appeal the following:

(a) the amount of restitution ordered if that amount is less than \$158,288,991.

RESULT OF WITHDRAWAL OF GUILTY PLEA

Defendant agrees that if, after entering quilty pleas pursuant to this agreement, defendant seeks to withdraw and succeeds in withdrawing defendant's guilty pleas on any basis other than a claim and finding that entry into this plea agreement was involuntary, then (a) the USAO will be relieved of all of its obligations under this agreement, including in particular its obligations regarding the use of Cooperation Information; (b) in any investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil, administrative, or regulatory action, defendant agrees that any Cooperation Information and any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information shall be admissible against defendant, and defendant will not assert, and hereby waives and gives up, any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, or any federal rule, that any Cooperation Information or any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information should be suppressed or is inadmissible; and (c) should the USAO choose to pursue any charge or any civil, administrative, or regulatory action that was either dismissed or not filed as a result of this agreement, then (i) any applicable statute of limitations will be tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the filing commencing any such action; and (ii) defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this agreement.

27. Defendant agrees that if any count of conviction is vacated, reversed, or set aside, the USAO may: (a) ask the Court to resentence defendant on any remaining count of conviction, with both the USAO and defendant being released from any stipulations regarding sentencing contained in this agreement, (b) ask the Court to void the entire plea agreement and vacate defendant's guilty pleas on any remaining count of conviction, with both the USAO and defendant being released from all their obligations under this agreement, or (c) leave defendant's remaining conviction, sentence, and plea agreement intact. Defendant agrees that the choice among these three options rests in the exclusive discretion of the USAO.

EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

28. This agreement is effective upon signature and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney.

BREACH OF AGREEMENT

29. Defendant agrees that if defendant, at any time after the the effective date of this agreement, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of defendant's obligations under this agreement ("a breach"), the USAO may declare this agreement breached. For example, if defendant knowingly, in an interview, before a grand jury, or at trial, falsely accuses another person of criminal conduct or falsely minimizes defendant's own role, or the role of another, in criminal conduct, defendant will have breached this agreement. All of defendant's obligations are material, a single breach of this agreement is sufficient for the USAO to declare a breach, and

defendant shall not be deemed to have cured a breach without the express agreement of the USAO in writing. If the USAO declares this agreement breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have occurred, then:

- a. If defendant has previously entered guilty pleas pursuant to this agreement, defendant will not be able to withdraw the guilty pleas.
- b. The USAO will be relieved of all its obligations under this agreement; in particular, the USAO: (i) will no longer be bound by any agreements concerning sentencing and will be free to seek any sentence up to the statutory maximum for the crimes to which defendant has pleaded guilty; (ii) will no longer be bound by any agreements regarding criminal prosecution, and will be free to criminally prosecute defendant for any crime, including charges that the USAO would otherwise have been obligated to dismiss pursuant to this agreement; and (iii) will no longer be bound by any agreement regarding the use of Cooperation Information and will be free to use any Cooperation Information in any way in any investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil, administrative, or regulatory action.
- c. The USAO will be free to criminally prosecute defendant for false statement, obstruction of justice, and perjury based on any knowingly false or misleading statement by defendant.
- d. In any investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil, administrative, or regulatory action: (i) defendant will not assert, and hereby waives and gives up, any claim that any Cooperation Information was obtained in violation of the Fifth Amendment privilege against compelled self-incrimination; and (ii) defendant agrees that any Cooperation Information and any Plea Information, as

well as any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information or any Plea Information, shall be admissible against defendant, and defendant will not assert, and hereby waives and gives up, any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or any other federal rule, that any Cooperation Information, any Plea Information, or any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information or any Plea Information should be suppressed or is inadmissible.

- 30. Following the Court's finding of a knowing breach of this agreement by defendant, should the USAO choose to pursue any charge that was either dismissed or not filed as a result of this agreement, then:
- a. Defendant agrees that any applicable statute of limitations is tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the filing commencing any such action.
- b. Defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this agreement.

COURT AND UNITED STATES PROBATION AND PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICE NOT PARTIES

31. Defendant understands that the Court and the United States
Probation and Pretrial Services Office are not parties to this
agreement and need not accept any of the USAO's sentencing
recommendations or the parties' agreements to facts or sentencing
factors.

