	Case 2:24-cr-00103-MWF Document 1 Fi	led 02/15/24 Page 1 of 26 Page ID #:1		
1				
1 2		FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT		
3		2/15/2024		
4		CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY: DEPUTY		
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	June 2023 Grand Jury			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CR No. 2:24-cr-00103-MWF		
12	Plaintiff,	<u>i n d i c t m e n t</u>		
13	v.	[18 U.S.C. § 1341: Mail Fraud; 26 U.S.C. § 7201: Attempt to Evade		
14	ROGER KEITH VER,	and Defeat Tax; 26 U.S.C. § 7206(1): Subscription to a False Tax Return]		
15	Defendant.			
16	The Grand Jury charges:			
17	COUNTS ONE THROUGH THREE			
18	[18 U.S.C. § 1341]			
19 20	A. <u>INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS</u>			
20	At times relevant to this Indictment:			
22	Defendant VER and His Companies			
23	1. Defendant ROGER KEITH VER, who was born in San Jose,			
24	California, resided in Tokyo, Japan, and Saint Kitts and Nevis ("St.			
25	Kitts"). Defendant VER was in a lo	ong-term relationship with a		
26	Japanese citizen ("partner").			
27	2. Defendant VER was the sole owner and Chief Executive			
28	Officer ("CEO") of MemoryDealers.com, Inc., and Agilestar.com, Inc.,			

Case 2:24-cr-00103-MWF Document 1 Filed 02/15/24 Page 2 of 26 Page ID #:2

two businesses that were based in Santa Clara, California, and sold computer and networking equipment. MemoryDealers and Agilestar were small business corporations ("S-Corporations") until March 3, 2014, when by operation of law they were automatically converted to C-Corporations.

Bitcoins

3. Bitcoins were a cryptocurrency circulated over the internet. Bitcoins were not issued by any government, bank, or company, but rather were controlled through computer software operating via a decentralized, peer-to-peer network. Bitcoins were sent to and received from bitcoin addresses, which were analogous to bank account numbers and consisted of strings of case-sensitive letters and numbers, each 26 or more characters long. Each bitcoin address was controlled through the use of a private key that was the equivalent of a password or PIN and was necessary to access the bitcoins associated with the bitcoin address.

4. Bitcoin transactions were recorded on the bitcoin blockchain. The bitcoin blockchain was a decentralized public ledger on which were recorded all bitcoin transactions in which a bitcoin address sent or received bitcoins. The bitcoin blockchain was updated approximately six times per hour. All records on the bitcoin blockchain were publicly available.

5. Generally, a bitcoin wallet was an application that allowed bitcoin users to easily send and receive bitcoins and store their private keys. Users typically acquired bitcoins by purchasing them through a cryptocurrency exchange.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Defendant VER's and His Companies' Acquisition of Bitcoins

6. Defendant VER began acquiring bitcoins no later than April 2011. Defendant VER also avidly promoted bitcoins, even obtaining the moniker "Bitcoin Jesus."

7. Defendant VER opened accounts on MemoryDealers' behalf at several cryptocurrency exchanges. He wired and caused to be wired funds from MemoryDealers' and Agilestar's bank accounts to those exchanges to purchase bitcoins for them. For example:

a. In April 2011, defendant VER opened an account in MemoryDealers' name at Mt. Gox, a cryptocurrency exchange, using his own MemoryDealers' e-mail address. Defendant VER repeatedly wired and caused to be wired funds from MemoryDealers' and Agilestar's bank accounts to the Mt. Gox account to purchase bitcoins. Defendant VER transferred MemoryDealers' and Agilestar's bitcoins from Mt. Gox to bitcoin wallets that defendant VER controlled on their behalf.

b. In June 2012, defendant VER also opened an account on MemoryDealers' behalf at Bitstamp, another cryptocurrency exchange. When he opened the account, defendant VER provided MemoryDealers' articles of incorporation and indicated he was MemoryDealers' sole owner. He also provided a copy of a recent MemoryDealers' bank statement. Defendant VER repeatedly wired and caused to be wired funds from MemoryDealers' bank account to Bitstamp to purchase bitcoins. Defendant VER transferred MemoryDealers' bitcoins from Bitstamp to bitcoin wallets that defendant VER controlled on MemoryDealers' behalf.

8. Defendant VER also caused MemoryDealers to acquire bitcoins by using MemoryDealers' money to purchase them directly from sellers.

28

MemoryDealers used bitcoins to conduct its business. 1 9. Beginning in or around October 2011, and continuing until at least on 2 or about June 18, 2017, MemoryDealers paid certain vendors in 3 bitcoins. MemoryDealers received payments from customers in bitcoins 4 5 through September 2017. On or about November 1, 2012, MemoryDealers 6 launched Bitcoinstore.com. MemoryDealers, doing business as 7 Bitcoinstore.com, accepted payment in bitcoins for products it sold 8 on the internet. Bitcoinstore.com operated until approximately July 9 31, 2014.

