

FILED _____ LODGED _____
RECEIVED _____ COPY _____
JUL 25 2018
CLERK U S DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
BY _____ DEPUTY

~~RESTRICTED FROM~~
PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America,
Plaintiff,

v.

1. Michael Lacey
(Counts 1-70, 81, 83-84, 86, 88-92,
and 94-100)
2. James Larkin
(Counts 1-68, 80, and 87)
3. Scott Spear
(Counts 1-68, 71-78, 85, and 93)
4. John "Jed" Brunst
(Counts 1-70, 78-84, and 86-93)
5. Dan Hyer
(Counts 1-68)
6. Andrew Padilla
(Counts 1-51)
7. Joye Vaught
(Counts 1-51)

Defendants.

No. CR 18-422-PHX-SPL (BSB)

**SUPERSEDING
INDICTMENT**

- VIO: 18 U.S.C. § 371
(Conspiracy)
Count 1
- 18 U.S.C. § 1952(a)(3)(A)
(Travel Act—Facilitate Prostitution)
Counts 2-51
- 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h)
(Conspiracy to Commit Money
Laundering)
Count 52
- 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(B)(i)
(Concealment Money Laundering)
Counts 53-62
- 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(A)
(International Promotional Money
Laundering)
Counts 63-68
- 18 U.S.C. § 1957(a)
(Transactional Money Laundering)
Counts 69-99
- 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(B)(i)
(International Concealment Money
Laundering)
Count 100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C),
982(a)(1) and (b); 21 U.S.C.
§ 853(p); 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c)
(Forfeiture Allegations)

5 THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

6 **A. Introduction**

7 1. The website www.backpage.com (“Backpage”) was, until being shut down
8 by federal law enforcement authorities in April 2018, notorious for being the internet’s
9 leading source of prostitution advertisements. Backpage derived the overwhelming
10 majority of its revenue from such ads. These practices enabled Backpage to earn over \$500
11 million in prostitution-related revenue during its fourteen years of existence.

12 2. Backpage was created in 2004 by defendant MICHAEL LACEY
13 (“LACEY”), defendant JAMES LARKIN (“LARKIN”), and a third individual, C.F. From
14 2004-15, LACEY and LARKIN oversaw the website’s policies and strategic direction.
15 Additionally, LACEY and LARKIN retained significant control over the website (and
16 continued receiving tens of millions of dollars of Backpage-related distributions) after
17 purportedly selling their interests in Backpage in 2015.

18 3. Defendant SCOTT SPEAR served as the Executive Vice President of one of
19 Backpage’s parent companies and held, at times, an ownership interest in Backpage of
20 approximately 4%.

21 4. Defendant JOHN “JED” BRUNST (“BRUNST”) served as the Chief
22 Financial Officer of Backpage and several of Backpage’s parent companies and held, at
23 times, an ownership interest in Backpage of approximately 6%.

24 5. Defendant DAN HYER (“HYER”) joined Backpage’s marketing department
25 in or around 2006 and served as Backpage’s Sales and Marketing Director.

26 6. Defendant ANDREW PADILLA (“PADILLA”) served as Backpage’s
27 Operations Manager.

1 7. Defendant JOYE VAUGHT (“VAUGHT”) served as Backpage’s assistant
2 Operations Manager.

3 8. The defendants identified above are referred to at times in this Superseding
4 Indictment as the “BACKPAGE DEFENDANTS.”

5 9. As explained in detail below, the BACKPAGE DEFENDANTS were aware
6 that the vast majority of the “adult” and “escort” ads appearing on Backpage were actually
7 ads for prostitution and took steps to intentionally facilitate that illegal activity. For
8 example, during Backpage’s early years of operation, the company’s employees were
9 actually trained to—and paid bonuses for—identifying prostitutes who were posting ads
10 on rival websites, creating free ads on Backpage for them, and using the resulting Backpage
11 ads (which would only remain free for a trial period) in an attempt to secure the prostitutes’
12 future business. These affirmative content-creation efforts, which were described
13 internally as “content aggregation” or the “Dallas Plan,” were vital to Backpage’s early
14 growth and success.

15 10. Backpage also employed other business strategies that were specifically
16 intended to promote and facilitate prostitution. For example, for several years, Backpage
17 had a reciprocal link agreement with The Erotic Review (“TER”), a website that permitted
18 customers to post explicit “reviews” of their encounters with prostitutes, including
19 descriptions of prices charged for particular sex acts. Backpage paid tens of thousands of
20 dollars to TER in return for assistance in getting TER’s customer base to start using
21 Backpage.

22 11. In addition to affirmatively creating prostitution-related content and
23 intentionally soliciting prostitution-related business, Backpage also utilized a variety of
24 strategies to conceal the true nature of the ads being posted on its website. Most notably,
25 Backpage periodically used computerized filters and human “moderators” to edit the
26 wording of (or block) ads that explicitly offered sexual services in return for money. The
27 BACKPAGE DEFENDANTS admitted—in internal company documents and during
28 private meetings—that, despite these editing practices, they knew the overwhelming

1 majority of the website's ads still involved prostitution. In one internal document, LACEY
2 actually bragged about the company's contributions to the prostitution industry:
3 "Backpage is part of the solution. Eliminating adult advertising will in no way eliminate
4 or even reduce the incidence of prostitution in this country. . . . For the very first time, the
5 oldest profession in the world has transparency, record keeping and safeguards."

6 12. Notwithstanding these private admissions, the BACKPAGE
7 DEFENDANTS took pains to mislead the public, regulators, and law enforcement officials
8 concerning the supposed sincerity of Backpage's efforts to prevent the publication of
9 prostitution-related ads. For example, after reviewing LACEY's written description of
10 Backpage's contributions to the prostitution industry and editing practices, LARKIN
11 instructed C.F. to prevent "any of the information in this being made public." PADILLA,
12 who helped supervise Backpage's moderators, threatened to fire any employee who
13 acknowledged in writing that the "escorts" depicted in the website's ads were actually
14 prostitutes: "Leaving notes . . . implying that we're aware of prostitution . . . is enough to
15 lose your job over." And in one internal document, Backpage's media strategy was
16 described simply as "Do not acknowledge the prostitution."

17 13. Many of the ads published on Backpage depicted children who were victims
18 of sex trafficking. Once again, although Backpage sought to create the perception that it
19 was diligently attempting to prevent the publication of such ads, the reality is that Backpage
20 allowed such ads to be published while declining—for financial reasons—to take necessary
21 steps to address the problem. For example, for several years, Backpage's official policy,
22 when presented with an ad featuring the prostitution of a child, was to delete the particular
23 words in the ad denoting the child's age and then publish a revised version of the ad. Such
24 editing, of course, did nothing to change the fact the ad featured the prostitution of a child—
25 it only created a veneer of deniability and helped Backpage's customers (*i.e.*, pimps
26 trafficking children) evade detection. Similarly, in April 2011, several BACKPAGE
27 DEFENDANTS were warned that the term "New In Town" was a coded phrase used by
28 "pimps who shuttle children to locations where they do not know anyone and cannot get

1 hclp.” Nevertheless, Backpage continued for the next seven years to permit ads using the
2 phrase “New In Town” to be published on its website.

3 14. Backpage also contributed to the proliferation of ads featuring the
4 prostitution of children in other ways. For example, an anti-sex trafficking organization
5 once suggested that Backpage provide an automatic warning message whenever a customer
6 searched for particular terms indicative of the prostitution of a child. In response, C.F.
7 acknowledged the proposal was a good one but declined to adopt it because Backpage
8 would not derive any public-relations benefit from doing so: “This is a good idea but it is
9 not visible to AGs [state attorneys general] so it has little PR value. It is a low priority.”
10 Backpage also claimed it did everything in its power to alert the National Center for
11 Missing and Exploited Children (“NCMEC”) whenever it became aware that a child was
12 being advertised on its website. However, the BACKPAGE DEFENDANTS implemented
13 policies to artificially limit such referrals. In one training document, moderators were
14 instructed not to send emergency alerts to NCMEC in response to complaints filed by the
15 grandparents and other extended family members of children being advertised on the
16 website: “Neice [sic], nephew, grandchild, cousin, etc. doesn’t count.”

17 15. Virtually every dollar flowing into Backpage’s coffers represented the
18 proceeds of illegal activity. In fact, by 2015, the major credit card companies stopped
19 processing payments for Backpage and some banks closed Backpage’s accounts out of
20 concern they were being used for illegal purposes. In response, the BACKPAGE
21 DEFENDANTS pursued an array of money laundering strategies. These strategies
22 included (a) instructing customers to send checks and money orders to a particular Post
23 Office box, depositing those payments in bank accounts held in the name of entities with
24 no apparent connection to Backpage, and then giving customers a corresponding “credit”
25 on Backpage to purchase new ads, (b) wiring the proceeds of Backpage’s business to bank
26 accounts held in foreign countries and then redistributing the funds to certain BACKPAGE
27 DEFENDANTS (as compensation) or redepositing the funds in bank accounts held in the
28 United States (to conceal the nature of those funds and promote Backpage’s ongoing

1 operations), and (c) converting customer payments, and the proceeds of Backpage's
2 business, into cryptocurrency.

3 16. The BACKPAGE DEFENDANTS also engaged in other financial
4 transactions designed to conceal their misconduct and evade seizure by law enforcement.
5 For example, in July 2016, LACEY wrote an email seeking assistance in "put[ting] some
6 assets in place[s] where . . . government parties . . . can not access my accounts." A few
7 months later, LACEY asked employees of an Arizona-based bank for advice on how to
8 move his assets "offshore" to protect them from seizure by the government. Soon
9 afterward, \$16.5 million in Backpage-derived cash was wired from LACEY's bank
10 accounts in the United States to an overseas bank account in Hungary. At the time of the
11 Hungarian transfer, LACEY was facing criminal charges in California state court and was
12 aware of the federal grand jury investigation in this matter.

13 17. For all of these reasons, the BACKPAGE DEFENDANTS are charged in this
14 superseding indictment with the crimes of facilitating prostitution (18 U.S.C. § 1952),
15 concealment, transactional, international promotional, and international concealment
16 money laundering (18 U.S.C. §§ 1956 and 1957), and/or conspiracy to commit these
17 offenses (18 U.S.C. § 371 and 1956).

18 **B. Backpage's Origins, Ownership, and Control**

19 18. LACEY and LARKIN are the founders of the *Phoenix New Times*, an
20 alternative newspaper based in Arizona. Over time, LACEY and LARKIN acquired
21 several other alternative newspapers, which they came to operate through entities called
22 New Times Media and Village Voice Media Holdings (referred to collectively for ease of
23 reference as "VVMH"). Additionally, SPEAR served as VVMH's Executive Vice
24 President and BRUNST served as VVMH's Chief Financial Officer.

25 19. The publications within the VVMH newspaper chain routinely featured
26 illegal prostitution ads. In fact, more than 30 years ago, a federal court affirmed the
27 conviction of the operator of a prostitution business (which masqueraded as a massage
28 parlor) for publishing ads in the classified section of the *Village Voice*. See *United States*

1 v. *Sigalow*, 812 F.2d 783 (2d Cir. 1987). The conviction was for violating 18 U.S.C.
2 § 1952, one of the same crimes charged in this Superseding Indictment.

3 20. By 2000, the rise of the internet—and, in particular, the website
4 www.craigslist.com (“Craigslist”), which offered free classified ads—began to
5 significantly disrupt VVMH’s business model, which depended on classified advertising
6 revenue for survival.

7 21. LACEY and LARKIN, with assistance from C.F., sought to address this
8 threat by creating Backpage. Their decision to create Backpage was later described in an
9 internal company document as follows: “In 2004, in response to the Craigslist threat that
10 was decimating daily newspapers, VVM launched its own online classified site,
11 Backpage.com, named after the back page of VVM’s print publication.”

12 22. During its first few years of operation, Backpage accounted for only a
13 fraction of VVMH’s overall revenue. In January 2006, for example, VVMH estimated that
14 Backpage supplied only 1% of its overall advertising revenue but also noted that Backpage
15 had “tremendous upside potential.”

16 23. This prediction proved prophetic. By 2008, Backpage was generating over
17 \$5 million in annual profit. This annual profit figure increased to over \$10 million in 2009.

18 24. In 2010, Craigslist chose to shut down its “adult” section due to the prevalence
19 of ads for prostitution and other illegal services. The BACKPAGE DEFENDANTS,
20 sensing an opportunity, made an aggressive push for Backpage to capture Craigslist’s share
21 of this market. In one internal document, LARKIN commented: “Craigslist has folded
22 It is possible that this will mean a deluge of adult content ads for backpage.com
23 We have with the Village Voice probably the longest run of adult content advertising in
24 the US and it is, like it or not, in our DNA.”

25 25. This push was successful. In internal documents, Backpage stated that it
26 experienced “explosive growth” by “capitalizing on displaced Craigslist ad volume.”
27 Backpage’s annual profits grew to over \$26 million in 2010, over \$52 million in 2011, and
28 over \$78 million in 2012.

1 26. These figures dwarfed the profits that VVMH's print publications were
2 generating. In fact, Backpage became so profitable that the BACKPAGE DEFENDANTS
3 decided to get rid of VVMH's publishing business so they could focus on Backpage's
4 further development and expansion. Accordingly, in or around November 2012, the
5 BACKPAGE DEFENDANTS spun off VVMH's print publications and began utilizing
6 several new corporate entities, including Medalist Holdings, Inc. ("Medalist"), Dartmoor
7 Holdings LLC ("Dartmoor"), and Camarillo Holdings, LLC ("Camarillo"), to serve as
8 Backpage's parent companies.

9 27. Following these transactions, LACEY held an ownership interest in Medalist
10 (and, therefore, in Backpage) of approximately 45%, LARKIN held an ownership interest
11 of approximately 43%, BRUNST held an ownership interest of approximately 6%, and
12 SPEAR held an ownership interest of approximately 4%.

13 28. Backpage's annual profits continued to skyrocket during and after these
14 changes. They grew to over \$112 million in 2013 and over \$134 million in 2014.

15 29. In or around April 2015, LACEY, LARKIN, SPEAR, and BRUNST
16 purported to sell their ownership interests in Backpage and several related entities for
17 around \$600 million to various Dutch entities. These Dutch entities included Atlantische
18 Bedrijven, C.V., which agreed to purchase Backpage's U.S. operations for around \$526
19 million, and UGC Tech Group C.V., which agreed to purchase Backpage's overseas
20 operations for around \$77 million.

21 30. In fact, these Dutch entities were controlled by C.F., who simply "borrowed"
22 most of the \$600 million from entities controlled by the sellers to finance the purchase.
23 Due to this financial arrangement, LACEY, LARKIN, SPEAR, and BRUNST retained a
24 significant financial interest in Backpage after the transactions were completed.

25 31. LACEY, LARKIN, SPEAR, and BRUNST also retained significant
26 operational control over Backpage following these transactions. For example, the April
27 2015 loan agreement required C.F. to sign a six-year employment agreement, required C.F.
28 to provide the lenders with full access to Backpage's books and records, required C.F. to

1 provide the lenders with an annual listing of all of C.F.'s personal assets, and prohibited
2 C.F. from opening new bank accounts on Backpage's behalf without the lenders' consent.

3 32. LARKIN and others also met regularly with C.F. following the April 2015
4 transaction to discuss and direct the operation of Backpage's business, often taking steps
5 (such as avoiding the use of email and holding the meetings in the presence of attorneys)
6 intended to conceal their continued involvement in, and control over, the company.
7 Through these mechanisms, LARKIN and others exerted post-April 2015 control over
8 Backpage's banking relationships, the migration of some of Backpage's operations to the
9 Philippines, the management of Backpage's counsel, Backpage's acceptance of gift cards,
10 Backpage's response to a Senate investigation, and Backpage's licensing agreements.
11 Additionally, LARKIN and others took steps in 2018 to attempt to sell the company to a
12 new buyer.

13 **C. Knowledge And Facilitation Of Prostitution**

14 33. Prostitution is illegal in 49 states and in most of Nevada. Advertisements for
15 prostitution services in those locations are, therefore, not protected by the First
16 Amendment.

17 34. As explained below, the BACKPAGE DEFENDANTS were aware that the
18 overwhelming majority of the website's "adult" and "escort" ads were actually ads for
19 prostitution and took a variety of steps to intentionally facilitate that illegal activity. These
20 steps evolved over time and included, but were not limited to, creating free ads for
21 prostitutes in an attempt to secure future advertising revenues from them (*i.e.*, "content
22 aggregation"), entering into formal business arrangements with known prostitution
23 services and websites in an attempt to increase the volume of prostitution advertisements
24 being posted on Backpage (*i.e.*, reciprocal link and affiliate programs), and sanitizing ads
25 by editing them—specifically, removing terms and pictures that were particularly
26 indicative of prostitution and then publishing a revised version of the ad (*i.e.*,
27 "moderation").
28

1 i. Aggregation

2 35. On or about April 10, 2007, SPEAR sent an email to HYER and C.F.
3 concerning HYER's aggregation efforts in Dallas. SPEAR encouraged HYER and C.F. to
4 create a "blueprint" for "moving that process to other cities."

5 36. Included as an attachment to this email was a document entitled "Dallas
6 success in other markets." This document described Backpage's aggregation process in
7 extensive detail. Among other things, it explained how Backpage employees would
8 "secure content" by running Google searches to identify prostitutes advertising on other
9 websites, then "Call clients. Ask them if they have tried backpage.com. If not, post a free
10 ad with an auto repost for free for six weeks." The document further stated: "Dan Hyer to
11 direct and manage this process for all the cities. [C.F.] to consult and supervise."

12 37. Also included, as another attachment to this email, was a document entitled
13 "Class Director Steps to grow Backpage.com visits and revenue." Under the heading
14 "Aggregating Content," it explained: "For the past 6 months we have uploaded 25 adult
15 . . . leads each week. Leads come from Adult websites, the Gay publication here in Dallas,
16 and the daily paper."

17 38. On or about June 15, 2007, several Backpage principals, including SPEAR,
18 HYER, and C.F., met in Oregon to discuss various Backpage-related developments. The
19 agenda for this meeting stated that HYER would be presenting on the topic "How Dallas
20 grew to \$40K+ per month" and that this revenue growth was driven in part by creating
21 "adult content online for free" by posting "20 free adult ads per week for prospects on other
22 sites with a 6 week auto repost for free."

