

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
 NEW YORK COUNTY**

**PRESENT: HON. ARTHUR F. ENGORON PART 37**

*Justice*

-----X

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, BY LETITIA  
 JAMES, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW  
 YORK,

INDEX NO. 452564/2022

Plaintiff,

- v -

DONALD J. TRUMP, DONALD TRUMP JR, ERIC TRUMP,  
 ALLEN WEISSELBERG, JEFFREY MCCONNEY, THE  
 DONALD J. TRUMP REVOCABLE TRUST, THE TRUMP  
 ORGANIZATION, INC., TRUMP ORGANIZATION LLC, DJT  
 HOLDINGS LLC, DJT HOLDINGS MANAGING MEMBER,  
 TRUMP ENDEAVOR 12 LLC, 401 NORTH WABASH  
 VENTURE LLC, TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE LLC, 40 WALL  
 STREET LLC, SEVEN SPRINGS LLC,

Defendants.

-----X

On October 3, during a break in this trial, defendant Donald Trump posted to his social media account an untrue, disparaging, and personally identifying post about my Principal Law Clerk. I spoke to defendants, both on and off the record. Off the record, I ordered Donald Trump to remove the post immediately. Approximately 10 minutes later, Donald Trump represented to me that he had taken down the offending post, and that he would not engage in similar behavior going forward. I then, on the record, imposed on all parties to this action a very limited gag order, “forbidding all parties from posting, emailing, or speaking publicly about any members of my staff,” emphasizing, quite clearly, that “personal attacks on members of my court staff are unacceptable, inappropriate, and I will not tolerate them under any circumstances.” I further made clear that “failure to abide by this directive will result in serious sanctions.”

Despite this clear order, last night I learned that the subject offending post was never removed from the website “DonaldJTrump.com,” and, in fact, had been on that website for the past 17 days. I understand it was removed late last night, but only in response to an email from this Court.

Today, in open Court, counsel for Donald Trump stated that the violation of the gag order was inadvertent and was an “unfortunate part of the process that is built into the campaign structure.” Giving defendant the benefit of the doubt, he still violated the gag order. Conners v Pallozzi, 241 AD2d 719, 719 (3d Dept 1997) (“[c]ontrary to defendants’ claim on appeal, a finding of civil contempt does not require a showing that such disobedience was willful”).

**OTHER ORDER – NON-MOTION**

Further, whether intentional or the result of mere "campaign structure" negligence, the effect of the post on its subject is unmitigated by how or why it remained on Donald Trump's website for 17 days. Moreover, a defendant may not evade liability for violating a court order by asserting that the violation was a result of the actions of one or more of the defendant's employees or agents.

In the current overheated climate, incendiary untruths can, and in some cases already have, led to serious physical harm, and worse.

Donald Trump has received ample warning from this Court as to the possible repercussions of violating the gag order. He specifically acknowledged that he understood and would abide by it. Accordingly, issuing yet another warning is no longer appropriate; this Court is way beyond the "warning" stage.

Given defendant's position that the violation was inadvertent, and given that it is a first time violation, this Court will impose a nominal fine, \$5,000, payable to the New York Lawyers' Fund for Client Protection, within ten (10) days of the date of this order.

Make no mistake: future violations, whether intentional or unintentional, will subject the violator to far more severe sanctions, which may include, but are not limited to, steeper financial penalties, holding Donald Trump in contempt of court, and possibly imprisoning him pursuant to New York Judiciary Law § 753.



ARTHUR F. ENGORON, JSC

DATE: 10/20/2023

Check One:

Case Disposed

Non-Final Disposition

Check if Appropriate:

Other (Specify \_\_\_\_\_)

\_\_\_\_\_ )