10. Defendant VER also used his own funds to purchase bitcoins. For example, on or about July 23, 2012, defendant VER opened an account in his own name at Coinbase, another cryptocurrency exchange.

11. On or about November 30, 2013, defendant VER donated 1,000 of his personally held bitcoins, valued at \$1,065,145, to a charity qualified under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. On or about November 6, 2014, defendant VER filed an Amended U.S. Individual Income Tax Return (Form 1040X) for tax year 2013 on which he claimed a deduction for this donation.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Defendant VER's Expatriation

12. United States citizens could renounce their U.S. citizenship, a process known as expatriation.

13. Under the Internal Revenue Code ("IRC"), expatriation from the United States by a person who qualified as a "covered expatriate" had tax implications. Specifically, all of a covered expatriate's property was treated as having been sold on the day before the expatriation date for its fair market value-referred to as a "constructive sale"-and any gain arising from that constructive sale

27 28

was required to be taken into account for that taxable year and was subject to tax, which was referred to as an "exit tax."

14. Under the IRC, covered expatriates were also required to file an Initial and Annual Expatriation Statement (Form 8854) with the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"). Covered expatriates were required to certify on their Forms 8854 that they had complied with their tax obligations in the five years before expatriation and, among other things, provide information about their net worth, income, assets, and liabilities as of the date of their expatriation.

15. In August 2012, defendant VER hired Law Firm 1 to advise him about expatriating and later hired Law Firm 1 to assist with his expatriation and prepare his 2014 U.S. Nonresident Alien Income Tax Return (Form 1040NR) and Initial and Annual Expatriation Statement (Form 8854) (collectively the "expatriation-related tax returns").

16. Law Firm 1 also advised defendant VER to hire an appraiser to value MemoryDealers and Agilestar. As described below, defendant VER hired two different appraisers to do so. Appraiser 1 was a large, international accounting firm that defendant VER retained in 2012; Appraiser 2 was a solo practitioner that defendant VER retained in 2015.

17. On February 4, 2014, defendant VER became a St. Kitts citizen. On March 3, 2014, defendant VER furnished to the United States Consulate in Barbados a signed Request for a Determination of Possible Loss of Citizenship (Form DS-4079), which included in Part II, a separately signed Statement of Voluntary Relinquishment of U.S. Citizenship. The U.S. Department of State subsequently issued him a Certificate of Loss of Nationality of the United States (Form DS-4083) that said defendant VER expatriated as of February 4, 2014.

1

2

3

4

18. Under the IRC, however, a U.S. citizen is determined to have expatriated for the purposes of computing the exit tax and preparing the Form 8854 on the earliest of four events. The earliest of those four events applicable to defendant VER was the furnishing of his Statement of Voluntary Relinquishment of U.S. Citizenship to the U.S. Consulate on March 3, 2014.

19. Defendant VER was a covered expatriate because his net worth on that day was at least \$2,000,000. As such, defendant VER was required to prepare and file a Form 8854 and to pay an exit tax on any gain from the constructive sale of his worldwide assets as of March 2, 2014, and to report his worldwide assets on a Form 8854 as of March 3, 2014.

20. However, Law Firm 1 erroneously advised him, based on the date referenced in the U.S. Department of State's Certificate of Loss of Nationality, that he expatriated for tax purposes on February 4, 2014, and had to pay the exit tax based on the constructive sale of his worldwide assets as of February 3, 2014.

21. Law Firm 1 prepared and defendant VER signed and filed his expatriation-related tax returns incorrectly using February 4, 2014, as the date of his expatriation.

Clustering Analysis

22. Clustering analysis, described below, combined with other attribution evidence, establishes that on February 3, 2014, defendant VER owned approximately 131,000 bitcoins either directly or through his companies.

26 23. The clustering analysis used information from the
27 blockchain to group bitcoin addresses together into clusters based on
28 co-spending. Co-spending occurred when multiple bitcoin addresses

1

2

Case 2:24-cr-00103-MWF Document 1 Filed 02/15/24 Page 7 of 26 Page ID #:7

sent bitcoins in a single transaction, indicating that a single owner held the private keys for all those addresses. A cluster could be labeled based on its root address, namely, the earliest existing bitcoin address in the cluster.

24. The clustering analysis establishes that the bitcoins referenced in paragraph 22 above were contained within at least four clusters of bitcoin addresses. These four clusters held bitcoins on February 3, 2014, as follows:

9	Cluster	Root Address	Bitcoins on 2/3/2014
10	1	1E6mijNx2xKzRt6KXiqZncUmybgYN4cn2X ("Cluster 1E6mij")	110,000.0491
11			
12	2	1JKPkpDFruDNjFKQ5upDkB2CbstbR3RtE7 ("Cluster 1JKPkp")	2,000.9710
13			
14	3	1LXrSb67EaH1LGc6d6kWHq8rgv4ZBQAcpU ("Cluster 1LXrSb")	4,037.8632
15	4	1LDWDufjU5ATbozDZY3uChb7oPAbDaiB7K	15,000.0005
16		("Cluster 1LDWDu")	

25. Clustering analysis also establishes that on March 2, 2014, defendant VER owned either directly or through his companies nearly the same number of bitcoins contained in at least the same four clusters.