23 39. On July 18, 2007, HYER sent an email that, among other things, summarized
24 Backpage's "Aggregation" efforts. It stated that Backpage's employees were responsible
25 for "Aggregat[ing] new adult content from other sites."

26 40. On or about July 20, 2007, several Backpage principals, including LARKIN,
27 SPEAR, and C.F., met to discuss various Backpage-related developments. The agenda for
28 this meeting included a "Dallas aggregation update."

1 41. On or about August 20, 2007, SPEAR sent an email to LARKIN, C.F., and
2 another Backpage principal. Enclosed with that email was an agenda for a meeting to be
3 held later that day. The agenda provided for another “Dallas aggregation update” and
4 stated that Backpage employees were “Wrapping up [aggregation] in SF, Nashville, KC
5 and OC.”

6 42. On or about December 18, 2007, C.F. provided a document entitled
7 “Backpage strategic plan” to LARKIN, SPEAR, and BRUNST. Among other things, it
8 stated that Backpage intended to expand the Dallas aggregation efforts to other cities:
9 “Create additional Dallas adult revenue models in LA and NY.” This document also
10 contained a detailed summary of how the aggregation process worked (“Here’s the detail
11 on what the backpage staff does to create Dallas like results”), which included “Set up free
12 user posting (250 to 500 postings per market).”

13 43. In November 2008, an internal company email was sent to LARKIN, C.F.,
14 and others stating that Backpage had just experienced its “best month ever” in terms of
15 revenue. In response, C.F. sent a series of emails explaining that nearly all of the revenue
16 growth was due to HYER’s aggregation efforts in Dallas: “btw: the revenue wasn;t [sic]
17 that great except for dallas. . . . Dallas sure gave us a nice bump. Hyer’s done an awesome
18 job with his marketing crew.”

19 44. On or about October 25, 2013, C.F. sent an email to SPEAR summarizing
20 his “to do list” for Backpage. It included a plan to hire “Content creators” in the
21 Netherlands to create free ads on Backpage for potential customers who were posting on
22 “competing sites.”

23 **ii. Reciprocal Link And Affiliate Programs**

24 45. On July 2, 2007, C.F. sent an email to SPEAR entitled “The erotic review.”
25 In this email, C.F. explained that Backpage received “2 million page views, 150,000 visits
26 from the erotic review per month. They bring in more referrals than the Village Voice.”
27 C.F. also sought permission from SPEAR to initiate a business arrangement with TER
28 under which the two companies would post reciprocal ads on each other’s website.

1 46. On July 16, 2007, HYER sent an email to SPEAR and C.F. summarizing
2 various criticisms of a particular Backpage employee. Among other thing, the email chain
3 stated that the employee was deleting adult ads too quickly, which “kills the sites seo
4 [search engine optimization] as in no traffic from TheEroticReview.com. . . . Why care?
5 Without TheEroticReview traffic you might as well kiss any growth in [that city] good
6 bye.” On August 31, 2007, C.F. sent a follow-up email to HYER concerning this employee
7 that explained: “We have a deal with the TER. It’s a reciprocal link program where we
8 get an additional million page views from TER. . . . The TER deal is very big for us. The
9 internet makes strange bed fellows.”

10 47. On or about July 20, 2007, several Backpage principals, including LARKIN,
11 SPEAR, and C.F., met to discuss various Backpage-related developments. The agenda for
12 this meeting stated that Backpage intended to “Trade with TER” in order to “increase traffic
13 in adult.”

14 48. On or about August 20, 2007, several Backpage principals, including
15 LARKIN, SPEAR, and C.F., met to discuss various Backpage-related developments. The
16 agenda for this meeting provided an update on the previous efforts to create a business
17 partnership with The Erotic Review, stating: “Trade with TER -- complete.”

18 49. On or about December 18, 2007, C.F. provided a document entitled
19 “Backpage strategic plan” to LARKIN, SPEAR, and BRUNST. Among other things, it
20 stated that Backpage intended to “look for more relationships like TER to drive traffic”
21 and to “Expand relationship with TER.” The document also stated that, when seeking to
22 expand Backpage’s business in particular cities, Backpage employees would “Set up 100
23 fresh TER reciprocal links to the specific city” and that a key to Backpage’s growth was
24 “Drive traffic via reciprocal links Example of PR [public relations] is the posting in
25 the forums in TER talking about us.”

26 50. On or about December 20, 2007, C.F. provided a document entitled “2008
27 Budget and Business Plan for Backpage.com” to LARKIN, SPEAR, and potentially others.
28 Among other things, it explained: “In terms of marketing, we struck a deal with

1 TheEroticReview (TER) with reciprocal links. It created huge brand awareness in this
2 niche industry and increased page views from TER by 120,000 per day.”

3 51. On or about December 14, 2008, C.F. provided SPEAR with a document
4 discussing Backpage’s budget. Among other things, it noted that Backpage was paying
5 \$4,000 per month (*i.e.*, nearly \$50,000 per year) to place advertisements on The Erotic
6 Review (“4k- banner ad on ter”). The document further noted that “We have made a special
7 buy with TER in terms of high quality referrals.”

8 52. On or about December 17, 2008, C.F. distributed, to other Backpage
9 principals, a document entitled “How We Are Going To Get There In 2009.” Among other
10 things, this document identified Backpage’s top marketing strategy as “TER- high page
11 view per referral” and stated “We have made a special buy with TER in terms of high
12 qualify referrals.”

13 53. On May 7, 2009, HYER and C.F. exchanged emails concerning how to
14 improve Backpage’s business relationship with TER, with C.F. commenting: “I think we
15 need to move some staff on this project and make one more play to get to 40,000 referrals
16 per day.”

17 54. On May 11, 2009, LARKIN forwarded a news article to SPEAR and C.F.
18 that described a recent “prostitution bust” in Phoenix in which 43 people were “indicted on
19 charges of having roles in a prostitution syndicate.” The article further noted that some of
20 the defendants had provided reviews on TER, which was described in the article as a
21 “prostitution website.” In the subject line of the email, LARKIN summarized the article’s
22 contents as follows: “johns accused of favorably rating escorts on Erotic Review in
23 exchange for discounts.”

24 55. Between around June 10, 2009, and June 19, 2009, HYER and C.F.
25 exchanged additional emails concerning how to improve Backpage’s business relationship
26 with TER. In one email, C.F. stated: “I need help creating a wish list to discuss with the
27 TER people. . . . We can get to 40k in referrals by 2010.”

28 56. On or about November 1, 2011, C.F. met with LARKIN and SPEAR to

1 discuss Backpage-related developments. The agenda for this meeting noted that Backpage
2 was still obtaining “very strong referral traffic” based on its “Reciprocal link relationships”
3 and stated that Backpage was considering a “move offshore” because such a move would
4 “Minimize restrictions” and “Allow more skin.”

5 57. The BACKPAGE DEFENDANTS were routinely forwarded and/or briefed
6 about “Google Analytics” reports, which provided a monthly snapshot of which other
7 websites were referring users to Backpage. For example, on July 27, 2012, LARKIN sent
8 an email to SPEAR, BRUNST, and C.F. stating: “[C.F], Jed, and Scott: These are the
9 topics I would like to cover in our discussion 10:00 am Wednesday in Phoenix. . . .
10 [A]nalytics” On December 19, 2012, C.F. sent an email to LARKIN, SPEAR, and
11 BRUNST that included, as attachments, various Google Analytics reports. And on March
12 4, 2014, LARKIN, SPEAR, BRUNST, HYER, and C.F. received an email from another
13 executive stating: “I have attached 2 items. An agenda for tomorrow and an excerpt from
14 Google Analytics to show a comparison of January and February.”

15 58. These Google Analytics reports confirmed that TER was playing a crucial
16 role in driving traffic to the Backpage website. For example, the monthly report for January
17 2009 stated that TER was the #1 outside source of referrals and was responsible for over
18 half a million visits that month. The daily report for March 22, 2010 showed that TER was
19 responsible for referring more than 40,000 daily visits to Backpage—more than six times
20 as many as the next-biggest referral source. The daily report for February 1, 2012, again
21 showed that TER was responsible for referring more than 40,000 daily visits to
22 Backpage—more than three times as many as the next-biggest referral source. The
23 monthly report for August 2013 showed that TER was responsible for nearly 1 million
24 visits each month. And the monthly report for November 2014 showed that, once again,
25 TER was the #1 source of non-search engine referrals.

26 59. In addition to pursuing a formal partnership with TER, Backpage also formed
27 “affiliate” partnerships with other organizations and individuals who were known to be
28 involved in the prostitution industry. One such individual, known as “Dollar Bill,” earned

1 fees in return for arranging for prostitutes and pimps to post ads on Backpage.

2 60. On March 5, 2010, PADILLA received an email from a co-worker that
3 described Dollar Bill as a “super affiliate” and sought PADILLA’s assistance in restoring
4 two of Dollar Bill’s ads that had been deleted from Backpage. In response, PADILLA
5 stated “i’m working . . . on it now” and forwarded the email chain to C.F.

6 61. On or around March 18, 2010, Backpage deleted over 4,000 ads that had been
7 presented by Dollar Bill. After Dollar Bill called to complain, PADILLA sent an email to
8 Backpage’s computer programmers stating that “4200 ads need to be restored” and
9 explaining that “[t]his is one of our largest adult accounts and the restoration of these ads
10 is a high priority for us.”

11 62. On April 15, 2010, Dollar Bill sent an email to C.F. proposing the creation
12 of a new website called “Dollar Bills Escort Roundup” that would “discuss[] issues in the
13 escort business and give[] insider info from an Editor who knows the biz from both sides
14 via my unique relationship with the girls.” The email further stated that the proposed new
15 website “could sell ads in local areas just like best gfe does.”

16 63. On October 24, 2010, Dollar Bill sent an email to C.F. requesting a reduction
17 in the rates that Backpage was him charging for ads. Dollar Bill argued he was entitled to
18 such a reduction because “I’ve championed Backpage in print and with the girls in the past”
19 and because “I don’t feel there should be a statute of limitations on residual benefits
20 Backpage gives me for my previous contributions which by your own admission were
21 consideration. . . . [W]hy would you want to raise my rate when I was so instrumental in
22 building New York, where you’re making a bloody fortune?”

23 64. On October 25, 2010, Dollar Bill sent an email to C.F. complaining about
24 Backpage’s decision to refuse one of his ads even though “IT NEVER SAID GFE” and
25 noting that other, even more obvious prostitution ads had been published despite “saying
26 GFE.”

27 65. On December 29, 2010, HYER sent an email to SPEAR and C.F. entitled
28 “Sales & Marketing 2011 Working Agenda.” One of the agenda items was “[Dollar] Bill

1 . . . rate increase.”

2 66. On February 26, 2011, Dollar Bill wrote an email to C.F. complaining that
3 the nude pictures had been stripped out of one of his ads (which was entitled “real-crazy-
4 party-asian-girl-23”). In response, C.F. advised Dollar Bill that “[t]his user sneaking in
5 nudes may need to be set for forced moderation” and then forwarded the email chain to
6 HYER, who adjusted Backpage’s filter accordingly.

7 67. On December 21, 2011, Dollar Bill wrote an email to C.F. discussing
8 whether Backpage would publish 15 ads depicting a particular “girl” who “makes about 10
9 grand a week . . . owns 4 apartments . . . and a store! . . . [A] millionaire several times over
10 at age 28. And all from being an escort!” In response, C.F. stated that the enclosed picture
11 was “a little too nude for us” and provided advice to Dollar Bill on how to wordsmith ads
12 so they wouldn’t be rejected by Backpage’s moderators.

13 **iii. Moderation And Notice**

14 68. In April 2008, C.F. wrote an email explaining that, although he was “under
15 pressure to clean up phoenix’s adult content,” he was unwilling to delete prostitution ads
16 because doing so “would put us in a very uncompetitive position with craig[slist]” and
17 result in “lost pageviews and revenue.” Thus, he instructed Backpage’s technical staff to
18 edit the wording of such ads, by removing particular terms that were indicative of
19 prostitution, and then allow the remainder of the ad to be featured on Backpage’s website.

20 69. On February 26, 2009, C.F. received an email asking why Backpage’s terms
21 of service purported to prevent customers from “suggest[ing] an exchange of sexual favors
22 for money” in light of the fact that “[c]learly everyone on the entire backpage network
23 breaks the rules.” In response, C.F. didn’t dispute the author’s characterization and
24 explained that Backpage had simply added the terms of service at the behest of “our
25 attorney in SF” in an attempt to avoid liability in civil lawsuits.

26 70. On May 25, 2009, SPEAR received an email summarizing a plan to begin
27 “remov[ing] sex act pics and coded terms” from Backpage ads. Later that day, C.F.
28 forwarded this email to HYER with the explanatory note that “We do not intend to be a

1 craig[slist] here, just get out the most egregious stuff.”

2 71. On March 8, 2010, C.F. testified in federal court (the United States District
3 Court for the Southern District of Florida) in the criminal trial of a pimp who had used
4 Backpage to post prostitution ads. During his testimony, C.F. acknowledged the defendant
5 had used the email address “Youngpimpin86” when posting the ads. C.F. also
6 acknowledged that the ads described one so-called escort as “five-foot-three, with a small
7 waist and amazing ass you'll have to see to believe. XL, XL, XL, Lollipop” and described
8 a different so-called escort as “discrete, sincere and extremely naughty. I am the type of
9 girl who absolutely adores a man who understands the many desires of a young beautiful
10 woman and how to accommodate a variety of fantasies.” This episode provided notice to
11 Backpage that it was implausible to pretend such ads were merely offering lawful escort
12 services.

13 72. On September 1, 2010, PADILLA sent an email to HYER and C.F. stating
14 that customers who engaged in “extreme and repeat” violations of Backpage’s posting rules
15 would have their ads deleted and be banned from the website. However, PADILLA also
16 stated the bans would only be temporary and that “we’ll do everything we can to affect
17 only the worst apples.”

18 73. On September 1, 2010, SPEAR received an email acknowledging that
19 Backpage’s moderators were being instructed to “Remove any sex act pics in escorts [ads]”
20 and “Remove any illegal text in escorts [ads] to include any code words for sex act for
21 money.”

22 74. On September 21, 2010, a group of state attorneys general wrote a letter to
23 Backpage. This letter observed that “ads for prostitution—including ads trafficking
24 children—are rampant on the site” and argued that “[b]ecause Backpage cannot, or will
25 not, adequately screen these ads, it should stop accepting them altogether.” The letter
26 acknowledged that this step would cause Backpage to “lose the considerable revenue
27 generated by the adult services ads” but stated that “no amount of money can justify the
28 scourge of illegal prostitution, and the misery of the women and children who will continu

1 to be victimized, in the marketplace provided by backpage.”

2 75. On September 25, 2010, C.F. wrote an email explaining that Backpage was
3 unwilling to delete ads that included terms indicative of prostitution because doing so
4 would “piss[] off a lot of users who will migrate elsewhere” and force Backpage to refund
5 those customers’ fees. Thus, C.F. announced that Backpage would “go back to having our
6 moderators remove bad content in a post”

7 76. On September 30, 2010, C.F. testified in federal court (the United States
8 District Court for the District of Minnesota) in the criminal trial of a pimp who had used
9 Backpage to post prostitution ads. During his testimony, C.F. acknowledged that
10 Backpage’s servers are located in Arizona and that the ads posted by the Minnesota-based
11 defendant had therefore “traveled across state lines.”

12 77. On October 8, 2010, PADILLA sent an email (on which VAUGHT was cc’d)
13 threatening to fire any Backpage employee who acknowledged, in writing, that a customer
14 was a prostitute: “Leaving notes on our site that imply that we’re aware of prostitution, or
15 in any position to define it, is enough to lose your job over. . . . This isn’t open for
16 discussion. If you don’t agree with what I’m saying completely, you need to find another
17 job.”

18 78. On October 16, 2010, PADILLA sent an email to a large group of Backpage
19 employees (including HYER and VAUGHT). The email had two attachments that
20 provided guidance on how to “moderate” ads. The first was a Powerpoint presentation that
21 displayed a series of 38 nude and partially-nude photographs, some of which depicted
22 graphic sex acts. Next to each picture was an instruction as to whether it should be
23 approved or disapproved by a Backpage moderator. These instructions included “Approve.
24 Nude rear shots are okay as long the model is not exposing her anus or genitalia.” and
25 “Approve. Rear shot okay. Transparent wet panties okay.” The second was an Excel
26 spreadsheet identifying 50 terms (all of which were indicative of prostitution) that should
27 be “stripped” from ads before publication. PADILLA concluded the email by stating:
28 “[I]t’s the language in ads that’s really killing us with the Attorneys General. Images are

1 almost an afterthought to them.”

2 79. On October 16, 2010, PADILLA sent a separate internal email (which also
3 included HYER and VAUGHT as recipients). In this email, PADILLA explained that “I’d
4 like to still avoid Deleting ads when possible,” that “we’re still allowing phrases with
5 nuance,” and that “[i]n the case of lesser violations, editing should be sufficient.”

6 80. On October 21, 2010, C.F. sent an email to PADILLA stating: “Maybe
7 lighten up on the images moderation. Spear tells me it’s the text that is the problem.” In
8 response, PADILLA wrote: “[T]hat’s the training i’ve given the staff.”

9 81. On October 25, 2010, C.F. sent an email to SPEAR, HYER, and PADILLA
10 acknowledging that the “[i]llegal content removed” through Backpage’s moderation
11 processes was “usually money for sex act.” This email also explained that, after the “sex
12 act pics are removed,” the “ad text may stay.”

13 82. On October 26, 2010, HYER and PADILLA received an email explaining:
14 “We will not remove ads with vaginas or penis showing, just the images unless they are a
15 frequent offender. We will not remove ads with rates under an hour, just the text with the
16 minimum rates. Users need time to react to this change.”

17 83. On October 27, 2010, PADILLA sent an email to the head of a group of
18 contractors from India who had been hired to moderate Backpage’s adult ads. In this email,
19 PADILLA criticized the contractors for deleting too many ads, stated that this approach
20 was bad for business, and instructed the contractors to simply edit the ads to remove the
21 more-obvious language: “As long as your crew is editing and not removing the ad entirely,
22 we shouldn’t upset too many users. Your crew has permission to edit out text violations
23 and images and then approve the ad.”