- 32. Defendant understands that both defendant and the USAO are free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the Court, (b) correct any and all factual misstatements relating to the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and determination of sentence, and (c) argue on appeal and collateral review that the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and the sentence it chooses to impose are not error, although each party agrees to maintain its view that the calculations in paragraph 18 are consistent with the facts of this case. While this paragraph permits both the USAO and defendant to submit full and complete factual information to the United States Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the Court, even if that factual information may be viewed as inconsistent with the facts agreed to in this agreement, this paragraph does not affect defendant's and the USAO's obligations not to contest the facts agreed to in this agreement.
- 33. Defendant understands that even if the Court ignores any sentencing recommendation, finds facts or reaches conclusions different from those agreed to, and/or imposes any sentence up to the maximum established by statute, defendant cannot, for that reason, withdraw defendant's guilty pleas, and defendant will remain bound to fulfill all defendant's obligations under this agreement. Defendant understands that no one -- not the prosecutor, defendant's attorney, or the Court -- can make a binding prediction or promise regarding the sentence defendant will receive, except that it will be within the statutory maximum.

NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS

34. Defendant understands that, except as set forth herein, there are no promises, understandings, or agreements between the USAO and defendant or defendant's attorney, and that no additional promise, understanding, or agreement may be entered into unless in a writing signed by all parties or on the record in court.

PLEA AGREEMENT PART OF THE GUILTY PLEA HEARING

The parties agree that this agreement will be considered part of the record of defendant's guilty plea hearing as if the entire agreement had been read into the record of the proceeding.

AGREED AND ACCEPTED

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

E. MARTIN ESTRADA United States Attorney

JAMES C. HUGHES RICHARD E. ROBINSON

Assistant United States Attorneys

LIJUAN CHEN Defendant

Attorney for Defendant Lijuan Chen

April 7, 2024

Date

April 2, 2024

Date

4/2/2024

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT

This agreement has been read to me in Mandarin Chinese, the language I understand best. I have had enough time to review and consider this agreement, and I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of it with my attorney. I understand the terms of this agreement, and I voluntarily agree to those terms. I have discussed the evidence with my attorney, and my attorney has advised me of my rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. No promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to me other than those contained in this agreement. No one has threatened or forced me in any way to enter into this agreement. I am satisfied with the representation of my attorney in this matter, and I am pleading quilty because I am quilty of the charges and wish to take advantage of the promises set forth in this agreement, and not for any other reason.

W	

April 2, 2024

LIJUAN CHEN Defendant

2223

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

2627

CERTIFICATION OF INTERPRETER

I, Yanyan Liu, am fluent in the written and spoken English and Mandarin Chinese languages. I accurately translated this entire agreement, including Attachment A, from English into Mandarin Chinese to defendant Lijuan Chen on this date.

Dri	
INTERPRETER	

April 2, 2024

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

I am Lijuan Chen's attorney. I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of this agreement with my client. Further, I have fully advised my client of her rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. To my knowledge: no promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to my client other than those contained in this agreement; no one has threatened or forced my client in any way to enter into this agreement; my client's decision to enter into this agreement is an informed and voluntary one; and the factual basis set forth in this agreement is sufficient to support my client's entry of guilty pleas pursuant to this agreement.

ELIOT KRIEGER
Attorney for Defendant Lijuan Chen

Date

ATTACHMENT A TO PLEA AGREEMENT

2 STATEMENT OF FACTS

Defendant LIJUAN CHEN ("defendant") represents and admits that the following facts are true:

A. BACKGROUND FACTS

The United States Postal Service and Postage Systems

- 1. The United States Postal Service ("USPS"), an agency of the United States, provided customers a variety of services in connection with the delivery of mail, including letters and parcels. All pieces of mail accepted for delivery by USPS were required to bear appropriate and valid postage purchased by the sender. Proof of payment of the required postage could be established in various ways including by affixing stamps, postage meter imprints, PC postage products and shipping labels purchased online and printed by the customer.
- 2. Postage evidencing systems were devices that allowed USPS customers to obtain and affix postage meter stamps to mail pieces being presented to the USPS for delivery. One form of postage evidencing system that USPS customers could use was PC Postage software. PC Postage was a USPS-approved third-party vendor software that mailers could use to pay for and print their postage.
- 3. Postage labels printed from postage evidencing systems included two types of information relevant to the amount of postage:

 (1) human-readable postage information detailing the type of postage product (e.g., First Class Mail), the date the postage was purchased, the originating zip code, the weight of the package, and the shipping distance; and (2) information-based indicia ("IBI"), which were digital indicia that include coded information and a USPS approved

symbol with a digital signature. Among other things, the IBI embedded in the coded section of a postage label showed whether the mailer had paid the appropriate amount of postage for the item to be mailed, given the item's designated class of mail.