B. THE SCHEME TO DEFRAUD

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

26. Beginning no later than in or about October 2012, and continuing through at least in or about December 2018, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant VER knowingly and with the intent to defraud, devised, participated in, and executed a scheme to defraud the United States Department of the Treasury as to material matters, and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent

pretenses, representations, and promises, and the concealment of material facts, regarding the number and value of bitcoins he owned and controlled both personally and through his companies.

1

2

3

27. The scheme to defraud operated, in substance, as follows:

a. In August 2012, Defendant VER hired Law Firm 1 to assist with his potential expatriation, including estimating any potential exit tax. Law Firm 1 told defendant VER that to estimate his exit tax, he should "pretend" to sell all of his assets on the day before his anticipated expatriation and calculate a tax on any gain from those pretend sales above \$651,000.

b. After defendant VER was so advised, but before he was able to expatriate in 2014, he concealed and provided false information to his various advisors regarding the number and value of bitcoins he owned and controlled both personally and through his companies. For example:

i. In October 2012, defendant VER provided to Law Firm 1 a purported list of his assets and proposed exit tax calculation. The list of assets did not include any bitcoins.

9 ii. Defendant VER engaged Appraiser 1 in August 2012. 0 During the course of Appraiser 1's engagement, Appraiser 1 prepared 1 multiple draft valuations of MemoryDealers and Agilestar. Appraiser 1 2 repeatedly advised defendant VER that the bitcoins listed as assets 3 on MemoryDealers' and Agilestar's financial records should be valued 4 at their current market price and asked defendant VER for the number 5 of bitcoins held by the companies.

26 iii. In response, defendant VER did not provide
27 Appraiser 1 with the total number of bitcoins the companies held and
28 instead purported to provide the total number of bitcoins in his

possession. But the figures he provided were substantially lower than the number of bitcoins attributable to him and his companies. For example, on or about April 12, 2013, defendant VER told Appraiser 1 that he controlled 25,000 bitcoins, when approximately 117,000 bitcoins were attributable to him and his companies on that date, with approximately 70,000 held by defendant VER's companies.

iv. Appraiser 1 did not finalize its valuations because on or about May 15, 2013, defendant VER informed Appraiser 1 that he was not going to be able to expatriate soon and requested that Appraiser 1 indefinitely delay its work.

v. Throughout this time, Defendant VER sought advice from Appraiser 1, Law Firm 1, and MemoryDealers' and Agilestar's outside return preparers about whether the best way to minimize his exit tax and post-expatriation tax liabilities would be to distribute MemoryDealers' and Agilestar's bitcoins to himself.

16 vi. Also throughout this time, defendant VER 17 continued to acquire bitcoins for his companies and to invest 18 bitcoins in, or to loan bitcoins to, bitcoin-related startups.

c. After failing to obtain citizenship in several other countries, in early December 2013, defendant VER told Law Firm 1 that he believed he would be able to obtain citizenship from St. Kitts and renounce his U.S. citizenship by the end of the year.

d. Law Firm 1 warned defendant VER that "doing so will mean (tax-wise) that you are diving off the high dive into the pool without checking how much water is in the pool. Meaning-when you renounce you have committed yourself and whatever the tax results are, they are. No adjustments are possible."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

e. After defendant VER retained Law Firm 1 in January 2 2014 to assist with his expatriation, including calculating his 3 estimated exit tax payment, and while Law Firm 1 prepared and filed 4 his expatriation-related tax returns, defendant VER continued to 5 conceal and provide false information to his advisors regarding the 6 number and value of bitcoins he owned and controlled both personally 7 and through his companies. For example:

8 i. On February 3, 2014, the day Law Firm 1 advised 9 defendant VER to use when calculating his exit tax, he had 10 approximately 131,000 bitcoins that could be attributed to him and 11 his companies. Based on an analysis of their financial records, about 12 73,000 of these bitcoins could be attributed to MemoryDealers and Agilestar. Bitcoins traded on February 3, 2014, between \$782 and \$960 13 14 across several large bitcoin exchanges. From April 2011 to January 1, 15 2013, when defendant VER and his companies acquired most of their 16 bitcoins, bitcoins traded at no more than about \$32.00 across several 17 large bitcoin exchanges.