24 84. On November 4, 2010, C.F. sent an email to Backpage’s India-based
25 moderators (on which PADILLA was cc’d) explaining that “[m]any of the ads need to have
26 15 minute and 30 minute pricing removed” and that “I’m being evaluated by lawyers [*i.e.*,
27 state attorneys general] later this week so cleaning up old stuff is important.”

28 85. On November 17, 2010, HYER and PADILLA received an email

1 acknowledging that the term Lolita is “code for under aged girl” but explaining that this
2 term could simply be stripped out from ads (as opposed to refusing to publish the ad). The
3 email also explained that customers should be allowed to include their identification
4 numbers from The Erotic Review: “[A]llow users to put in TER IDs (just no live links).”

5 86. On November 30, 2010, LARKIN, SPEAR, and other Backpage
6 representatives participated in a conference call with representatives from NCMEC.
7 During this call, the Backpage representatives were advised that a large portion of the ads
8 on Backpage were blatant prostitution ads, that many of those ads featured children, and
9 that the posting of such ads was illegal in every state.

10 87. In December 2010, HYER, PADILLA, and others exchanged a series of
11 emails entitled “Deep cleaning strip out.” These emails identified a lengthy list of terms
12 that were indicative of prostitution and discussed plans for removing the terms from the
13 old ads in Backpage’s archives. During this exchange, C.F. stated that Backpage wasn’t
14 willing to delete the old prostitution ads because “our users love” having access them,
15 “[s]o, best to do deep cleaning and not kill a valuable feature.” C.F. later encouraged
16 Backpage’s staff to complete the project quickly to avoid scrutiny: “This task is urgent
17 since CNN is runing [sic] a report soon.”

18 88. On January 13, 2011, HYER and PADILLA received an email summarizing
19 instructions that had been provided to members of Backpage’s technical staff. It explained
20 that the technical staff had been instructed “not to display the moderation log” in a
21 particular section of Backpage’s database “since we pdf this page for subpoenas. I would
22 rather not testify in court as to why my staff ‘approved’ . . . postings.”

23 89. In January 2011, LARKIN and LACEY met with a representative from
24 NCMEC. During this meeting, LACEY asked which types of sex ads would be acceptable
25 from NCMEC’s perspective. When the NCMEC representative declined to say that any
26 such ads would be acceptable, LACEY made a statement to the effect of “adult prostitution
27 is none of your business.”

28 90. On January 31, 2011, and February 1, 2011, C.F. engaged in an email

1 exchange concerning whether to remove links to other prostitution websites (such as TER)
2 from expired Backpage ads. C.F. stated that, although SPEAR and his “internet safety
3 guy” were recommending that such ads be removed, he thought this would “be a stupid
4 move” because it would hurt Backpage financially (by reducing the number of referrals
5 from other sites). C.F. added that “this overly zealous focus on moderation at the expense
6 of other development is a lot of bullshit”

7 91. On February 3, 2011, a Backpage customer who went by the name “Licks
8 Alot” wrote an email to Backpage complaining that all of the pictures in one of her ads
9 (entitled “Athletic SWF Guaranteed Low Mileage Boys!!!”) had been deleted. C.F.
10 responded to “Licks Alot” by explaining that one of her photos had been removed because
11 “[o]ur crazy internet safety experts do not want any genitalia showing up around the
12 thong.” However, C.F. proceeded to apologize to “Licks Alot” over the removal of her
13 remaining photos, allowed her ad (which was obviously for prostitution) to remain on the
14 website, and offered her a free upgrade.

15 92. On February 8, 2011, C.F. testified in federal court (the United States District
16 Court for the Middle District of Florida) in the criminal trial of a pimp who had used
17 Backpage to post prostitution ads. During his testimony, C.F. authenticated one of the ads
18 the defendant had placed on Backpage, whose title was “Extra horny sexy newbie,”
19 confirmed that Backpage had allowed this ad to be posted multiple times in various East
20 Coast cities, and acknowledged that Backpage published “a lot” of similar ads. This
21 episode provided further notice to Backpage that it was implausible to pretend such ads
22 were merely offering lawful escort services.

23 93. On February 16, 2011, PADILLA sent an email to Backpage’s India-based
24 moderators (on which VAUGHT was cc’d) explaining that Backpage was adopting a
25 “more lenient policy” and that he was instructing his Phoenix-based employees to “go easy
26 on some types of violations.” PADILLA acknowledged this approach would “likely” result
27 in more “violations” but emphasized that “moderators should err on the side of the user.”

28 94. On February 16, 2011, PADILLA sent a separate email discussing whether

1 several terms should remain on Backpage's "filtered terms" list. During this discussion,
2 PADILLA acknowledged—by placing quote marks around the term "companionship"—
3 that he didn't actually believe the women being advertised on Backpage were providing
4 lawful escort services: "[The term] implies some exchange of bodily fluids which kills our
5 'companionship' argument, but i don't think we've ever really gotten in trouble for it."

6 95. On February 22, 2011, PADILLA received an email requesting Backpage's
7 "list of banned, stripped out adult terms." In response, PADILLA sent an Excel
8 spreadsheet entitled "Phrase List 02211," which PADILLA described as "the latest greatest
9 version of the list." The enclosed spreadsheet identified over 660 words or phrases that are
10 indicative of prostitution, including an array of terms that are suggestive of child
11 prostitution (*e.g.*, "lolita," "fresh," "high school," "tight," "young"). The spreadsheet
12 explained that most such terms were simply to be "filtered" from the ads in which they
13 appeared.

14 96. On February 23, 2011, PADILLA received an email concerning a particular
15 ad that had been edited by Backpage's India-based moderators. The ad was obviously for
16 prostitution—its title was "new-new-new-put me in your favorite position" and the poster
17 had attempted to include two photographs that violated Backpage's posting rules. In
18 response, the India-based moderators had deleted both of those photos, as well as a third
19 photo that depicted the prostitute's face, and then allowed the ad to be published. The
20 email received by PADILLA did not criticize the moderators for allowing an obvious
21 prostitution ad to be published after editing. To the contrary, it emphasized that the ad
22 should remain on Backpage and criticized the moderators for removing the third photo,
23 threatening to fire them if they did it again: "2 out of 3 pics should have been removed.
24 But [the] moderator deleted all three pics. This is plain wrong I would fire a moderator
25 in Phoenix if they did this."

26 97. In March 2011, LARKIN, LACEY, SPEAR, and other Backpage
27 representatives met with representatives from NCMEC. During this meeting, the Backpage
28 representatives were again advised that a large portion of the ads on Backpage were blatant

1 prostitution ads. The Backpage representatives also were advised they could be criminally
2 prosecuted under federal law for their conduct.

3 98. On or about March 9, 2011, C.F. distributed, to other Backpage principals, a
4 document entitled “Backpage Growth Agenda.” Among other things, this document stated
5 that the company intended to renew its focus on “growth and efficiency” by “adjust[ing]
6 content rules to be more flexible” and to permit more coded terms: “Allow 30 minutes,
7 naughty, etc. Nipples.” This document also discussed plans to expand to certain countries
8 in Europe, where Backpage would explicitly “Allow nudity and sex for money text.”

9 99. On April 5, 2011, PADILLA sent an email whose recipients included
10 VAUGHT and the supervisor of Backpage’s Indian moderation team. The email was
11 entitled “relaxed image standards” and included, as an attachment, a document that
12 displayed a series of 30 nude and partially-nude photographs. Next to each picture was an
13 instruction as to whether it should be approved or disapproved by a moderator. One picture
14 showed a woman sitting on a bed, wearing only a bra and panties, with her legs spread
15 open and her hand partially covering her crotch. The caption provided in part: “okay –
16 but barely.”

17 100. On April 27, 2011, LARKIN, SPEAR, C.F., and others received an email
18 from a firm that Backpage had hired to assist with “internet safety” issues. Enclosed with
19 the email was a list of recommended “action items” for the company to pursue. One such
20 item, listed in the category of “Finding Illegal Ads,” warned that “‘New In Town’
21 terminology is often used by pimps who shuttle children to different locations where they
22 do not know anyone and cannot get help.” Another item, also listed in the category of
23 “Finding Illegal Ads,” recommended that Backpage should “[d]etermine if there is a way
24 to figure out if a [credit] card being used is prepaid; this could be one indicator (of many)
25 . . . as a potential trafficking ad.” And yet another recommendation, under the category of
26 “Finding Illegal Ads,” was to “[c]reate a way to figure out if one phone number is being
27 used on numerous different ads . . . [because] this could indicate a prostitution situation or
28 a human trafficking situation.”

1 101. Backpage disregarded all of these recommendations. After April 2011, the
2 company continued publishing ads using the phrase “New In Town,” continued accepting
3 prepaid credit cards (in fact, such cards supplied a large portion of the company’s
4 revenues), and continued allowing a person with a single phone number to post
5 advertisements for multiple “escorts.”

6 102. Between April 2011 and March 2012, PADILLA, C.F., and others
7 participated in an email exchange acknowledging that Backpage was deleting thousands of
8 pictures from customer ads each week and seeking assistance in collecting all of the deleted
9 pictures so they could be used for “entertainment” or to generate user “traffic for other
10 projects.” The email explained that the deleted pictures could be made available to the
11 public on a new website called “nakedpics.backpage.com” or “badpics.backpage.com.”

12 103. On April 19, 2011, LARKIN and SPEAR received an email seeking
13 permission to terminate the contract of a third-party vendor that had been receiving \$17,000
14 per month to “remov[e] any nude pics” from the expired ads in Backpage’s database.
15 LARKIN responded: “do it!”

16 104. On June 7, 2011, C.F. received an inquiry from a law enforcement official
17 about a particular ad that included the term “amber alert.” In response, C.F. acknowledged
18 this might be “some kind of bizarre new code word for an under aged person.” C.F. then
19 forwarded this exchange to PADILLA and stated that he had instructed HYER to add
20 “amber alert” to Backpage’s “strip out” list. In other words, HYER, PADILLA, and C.F.
21 did not require all future ads involving this particular coded term for the prostitution of a
22 child to be blocked from Backpage—they merely required such ads to be edited before
23 publication.

24 105. On June 30, 2011, several Backpage representatives met with representatives
25 from the office of the Washington State Attorney General. During this meeting, the
26 Backpage representatives initially attempted to claim that no prostitution ads appeared on
27 their website. In response, a representative from the Attorney General’s office stated:
28 “You mean to tell me that if someone responded to an advertisement, the woman they

1 called for services would be offering to go out for coffee?" A Backpage representative
2 responded to this question by looking at C.F., laughing, and acknowledging that Backpage
3 couldn't "deny the undeniable."

4 106. On July 27, 2011, C.F. sent an email to HYER and PADILLA, and a nearly-
5 identical email to LARKIN and LACEY, concerning the possibility of using age-
6 verification software. In this email, C.F. acknowledged the software might be beneficial
7 ("This might be our solution") but recommended against its wholesale adoption because it
8 would cost "79 to 99 cents per query" and would thus cut into Backpage's profits.

9 107. On July 28, 2011, LACEY sent LARKIN a draft editorial entitled "BackPage
10 understood." In this document, LACEY bragged about Backpage's contributions to the
11 prostitution industry: "Backpage is part of the solution. Eliminating our adult advertising
12 will in no way eliminate or even reduce the incidence of prostitution in this country. . . .
13 For the very first time, the oldest profession in the world has transparency, record keeping
14 and safeguards." LACEY also acknowledged that Backpage used an automatic filter to
15 remove particular phrases from ads that were indicative of prostitution but still published
16 the ads after editing them.

17 108. Soon afterward, LARKIN forwarded the editorial to C.F., with a cover note
18 cautioning against some of LACEY's statements "being made public" because "we need
19 to stay away from the very idea of 'editing' the posts, as you know." C.F., in turn, revised
20 the editorial to take out the paragraph lauding Backpage's contributions to the prostitution
21 industry.

22 109. On August 5, 2011, Backpage received a letter from the mayor of Seattle.
23 This letter warned that "Seattle Police have identified an alarming number of juvenile
24 prostitutes advertised on Backpage.com since January 2010" and explained that Backpage
25 was dissimilar from other companies whose products and services are "occasionally or
26 incidentally" utilized by criminals because "[y]our company is in the business of selling
27 sex ads" and "your services are a direct vehicle for prostitution." The letter also
28 recommended that Backpage require in-person age verification for all of the "escorts"

1 depicted in its ads. Afterward, Backpage declined to adopt these recommendations.

2 110. On August 15, 2011, PADILLA received an email containing an updated
3 version of Backpage's moderation guidelines. This six-page document provided the
4 following instructions concerning photographs: "Nude rear shots are okay as long the
5 model is not exposing her anus or genitalia," "Transparent wet panties okay should not be
6 able to see personal private part," and "cherry, Ice-cream keeping in mouth [is okay]." The
7 document also explained that "Bikini, lingerie, g-string, thong, and hands covering nipples
8 are all allowed," "Hourly rates are OK," and "Sessions are okay. E.g \$50 session."

9 111. On August 31, 2011, Backpage received a letter from the National
10 Association of Attorneys General. This letter characterized Backpage as "a hub" for
11 human trafficking, identified "more than 50 instances, in 22 states over three years, of
12 charges filed against those trafficking or attempting to traffic minors on Backpage.com,"
13 and noted that "[n]early naked persons in provocative positions are pictured in nearly every
14 adult services advertisement on Backpage.com and the site requires advertisements for
15 escorts, and other similar 'services,' to include hourly rates. It does not require forensic
16 training to understand that these advertisements are for prostitution."

17 112. In or around September 2011, certain BACKPAGE DEFENDANTS assisted
18 in the creation of a Powerpoint presentation, entitled "Management Presentation," that was
19 intended to describe Backpage's business model to potential buyers. This presentation
20 acknowledged that the non-adult sections of the Backpage website were simply intended
21 to "allow[] 'plausible deniability,'" to make the website more palatable to "regulatory and
22 law enforcement" officials, and to otherwise make Backpage's adult section more
23 "defensible."

24 113. On October 6, 2011, C.F. sent an email discussing various proposals for
25 addressing "the under aged issue." With respect to one particular proposal, C.F.
26 acknowledged it was a good one but recommended against adopting it because Backpage
27 would not derive any public-relations benefit from doing so: "This is a good idea but it is
28 not visible to AG's [state attorneys general] so it has little PR value. It is a low priority."

1 114. In the fall of 2011, Backpage sought the assistance of a public relations firm
2 based in Washington, D.C. On October 12, 2011, C.F. received a written copy of the firm's
3 presentation. Later, some of the BACKPAGE DEFENDANTS attended a meeting at
4 which the presentation was discussed in more detail. The presentation warned that
5 Backpage's business practices would inevitably result in legal trouble ("One day the
6 proverbial is going to hit the fan") and characterized Backpage's "media strategy" as "Do
7 not acknowledge the prostitution." The presentation also noted that the "ads on the
8 backpage.com site" generally fall into three categories, one of which is "Pimps and Men
9 Looking for Kids."

10 115. On October 21, 2011, LARKIN received an email discussing whether the
11 Backpage website should include a warning message concerning the prostitution of
12 children. This email contained the following joke: "Andrew [PADILLA] thinks it to[o]
13 heavy handed and thinks our web site name will be entrapment.com (Hilarious)."

14 116. On November 16, 2011, HYER and PADILLA received an email asking for
15 "urgent" assistance in eliminating the word "teen" from the ads appearing on Backpage's
16 website: "Remove ads with teens or remove the text teen from . . . ads." The following
17 day, PADILLA wrote back with an update that he had found "76 pages of results" and that
18 he had simply "edited" all of the ads posted within the last two months (*i.e.*, allowed those
19 ads to remain on the website after sanitizing them).

20 117. Between around January and March 2012, many of Backpage's moderators
21 (who were supervised in part by PADILLA and VAUGHT) underwent performance
22 appraisals. These appraisals revealed that many of the moderators did "not report young
23 looking escorts." Nevertheless, these moderators were allowed to keep their jobs, and
24 sometimes were given strong overall performance ratings.

25 118. On February 23, 2012, C.F. was forwarded a legal notice claiming that
26 several of Backpage's ads included copyrighted content from two competing websites
27 called RubMaps.com and EroticMP.com. C.F. also received copies of the underlying ads
28 from the competing websites, which clearly involved prostitution. In one of the ads, a

1 customer stated that, in return for \$45 and a \$5 tip, he had received a “Blow Job . . . w/
2 condom” from a woman who “had nice breasts.” In a different ad, a customer stated that,
3 in return for \$60, he had oral and vaginal sex with a prostitute. And in a different ad, a
4 customer stated: “Her bj was slow and erotic, and she was happy to go with whatever
5 position I wanted.” When C.F. forwarded these materials to Backpage’s staff, he was asked
6 whether the corresponding ads appearing on Backpage’s website should be removed
7 immediatcly. C.F. replied that they should be allowed to remain on Backpage for another
8 few weeks without any modification.

9 119. On March 15, 2012, HYER received an email concerning the ads with the
10 copyrighted material. This email stated that the ads shouldn’t be deleted and that
11 Backpage’s technical staff should merely “strip out” the names of the competing
12 prostitution websites: “Copyright infringement issue. We need to strip out every
13 appearance of rubmaps.com and eroticmp.com.” When a staff member sought more
14 guidance, HYER interjected: “We don’t need to delete ads or users.”

15 120. On April 7, 2012, PADILLA was informed that a woman had contacted
16 Backpage to report that one of the “escorts” depicted on the site was only 17 years old.
17 The woman provided the juvenile’s full name and birth year and further stated that the
18 juvenile had been attempting to recruit the complaining party’s daughter (who was 15). In
19 response, PADILLA instructed his staff to refuse to remove the ad because “she’s isn’t
20 claiming her own daughter is in the ad.”

21 121. On April 8, 2012, LACEY sent an email emphasizing that “jim [LARKIN]
22 and I believe in legalized prostitution” and stating that Backpage’s efforts to prevent the
23 prostitution of children on the site were “not perfect, by any means.”

24 122. On April 25, 2012, a Backpage representative spoke at a meeting of the New
25 York City Council’s Women’s Issues Committee. During this meeting, the representative
26 stated it was better to have ads for sex work appear on Backpage than have them move to
27 other places on the internet. The representative further stated: “I don’t deny that Backpage
28 is part of the problem, but the problem is the internet.”