Co-defendant Chuanha Hu and Defendant's Shipping Business

- 4. Defendant and her co-defendant, Chuanhua Hu ("co-defendant Hu"), owned and operated a package shipping business in City of Industry, California. Defendant's customers included e-commerce and logistics businesses in the United States and the People's Republic of China.
- 5. Defendant and co-defendant Hu operated their business through multiple business entities, including: (1) AHC International Group, Inc.; (2) AHC Supply Chain Management, Inc.; (3) Art Plus Technology, Inc.; (4) Coco USA Group, Inc.; (5) Coco USA Group International, Inc.; and (6) ZHC Logistics, Inc.
- 6. Defendant and co-defendant Hu operated their business through one or more warehouses located in City of Industry, California. Defendant and co-defendant Hu provided their customers with, among other services, postage and shipping services for goods to be delivered within the United States. Defendant's and co-defendant Hu's warehouses would receive deliveries of packages on a daily basis from China. Their employees would affix PC Postage shipping labels to these packages and deliver the packages to USPS facilities to be shipped throughout the United States.

B. THE OBJECT OF THE CONSPIRACY

7. Beginning no later than in or about November 2019, and continuing until at least in or about May 2023, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere,

defendant and co-defendant Hu knowingly conspired and agreed with each other and with others to defraud the United States by impeding, impairing, obstructing, and defeating the lawful government functions of the USPS in the ascertainment and collection of postal revenues, namely, postage fees on packages shipped by defendant and codefendant Hu within the United States.

C. THE MANNER AND MEANS OF THE CONSPIRACY

- 8. The object of the conspiracy was to be carried out, and was carried out, in substance, as follows:
- a. In late 2019, defendant and co-defendant Hu began providing shipping services, including the shipping of packages via U.S. mail, for logistics businesses located in China. In order to avoid the cost of postage, co-defendant Hu began creating false and counterfeit postage to ship packages. Specifically, co-defendant Hu began printing duplicate and counterfeit Netstamps denomination stamps that may be purchased online from third-party vendors and printed onto adhesive paper.
- b. In November 2019, knowing that law enforcement was investigating his use of counterfeit postage, co-defendant Hu fled the United States and moved to China. After fleeing to China, co-defendant Hu began developing various ways to counterfeit postage and avoid detection. In coordination with others, co-defendant Hu began developing a computer program for fabricating counterfeit PC postage shipping labels. Defendant remained in the United States and managed the warehouses used by defendant and co-defendant Hu to ship mail parcels bearing the counterfeit postage printed using the computer program developed by co-defendant Hu and others.

- affixing, and causing to be affixed, counterfeit PC Postage to mail matter they presented to USPS for delivery. The counterfeit PC Postage used by defendant and co-defendant Hu took two forms: (1) postage labels with altered human-readable information ("altered postage labels"); and (2) postage labels with IBI copied from previously mailed packages ("duplicated postage labels").
- d. Co-defendant Hu and others working at his direction in China would cause the altered postage labels to contain IBI evidencing prepayment of postage that was insufficient to cover the required costs of shipping the item to which the label was affixed. This was accomplished by co-defendant Hu purchasing PC Postage shipping labels from third-party vendors using false weight and shipping distance information. Co-defendant Hu and others operating at his direction would then alter the human-readable portion of the purchased shipping labels to conform to the actual weight and shipping distance of the subject mail.
- e. Co-defendant Hu and others working at his direction would cause the duplicated postage labels to contain IBI copied from PC Postage shipping labels purchased for previous mailings, thereby avoiding the need to pay for the postage shown on the duplicated label. Co-defendant Hu and others operating at his direction would transpose and cause to be transposed the copied IBI onto fabricated shipping labels designed to mimic the PC Postage shipping labels of third-party postage vendors.
- f. Co-defendant Hu and others operating at his direction created web-portals that customers could use to order counterfeit PC Postage. Customers would enter the relevant information for each

package (e.g., recipient name and address, weight) into the web portal, and receive a unique barcode corresponding to each package. Customers would then affix the barcodes to their packages and ship the packages, via airmail, to the warehouse managed by defendant. Defendant and others operating at her direction would then scan the barcodes on the packages into the web-portal created by co-defendant Hu, and thereby access the counterfeit PC postage shipping labels created for each package. Defendant and others operating at her direction would then print the counterfeit PC postage shipping labels and affix them to each package. Finally, individuals operating at defendant's direction would deliver the packages bearing the counterfeit PC postage shipping labels to USPS distribution centers for acceptance and shipping.