18 In or around April 2014, Law Firm 1 attempted to ii. 19 estimate defendant VER's exit tax so that defendant VER could make an 20 estimated tax payment for 2014. On or about April 5, 2014, Return 21 Preparer 1, an employee at Law Firm 1, sent defendant VER an 22 estimation of defendant VER's exit tax of \$3,990,000. A few days 23 later, Return Preparer 1 updated it, reducing the estimated exit tax to \$3,400,000. The spreadsheet Return Preparer 1 used to compute that 24 25 figure listed a total value of only \$3,000,000 for "[b]itcoins held 26 in personal wallets," listed defendant VER as the 100% owner of the bitcoins, and noted that defendant VER had provided the estimate of 27

28

Case 2:24-cr-00103-MWF Document 1 Filed 02/15/24 Page 11 of 26 Page ID #:11

the bitcoins' value. The spreadsheet did not list how many bitcoins defendant VER personally owned.

iii. Defendant VER did not make any estimated tax payments for 2014.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

iv. A year later, when Law Firm 1 was preparing defendant VER's expatriation-related tax returns, Return Preparer 1 e-mailed defendant VER on or about April 10, 2015, requesting additional information about defendant VER's assets. Return Preparer 1 asked defendant VER how many bitcoins defendant VER owned and what he had paid for them. When he responded a few days later, defendant VER did not provide the requested information and instead discussed various aspects of the bitcoin market.

On or about August 3, 2015, Return Preparer 1 e-13 v. 14 mailed defendant VER and proposed using an \$800 per bitcoin value for 15 defendant VER's personally held bitcoins. Defendant VER rejected 16 Return Preparer 1's proposal as unreasonable, claiming that he had so 17 many bitcoins that if he had had to sell them all on a single day in February 2014 it would have crashed the market. Defendant VER did not 18 19 specify how many bitcoins he had but suggested a value of his personal bitcoin holdings of \$9,200,000. 20

21 vi. A few weeks later, Return Preparer 1 told 22 defendant VER that Lawyer 1, another employee of Law Firm 1, had 23 determined that they had to use the \$800 per bitcoin value and again asked defendant VER how many bitcoins defendant VER personally held. 24 25 Defendant VER withheld the requested information once more, and 26 instead said that using the \$800 per bitcoin value was "impossible 27 and unreasonable." Lawyer 1 later told defendant VER that they were 28 legally required to use the \$800 per bitcoin value.

1 vii. In August 2015, Lawyer 1 also asked defendant VER about his personal bitcoin holdings, specifically about how he had 2 come to own bitcoins through bitcoinstore.com. Defendant VER 3 responded that MemoryDealers "kept as many of the bitcoins as 4 5 possible." In response to Lawyer 1's follow-up questions, defendant 6 VER remarked that bitcoin wallets "were not registered to any name or 7 associated with a tax id, and that no one, including the IRS, can 8 freeze ones [sic] bitcoin accounts or seize ones [sic] bitcoins." 9 Defendant VER also asserted that all the bitcoins obtained from 10 "MemoryDealers / Bitcoinstore were held in bitcoin wallets that only 11 [he was] able to access." Defendant VER stated that he believed a 12 "smart tax strategy would be for [the bitcoins] to have been transferred to [his] personal ownership whenever it would have been 13 14 cheapest to have done so from a tax perspective."

15 viii. While Law Firm 1 was preparing defendant VER's expatriation-related tax returns, it reminded defendant VER of 16 his need to obtain valuations of MemoryDealers and Agilestar. Instead 17 of returning to Appraiser 1, who had already prepared draft 18 19 valuations, in June 2015 defendant VER retained Appraiser 2 to start 20 the process anew.

ix. In August 2015, Appraiser 2 prepared valuations 22 of MemoryDealers and Agilestar based in large part on the companies' 23 financial records and tax returns using a valuation date of February 4, 2014.

25 On or about August 4, 2015, Appraiser 2 sent х. 26 draft valuations to Employee 1, a MemoryDealers' employee. Appraiser 27 2 valued MemoryDealers at \$2,250,000, which included bitcoins valued

28

21

24

at \$1,304,054, and valued Agilestar at \$4,310,000, which included bitcoins valued at \$113,582.

3 xi. Employee 1 forwarded the draft valuations to Return Preparer 2, one of MemoryDealers' and Agilestar's outside 4 5 return preparers, and requested Return Preparer 2's input. Return Preparer 2 responded by e-mail, questioning the valuation of the 6 7 companies' bitcoins, and told Employee 1 to ask Appraiser 2 "if the 8 Bitcoin values are market value on the dates of valuation." Return Preparer 2 explained that the "value should be: total Bitcoins x Average Market Price on Date of Valuation (2/3/14). If the value shown is that value, no problem; otherwise, an adjustment should be made, up or down, to show [Fair Market Value] of Bitcoins on that date."

xii. Employee 1 forwarded Return Preparer 2's e-mail to defendant VER.

xiii. On or about August 13, 2015, Employee 1 confirmed with Appraiser 2 that the previously provided draft valuations were the final versions. No adjustments had been made in response to Return Preparer 2's concern.

xiv. Employee 1 then forwarded the valuations to Law Firm 1, which subsequently incorporated them into defendant VER's expatriation-related tax returns. At no time did defendant VER object to the incorrect valuations prepared by Appraiser 2, which defendant VER then knew would be incorporated into his expatriation-related tax returns.