1 123. On April 27, 2012, a woman wrote an email to Backpage's support
2 department stating that her underage daughter had been kidnapped, drugged, and was being
3 advertised as a prostitute against her will. The email identified the specific phone number
4 associated with the ads (754-229-xxxx), stated that the ads appeared on a website called
5 BackpagePics.com, and asked that the ads be removed immediately: "This is a drugged
6 and held against her will child who had photos taken under threat and duress Please
7 remove." This email was forwarded to PADILLA by a subordinate, who asked "should
8 we respond?" PADILLA replied by explaining that, because the website
9 BackpagePics.com wasn't owned by Backpage, there was no need to respond to the
10 mother.

11 124. On April 30, 2012 (three days later), the same woman wrote another email
12 to Backpage's support department. In this email, the woman stated that "I have contacted
13 backpage on several occassions [sic] to remove these pictures which were posted against
14 her will and while she was drugged and held captive. I have yet to receive a reply." This
15 time, the woman provided a link to her daughter's ad on Backpage (not
16 BackpagePics.com), which included the same phone number (754-229-xxxx) that had been
17 included in the other ad.

18 125. On May 1, 2012 (the next day), the same woman wrote a third email to
19 Backpage's support department. In this email, the woman included a link to another ad on
20 Backpage depicting her underage daughter and stated: "I also found a pix of my daughter
21 within this url both girls are in protective custody." Later that day, the woman received an
22 email from Backpage's support department stating: "The post is confirmed removed."

23 126. Some of these emails were forwarded to LACEY and LARKIN. In response,
24 LARKIN applauded Backpage's "good solid response" to the woman and remarked: "this
25 whole rigamarole seems a little odd to me."

26 127. On May 10, 2012, the television news station CNN ran an expose on
27 Backpage that emphasized "how young some of these girls look" and deemed the website
28 "a hub for the sex trade."

1 128. On May 11, 2012, PADILLA sent an email to VAUGHT and other Backpage
2 employees entitled “forbidden planet.” Enclosed with the email was an Excel spreadsheet
3 that identified over 600 words and phrases that are indicative of prostitution. The
4 spreadsheet also specified, for each word and phrase, whether an ad containing the
5 offending language should be banned or whether Backpage should simply “strip term from
6 ad” and then publish it after the revision.

7 129. On July 12, 2012, PADILLA sent an email (which was also shared with
8 VAUGHT) to the head of Backpage’s Indian moderation team. In this email, PADILLA
9 criticized the moderators for deleting too many ads and provided the following instruction:
10 “I agree that ‘over cautiousness’ is as big of a problem as moderators that miss a lot of
11 violations.”

12 130. Between June 2012 and August 2012, a Backpage representative, E.M.,
13 engaged in correspondence with a vendor about potentially acquiring software “that could
14 help Backpage screen for minors.” In one email, sent on August 16, 2012, the vendor
15 stated that he understood, from his discussions with E.M., that “Backpage has a problem
16 with pimps phoning in false accusations that another advertiser’s women are underage. Do
17 we know if there is any public DB [database] that they might affordably access to solve
18 this?” and further stated that the vendor could not assist with this “problem.” In response,
19 E.M. thanked the vendor for the information, sought additional information about the cost
20 of the software, and forwarded the email chain to C.F.

21 131. In or around November 2012, a researcher at Arizona State University
22 published a study concluding that most of the ads on Backpage’s Phoenix page involved
23 prostitution and that many of the ads depicted juvenile trafficking victims. On December
24 19, 2012, LACEY was forwarded a copy of the study’s results. The researcher responsible
25 for the study also met with a Backpage representative to propose various mechanisms for
26 reducing or eliminating the prostitution of children on the website. Backpage declined to
27 adopt these proposals.

28 132. Between around September 2010 and October 2012, C.F. became aware that

1 a particular Backpage customer, P.R., was posting prostitution ads. Rather than bar this
2 customer from posting future ads, C.F. repeatedly restored her posting privileges and gave
3 her advice on how to conform to Backpage's publication standards. The communications
4 involving this woman's ads included the following:

5 • On September 26, 2010, C.F. received an email from a woman who was
6 obviously posting prostitution ads on Backpage. The woman, whose email address
7 included the phrase "provider4u," wrote to complain that her escort ad ("50 Red Roscs
8 special – Dont Miss out !!!") had been removed even though "[o]ther women have more
9 explicit ads than me and they are up!" The woman continued: "I can not afford to have
10 this ad removed. This is the only way I can get by and if its not on all the time I will not
11 be able to pay my bills My fiancé is in jail and he is not able to help me at this
12 point." In response, C.F. arranged for the woman to be allowed to continue posting ads.

13 • On October 6, 2010, C.F. received another email from the same woman. In
14 this email, she complained that her most recent ad had been removed because it included
15 an explicit picture of her body. She provided a copy of the picture to C.F. and stated: "If
16 the person [who removed the ad] is such a prude well maybe they should check out the
17 other women's ads in that [escorts] section." On November 15, 2010, C.F. wrote back to
18 the woman to encourage her to edit the ad so it could be re-posted: "Ok, please try editing
19 the ad now." After this exchange, the woman was permitted to resume posting ads on
20 Backpage.

21 • On June 6, 2011, C.F. received another email from the same woman. It
22 stated: "I would really appreciate it if you would please take the block off my ad for editing
23 I wont post any more objectionable pics, ok?" In response, C.F. arranged for the
24 woman's editing and posting privileged to be restored: "You should be able to edit
25 now. Please let us know if you are still having any trouble." After this exchange, the
26 woman resumed posting ads on Backpage.

27 • On July 14, 2012, C.F. received another email from the same woman. It
28 stated: "would you please take the edit block off my ad. I need to change some info on it

1 and update it. I promise i wont put no more nude pics in it, you have my word. . . . [M]y
2 ad says: 50 red roses special – dont miss out.” After this exchange, the woman was allowed
3 to continue posting ads on Backpage.

4 • On September 17, 2012, C.F. received another email from the same
5 woman. This time, she complained that Backpage was editing her ads (whose title
6 continued to feature the obvious prostitution term “50 Red roses special”) to remove the
7 most explicit pictures. She stated: “I would like to know why my ad in the escort section
8 of backpage keeps getting messed with. . . . [S]omeone keeps erasing the link to my pics
9 on the ad. that is so wrong. I am being deprived of income that I sorely need There
10 are other woman posting pics on their ads that show more nudity” After this exchange,
11 the woman was permitted to continue posting ads on Backpage.

12 • On October 16, 2012, the woman wrote another email to Backpage. In this
13 email, she again complained about how Backpage was editing her ads to remove the most
14 explicit pictures. She stated: “It is very hard for me to make any income from this ad as
15 they continually go into my ad and remove the link from the ad that goes to my
16 pictures. They wont allow me to post my pics on the ad yet other women with other ads
17 show more nudity than my pictures ever did.”

18 • This email was forwarded to VAUGHT and to PADILLA, who asked
19 another Backpage employee to “dig into this one a little.” On October 17, 2012, PADILLA
20 received a follow-up email from his co-worker stating that the woman’s ad had been posted
21 on September 27, was still on the Backpage website, and that the pictures the woman had
22 originally attempted to include in the ad (which had been stripped by Backpage) were
23 “topless shots.”

24 • Following these exchanges, between October 2012 and November 2015, the
25 same customer was allowed to post over a dozen new ads on Backpage, many of which
26 utilized the same identifying information, coded prostitution terms, and contact phone
27 number as before.

28 133. On January 7, 2013, VAUGHT was informed by a moderator that Backpage

1 wasn't diligently pursuing reports of child exploitation: "We've supposedly been checking
2 them, but some seem to be ignored. They get 'marked as read', but nothing gets done with
3 them. It's aggravating and irresponsible."

4 134. On June 6, 2013, Backpage received a letter from NCMEC recommending
5 the adoption of several specific security measures to prevent the trafficking of children.
6 The recommended security measures included (a) verifying the age and identity of users
7 who submitted adult ads, (b) verifying the age and identity of individuals depicted in
8 photographs within adult ads, (c) prohibiting the use of anonymous payment sources such
9 as prepaid credit cards, and (d) requiring users to utilize verified email addresses and
10 telephone numbers. Backpage declined to follow any of these recommendations.

11 135. On August 30, 2013, LARKIN, SPEAR, BRUNST, HYER, and C.F.
12 received an email notifying them that "Chase [Bank] was no longer accepting transactions
13 from Backpage.com, due to their involvement in human trafficking." In response, C.F.
14 informed the group that he intended to begin "giv[ing] users free ads if they complain while
15 we wait on directly transactions to another processor."

16 136. On September 11, 2013, a Backpage representative made a presentation to
17 the Arizona Governor's Task Force on Human Trafficking. Following this presentation
18 (which took place in Phoenix), the representative was asked whether there would be any
19 "cons" to requiring verifiable identification of all escorts being advertised on Backpage's
20 website. In response, the representative did not identify any financial or logistical hurdles
21 to the adoption of such a requirement. Instead, the representative stated that such a
22 requirement would simply cause Backpage to lose business to other prostitution websites
23 like myRedBook.com or to overseas prostitution websites. During this meeting, members
24 of the task force also provided the representative with evidence showing that Backpage's
25 moderation efforts were ineffective at preventing the publication of prostitution ads.

26 137. On April 3, 2014, PADILLA and VAUGHT were forwarded an email that
27 had been sent to Backpage by a credit card processing company in Canada. The email
28 stated that "[w]e have multiple user accounts that are paying for your services for what I

1 understand to be prostitution advertisements” and sought information about “how you are
2 processing these transactions.”

3 138. On April 14, 2014, LARKIN and BRUNST received an email from C.F.
4 discussing why Backpage had experienced “past high growth” and identifying various
5 ideas for achieving “future growth.” This email stated that Backpage had been the
6 beneficiary of “[m]igration of content from other . . . marketplaces to the internet” and
7 identified one particular marketplace as a key source of Backpage’s customers: “[N]et loss
8 for brick and mortar marketplaces: Strip clubs, hotels, and gathering spots displaced by the
9 internet.” In other words, the email acknowledged that the supposed “escorts” advertising
10 on Backpage were actually prostitutes (lawful escorts did not congregate at strip clubs,
11 hotels, and other brick-and-mortar “gathering spots” during the pre-internet age). This
12 email also attributed Backpage’s success in part to its adoption of policies that allowed
13 customers to post ads without leaving any meaningful identifying information—in a list of
14 Backpage’s advantageous policies, it identified “Anonymous,” “Prepaid card friendly,”
15 “User can post paid ads without a valid email address,” and “bitcoin.”

16 139. On April 24, 2014, VAUGHT sent an email to Backpage’s moderators (while
17 cc’ing PADILLA). In this email, VAUGHT explained that if a moderator came across an
18 ad containing a link to a “sex for money” website, the moderator should add the link to a
19 list of banned terms but “don’t bother removing it from the current ad.”

20 140. On September 4, 2014, Backpage was served with a brief that had been filed
21 by NCMEC in a lawsuit in Washington state court. In this brief, NCMEC criticized the
22 sincerity of Backpage’s efforts to prevent child sex trafficking: “Backpage has repeatedly
23 claimed in public statements and court filings that it is working to reduce child sex
24 trafficking on its website. The unpleasant reality is that Backpage publicizes carefully
25 selected operational processes as a subterfuge to avoid increased scrutiny, while providing
26 traffickers with easy access to an online venue to sell children for sex. In practice,
27 Backpage’s stated interest in doing something meaningful to stop child sex trafficking ads
28 on its site is apparently overridden by the enormous revenue it generates from its escort

1 ads, including ads selling children for sex.”

2 141. On March 17, 2015, a law enforcement officer with the California
3 Department of Justice spoke with a Backpage representative concerning the prevalence of
4 blatant prostitution ads on Backpage. In response, the representative did not dispute the
5 officer’s characterization and said the internet and prostitution were not going away.

6 142. On or about July 19, 2015, LACEY and LARKIN received a “Thank You
7 Letter” from the Sex Worker’s Outreach Project (“SWOP”). This letter lauded Backpage’s
8 contributions to the prostitution industry (“Backpage has made quite a difference for many
9 of us . . .”), thanked Backpage for continuing to permit sex workers “to advertise in the
10 ‘Adult’ area,” expressed gratitude for Backpage’s willingness to accept alternative
11 payment methods “as a way to pay for ads when MasterCard and Visa abruptly halted
12 processing our credit card payments,” and stated that Backpage had provided “our
13 community an opportunity to learn how to use alternative payment methods, like Bitcoin.”

14 143. On July 30, 2015, a document entitled “trainingJuly2015” was distributed to
15 Backpage’s moderators. This training manual specifically told moderators that, if they saw
16 a photograph depicting “a person [who] looks young/minor,” they should “approve dont
17 delete the ad unless it has a banned term.” The training manual also identified, under the
18 heading “THESE ARE ALL OKAY,” a long list of terms that are indicative of prostitution,
19 such as “99% CUM BACK FOR MORE,” “car service,” and “lollipop special.”

20 144. In or around August 2015, as part of a lawsuit in Illinois, Backpage was
21 served with an affidavit from a detective employed by the Seattle Police Department. In
22 this affidavit, the detective avowed that “[t]o date, no Detective within the Seattle Police
23 Department’s Vice/High Risk Victims Unit has ever found a legitimate ‘escort’ (person
24 who charges simply for companionship with no offer of sex) or ‘masseur’ (person offering
25 legitimate and licensed massage therapy rather than sex) while responding to ads placed in
26 these categories on Backpage.com” and that “every time the Seattle Police Department’s
27 Vice/High Risk Victims Unit has responded to an ad in the adult section of Backpage.com,
28 we have found that the ad was a posting for illegal activity.” During the same lawsuit in

1 Illinois, Backpage was served with a different affidavit from a detective employed by the
2 Boston Police Department. In this affidavit, the detective avowed that “Backpage.com is
3 the number one site in Boston for prostitution and sex trafficking,” that his unit had “[s]ince
4 2010 . . . arrested over 100 buyers of sex of both adults and minors through Backpage.com
5 ads,” and that “nearly all the cases we find associated with it [Backpage] involve pimp
6 controlled prostitution.”

7 145. On October 7, 2015, PADILLA received an email from another Backpage
8 employee (which was later forwarded to VAUGHT) disclosing that there were “massive
9 numbers of live ads with banned terms and pictures out on the site.”

10 146. On December 9, 2015, Backpage received an email from a reporter stating
11 that “[o]f the 359 sex trafficking incidents Toronto Police have been involved in since
12 2013, every single girl that was rescued was advertised on Backpage.” The email also
13 asked: “Why hasn’t Backpage closed down the adult escort ads portion of its site like
14 Craigslist when it’s known that underage girls are being exploited via Backpage?”

15 147. In or around January 2016, Company A was retained to serve as a payment
16 processor for some of Backpage’s websites. On April 29, 2016, Company A informed C.F.
17 that it had conducted “a review of your website, and unfortunately we had to suspend your
18 account . . . [because] advertising of illegal activities is strictly forbidden.”

19 148. Beginning in or around January 2016, Backpage’s moderators were
20 instructed to stop removing ads that contained the phrase “GFE.” For example, on January
21 28, 2016, VAUGHT was sent an email from a Backpage moderator explaining that “As far
22 as I am aware we are no longer removing ads for GFE.” Similarly, on March 9, 2016, a
23 Backpage moderator sent an email to his coworkers explaining that “Andrew [PADILLA]
24 and I talked about the GFE thing, going forward we will not be removing ads for GFE”
25 and clarifying “this includes even gfe with price.” And again, on March 25, 2016, an email
26 was sent to Backpage’s moderation staff stating that “We are no longer removing ads for
27 ‘GFE’ or ‘PSE.’”

28 149. In fact, the BACKPAGE DEFENDANTS repeatedly acknowledged that the

1 term “GFE” (girlfriend experience) is a coded term for prostitution. For example:

2 • On October 26, 2010, SPEAR, HYER, and PADILLA received an email
3 from C.F. that explained: “No coded sex act for money: GFE, PSE, BBBJ, DATY, etc.”

4 • On May 4, 2011, HYER sent an email to PADILLA and others identifying
5 GFE as a “code word” that should be forbidden.

6 • On August 31, 2011, PADILLA and C.F. exchanged emails in which they
7 discussed a list of 100 “solid sex for money terms.” The list included “GFE = girlfriend
8 experience.”

9 • On November 2, 2011, PADILLA and VAUGHT received an email from a
10 co-worker identifying GFE in a list of “sex phrases and coded terms” that are “not
11 allowed.”

12 150. HYER, PADILLA, and other BACKPAGE DEFENDANTS periodically
13 received a “Google alert” when articles discussing Backpage appeared in the news. Many
14 of the news articles identified in these alerts discussed instances in which prostitutes who
15 had been advertised on Backpage were kidnapped, raped, or murdered.

16 151. In January 2017, after conducting a lengthy investigation, the Senate
17 Subcommittee on Permanent Investigations (“Subcommittee”) issued a 50-page report
18 entitled “Backpage.com’s Knowing Facilitation of Online Sex Trafficking.” This report
19 concluded, among other things, that virtually all of Backpage’s “adult” ads were actually
20 solicitations for illegal prostitution services and that “Backpage has maintained a practice
21 of altering ads before publication by deleting words, phrases, and images indicative of
22 criminality, including child sex trafficking Those practices served to sanitize the
23 content of innumerable advertisements for illegal transactions—even as Backpage
24 represented to the public and the courts that it merely hosted content others had created.”

25 152. In response to the Subcommittee’s report, Backpage purported to shut down
26 the “adult” section of its website. However, the prostitution ads simply migrated to other
27 sections of the website, where they remained until the website was shut down by federal
28 law enforcement authorities in April 2018.

1 **D. International Operations**

2 153. In addition to facilitating prostitution through its U.S. website, Backpage also
3 facilitated prostitution through its websites in foreign countries. In this context, Backpage
4 (as it did through its domestic “aggregation” efforts and the Dallas Plan) often affirmatively
5 created the content of the prostitution ads being published.

6 154. Around 2013 or 2014, Backpage hired a Philippines-based company
7 (Company B) in an attempt to increase the profitability of Backpage’s international
8 operations. Company B’s employees were instructed to (1) visit rival prostitution websites
9 in other countries, (2) obtain the email addresses of prostitutes who were posting ads on
10 those websites (often by falsely posing as prospective customers), (3) use the information
11 from the other website to create a competing prostitution ad on Backpage (a process
12 referred to internally as “preboarding”), and then (4) transmit the new ad to the prostitute,
13 often using the previously-harvested email account information, in an attempt to persuade
14 the prostitute to become a Backpage customer. Company B’s employees were paid
15 bonuses based on the amount of ad revenue they generated for Backpage using these
16 techniques.