- g. In May 2020, packages mailed by defendant and codefendant Hu began to be rejected with greater frequency by USPS distribution centers in Southern California. To avoid detection by USPS, defendant and co-defendant Hu began shipping packages bearing counterfeit PC postage shipping labels to other warehouse owners in Ohio and Texas. When one of these warehouse owners was subsequently confronted by USPIS postal inspectors and contacted defendant, defendant advised the warehouse owner not to worry and instructed him to falsely claim that he received the packages from China and that he did not know the subject packages bore counterfeit postage.

 Defendant and co-defendant Hu subsequently ceased shipping packages to Ohio and Texas.
- h. Between 2020 and 2023, defendant and co-defendant Hu would routinely cause tens of thousands of parcels bearing counterfeit PC postage shipping labels to be delivered to USPS

distribution centers in a single day. The subject parcels would be stored in large carboard boxes known as "gaylords", typically measuring 48" x 40" X 36." In order to increase the probability that their packages would be accepted at USPS distribution centers, defendant and co-defendant Hu, and individuals operating at their direction, would frequently arrange the parcels in each gaylord so that the top layer of parcels (i.e. the parcels visible to anyone looking inside the top of the gaylord) were comprised primarily of altered postage rather than duplicated postage. Defendant and codefendant Hu employed this strategy based on the shared belief that the altered postage was more likely to pass inspection by USPS personnel than the duplicated postage. When placing parcels bearing counterfeit PC postage shipping labels in each gaylord, defendant and co-defendant Hu, and others operating at their direction, would ensure that at least 10% of the parcels in each gaylord bore altered postage.

9. Between January 2020 and May 2023, defendant and codefendant Hu knowingly mailed and caused to be mailed over 34 million parcels containing counterfeit PC postage shipping labels, causing losses to the USPS of over \$150 million.

D. OVERT ACTS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

10. In furtherance of the conspiracy, and to accomplish its object, defendant and co-defendant Hu, together with others operating at their direction, on or about the following dates, committed and willfully caused others to commit the following overt acts, among others, within the Central District of California and elsewhere:

Overt Act No. 1: On October 25, 2022, defendant and codefendant Hu caused to be transported to the USPS LA P&DC a delivery

of approximately 4,779 packages to be shipped via U.S. mail. This delivery included multiple packages bearing counterfeit USPS Priority Mail postage meter stamps. The counterfeit USPS Priority Mail postage meter stamps affixed to these packages included both altered postage labels and duplicated postage labels.

Overt Act No. 2: On May 23, 2023, at a warehouse facility located at 16285 Gale Avenue, City of Industry, California, defendant and co-defendant Hu caused to be printed over 17,000 counterfeit USPS First Class Mail postage stamps, including both altered postage labels and duplicated postage labels.

E. Defendant's Forging of Counterfeit Postage on May 24, 2023

11. On or about May 24, 2023, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant knowingly forged and counterfeited, and caused to be forged and counterfeited, a United States Postal Service First Class Mail postage meter stamp on a package addressed from "online seller" of San Bernardino, California, to J.W. of Sterling Heights, Michigan, and knowingly possessed with intent to use such forged and counterfeited postage meter stamp.

I have read this STATEMENT OF FACTS in support of the plea agreement in its entirety, and signed my initials at the lower right corner of each page to confirm that. I have had enough time to review and consider this statement of facts, and I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of it with my attorney. I agree that this statement of facts is sufficient to support pleas of guilty to the charges described in the plea agreement and to establish the

Sentencing Guidelines factors set forth in paragraph 18 of the plea agreement. April 2, 2024 Date LIJUAN CHEN I am LIJIUAN CHEN's attorney. I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of this statement of facts with my client and agree that it is sufficient to support pleas of guilty to the charges described in the plea agreement and to establish the Sentencing Guidelines factors set forth in paragraph 18 of the plea agreement. Attorney for Defendant Lijuan Chen