26 xv. As part of the return preparation process, on or 27 about October 14, 2015, Return Preparer 1 e-mailed defendant VER a 28 list of his bank and trading accounts of which Return Preparer 1 was

1

2

Case 2:24-cr-00103-MWF Document 1 Filed 02/15/24 Page 14 of 26 Page ID #:14

1 aware. Return Preparer 1 requested defendant VER provide any additional accounts not listed. Defendant VER responded, identifying 2 3 an additional trading account but failed to disclose his account at Coinbase, which held some of defendant VER's bitcoins on February 4, 4 5 2014. And a few weeks before this e-mail, defendant VER had sent Lawyer 2, at Law Firm 2, who was also assisting defendant VER with 6 7 his expatriation, bitcoins from his Coinbase account. Defendant VER's 8 Coinbase account had bitcoins on March 3, 2014, as well.

9 xvi. Also on or about October 14, 2015, Return 10 Preparer 1 e-mailed defendant VER and requested once again that he 11 provide the total number of bitcoins he owned on February 4, 2014. 12 Return Preparer 1 copied Lawyer 1 on the e-mail. Yet again, defendant VER did not tell them how many bitcoins he had. He instead asked, 13 14 "[c]ompletely hypothetically speaking, what would the ramifications 15 be if I were to have had 200,000 [bitcoins] at the time of my 16 renunciation?"

17 xvii. Lawyer 1 replied and advised defendant VER 18 to obtain an appraisal of his personally held bitcoins from a "third 19 party who [had] no personal interest in the tax implications of the 20 appraisal."

21 xviii. A week later, defendant VER asked Appraiser
22 2 if Appraiser 2 could provide a valuation for "an amount of Bitcoins
23 as of Feb 4th 2014 in an illiquid market?"

24 xix. In a follow-up e-mail, defendant VER asked if 25 Appraiser 2 could assist, stating "I actually have all the 26 information you need to make it super easy for you. I would just need 27 to explain it all, and then you would affix your name to it."

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

xx. Approximately two weeks later, Appraiser 2 responded to defendant VER, indicating he would take a look at the information. Appraiser 2 requested that defendant VER "state how many bitcoins are subject to appraisal...."

xxi. Instead of providing the requested information, defendant VER responded by discussing the state of the bitcoin market at the time of his expatriation. He also asked Appraiser 2 to opine on how Appraiser 2 would hypothetically value someone's bitcoins if the number of bitcoins was substantially more than the total number traded on that day.

xxii. Instead of addressing defendant VER's hypothetical, Appraiser 2 wrote back and only said "What was your BitCoins [sic] holding as of February 4, 2014." Despite having previously said that he had all the information for Appraiser 2, defendant VER responded and said that he was not sure how many bitcoins he had and asked another hypothetical about how Appraiser 2 would approach valuing 20,000 bitcoins.

xxiii. In response to defendant VER's hypothetical, Appraiser 2 said that the value per bitcoin could be between \$100 to \$800. Defendant VER then paid Appraiser 2 to prepare a valuation of his personally held bitcoin.

xxiv. On or about November 24, 2015, Lawyer 1
responded to an email from defendant VER. Despite having final
appraisals of MemoryDealers and Agilestar for several months,
defendant VER had raised a concern with Lawyer 1 about "figuring out"
which bitcoins were his and which were MemoryDealers'. In response,
Lawyer 1 told defendant VER that the appraisal for MemoryDealers
included bitcoins valued at \$1.3 million based on the company's

Case 2:24-cr-00103-MWF Document 1 Filed 02/15/24 Page 16 of 26 Page ID #:16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

financial records but that Lawyer 1 did not know how many bitcoins this corresponded to or how that value was calculated. Lawyer 1 advised defendant VER not to include MemoryDealers bitcoins as part of the valuation of his personally held ones. Lawyer 1 also requested that if defendant VER was uncertain about how the bitcoins were allocated between himself and MemoryDealers to let Lawyer 1 know so they could reevaluate. Over the next several weeks, Lawyer 1 followed up with defendant VER on this issue, but defendant VER did not respond.

xxv. On or about December 3, 2015, defendant VER formally requested that Appraiser 2 prepare a valuation of 25,000 bitcoins and confirmed that he held those bitcoins personally. Around the same time, defendant VER told Lawyer 1 that the issue of how many bitcoins he personally owned had been taken care of and that he had provided Appraiser 2 with his best estimate.

xxvi. After some back and forth between defendant VER and Lawyer 1, and defendant VER and Appraiser 2 about whether the bitcoins were held by defendant VER, his partner, or his company, on or about December 7, 2015, defendant VER once again confirmed that the 25,000 bitcoins were held by him personally "and [did] not include any other bitcoins that [he] may have been holding custodially for others."

23 xxvii. On or about January 11, 2016, Appraiser 2 24 told defendant VER that it would make a significant difference in the 25 valuation if he owned the bitcoins personally or if a company owned 26 them.