17 155. Backpage’s executives were fully aware of the plan to use Company B to
18 create prostitution ads outside the United States. For example, on or around November 6,
19 2013, C.F. made a presentation to LARKIN, SPEAR, and BRUNST. Among other things,
20 this presentation summarized Backpage’s plans for “International Planning and
21 Expansion.” One of the plans was to use the Philippines as a “test” market and hire Filipino
22 contractors to “contact by email leads, secure email address, add ad and email address in
23 [computer system] and assign to American staff. American staff makes contact.”

24 156. On August 7, 2014, HYER sent an email stating that Company B was “an
25 efficient and cost effective way for us to bring new users to backpage.” This email also
26 contained the following summary of how Company B would operate: “Process after hiring
27 company offering BPO services: 1. Backpage provides BPO with sites, categories &
28 countries to target. Backpage also provides sample ‘scripts’ and examples of phone

1 calls. 2. BPO contacts users via phone from sitcs backpage provided, obtains user email
2 address & permission to preboard ad. 3. BPO preboards ad as public user. 4. After ad is
3 preboarded, users receive verification link to verify the ad.” This email also stated that
4 Backpage would offer a “bonus per verified authenticated ad.”

5 157. On April 10, 2015, a “five-year business plan” was emailed to LARKIN,
6 BRUNST, SPEAR, and C.F. One of the goals for 2015 was “Off shore marketing staff in
7 the Philippines to grow to 166 and main task is international market content acquisition.”
8 This email also included a separate attachment stating that HYER should be considered for
9 promotion because “his strengths are strong marketing and revenue growth skills” and he
10 had been “heavily involved in the user experience development” and that VAUGHT should
11 be considered for a promotion because “[h]er strengths include six years of experience
12 managing moderators.”

13 158. On May 15, 2015, a Company B employee posing as a Backpage employee
14 sent an email to an apparent prostitute. The subject line was “Offering Free Advertisement
15 from Backpage.com” and the text of the email sought to persuade the prostitute to “upgrade
16 your ad with sponsor placement or automatic repost.” In response, the prostitute wrote
17 back that she had “managed to activate my ad and could buy credits as well. thanks for
18 your help. I’m traveling today to [London] how can I change my location.” This email
19 exchange was later forwarded by HYER to C.F. with a cover note stating: “[I]dcal scenario
20 for [Company B] agent – user activates ad, user purchases credit.”

21 159. On December 14, 2015, C.F. was part of an email exchange concerning an
22 ad that had an IP address associated with Company B. This email contained the following
23 description of Company B’s process for creating and selling prostitution ads on Backpage:
24 (1) “Staff found lead in assigned area.” (2) “Staff entered all relevant into [database]
25 (phone/email/etc.)” (3) “Staff called lead to discuss creation of free ad” (4) “Staff created
26 free ad for lead (verification email sent).” (5) “Staff followed under with an email
27 reminding lead of phone conversation and detailing verification of ad.”
28

1 **E. Select Victim Summaries**

2 160. Between in or around 2009 and 2013, Victim 1 was sold for sex, through the
3 use of Backpage ads, in Ohio, Indiana, and Georgia. Victim 1's Backpage ads often
4 included words and phrases that were indicative of prostitution, such as "roses" (money).
5 On at least one occasion, Victim 1 contacted Backpage after a proposed ad had been
6 rejected because it contained banned words and phrases. In response, a Backpage
7 representative coached Victim 1 on how to re-write the ad using different words. Victim
8 1's trafficker took all of the money that was earned through her acts of prostitution.

9 161. Between in or around 2009 and 2011, Victim 2 was sold for sex, through the
10 use of Backpage ads, in Arizona, Georgia, North Carolina, Texas, New York, New Jersey,
11 and Louisiana. Victim 2's trafficker drafted her Backpage ads and Victim 2 initially did
12 not know she was being offered on Backpage. The ads contained words and phrases to
13 make customers believe Victim 2 was "barely legal" and also contained words and phrases
14 indicative of prostitution, such as "roses" (money).

15 162. Between in or around 2009 and 2012, Victim 3 was sold for sex, through the
16 use of Backpage ads, in Colorado and North Dakota. Victim 3's pimp instructed her to
17 review existing prostitution ads on Backpage to learn how to draft her own ads. During a
18 portion of this period, Victim 3 was required by her pimp to make week-long trips to North
19 Dakota to work as a prostitute. During these trips, which would generate as much as \$2,000
20 in prostitution-derived revenue each day, Victim 3 was forced to leave her children at home
21 in the care of her pimp.

22 163. In or around 2010, Victim 4 was sold for sex, through the use of Backpage
23 ads, in Washington. During this period, Victim 4 was a juvenile (15 years old). Victim
24 4's pimp drafted the ads that were placed on Backpage. The wording of these ads was
25 edited by Backpage before publication. The ads contained words and phrases such as
26 "W'E'L_L_W'O'R'T'H_I'T***^***150HR" and "IT WONT TAKE LONG AT ALL"
27 and included pictures of Victim 4 in provocative positions showing her breasts and
28 buttocks.

1 164. Between in or around 2011 and 2016, Victim 5 was sold for sex, through the
2 use of Backpage ads, in Massachusetts and Rhode Island. During much of this period,
3 Victim 5 was a juvenile (14-19 years old). Victim 5's female pimp instructed Victim 5
4 that Backpage was the safest place to advertise because it did not require age verification.
5 On one occasion, Backpage declined to accept a proposed ad that indicated Victim 5 was
6 only 17 years old. In response, the ad was simply resubmitted with a new (false) age of
7 19. On other occasions, Backpage removed provocative pictures of Victim 5 from ads and
8 then allowed edited versions of the ads to be published. Victim 5's Backpage ads included
9 words and phrases that were indicative of prostitution, such as "roses" (money) and "back
10 door" (anal sex). Some of the customers who responded Victim 5's Backpage ads forced
11 Victim 5 to perform sexual acts at gun point, choked her to the point of having seizures,
12 and gang-raped her.

13 165. In or around June 2012, Victim 6 was sold for sex, through the use of
14 Backpage ads, in Arizona. Her traffickers utilized Backpage ads that did not offer a
15 specific person but instead generally offered a woman with a particular type of hair color
16 and build. On June 22, 2012, Victim 6 was dispatched to a customer who had responded
17 to a Backpage ad featuring "Nadia," who was described as a slender brunette woman.
18 Upon her arrival at the location, Victim 6 was stabbed to death.

19 166. Between in or around 2012 and 2015, Victim 7 was sold for sex, through the
20 use of Backpage ads, in Washington and Oregon. Victim 7's pimp drafted the ads that
21 were placed on Backpage. The wording of these ads was edited by Backpage before
22 publication. The ads contained provocative nude pictures of Victim 7.

23 167. Between in or around 2013 and 2014, Victim 8 was sold for sex, through the
24 use of Backpage ads, in Maine, Connecticut, and Massachusetts. During this period,
25 Victim 8 was a juvenile (15 years old). Victim 8's uncle, as well as his friends, placed the
26 ads on Backpage, which included words and phrases that were indicative of prostitution,
27 such as "roses" (money), "fetish friendly," and 150 for 1/2 hour, 200 for full hour.
28 Through these ads, Victim 8 was forced to do "in-calls" (where she was raped in hotels) as

1 well as “out-calls” (where she was raped at other locations chosen by the men paying for
2 her).

3 168. In or around 2013, Victim 9 was sold for sex, through the use of Backpage
4 ads, in Florida. Victim 9’s pimp taught her how to use code words in her Backpage ads to
5 indicate how much she was charging for certain sex acts. Victim 9 was brutally attacked
6 by her trafficker, causing bruises and a fractured cheek bone.

7 169. Between in or around 2014 and 2015, Victim 10 was sold for sex, through
8 the use of Backpage ads, in California and Arizona. During some of this period, Victim
9 10 was a juvenile (17 years old). An associate of Victim 10’s pimp took pictures of her
10 and drafted the ads that were placed on Backpage. The Backpage ads contained words and
11 phrases such as “NEW IN TOWN,” “sexy sweet,” and “sweet like honey but super hot like
12 fire” and included pictures of Victim 10 in provocative positions showing her legs,
13 stomach, shoulder, and buttocks.

14 170. Between in or around 2014 and 2015, Victim 11 was sold for sex, through
15 the use of Backpage ads, in Arizona, Colorado, Minnesota, Oregon, California, Montana,
16 Nevada, New Mexico, and Utah. The Backpage ads contained words and phrases
17 indicative of prostitution and included pictures of Victim 11 in provocative positions. On
18 some occasions, Backpage would remove certain explicit photos from the ads but publish
19 the remaining text and other photos. Victim 11’s trafficker gave her drugs, took her
20 identification documents, sexually assaulted her with a firearm, and forced her to work full-
21 time as a prostitute.

22 171. In or around 2015, Victim 12 was sold for sex, through the use of Backpage
23 ads, in California and Arizona. Victim 12 was first advertised on Backpage in San
24 Bernardino, California, but moved to the Phoenix metro area because the Super Bowl was
25 being held there. Victim 12’s advertisements on Backpage contained words and phrases
26 such as “New In Town” and “Sexy Dark Asian Bombshell with a Nice & Tight {Booty}”
27 and included pictures showing Victim 12’s legs, stomach, shoulders and buttocks.

28 172. In or around 2015, Victim 13 was sold for sex, through the use of Backpage

1 ads, in California. During this period, Victim 13 was a juvenile (15 years old). Victim 13
2 and her trafficker both posted the Backpage ads, which falsely represented that Victim 13
3 was 19 years old and showed pictures of her face and body. On at least one occasion, a
4 Backpage representative contacted Victim 13 with instructions on how to fix an ad so it
5 could be published.

6 173. In or around June 2015, Victim 14 was sold for sex, through the use of a
7 Backpage ad, in Texas. This ad contained words and phrases such as “fun, young, exotic,”
8 “Ready to be your fantasy girl,” “OUT CALLS ONLY,” and “NO BLACK MEN” and
9 included pictures of Victim 14’s stomach, breasts, shoulders, and buttocks. On or around
10 June 20, 2015, Victim 14 was murdered by a customer. Afterward, the customer attempted
11 to destroy Victim 14’s corpse by lighting it on fire. Victim 14’s father later contacted
12 Backpage to request that the ads showing his deceased daughter be removed. Backpage
13 did not immediately comply with this request.

14 174. In or around June 2015, Victim 15 was sold for sex, through the use of
15 Backpage ads, in Texas and Louisiana. These ads contained words and phrases such as
16 “Thick Glass of Chocolate Milk Looking for a GoodTime!!!” and “sexy certified freak”
17 and contained pictures showing Victim 15’s legs, shoulders and buttocks. On June 10,
18 2015, Victim 15 was forced into a vehicle with her trafficker, who was attempting to take
19 her to Texas against her will. In an attempt to escape, Victim 15 jumped out of the vehicle
20 onto Interstate 10 and was killed after being hit by several vehicles at high speeds.

21 175. In or around July and August 2015, Victim 16 was sold for sex, through the
22 use of Backpage ads, in Michigan. These ads contained words and phrases such as
23 “OUTCALLS ONLY,” “Juicy Caramel Lady On Duty,” “Sexy, Erotic Caramel Dream,”
24 and “No Thugs, Pimps Or Weirdos” and contained pictures showing Victim 16’s breasts,
25 legs, lips, buttocks, and face. On August 15, 2015, Victim 16 was murdered by a customer.
26 Afterward, the customer dumped her corpse in a park.

27 176. Between in or around 2015 and 2016, Victim 17 was sold for sex, through
28 the use of Backpage ads, in Arizona and California. Victim 17 averaged ten customers a

1 day during this time and turned over all of her prostitution earnings (approximately \$1,500
2 per day) to her pimp. An associate of Victim 17's pimp took pictures of her and drafted
3 the ads that were placed on Backpage. The Backpage ads contained words and phrases
4 such as "IN/CALLS ONLY," "I'm here to make your wildest fantasies come true!" and
5 "Sorry, but NO BLACK MEN" and included pictures of Victim 17's buttocks and face.

6 **F. Money Laundering Activities**

7 177. Backpage's customers overwhelmingly used the proceeds of criminal
8 activity (*i.e.*, money earned from pimping and prostitution) when purchasing ads on
9 Backpage. In addition, because Backpage's publication of such ads was an independent
10 crime (*e.g.*, violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1952), the fees it collected from customers posting
11 prostitution ads—estimated at more than \$500 million—constituted the proceeds of
12 unlawful activity.

13 178. For these and other reasons, banks and financial institutions repeatedly
14 refused to do business with Backpage. In response, the BACKPAGE DEFENDANTS
15 pursued a variety of money laundering strategies. For example, on August 27, 2013, C.F.
16 was forwarded an array of emails from Backpage customers who were complaining that
17 their credit card companies had refused to process Backpage-related transactions. One
18 customer wrote: "Have you resolved the issue of Chase Bank not honoring payment for
19 you for ethical reasons?" C.F. forwarded these complaint emails to LARKIN, SPEAR, and
20 BRUNST and proposed, as a "solution" to the problem, that Backpage reconfigure its
21 website to fool credit card companies into believing the charges were being incurred on a
22 different website.

23 179. During a November 2013 presentation by C.F. to LARKIN, SPEAR, and
24 BRUNST, C.F. again discussed strategies for fooling credit card companies into believing
25 that Backpage-associated charges were being incurred on different websites, including a
26 proposal to set up shell companies without any apparent connection to Backpage ("create
27 new companies with new principals") and use their bank accounts to accept payment.
28 Another "solution" was to "allow users to fund an account thru several other sites" that

1 “have no adult or images.”

2 180. On November 6, 2013, LARKIN, SPEAR, and BRUNST received an email
3 entitled “Options for the future of Backpage.” This email discussed various strategies for
4 creating new entities to process Backpage-related payments “without ever disclosing ties
5 to Backpage.”

6 181. On April 1, 2015, BRUNST and C.F. were informed that Mastercard was
7 “snooping around” Backpage and might stop processing payments for Backpage. In
8 response, C.F. offered several suggestions for setting up new payment channels that would
9 conceal Backpage’s involvement. One such proposal was to begin routing Backpage-
10 related transactions through banks located in the country of Mauritius. In response,
11 BRUNST stated: “Didnt we go down the Mauritius path once and the banks had the same
12 issue with our content?”

13 182. Notwithstanding these strategies, the three major credit card companies
14 stopped doing business with Backpage. On or about April 30, 2015, Backpage learned that
15 American Express would no longer allow its cards to be used for any purchases in
16 Backpage’s adult section. In or around July 2015, Backpage learned that Mastercard would
17 no longer allow its cards to be used for Backpage-related transactions. When discussing
18 this decision, MasterCard stated that it “has rules that prohibit our cards from being used
19 for illegal activities.” Around the same time, Backpage learned that Visa would no longer
20 allow its cards to be used for Backpage-related transactions. When discussing this
21 decision, Visa stated that its “rules prohibit our network from being used for illegal
22 activity.”

23 183. Similarly, some banks closed accounts that were held by Backpage (or
24 Backpage-related entities) out of concern the accounts were being used for illegal purposes.
25 For example, on April 2, 2014, BRUNST received a letter from U.S. Bank that was
26 addressed to “Backpage.com.” The letter explained: “Dear Jed . . . please be advised that
27 we have elected to close your Account with us.”

28 184. Backpage responded to these developments in several ways. One was to

1 encourage customers to send checks and money orders to a Post Office box held in the
2 name of a seemingly-unrelated entity called Posting Solutions LLC (“Posting Solutions”)
3 and give such customers a corresponding credit on Backpage. For example, on July 31,
4 2015, C.F. exchanged email correspondence with a representative from a payment
5 processing company. In this email, C.F. identified himself as the CEO of Posting
6 Solutions, described Backpage as a “brand” operated by Posting Solutions, and explained
7 he was seeking to “find a way to position payments under another company.”

8 185. The following episode provides an example of how the Posting Solutions
9 payment process worked. On October 16, 2015, Backpage received an email from a
10 customer complaining about her inability to pay for ads using a credit card. In response, a
11 Backpage representative explained—in an email exchange later forwarded to VAUGHT—
12 that “[i]f you would like to pay for upgrades or buy credits, we suggest posting with
13 alternative payment methods such as Bitcoin. If you are in the United States, you can also
14 pay by check or money order. Please make payable to ‘Posting Solutions.’ WE CAN
15 ONLY ACCEPT CHECKS OR MONEY ORDERS MADE OUT TO ‘POSTING
16 SOLUTIONS.’ Posting Solutions. Attn: Accounts. P.O. Box 192307. Dallas, TX 75219.
17 Please send through the United States Postal Service. FedEx, UPS, or other mail delivery
18 alternatives cannot deliver to a P.O. Box. When sending your payment please be sure to
19 include your email address. Please do not make your payments out to backpage.com as we
20 will no longer be able to accept them.”

21 186. Between around September 2015 and June 2016, over \$7.1 million of checks
22 and money orders sent by Backpage customers were deposited in bank accounts held by
23 Posting Solutions.

24 187. Backpage also utilized a different entity, called Website Technologies, LLC
25 (“Website Technologies”), to process Backpage-related funds and took steps to make it
26 appear that Backpage and Website Technologies were independent entities. For example,
27 on March 10, 2014, BRUNST, SPEAR, and others participated in an email exchange with
28 the subject line “Website Technologies vs Backpage (Vendors, audits, risk assessments,

1 email).” During this exchange, one person stated “[C.F.] and I were just discussing
2 company names and the possibility of updating our email addresses to
3 websitetechnologies.com.” In response, BRUNST cautioned: “We need to think this thru
4 or all the work to separate it from BP will be lost.” Similarly, on April 3, 2014, BRUNST
5 sent an email to SPEAR and others explaining that “[b]y May 1 we will have to be out of
6 US Bank. We will move all banking under Website Technologies at [a different bank,
7 BMO Harris].”

8 188. In many instances, Backpage-related money that was initially deposited into
9 accounts held by Posting Solutions was later transmitted to accounts held by Website
10 Technologies. For example:

11 • On October 27, 2015, C.F. received an email entitled “Two packages coming
12 your way! (Money Orders).” The email stated that two UPS packages filled with money
13 orders were being sent—one containing \$47,647.25 of money orders made out to Backpage
14 and the other containing \$52,251.48 of money orders made out to Posting Solutions.

