


Selected docket entries for case 24–1013

Generated: 02/05/2024 13:06:57

Filed	Document Description	Page	Docket Text
02/05/2024	 Main Document	2	[11064660] Order filed by Judges Hartz and Matheson denying Appellant's motion for injunction filed by Ms. Tina Peters. Please see order for additional information. Served on 02/05/2024. [24–1013]

FILED

United States Court of Appeals
Tenth Circuit

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

February 5, 2024

Christopher M. Wolpert
Clerk of Court

TINA PETERS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; et al.,

Defendants,

and

DANIEL P. RUBINSTEIN, in his official
capacity as District Attorney for the
Twenty-First Judicial District,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 24-1013
(D.C. No. 1:23-CV-03014-NYW-SKC)
(D. Colo.)

ORDER

Before **HARTZ** and **MATHESON**, Circuit Judges.

Appellant has filed a motion for injunction pending appeal and for expedited merits briefing. Appellee has filed a response in opposition to the injunction but does not oppose expedited merits briefing. Appellant has filed a reply.

To obtain an injunction pending appeal, Appellant must show that she is likely to succeed on the merits, that she is likely to suffer irreparable harm without an injunction, that the balance of equities tips in her favor, and that an injunction is in the public interest. *See Winter v. NRDC*, 555 U.S. 7, 20 (2008).

We have considered appellant's motion in light of these standards and we find she has not carried her burden. We therefore deny that part of appellant's motion requesting an injunction pending appeal. We deny that part of appellant's motion requesting expedited merits briefing without prejudice to renewal with a proposed briefing schedule.

Entered for the Court

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'C. Wolpert', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

CHRISTOPHER M. WOLPERT, Clerk