27 xxviii. A few days later, defendant VER wrote in an
28 e-mail to Lawyer 1 and others that "reading between the lines of what

Case 2:24-cr-00103-MWF Document 1 Filed 02/15/24 Page 17 of 26 Page ID #:17

[Appraiser 2] said, it [sounded] like the appraisal will be MUCH lower if the bitcoins are owned by a corporate entity rather than myself personally." Defendant VER further stated, "[p]erhaps it will be easier for tax reporting requirements if I gave all my bitcoins to my partner (not legally married wife) in Japan?"

xxix. Defendant VER caused Law Firm 1 to prepare and mail on or about April 28, 2016, a United States Gift (and Generation-Skipping Transfer) Tax Return (Form 709), falsely claiming that defendant VER had gifted 25,000 bitcoins to his partner on November 15, 2011. Defendant VER signed the Form 709 under penalties of perjury on or about April 15, 2016. The IRS received the Form 709 on or about May 4, 2016.

Defendant VER caused Law Firm 1 to prepare and mail on 13 f. 14 or about May 4, 2016, defendant VER's 2014 U.S. Nonresident Alien 15 Income Tax Return (Form 1040NR). This Form 1040NR failed to report 16 any gain from the constructive sale of any bitcoins that defendant 17 VER personally owned and substantially underreported gains from the 18 constructive sales of MemoryDealers and Agilestar, which were based 19 on Appraiser 2's August 2015 valuations discussed in paragraph 27(e), 20 above. The return listed a total tax due of \$1,032,845. Defendant VER 21 signed the Form 1040NR under penalties of perjury on or about April 22 15, 2016. The IRS received the Form 1040NR on or about May 10, 2016.

g. Defendant VER also caused Law Firm 1 to prepare and
mail on or about May 4, 2016, defendant VER's 2014 Initial and Annual
Expatriation Statement (Form 8854) that failed to report personally
owned bitcoins and underreported the fair market values of
MemoryDealers and Agilestar. Defendant VER signed the Form 8854 under

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Case 2:24-cr-00103-MWF Document 1 Filed 02/15/24 Page 18 of 26 Page ID #:18

penalties of perjury on or about April 15, 2016. The IRS received the Form 8854 on or about May 10, 2016.

1

2

9

10

11

12

h. On or about July 13, 2016, Return Preparer 1 was
erroneously informed that the IRS had not received the 2014 Form
1040NR and Form 8854 that were mailed on or about May 4, 2016. On or
about July 14, 2016, defendant VER re-signed those returns, and on or
about July 19, 2016, Law Firm 1 mailed them to the IRS. The IRS
received these returns on or about July 22, 2016.

i. As further part of his scheme, defendant VER also fraudulently misrepresented and concealed income he received in 2017 from the distributions of MemoryDealers' and Agilestar's bitcoins to him. For example:

i. On or about March 17, 2016, defendant VER learned
from Return Preparer 3, another one of MemoryDealers' and Agilestar's
outside return preparers, that upon his expatriation when
MemoryDealers and Agilestar became C-Corporations, all of
MemoryDealers' and Agilestar's assets "rolled into" them.

18 ii. After defendant VER expatriated and continuing
19 through June 2017, MemoryDealers continued to acquire and/or spend
20 bitcoins, and Agilestar continued to own bitcoins.

21 iii. By 2017, defendant VER had opened personal
22 accounts at several other cryptocurrency exchanges such as Kraken,
23 Bitfinex, Bittrex, and Poloniex.

iv. On or about June 19, 2017, after paying an
invoice issued to MemoryDealers with bitcoins, defendant VER
instructed Employee 1 to "close out" the bitcoin balance, which
resulted in the removal of all bitcoins as assets from the financial
records of MemoryDealers and Agilestar. On that date, MemoryDealers'

financial records showed that it had approximately 58,000 bitcoins; Agilestar's financial records showed it had approximately 12,000.

V. In the two weeks before defendant VER instructed Employee 1 to remove the bitcoins from MemoryDealers' financial records, defendant VER had transferred nearly 3,000 bitcoins-worth over \$7 million-from Cluster 1JKPkp to defendant VER's personal account at Poloniex.