15 • Similarly, on November 16, 2015, C.F. received an email entitled “Three
16 packages sent today \$441,408.69.” The email stated that three packages filled with money
17 orders were being sent—one containing \$129,193.61 of money orders made out to
18 Backpage, another containing \$244,353.63 of money orders made out to Posting Solutions,
19 and the last containing an additional \$67,861.75 of money orders made out to Posting
20 Solutions.

21 • And again, on January 29, 2016, a Posting Solutions account wired \$2.4
22 million to a Website Technologies account. PADILLA and C.F. were both authorized
23 signers on the recipient account.

24 189. In addition to receiving millions of dollars from Posting Solutions, the
25 Website Technologies accounts also served as the repository for millions of dollars of wires
26 from international bank accounts controlled by Backpage-associated entities. For example,
27 between January 2015 and December 2016, Website Technologies accounts received over
28 \$45.4 million in wire transfers from Backpage-associated bank accounts in Liechtenstein,

1 over \$30.1 million in wire transfers from Backpage-associated bank accounts in Iceland,
2 and over \$3.9 million in wire transfers from Backpage-associated bank accounts in the
3 Netherlands.

4 190. In many instances, the next stage of the money-laundering process was for
5 money to be wired from Website Technologies accounts to bank accounts held by a
6 different entity called Cereus Properties LLC (“Cereus Properties”). The authorized
7 signers on the Cereus Properties accounts included SPEAR and BRUNST. Between
8 around December 2015 and October 2016, Website Technologies accounts sent wire
9 transfers totaling over \$47 million to accounts held by Cereus Properties.

10 191. Accounts held by Cereus Properties also received money directly from
11 international bank accounts controlled by Backpage-associated entities. For example,
12 between around August 2016 and November 2016, Cereus Properties accounts received
13 over \$11.3 million in deposits and wire transfers from Backpage-associated accounts in the
14 Netherlands.

15 192. After money reached Cereus Properties, large portions of it were funneled
16 back to Backpage or to certain BACKPAGE DEFENDANTS. For example, between
17 January 2016 and January 2017, LACEY (and LACEY’s family members) received
18 distributions totaling over \$30.3 million and LARKIN separately received distributions
19 totaling over \$21 million.

20 193. Backpage also furthered its money laundering efforts through the use of
21 bitcoin processing companies. Over time, Backpage utilized companies such as Coinbase,
22 GoCoin, Paxful, Kraken, and Crypto Capital to receive payments from customers and/or
23 route money through the accounts of related companies.

24 194. Backpage also furthered its money laundering efforts by developing ways for
25 customers to purchase ads using gift cards issued by third-party vendors. This process was
26 described in a July 23, 2015, email exchange between various Backpage employees on
27 which HYER and others were copied. This exchange included the following: “[W]hat if
28 we used a customers [sic] payment method, say visa prepaid card, to buy [bitcoin] from

1 our seller account . . . giving said bitcoin to our catch-all wallet elsewhere (instead of to
2 user), simultaneously adding credits/purchasing paid ad or upsells? From the user's
3 perspective they just input their prepaid card and get their credits or purchase.”

4 **COUNT 1**

5 **(Conspiracy)**

6 195. The factual allegations in Paragraphs 1-194 are incorporated by reference
7 and re-alleged as though fully set forth herein.

8 196. Beginning in or around 2004, and continuing through April 2018, in the
9 District of Arizona and elsewhere, defendants LACEY, LARKIN, SPEAR, BRUNST,
10 HYER, PADILLA, and VAUGHT, and others known and unknown to the grand jury,
11 knowingly and intentionally agreed, confederated, and conspired with each other, and with
12 others known and unknown to the grand jury, to commit the following offenses against the
13 United States:

- 14 a. 18 U.S.C. § 1952(a)(3)(A) (Travel Act—Facilitate Prostitution).

15 **OBJECT OF THE CONSPIRACY**

16 197. The object of the conspiracy was to obtain money.

17 **MANNER AND MEANS OF THE CONSPIRACY**

18 198. The manner and means of the conspiracy are described in paragraphs 1-194
19 above, incorporated by reference and re-alleged as though fully set forth herein.

20 **OVERT ACTS**

21 199. Overt acts were committed in furtherance of the conspiracy, including but
22 not limited to those described in paragraphs 1-194 above, incorporated by reference and
23 re-alleged as though fully set forth herein.

24 In violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371.

25 **COUNTS 2-51**

26 **(Travel Act—Facilitate Prostitution)**

27 200. The factual allegations in Paragraphs 1-199 are incorporated by reference
28 and re-alleged as though fully set forth herein.

201. On or about the dates set forth below, each instance constituting a separate count of this Superseding Indictment, in the District of Arizona and elsewhere, defendants LACEY, LARKIN, SPEAR, BRUNST, HYER, PADILLA, and VAUGHT, and others known and unknown to the grand jury, used the mail and any facility in interstate and foreign commerce with intent to otherwise promote, manage, establish, carry on, and facilitate the promotion, management, establishment, and carrying on of an unlawful activity, to wit: prostitution offenses in violation of the laws of the State in which they are committed and of the United States, including but not limited to Title 13, Arizona Revised Statutes, Section 13-3214, and thereafter performed and attempted to perform an act that did promote, manage, establish, carry on, and facilitate the promotion, management, establishment, and carrying on of the unlawful activity, as follows:

| <u>Count</u> | <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|--------------|----------------|--|
| 2. | Sept. 10, 2013 | Publish ad depicting Victim 5 entitled "Get freaky Tuesday . . . Come spend ur day with us – 19," with accompanying text "Doin incalls and outcalls" |
| 3. | Jan. 27, 2014 | Publish ad involving P.R. entitled "50 Red R*O*S*E*S S*P*E*C*I*A*L - DONT MISS OUT!!!!!" |
| 4. | Jan. 29, 2014 | Publish ad depicting Victim 8 entitled "Pucrto Rican mami in walpole area INCALLS –19" after deleting one picture from the originally-submitted ad |
| 5. | Jan. 31, 2014 | Publish ad depicting Victim 8 entitled "Exotic latina, south portland area, ready to play, INCALLS, 30 min specials!!! – 19" after deleting one picture from originally-submitted ad |
| 6. | Feb. 6, 2014 | Publish ad involving P.R. entitled "75 Red R*O*S*E*S S*P*E*C*I*A*L - DONT MISS OUT!!!!!" |
| 7. | Apr. 20, 2014 | Publish ad involving P.R. entitled "50 Red R*O*S*E*S S*P*E*C*I*A*L - DONT MISS OUT!!!!!" |

| | | | |
|----|-----|---------------|--|
| 1 | 8. | May 7, 2014 | Publish ad involving P.R. entitled "50 Red R*O*S*E*S S*P*E*C*I*A*L - DONT MISS OUT!!!!!" |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | 9. | May 31, 2014 | Publish ad involving P.R. entitled "50 Red R*O*S*E*S S*P*E*C*I*A*L - DONT MISS OUT!!!!!" |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | 10. | July 1, 2014 | Publish ad involving P.R. entitled "50 Red R*O*S*E*S S*P*E*C*I*A*L - DONT MISS OUT!!!!!" |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | 11. | Aug. 19, 2014 | Publish ad involving P.R. entitled "50 Red R*O*S*E*S S*P*E*C*I*A*L - DONT MISS OUT!!!!!" |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | 12. | Nov. 23, 2014 | Publish ad depicting Victim 10 entitled "New in Town Super Hot Skinny Mixed Cuban Girl With Long Black Hair – 18" after deleting picture from originally-submitted ad |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | 13. | Jan. 29, 2015 | Publish ad depicting Victim 12 entitled "New in Town Sexy Dark Asain Bombshell with a Nice & Tight {Booty} – 23" after deleting one picture from the originally-submitted ad |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | 14. | Jan. 31, 2015 | Publish ad depicting Victim 10 entitled "NEW IN TOWN sexy sweet European mixed Cuban California girl – 21" |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | 15. | Jan. 31, 2015 | Publish ad depicting Victim 12 entitled "New in Town Sexy Dark Asian mixed Bombshell – 23" after deleting one picture from the originally-submitted ad |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | 16. | Feb. 4, 2015 | Publish ad depicting Victim 11 entitled "Upscale Independent BRUNETTE BOMBSHELL 5-Star Fantasy – 26," after deleting pictures from originally-submitted ad |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | 17. | Feb. 18, 2015 | Publish ad depicting Victim 11 entitled "Alexis Foxx the HOTTEST in town!!!! – 26," after deleting six pictures from the originally-submitted ad |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | 18. | Feb. 26, 2015 | Publish ad involving P.R. entitled "50 Red R*O*S*E*S S*P*E*C*I*A*L - DONT MISS OUT!!!!!" |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |

| | | | |
|----|-----|---------------|--|
| 1 | 19. | May 18, 2015 | Publish ad depicting Victim 15 entitled "GORGEOUS ebony PLAYMATE Perfect Curves...Skills to make ur TOES CURL – 19," after removing one picture of originally-submitted ad, with accompanying text "you agree . . . you are not affiliated with any law enforcement agency" and "Incalls & Outcall!!!" |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | 20. | May 19, 2015 | Publish ad depicting Victim 15 entitled "Hot & Dripping Submissive Ebony Playmates – 20," after removing one picture of originally-submitted ad, with accompanying text "you agree . . . you are not affiliated with any law enforcement agency" and "We're ready to please and accommodate all of your needs and wants!! With a mouth that'll ROCK your [] and a [picture of cat] that'll leave you purring for more" |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | 21. | July 1, 2015 | Publish ad depicting Victim 17 entitled "AbSoLuTeLy AmAziNg CoMe PLaY WiTh Me #1 MoST WaNtEd SwEeT SEXii PLAYmate – 20," with accompanying text "By contacting me you agree that you are not affiliated with any form of law enforcement," PERFECT & Will satisfy your every need," and "IN/CALLS – ONLY" |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | 22. | July 2, 2015 | Publish ad depicting Victim 17 entitled "SeXy!! Exotic playmate Call me! the girl you NEED to See! – 20," with accompanying text "I DO NOT OFFER 40\$, 50\$, 60\$ SPECIALS" and "IN/CALLS – ONLY" |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | 23. | Aug. 13, 2015 | Publish ad depicting Victim 13 entitled "Young SEXY PUERTO RICAN – 19," which accompanying text "I do half hour sessions that vary in donation prices, 80 for head, 120 for hooking up without head and 150 for hooking up with head" |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |

| | | | |
|----|-----|----------------|---|
| 1 | 24. | Aug. 15, 2015 | Publish ad depicting Victim 16 entitled "Outcalls Now Freaky Curvy Caramel Lady OUTCALLS NOW – 23" |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | 25. | Sept. 13, 2015 | Publish ad involving P.R. entitled "50 Red R*O*S*E*S S*P*E*C*I*A*L - DONT MISS OUT!!!!!" |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | 26. | Nov. 28, 2015 | Publish ad involving P.R. entitled "50 Red R*O*S*E*S S*P*E*C*I*A*L - DONT MISS OUT!!!!!" |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | 27. | Apr. 21, 2016 | Publish ad entitled "Finally!! PSE & GFE – Kimber Rae and MIA Marie Together BOOK NOW" |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | 28. | Nov. 3, 2016 | Publish ad entitled "GFEE New – 18" |
| 10 | 29. | Nov. 11, 2016 | Publish ad entitled "Mind blowing Tiffany. Incall in Taunton – 37," with accompanying text "Soft GFE . . . Im real and reviewed" |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | 30. | Nov. 14, 2016 | Publish ad entitled "Top Model 2016 Special 'Best Looking Young Asian' . . . – 22," with accompanying text "Sexy Asian Girl Incall Service" and "GFE" |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | 31. | Nov. 14, 2016 | Publish ad entitled "Sometimes It's All About The Journey, And The Destination.....Erectile Dysfunctional G F E Provider – 44," with accompanying test "You can find a few current reviews at T3R xxxxxx#" and "I have been EROS authenticated" |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | 32. | Nov. 19, 2016 | Publish ad entitled "The True (G)irl (F)riend (E)xpcrience... Visiting November 27th Sunday ~ PRE-BOOKING SPECIAL ~ - 100," with accompanying text "Let's blur restrictions between financial transaction & Romantic Connection" |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |

| | | | |
|----|-----|---------------|--|
| 1 | 33. | Nov. 24, 2016 | Publish ad entitled "Top Asian Grand Opncing 100% Young 100% Sexy . . . - 23," with accompanying text "BEST INCALL IN TOWN!" and "GFE" |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | 34. | Nov. 26, 2016 | Publish ad entitled "I LOVE MEN!! I'm a GFE. OutCall and Incall with exception on the Incall!! - 42" |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | 35. | Dec. 20, 2016 | Publish ad entitled "OMG Sexy Sensual 36DD-24-36 Stacked College Coed With The Best Mouth Ever! BOOK NOW! -24," with accompanying text "I do ALL the things YOU Wish Your Wife Did!!" and "(G).(F).(E) 30 min/\$180" |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | 36. | Jan. 15, 2017 | Publish ad entitled "Real & Reviewed Girlfriend Theonesweet.weebly.com - 30," with accompanying text "250 G F E" |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | 37. | Apr. 4, 2017 | Publish ad entitled "KISSING & GFE KOREAN GIRLS - 20" |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | 38. | Apr. 11, 2017 | Publish ad entitled "Pettit Sexy #Corey# 4407239339 - 39," with accompanying text "complete GFE experience" |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | 39. | July 3, 2017 | Publish ad entitled "WANNA HANG OUT NOW UpScale New In Town! Call ME now for an unforgettable visit -- 20," with accompanying text "100% GFE with 100% no Pimps" |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | 40. | July 15, 2017 | Publish ad entitled "Ready for some fun daddy? This is your chance too have a amazing time - 21," with accompanying text "Slim body, nice tits, freaky, GFE" |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | 41. | July 15, 2017 | Publish ad entitled "New in town BiGBubble Booty SWEETLiPS HOT BODY - 24," with "GFE" in accompanying text |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | 42. | July 21, 2017 | Publish ad entitled "Pettit Sexy #Corey# 4407239339 - 30," with accompanying text "complete GFE experience" |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |

| | | | |
|----|-----|---------------|--|
| 1 | 43. | July 23, 2017 | Publish ad entitled "ASIAN GODDESS young -- 20," with accompanying text "100% Discreet service" and "#GFE" |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | 44. | Jan. 26, 2018 | Publish ad entitled "GFE Service Available! Private Encounters w/ Pampering Beauty" |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | 45. | Jan. 30, 2018 | Publish ad entitled "241 & white plans area Carfun Perfect Treat Available No Rush," with "Sweet Sexy GFE" in accompanying text |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | 46. | Jan. 30, 2018 | Publish ad entitled "GFE REAL HOT Sweet DREAM AMAZING BEST RELAX" |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | 47. | Jan. 30, 2018 | Publish ad entitled "Tall, Slim & Scxy Luxe Goddess * NARCISA * Sensual Body Rub + Fetish Sessions," with accompanying text "gfe Hh: \$160 H: \$220" |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | 48. | Jan. 31, 2018 | Publish ad entitled "Exotic Asian Beauty," with accompanying text "I am an independent GFE with excellent massage skills" |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | 49. | Feb. 1, 2018 | Publish ad entitled "Nuru (Best GFE ever) incall only" |
| 17 | 50. | Feb. 6, 2018 | Publish ad entitled "Tuesday with Ashleigh. Available now," with "GFE" in accompanying text |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | 51. | Feb. 6, 2018 | Publish ad entitled "GFE Kisskisspop 100% Real Photo Choice 9Asian girl Nurunude" |
| 20 | | | |

21 In violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1952(a)(3)(A).

22 **COUNT 52**

23 **(Conspiracy To Commit Money Laundering)**

24 202. The factual allegations in Paragraphs 1-201 are incorporated by reference
25 and re-alleged as though fully set forth herein.

26 203. Beginning in or around 2004, and continuing through April 2018, in the
27 District of Arizona and elsewhere, defendants LACEY, LARKIN, SPEAR, BRUNST, and
28

1 HYER, and others known and unknown to the grand jury, knowingly and intentionally
 2 agreed, confederated, and conspired with each other, and with others known and unknown
 3 to the grand jury, to commit the following offenses against the United States:

- 4 a. 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(A)(i) (Promotional Money Laundering)
 5 b. 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) (Concealment Money Laundering)
 6 c. 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(A) (Int'l Promotional Money Laundering)
 7 d. 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(B)(i) (Int'l Concealment Money Laundering)
 8 e. 18 U.S.C. § 1957(a) (Transactional Money Laundering)

9 In violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h).

10 **COUNTS 53-62**

11 **(Concealment Money Laundering)**

12 204. The factual allegations in Paragraphs 1-203 are incorporated by reference
 13 and re-alleged as though fully set forth herein.

14 205. On or about the dates set forth below, each instance constituting a separate
 15 count of this Superseding Indictment, in the District of Arizona and elsewhere, defendants
 16 LACEY, LARKIN, SPEAR, BRUNST, and HYER, and others known and unknown to the
 17 grand jury, knowing that the property involved in a financial transaction represented the
 18 proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, conducted and attempted to conduct such a
 19 financial transaction which in fact involved the proceeds of specified unlawful activity
 20 knowing that the transaction was designed in whole and in part to conceal and disguise the
 21 nature, the location, the source, the ownership, and the control of the proceeds of the
 22 specified unlawful activity, as follows:

23

| <u>Count</u> | <u>Date</u> | <u>Amount</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|--------------|--------------|----------------|---|
| 24 53. | May 18, 2016 | \$1,476,505.00 | Website Technologies (x2008) to Cereus 25 Properties (x6211) |
| 26 54. | May 18, 2016 | \$264,438.00 | Website Technologies (x2008) to Cereus 27 Properties (x6211) |

28

| | | | | |
|----|-----|---------------|----------------|--|
| 1 | 55. | May 31, 2016 | \$3,171,675.80 | Website Technologies (x2008) to Cereus Properties (x6211) |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | 56. | May 31, 2016 | \$432,961.87 | Website Technologies (x2008) to Cereus Properties (x6211) |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | 57. | June 20, 2016 | \$842,878.00 | Website Technologies (x2008) to Cereus Properties (x6211) |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | 58. | June 30, 2016 | \$3,076,147.75 | Website Technologies (x2008) to Cereus Properties (x6211) |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | 59. | July 27, 2016 | \$3,252,681.62 | Website Technologies (x2008) to Cereus Properties (x6211) |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | 60. | July 27, 2016 | \$438,818.86 | Website Technologies (x2008) to Cereus Properties (x6211) |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | 61. | Aug. 16, 2016 | \$804,250.00 | Website Technologies (x2008) to Cereus Properties (x6211) |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | 62. | Aug. 31, 2016 | \$3,171,264.42 | Website Technologies (x2008) to Cereus Properties (x6211) |
| 16 | | | | |

17 In violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(B)(i).

18 **COUNTS 63-68**

19 **(International Promotional Money Laundering)**

20 206. The factual allegations in Paragraphs 1-205 are incorporated by reference
21 and re-alleged as though fully set forth herein.

22 207. On or about the dates set forth below, each instance constituting a separate
23 count of this Superseding Indictment, in the District of Arizona and elsewhere, defendants
24 LACEY, LARKIN, SPEAR, BRUNST, and HYER, and others known and unknown to the
25 grand jury, transported, transmitted, and transferred, and attempted to transport, transmit,
26 and transfer, a monetary instrument and funds from a place in the United States to and
27 through a place outside the United States, and to a place in the United States from and
28

1 through a place outside the United States, with the intent to promote the carrying on of
 2 specified unlawful activity, as follows:

| <u>Count</u> | <u>Date</u> | <u>Amount</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|---|
| 63. | Mar. 4, 2014 | \$6,450.00 | U.S. Bank (x1165) to S.B. (web developer in India) |
| 64. | Aug. 5, 2016 | \$5,005,732.86 | Ad Tech B.V. (Netherlands) to Cereus Properties (x6211) |
| 65. | Sept, 22, 2016 | \$2,916,955.00 | Ad Tech B.V. (Netherlands) to Cereus Properties (x6211) |
| 66. | Oct. 3, 2016 | \$354,050.84 | Ad Tech B.V. (Netherlands) to Cereus Properties (x6211) |
| 67. | Nov. 2, 2016 | \$2,726,170.00 | Ad Tech B.V. (Netherlands) to Cereus Properties (x6211) |
| 68. | Nov. 15, 2016 | \$351,403.54 | Ad Tech B.V. (Netherlands) to Cereus Properties (x6211) |

17 In violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(A).

18 **COUNTS 69-99**

19 **(Transactional Money Laundering)**

20 208. The factual allegations in Paragraphs 1-207 are incorporated by reference
 21 and re-alleged as though fully set forth herein.

22 209. On or about the dates set forth below, each instance constituting a separate
 23 count of this Superseding Indictment, in the United States and in the District of Arizona
 24 and elsewhere, the specified defendant, and others known and unknown to the grand jury,
 25 knowingly engaged and attempted to engage in a monetary transaction in criminally
 26 derived property of a value greater than \$10,000 and is derived from specified unlawful
 27 activity, as follows:

| <u>Count</u> | <u>Defendant</u> | <u>Date</u> | <u>Amount</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|--------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|--|
| 69. | LACEY, BRUNST | Aug. 21, 2013 | \$30,000.00 | Bank of America (x1793) to Stewart Title (partial payment for Sedona property) |
| 70. | LACEY, BRUNST | Sept. 13, 2013 | \$62,491.47 | BMO Harris to Stewart Title (partial payment for Sedona property) |
| 71. | SPEAR | June 11, 2014 | \$300,000.00 | National Bank of Arizona (x0178) to Spear Family Trust |
| 72. | SPEAR | June 20, 2014 | \$200,000.00 | National Bank of Arizona (x0178) to TD Ameritrade |
| 73. | SPEAR | Nov. 4, 2014 | \$1,000,000.00 | National Bank of Arizona (x0178) to UBS Financial |
| 74. | SPEAR | May 14, 2015 | \$250,000.00 | National Bank of Arizona (x0178) to Lincoln National Life |
| 75. | SPEAR | May 26, 2015 | \$50,000.00 | National Bank of Arizona (x0178) to Industrial Property Trust |
| 76. | SPEAR | Nov. 3, 2015 | \$300,000.00 | National Bank of Arizona (x0178) to Ally Bank |
| 77. | SPEAR | Dec. 1, 2015 | \$200,000.00 | National Bank of Arizona (x0178) to Wells Fargo |
| 78. | SPEAR, BRUNST | Jan. 11, 2016 | \$133,045.00 | Cereus Properties (x6211) to National Bank of Arizona (x0178) |

| | | | | | |
|----|-----|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---|
| 1 | 79. | BRUNST | Jan. 26, 2016 | \$101,974.00 | Cereus Properties (x6211) to Wells Fargo (x4891) |
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | 80. | LARKIN, BRUNST | Feb. 3, 2016 | \$1,507,944.00 | Cereus Properties (x6211) to Charles Schwab |
| 4 | | | | | |
| 5 | 81. | LACEY, BRUNST | Mar. 1, 2016 | \$1,692,020.00 | Cereus Properties (x6211) to Bank of America (x5554) |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | 82. | BRUNST | Apr. 1, 2016 | \$220,944.00 | Cereus Properties (x6211) to Wells Fargo (x4891) |
| 8 | | | | | |
| 9 | 83. | LACEY, BRUNST | June 27, 2016 | \$397,9500.00 | Arizona Bank & Trust (x1793) to Fidelity Title (partial payment for San Francisco property) |
| 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | |
| 12 | 84. | LACEY, BRUNST | July 20, 2016 | \$12,859,152.57 | Arizona Bank & Trust (x1793) to Fidelity Title (partial payment for San Francisco property) |
| 13 | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | 85. | SPEAR | July 22, 2016 | \$50,000.00 | National Bank of Arizona (x0178) to Strategic Storage Trust II |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | |
| 18 | 86. | LACEY, BRUNST | Aug. 2, 2016 | \$16,243.00 | Cereus Properties (x6211) to Wells Fargo (x0495) |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | 87. | LARKIN, BRUNST | Oct. 6, 2016 | \$1,206,356.00 | Cereus Properties (x6211) to Charles Schwab (x4693) |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | 88. | LACEY, BRUNST | Oct. 6, 2016 | \$268,016.00 | Cereus Properties (x6211) to Arizona Bank & Trust (x1967) |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | 89. | LACEY, BRUNST | Oct. 6, 2016 | \$268,016.00 | Cereus Properties (x6211) to Arizona Bank & Trust (x1972) |
| 25 | | | | | |
| 26 | 90. | LACEY, BRUNST | Oct. 6, 2016 | \$268,016.00 | Cercus Properties (x6211) to Arizona Bank & Trust (x1986) |
| 27 | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|----|-----|------------------|---------------|-----------------|---|
| 1 | 91. | LACEY, BRUNST | Oct. 6, 2016 | \$268,016.00 | Cercus Properties (x6211) to Arizona Bank & Trust (x1991) |
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | 92. | LACEY, BRUNST | Oct. 6, 2016 | \$268,016.00 | Cereus Properties (x6211) to Arizona Bank & Trust (x2014) |
| 4 | | | | | |
| 5 | 93. | SPEAR, BRUNST | Oct. 6, 2016 | \$141,444.00 | Cereus Properties (x6211) to National Bank of Arizona (x0178) |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | |
| 8 | 94. | LACEY | Dec. 29, 2016 | \$3,300,000.00 | Arizona Bank & Trust/Dubuque Bank & Trust to Johnson Bank (x9992) |
| 9 | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | 95. | LACEY | Dec. 29, 2016 | \$3,300,000.00 | Arizona Bank & Trust/Dubuque Bank & Trust to Johnson Bank (x9992) |
| 12 | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | |
| 14 | 96. | LACEY | Dec. 29, 2016 | \$3,300,000.00 | Arizona Bank & Trust/Dubuque Bank & Trust to Johnson Bank (x9992) |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | 97. | LACEY | Dec. 29, 2016 | \$3,300,000.00 | Arizona Bank & Trust/Dubuque Bank & Trust to Johnson Bank (x9992) |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | 98. | LACEY | Dec. 29, 2016 | \$3,300,000.00 | Arizona Bank & Trust/Dubuque Bank & Trust to Johnson Bank (x9992) |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | 99. | LACEY | Jan. 3, 2017 | \$16,500,000.00 | Johnson Bank (x9992) to Primus Trust Co./K&H Bank (Hungary) |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | |

In violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1957(a).

COUNT 100**(International Concealment Money Laundering)**

210. The factual allegations in Paragraphs 1-209 are incorporated by reference and re-alleged as though fully set forth herein.

211. On or about the date set forth below, in the United States and in the District of Arizona and elsewhere, the specified defendant, and others known and unknown to the grand jury, transported, transmitted, and transferred, and attempted to transport, transmit, and transfer, a monetary instrument and funds from a place in the United States to and through a place outside the United States, and to a place in the United States from and through a place outside the United States, knowing that the monetary instrument and funds involved in the transportation, transmission, and transfer represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity and knowing that such transportation, transmission, and transfer was designed in whole and in part to conceal and disguise the nature, the location, the source, the ownership, and the control of the proceeds of specified unlawful activity, as follows:

| <u>Count</u> | <u>Defendant</u> | <u>Date</u> | <u>Amount</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|--------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------|---|
| 100. | LACEY | Jan. 3, 2017 | \$16,500,000.00 | Johnson Bank (x9992) to Primus Trust Co./K&H Bank (Hungary) |

In violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(B)(i).

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION ONE

[18 U.S.C. 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c)]

1
2
3 1. The factual allegations in Paragraphs 1-211 are incorporated by reference
4 and re-alleged as though fully set forth herein.

5 2. Pursuant to Rule 32.2 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, notice is
6 hereby given that the United States will seek forfeiture as part of any sentence, pursuant to
7 Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code,
8 Section 2461(c), in the event of any defendant's conviction under Counts 1 through 51 of
9 this Superseding Indictment. Each defendant so convicted shall forfeit to the United States
10 the following:

11 a. All right, title, and interest in any and all property, real or personal,
12 constituting, or derived from, any proceeds obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of
13 the offense. Such property includes, but is not limited to, the real property located at the
14 following addresses:

- 15 1. 10647 N. State Route 89A, Sedona, AZ 86336
16 2. 1100 Union St. #700, San Francisco, CA 94109
17 3. 1308 E. 56th Street, 2, Chicago, IL 60637
18 4. 14, rue Saint Guillaume, Paris, France 75007
19 5. 2043 Pleasant Hill Rd, Sebastopol, CA 95472
20 6. 2416 N. Foote Dr., Phoenix, AZ 85008
21 7. 2531 Tumbleweed Way, Frisco, TX 75034
22 8. 2755 Fillmore St, San Francisco, CA 94123
23 9. 3300 E. Stella Lane, Paradise Valley, AZ 85253
24 10. 3304 E. Stella Lane, Paradise Valley, AZ 85253
25 11. 3308 E. Stella Lane, Paradise Valley, AZ 85253
26 12. 3311 E. Stella Lane, Paradise Valley, AZ 85253
27 13. 3353 Red Robin Road, Pinetop, AZ 85935
28 14. 343 Presidio Ave, San Francisco, CA 94115

- 1 15. 3516 Estacado Lane, Plano, TX 75025-4432 (Rental)
- 2 16. 493 Zinfandel Lane, Saint Helena, CA 94574
- 3 17. 4931 E. White Gates Drive, Phoenix, AZ 85018
- 4 18. 5245 Evening Sun Dr., Frisco, TX 75034
- 5 19. 5555 North Casa Blanca Drive, Paradise Valley, AZ 85253
- 6 20. 5751 N. 77th Place, Scottsdale, AZ 85250
- 7 21. 5830 E. Calle Del Media (Medio), Phoenix, AZ 85018
- 8 22. 6300 N. 33rd Street, Paradise Valley, AZ 85253
- 9 23. 6314 N. 33rd Street, Paradise Valley, AZ 85253
- 10 24. 7409 Kingsbarns, The Colony, TX 75056
- 11 25. 8604 E. San Ardo Dr., Scottsdale, AZ 85258
- 12 26. 948 Carlsbad Dr., Plano, TX 75023

13 Such property also includes, but is not limited to, all funds, securities, and/or other assets
14 held in the following bank accounts:

- 15 1. Prosperity Bank account number XXXXX7188
- 16 2. Compass Bank Account number XXXXXX3873
- 17 3. Compass Bank Account number XXXXXX3825
- 18 4. National Bank of Arizona Account number XXXX0178
- 19 5. National Bank of Arizona Account number XXXX0151
- 20 6. National Bank of Arizona Account number XXXX3645
- 21 7. Live Oak Bank Account Number x6910
- 22 8. Ascensus Broker Dealer Services Account Number XXXXX6943-01
- 23 9. Ascensus Broker Dealer Services account Number XXXXX5280-01
- 24 10. First Federal Savings & Loan of San Rafael account number XXXX3620
- 25 11. Republic Bank of Arizona account number XXXX1889
- 26 12. Republic Bank of Arizona account number XXXX2592
- 27 13. Republic Bank of Arizona account number XXXX2500
- 28 14. Republic Bank of Arizona account number XXXX1938

- 1 15. Bank of America Account number XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX8225
- 2 16. Bank of America Account number XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX7054
- 3 17. Bank of America Account number XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX9342
- 4 18. Bank of America Account number XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX0071
- 5 19. San Francisco Fire Credit Union Account Number XXXXXXXXXXXX2523
- 6 20. Ally Bank Account Number XXXXXX6292
- 7 21. Branch Banking and Trust Bank account number XXXXXXXXXXX0218
- 8 22. Green Bank Account number XXX4832
- 9 23. Green Bank Account number XXXXXX4293
- 10 24. Perkins Coie Brokerage Account number xxxxxx7012
- 11 25. Perkins Coie Liquid Assets xxxxxxx0012
- 12 26. Alliance Bernstein Brokerage Account number xxxx6878
- 13 27. Alliance Bernstein Brokerage Account number xxxx4954
- 14 28. Alliance Bernstein Brokerage Account number xxxx7892
- 15 29. Alliance Bernstein Brokerage Account number xxxx7888
- 16 30. Alliance Bernstein Brokerage Account number xxxx6485
- 17 31. Republic Bank of Arizona Brokerage Account number xxxx2485
- 18 32. Republic Bank of Arizona Brokerage Account number xxxx1897
- 19 33. Republic Bank of Arizona Brokerage Account number xxxx3126
- 20 34. Republic Bank of Arizona Certificate of Deposit xxxxxx8316
- 21 35. Republic Bank of Arizona Certificate of Deposit xxxxxx8324
- 22 36. Republic Bank of Arizona Certificate of Deposit xxxxxx8332
- 23 37. Republic Bank of Arizona Certificate of Deposit xxxxxx8103
- 24 38. Republic Bank of Arizona Certificate of Deposit xxxxxx8162
- 25 39. Republic Bank of Arizona Certificate of Deposit xxxxxx8189
- 26 40. Paul Hastings LLP Account number xxxxx0457
- 27 41. Global Trading Solutions xxx7177
- 28 42. K&H Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx1210

- 1 43. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx5803
- 2 44. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx5801
- 3 45. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx5805
- 4 46. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx2226
- 5 47. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx2231
- 6 48. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx2230
- 7 49. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx4194
- 8 50. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx4196
- 9 51. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx4198
- 10 52. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx8083
- 11 53. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx8086
- 12 54. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx8080
- 13 55. Bank Frick Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx LI090x
- 14 56. Bank Frick Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx LI300x
- 15 57. Bank Frick Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx LI740x
- 16 58. Bank Frick Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx LI900x
- 17 59. Knab Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx7664
- 18 60. Rabo Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx2452
- 19 61. Rabo Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx4721
- 20 62. Acacia Conservation Fund Brokerage Account number x2020
- 21 63. Saxo Payments Account number x1262
- 22 64. AS LHV Pank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx4431
- 23 65. Bitcoin Wallet -6Lix5 in the amount of 6 BTC
- 24 66. Bitcoin Wallet -6Lix5 in the amount of 199.99995716 BTC
- 25 67. Bitcoin Wallet -6Lix5 in the amount of 404.9984122 BTC
- 26 68. JP Morgan Chase Bank account number xxxxx4455 in the amount of \$699,940
- 27 69. JP Morgan Chase Bank account number xxxxx4455 in the amount of \$106,988.41
- 28 70. JP Morgan Chase Bank account number xxxxx4455 in the amount of \$499,910

- 1 71. JP Morgan Chase Bank account number xxxxx4455 in the amount of \$50,000
- 2 72. Bitcoin Cash Wallet -t8v7e in the amount of 3,673.59306905 BCH
- 3 73. Litecoin Wallet -goaeV in the amount of 16,310.79413202 LTC
- 4 74. Bitcoin Wallet -6Lix5 in the amount of 173.97319 BTC
- 5 75. Bitcoin Cash Wallet -t8v7e in the amount of 55.5 BCH
- 6 76. Bitcoin Wallet -6Lix5 in the amount of 411.00019 BTC
- 7 77. Bitcoin Wallet -6Lix5 in the amount of 2.00069333 BTC
- 8 78. Bitcoin Wallet -6Lix5 in the amount of 136.6544695 BTC
- 9 79. Bitcoin Cash Wallet -t8v7e in the amount of 73.62522241 BCH
- 10 80. Litecoin Wallet -goaeV in the amount of 783.9735116 LTC
- 11 81. Bitcoin Gold Wallet -KK1mJ in the amount of 509.81904619 BTG
- 12 82. Crypto Capital account number x1124
- 13 83. Crypto Capital account number x1933
- 14 84. Any and all bank funds, securities, cryptocurrency, or other assets on deposit or
15 seized from an account held at Kraken in the name of Ad Tech BV.
- 16 85. JP Morgan Chase Bank account number xxxxx4155 in the amount of \$42,500
- 17 86. Alliance Bernstein Brokerage account x7889
- 18 87. Alliance Bernstein Brokerage account x0582
- 19 88. Midfirst Bank account x4139
- 20 89. Crypto Capital account number x6886
- 21 Such property further includes, but is not limited to, the following domain names:
 - 22 1. admoderation.com (Versio)
 - 23 2. admoderators.com (Versio)
 - 24 3. adnet.ws (NetNames)
 - 25 4. adplace24.com (Versio)
 - 26 5. adplaces24.com (Versio)
 - 27 6. adpost24.com (Versio)
 - 28 7. adpost24.cz (GoDaddy)