8 vi. In November 2017, defendant VER transferred tens 9 of thousands of bitcoins from Cluster 1E6mij and Cluster 1LDWDu to 10 exchange accounts in his name and then sold them for United States 11 dollars. He then transferred approximately \$240 million from those 12 exchange accounts to bank accounts either in his name or under his 13 control in the Bahamas.

vii. Defendant VER retained Law Firm 1 to prepare his 2017 Form 1040NR. On or about July 26, 2018, Return Preparer 1 asked defendant VER if he had received any income or distributions from MemoryDealers or Agilestar during 2017. Defendant VER said that he had not. Defendant VER also did not tell Return Preparer 1 that he had received hundreds of millions of dollars from the sale of bitcoins in 2017.

viii. As a result of defendant VER's concealment of the distributions of MemoryDealers' and Agilestar's bitcoins to him in 2017, the 2017 Form 1040NR that defendant VER caused Return Preparer 1 to prepare and mail on or about December 15, 2018, did not report any gain or pay any tax related to those distributions.

j. The false and fraudulent statements and representations and material omissions on the 2014 Form 1040NR, 2014 Form 8854, and the 2017 Form 1040NR that defendant VER caused to be

1

2

Case 2:24-cr-00103-MWF Document 1 Filed 02/15/24 Page 20 of 26 Page ID #:20

prepared and mailed to the IRS collectively deprived the IRS of taxes rightfully due in the amount of approximately \$48 million.

C. <u>USE OF THE MAILS</u>

28. On or about the dates set forth below, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant VER, for the purpose of executing the above-described scheme to defraud, knowingly caused the following items to be placed in a post office and authorized depository for mail matter to be sent and delivered by the United States Postal Service according to the directions thereon:

COUNT	DATE	ADDRESS	MAIL MATTER
ONE	May 4, 2016	Internal Revenue Service P.O. Box 1303 Charlotte, NC 28201-1303	Defendant VER's false 2014 Forms 1040NR and 8854
TWO	July 19, 2016	Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service Austin, TX 73301-0215	Defendant VER's false 2014 Forms 1040NR and 8854
THREE	December 15, 2018	Internal Revenue Service P.O. Box 1303 Charlotte, NC 28201-1303	Defendant VER's false 2017 Form 1040NR

COUNT FOUR

[26 U.S.C. § 7201]

29. The Grand Jury realleges paragraphs 1 through 25 and 27 of this Indictment here.

30. Beginning no later than on or about January 1, 2014, and continuing through on or about December 18, 2018, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant VER willfully attempted to evade and defeat income tax due and owing by him to the United States of America, for the calendar year 2014, by committing the following affirmative acts, among others, on or about the following dates:

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

preparing and causing to be prepared, signing and a. causing to be signed, and filing and causing to be filed with the IRS on May 10, 2016, a false 2014 U.S. Nonresident Alien Income Tax Return (Form 1040NR) that failed to report gain from the constructive sale of personally owned bitcoins and substantially underreported gains from the constructive sales of MemoryDealers and Agilestar;

preparing and causing to be prepared, signing and b. causing to be signed, and filing and causing to be filed with the IRS on May 10, 2016, a false 2014 Initial and Annual Expatriation Statement (Form 8854) that failed to report personally owned bitcoins and underreported the fair market values of MemoryDealers and Agilestar;

preparing and causing to be prepared, signing and с. causing to be signed, and filing and causing to be filed with the IRS on July 22, 2016, a false 2014 U.S. Nonresident Alien Income Tax 16 Return (Form 1040NR) that failed to report gain from the constructive sale of personally owned bitcoins and substantially underreported gains from the constructive sales of MemoryDealers and Agilestar;

19 d. preparing and causing to be prepared, signing and causing to be signed, and filing and causing to be filed with the IRS 20 21 on May 4, 2016, a false 2011 United States Gift (and Generation-22 Skipping Transfer) Tax Return (Form 709) that falsely claimed 23 defendant VER had gifted 25,000 bitcoins on November 15, 2011, to his 24 partner;

25 on June 19, 2017, instructing Employee 1 to "close е. 26 out" the bitcoin balance, which resulted in the removal of all 27 bitcoins as assets from the financial records of MemoryDealers and 28 Agilestar; and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

f. preparing and causing to be prepared, signing and causing to be signed, and filing and causing to be filed with the IRS on December 18, 2018, a false 2017 U.S. Nonresident Alien Income Tax Return (Form 1040NR) that failed to report income from the distributions of bitcoins to defendant VER from MemoryDealers and Agilestar.

COUNT FIVE

[26 U.S.C. § 7201]

9 31. The Grand Jury realleges paragraphs 1 through 25 and 27 of10 this Indictment here.

32. From on or about January 1, 2017, through on or about December 18, 2018, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant VER willfully attempted to evade and defeat income tax due and owing by him to the United States of America, for the calendar year 2017, by committing the following affirmative acts, among others, on or about the following dates:

a. on June 19, 2017, instructing Employee 1 to "close
out" the bitcoin balance, which resulted in the removal of all
bitcoins as assets from the financial records of MemoryDealers and
Agilestar;

b. on July 26, 2018, falsely stating to Return Preparer 1 that he did not receive any distributions or other payments from MemoryDealers in 2017;

c. on July 26, 2018, falsely stating to Return Preparer 1 that he did not receive any distributions or other payments from Agilestar in 2017; and

d. preparing and causing to be prepared, signing and
causing to be signed, and filing and causing to be filed with the IRS

Case 2:24-cr-00103-MWF Document 1 Filed 02/15/24 Page 23 of 26 Page ID #:23

on December 18, 2018, a false 2017 U.S. Nonresident Alien Income Tax Return (Form 1040NR) that failed to report income from the distributions of bitcoins to defendant VER from MemoryDealers and Agilestar.

COUNT SIX

[26 U.S.C. § 7206(1)]

33. The Grand Jury realleges paragraphs 1 through 25 and 27 of this Indictment here.

34. On or about May 10, 2016, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant VER willfully made and subscribed, and filed and caused to be filed with the IRS, a false 2014 U.S. Nonresident Alien Income Tax Return (Form 1040NR), which was verified by a written declaration that it was made under penalties of perjury and which defendant VER did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter. The Form 1040NR was not true and correct as follows:

a. on the Sales and Other Dispositions of Capital Assets (Form 8849), included with the Form 1040NR, defendant VER:

i. failed to report gain from the constructive sale of personally owned bitcoins, whereas defendant VER then knew he personally owned bitcoins and was required to report gain from their constructive sales;

ii. falsely reported proceeds of \$2,250,000 and gain of \$2,064,268 from the constructive sale of MemoryDealers, whereas defendant VER then knew the proceeds and gain from the constructive sale of this company were substantially greater than the amounts he reported; and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

iii. falsely reported proceeds of \$4,310,000 and gain of \$3,954,220 from the constructive sale of Agilestar, whereas defendant VER then knew the proceeds and gain from the constructive sale of this company were substantially greater than the amounts he reported;

b. on Line 14, Cap Gain or (Loss), defendant VER falsely reported capital gain of \$6,085,116, whereas defendant VER then knew the amount of capital gain he was required to report was substantially greater than this amount; and

c. on Line 75, Amount you owe, defendant VER falsely reported \$1,034,357, whereas defendant VER then knew that he owed substantially more than this amount.

COUNT SEVEN

[26 U.S.C. § 7206(1)]

35. The Grand Jury realleges paragraphs 1 through 25 and 27 of this Indictment here.

36. On or about May 10, 2016, in Los Angeles County, within the 17 Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant VER 18 19 willfully made and subscribed, and filed and caused to be filed with the IRS, a false 2014 Initial and Annual Expatriation Statement (Form 20 21 8854), which was verified by a written declaration that it was made 22 under penalties of perjury and which defendant VER did not believe to 23 be true and correct as to every material matter. The Form 8854 was not true and correct as follows: 24

a. defendant VER falsely reported on Part IV, Section A,
Line 2 that his net worth was \$18,669,174.42, whereas he then knew
his net worth was substantially greater than this amount;

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

b. defendant VER failed to report on Part IV, Section B, Line 8 and attachment that he personally owned any bitcoins, whereas he then knew he personally owned bitcoins;

c. defendant VER failed to report on Part IV, Section B, Line 8 and attachment that he had an account at Coinbase that held bitcoins, whereas he then knew he had an account at Coinbase that held bitcoins;

d. defendant VER falsely reported on Part IV, Section B,
 Line 8 and attachment the fair market value of MemoryDealers as
 \$2,250,000, whereas he then knew the fair market value of the company
 was substantially greater than this amount; and

e. defendant VER falsely reported on Part IV, Section B, Line 8 and attachment the fair market value of Agilestar as \$4,310,000, whereas he then knew the fair market value of the company was substantially greater than this amount.

COUNT EIGHT

[26 U.S.C. § 7206(1)]

18 37. The Grand Jury realleges paragraphs 1 through 25 and 27 of19 this Indictment here.

38. On or about December 18, 2018, in Los Angeles County, 20 within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant 21 22 VER willfully made and subscribed, and filed and caused to be filed 23 with the IRS, a false 2017 U.S. Nonresident Alien Income Tax Return (Form 1040NR), which was verified by a written declaration that it 24 25 was made under penalties of perjury and which defendant VER did not 26 believe to be true and correct as to every material matter. The Form 27 1040NR was not true and correct as follows:

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

on Line 61, total tax, defendant VER falsely reported 1 a. 2 \$583,281, whereas he then knew that his total tax was substantially 3 more than this amount because it did not include any tax from the distributions of bitcoins from MemoryDealers and Agilestar he 4 5 received that year; and 6 b. on Line 75, Amount you owe, defendant VER falsely 7 reported \$333,025, whereas defendant VER then knew that he owed 8 substantially more than this amount. 9 A TRUE BILL 10 11 /s/ Foreperson 12 13 E. MARTIN ESTRADA United States Attorney 14 15 16 MACK E. JENKINS Assistant United States Attorney 17 Chief, Criminal Division 18 RANEE A. KATZENSTEIN Assistant United States Attorney 19 Chief, Major Frauds Section 20 MATTHEW J. KLUGE Assistant Chief, Tax Division 21 United States Department of Justice 22 PETER J. ANTHONY Trial Attorney, Tax Division 23 United States Department of Justice 24 JAMES C. HUGHES Assistant United States Attorney 25 Major Frauds Section 26 27 28