- 1 8. adquick365.com (Versio)
- 2 9. adreputation.com (NctNames)
- 3 10. ads-posted-mp.com (Versio)
- 4 11. adsplace24.com (Versio)
- 5 12. adspot24.com (Versio)
- 6 13. adspots24.com (Versio)
- 7 14. adsspot24.com (Versio)
- 8 15. adtechbv.co.nl (NetNames)
- 9 16. adtechbv.com (NctNames)
- 10 17. adtechbv.nl (NetNames)
- 11 18. advert-ep.com (Versio)
- 12 19. adverts-mp.com (Versio)
- 13 20. axme.com (GoDaddy)
- 14 21. back0age.com (NetNames)
- 15 22. backpa.ge (NetNames)
- 16 23. backpage.com (NetNames)
- 17 24. backpage-insider.com (NctNames)
- 18 25. backpage.adult (NctNames)
- 19 26. backpage.ae (NetNames)
- 20 27. backpage.at (NetNames)
- 21 28. backpage.ax (NetNames)
- 22 29. backpage.be (NetNames)
- 23 30. backpage.bg (European domains)
- 24 31. backpage.bg (NctNames)
- 25 32. backpage.ca (NetNamcs)
- 26 33. backpage.cl (NetNames)
- 27 34. backpage.cn (European domains)
- 28 35. backpage.cn (NetNames)

- 1 36. backpage.co.id (NetNames)
- 2 37. backpage.co.nl (European domains)
- 3 38. backpage.co.nl (NetNames)
- 4 39. backpage.co.nz (NetNames)
- 5 40. backpage.co.uk (NetNames)
- 6 41. backpage.co.ve (NetNames)
- 7 42. backpage.co.za (NetNames)
- 8 43. backpage.com (NetNames)
- 9 44. backpage.com.ar (NetNames)
- 10 45. backpage.com.au (NetNames)
- 11 46. backpage.com.ph (NetNames)
- 12 47. backpage.cz (NetNames)
- 13 48. backpage.dk (NetNames)
- 14 49. backpage.ec (NetNames)
- 15 50. backpage.ee (European domains)
- 16 51. backpage.ee (NetNames)
- 17 52. backpage.es (NetNames)
- 18 53. backpage.fi (European domains)
- 19 54. backpage.fi (NetNames)
- 20 55. backpage.fr (European domains)
- 21 56. backpage.fr (NetNames)
- 22 57. backpage.gr (European domains)
- 23 58. backpage.gr (NetNames)
- 24 59. backpage.hk (European domains)
- 25 60. backpage.hk (NetNames)
- 26 61. backpage.hu (European domains)
- 27 62. backpage.hu (NetNames)
- 28 63. backpage.ie (NetNames)

- 1 64. backpage.in (NetNames)
- 2 65. backpage.it (NetNames)
- 3 66. backpage.jp (NetNames)
- 4 67. backpage.kr (NetNames)
- 5 68. backpage.lt (NetNames)
- 6 69. backpage.lv (European domains)
- 7 70. backpage.lv (NetNames)
- 8 71. backpage.me (NetNames)
- 9 72. backpage.mx (NetNames)
- 10 73. backpage.my (NetNames)
- 11 74. backpage.net (NetNames)
- 12 75. backpage.nl (NetNames)
- 13 76. backpage.no (European domains)
- 14 77. backpage.no (NetNames)
- 15 78. backpage.nz (NetNames)
- 16 79. backpage.pe (NetNames)
- 17 80. backpage.ph (NetNames)
- 18 81. backpage.pk (NetNames)
- 19 82. backpage.pl (NetNames)
- 20 83. backpage.porn (NetNames)
- 21 84. backpage.pt (NetNames)
- 22 85. backpage.ro (European domains)
- 23 86. backpage.ro (NetNames)
- 24 87. backpage.se (NetNames)
- 25 88. backpage.sex (NetNames)
- 26 89. backpage.sg (NetNames)
- 27 90. backpage.si (European domains)
- 28 91. backpage.si (NetNames)

- 1 92. backpage.sk (European domains)
- 2 93. backpage.sk (NetNames)
- 3 94. backpage.sucks (NetNames)
- 4 95. backpage.tw (NetNames)
- 5 96. backpage.uk (NetNames)
- 6 97. backpage.uk.com (NetNames)
- 7 98. backpage.us (NetNames)
- 8 99. backpage.vn (NetNames)
- 9 100. backpage.xxx (NetNames)
- 10 101. backpage.xyz (NetNames)
- 11 102. backpagecompimp.com (NetNames)
- 12 103. backpagecompimps.com (NetNames)
- 13 104. backpagepimp.com (NetNames)
- 14 105. backpagepimps.com (NetNames)
- 15 106. backpageg.com (NetNames)
- 16 107. backpagm.com (NetNames)
- 17 108. backpagu.com (NetNames)
- 18 109. backpaoc.com (NetNames)
- 19 110. backpawc.com (NetNames)
- 20 111. backqage.com (NetNames)
- 21 112. backrage.com (NetNames)
- 22 113. backxage.com (NetNames)
- 23 114. bakkpage.com (NetNames)
- 24 115. bcklistings.com (NetNames)
- 25 116. bcstofbackpage.com (NetNames)
- 26 117. bestofbigcity.com (NetNames)
- 27 118. bickpage.com (NetNames)
- 28 119. bigcity.com (NetNames)

- 1 120. bpclassified.com (NetNames)
- 2 121. bpclassifieds.com (NetNames)
- 3 122. carlferrer.com (NetNames)
- 4 123. clasificadosymas.com (NetNames)
- 5 124. clasificadosymas.net (NetNames)
- 6 125. clasificadosymas.org (NetNames)
- 7 126. classifiedsolutions.co.uk (NetNames)
- 8 127. classifiedsolutions.net (NetNames)
- 9 128. classyadultads.com (Versio)
- 10 129. columbusbackpage.com (NetNames)
- 11 130. connecticutbackpage.com (NetNames)
- 12 131. cracker.co.id (NetNames)
- 13 132. cracker.com (NetNames)
- 14 133. cracker.com.au (NetNames)
- 15 134. cracker.id (NetNames)
- 16 135. cracker.net.au (NetNames)
- 17 136. crackers.com.au (NetNames)
- 18 137. crackers.net.au (NetNames)
- 19 138. ctbackpage.com (NetNames)
- 20 139. dallasbackpage.com (NetNames)
- 21 140. denverbackpage.com (NetNames)
- 22 141. easypost123.com (Versio)
- 23 142. easyposts123.com (Versio)
- 24 143. emais.com.pt (NctNames)
- 25 144. evilempire.com (NetNames)
- 26 145. ezpost123.com (Versio)
- 27 146. fackpage.com (NetNames)
- 28 147. fastadboard.com (Versio)

- 1 148. guliетtagroup.nl (Versio)
- 2 149. http.org (NetNames)
- 3 150. ichold.com (NetNames)
- 4 151. internetspeechfoundation.com (nameisp)
- 5 152. internetspeechfoundation.org (nameisp)
- 6 153. loads2drive.com (NetNames)
- 7 154. loadstodrive.com (NetNames)
- 8 155. loadtodrive.com (NetNames)
- 9 156. losangelesbackpage.com (NetNames)
- 10 157. mediafilecloud.com (NetNames)
- 11 158. miamibackpage.com (NetNames)
- 12 159. minneapolisbackpage.com (NetNames)
- 13 160. mobileposting.com (Versio)
- 14 161. mobilepostings.com (Versio)
- 15 162. mobilepostlist.com (Versio)
- 16 163. mobilposting.com (Versio)
- 17 164. naked.city (NetNames)
- 18 165. nakedcity.com (NetNames)
- 19 166. newyorkbackpage.com (NetNames)
- 20 167. paidbyhour.com (NetNames)
- 21 168. petseekr.com (NetNames)
- 22 169. petsfindr.com (NetNames)
- 23 170. phoenixbackpage.com (NetNames)
- 24 171. posteasy123.com (Versio)
- 25 172. postfaster.com (NetNames)
- 26 173. postfastly.com (NetNames)
- 27 174. postfastr.com (NetNames)
- 28 175. postonlinewith.com (Versio)

- 1 176. postonlinewith.me (Versio)
- 2 177. postseasy123.com (Versio)
- 3 178. postsol.com (GoDaddy)
- 4 179. postszone24.com (Versio)
- 5 180. postzone24.com (Versio)
- 6 181. postzones24.com (Versio)
- 7 182. rentseekr.com (NetNames)
- 8 183. results911.com (NetNames)
- 9 184. sandiegobackpage.com (NetNames)
- 10 185. sanfranciscobackpagc.com (NetNames)
- 11 186. seattlebackpage.com (NetNames)
- 12 187. sellyostuffonline.com (Versio)
- 13 188. sfbackpage.com (NctNames)
- 14 189. simplepost24.com (Versio)
- 15 190. simpleposts24.com (Versio)
- 16 191. svc.ws (NetNames)
- 17 192. truckrjobs.com (NetNames)
- 18 193. ugctechgroup.com (NetNames)
- 19 194. universads.nl (Versio)
- 20 195. villagevoicepimps.com (GoDaddy)
- 21 196. websitetechnologies.co.uk (NetNames)
- 22 197. websitctechnologies.com (NetNames)
- 23 198. websitetechnologies.net (NetNames)
- 24 199. websitetechnologies.nl (NetNames)
- 25 200. websitetechnologies.org (NctNames)
- 26 201. weprocessmoney.com (GoDaddy)
- 27 202. wst.ws (NetNames)
- 28 203. xn--yms-fla.com (NetNames)

- 1 204. ymas.ar.com (European domains)
- 2 205. ymas.br.com (European domains)
- 3 206. ymas.br.com (NetNames)
- 4 207. ymas.bz (European domains)
- 5 208. ymas.bz (NetNames)
- 6 209. ymas.cl (European domains)
- 7 210. ymas.cl (NetNames)
- 8 211. ymas.co.bz (European domains)
- 9 212. ymas.co.bz (NetNames)
- 10 213. ymas.co.cr (European domains)
- 11 214. ymas.co.cr (NetNames)
- 12 215. ymas.co.ni (European domains)
- 13 216. ymas.co.ni (NetNames)
- 14 217. ymas.co.ve (European domains)
- 15 218. ymas.co.vc (NetNames)
- 16 219. ymas.com (NetNames)
- 17 220. ymas.com.br (European domains)
- 18 221. ymas.com.br (NetNames)
- 19 222. ymas.com.bz (European domains)
- 20 223. ymas.com.bz (NetNames)
- 21 224. ymas.com.co (European domains)
- 22 225. ymas.com.co (NetNames)
- 23 226. ymas.com.do (European domains)
- 24 227. ymas.com.do (NetNames)
- 25 228. ymas.com.ec (European domains)
- 26 229. ymas.com.ec (NetNames)
- 27 230. ymas.com.es (European domains)
- 28 231. ymas.com.es (NetNames)

- 1 232. ymas.com.gt (European domains)
- 2 233. ymas.com.gt (NetNames)
- 3 234. ymas.com.hn (European domains)
- 4 235. ymas.com.hn (NetNames)
- 5 236. ymas.com.mx (NetNames)
- 6 237. ymas.com.ni (European domains)
- 7 238. ymas.com.ni (NetNames)
- 8 239. ymas.com.pe (European domains)
- 9 240. ymas.com.pe (NetNames)
- 10 241. ymas.com.pr (European domains)
- 11 242. ymas.com.pr (NetNames)
- 12 243. ymas.com.pt (NetNames)
- 13 244. ymas.com.uy (European domains)
- 14 245. ymas.com.uy (NetNames)
- 15 246. ymas.com.ve (European domains)
- 16 247. ymas.com.ve (NetNames)
- 17 248. ymas.cr (European domains)
- 18 249. ymas.cr (NetNames)
- 19 250. ymas.do (European domains)
- 20 251. ymas.do (NetNames)
- 21 252. ymas.ec (European domains)
- 22 253. ymas.ec (NetNames)
- 23 254. ymas.es (European domains)
- 24 255. ymas.es (NetNames)
- 25 256. ymas.org (NetNames)
- 26 257. ymas.pe (European domains)
- 27 258. ymas.pe (NetNames)
- 28 259. ymas.pt (NetNames)

- 1 260. ymas.us (European domains)
- 2 261. ymas.us (NetNames)
- 3 262. ymas.uy (European domains)
- 4 263. ymas.uy (NetNames)
- 5 264. ymas.uy.com (European domains)
- 6 265. atlantabackpage.com (NetNames)
- 7 266. backpage.com.br (NetNames)
- 8 267. chicagobackpage.com (NetNames)
- 9 268. tampabackpage.com (NetNames)

10 b. To the extent such property is not available for forfeiture, a sum of money
11 equal to the total value of the property described in subparagraph (a).

12 3. Pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by
13 Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), the defendant shall forfeit substitute
14 property, up to the total value of the property described in the preceding paragraph if, as
15 the result of any act or omission of the defendant, the property described in the preceding
16 paragraph, or any portion thereof: (a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
17 (b) has been transferred, sold to or deposited with a third party; (c) has been placed beyond
18 the jurisdiction of the court; (d) has been substantially diminished in value; or (e) has been
19 commingled with other property that cannot be divided without difficulty.

20 **FORFEITURE ALLEGATION TWO**

21 **[18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(1)]**

22 1. The factual allegations in Paragraphs 1-211 are incorporated by reference
23 and re-alleged as though fully set forth herein.

24 2. Pursuant to Rule 32.2 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, notice is
25 hereby given that the United States will seek forfeiture as part of any sentence, pursuant
26 Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(1), in the event of any defendant's conviction
27 under Counts 52 through 100 of this Superseding Indictment. Each defendant so convicted
28 shall forfeit to the United States the following:

1 a. All right, title, and interest in any and all property, real or personal, involved
2 in or traceable to any transaction set forth in Counts 52 through 100 of this Superseding
3 Indictment. Such property includes, but is not limited to, the real property located at the
4 following addresses:

- 5 1. 10647 N. State Route 89A, Sedona, AZ 86336
- 6 2. 1100 Union St. #700, San Francisco, CA 94109
- 7 3. 1308 E. 56th Street, 2, Chicago, IL 60637
- 8 4. 14, rue Saint Guillaume, Paris, France 75007
- 9 5. 2043 Pleasant Hill Rd, Sebastopol, CA 95472
- 10 6. 2416 N. Foote Dr., Phoenix, AZ 85008
- 11 7. 2531 Tumbleweed Way, Frisco, TX 75034
- 12 8. 2755 Fillmore St, San Francisco, CA 94123
- 13 9. 3300 E. Stella Lane, Paradise Valley, AZ 85253
- 14 10. 3304 E. Stella Lane, Paradise Valley, AZ 85253
- 15 11. 3308 E. Stella Lane, Paradise Valley, AZ 85253
- 16 12. 3311 E. Stella Lane, Paradise Valley, AZ 85253
- 17 13. 3353 Red Robin Road, Pinetop, AZ 85935
- 18 14. 343 Presidio Ave, San Francisco, CA 94115
- 19 15. 3516 Estacado Lane, Plano, TX 75025-4432 (Rental)
- 20 16. 493 Zinfandel Lane, Saint Helena, CA 94574
- 21 17. 4931 E. White Gates Drive, Phoenix, AZ 85018
- 22 18. 5245 Evening Sun Dr., Frisco, TX 75034
- 23 19. 5555 North Casa Blanca Drive, Paradise Valley, AZ 85253
- 24 20. 5751 N. 77th Place, Scottsdale, AZ 85250
- 25 21. 5830 E. Calle Del Media (Medio), Phoenix, AZ 85018
- 26 22. 6300 N. 33rd Street, Paradise Valley, AZ 85253
- 27 23. 6314 N. 33rd Street, Paradise Valley, AZ 85253
- 28 24. 7409 Kingsbarns, The Colony, TX 75056

1 25. 8604 E. San Ardo Dr., Scottsdale, AZ 85258

2 26. 948 Carlsbad Dr., Plano, TX 75023

3 Such property also includes, but is not limited to, all funds, securities, and/or other assets
4 held in the following bank accounts:

5 1. Prosperity Bank account number XXXXX7188

6 2. Compass Bank Account number XXXXXX3873

7 3. Compass Bank Account number XXXXXX3825

8 4. National Bank of Arizona Account number XXXX0178

9 5. National Bank of Arizona Account number XXXX0151

10 6. National Bank of Arizona Account number XXXX3645

11 7. Live Oak Bank Account Number x6910

12 8. Ascensus Broker Dealer Services Account Number XXXXX6943-01

13 9. Ascensus Broker Dealer Services account Number XXXXX5280-01

14 10. First Federal Savings & Loan of San Rafael account number XXXX3620

15 11. Republic Bank of Arizona account number XXXX1889

16 12. Republic Bank of Arizona account number XXXX2592

17 13. Republic Bank of Arizona account number XXXX2500

18 14. Republic Bank of Arizona account number XXXX1938

19 15. Bank of America Account number XXXXXXXXXXXXX8225

20 16. Bank of America Account number XXXXXXXXXXXXX7054

21 17. Bank of America Account number XXXXXXXXXXXXX9342

22 18. Bank of America Account number XXXXXXXXXXXXX0071

23 19. San Francisco Fire Credit Union Account Number XXXXXXXXXXXX2523

24 20. Ally Bank Account Number XXXXXX6292

25 21. Branch Banking and Trust Bank account number XXXXXXXXXXX0218

26 22. Green Bank Account number XXX4832

27 23. Green Bank Account number XXXXXX4293

28 24. Perkins Coie Brokerage Account number xxxxxx7012

- 1 25. Perkins Coie Liquid Assets xxxxxxx0012
- 2 26. Alliance Bernstein Brokerage Account number xxxx6878
- 3 27. Alliance Bernstein Brokerage Account number xxxx4954
- 4 28. Alliance Bernstein Brokerage Account number xxxx7892
- 5 29. Alliance Bernstein Brokerage Account number xxxx7888
- 6 30. Alliance Bernstein Brokerage Account number xxxx6485
- 7 31. Republic Bank of Arizona Brokerage Account number xxxx2485
- 8 32. Republic Bank of Arizona Brokerage Account number xxxx1897
- 9 33. Republic Bank of Arizona Brokerage Account number xxxx3126
- 10 34. Republic Bank of Arizona Certificate of Deposit xxxxxx8316
- 11 35. Republic Bank of Arizona Certificate of Deposit xxxxxx8324
- 12 36. Republic Bank of Arizona Certificate of Deposit xxxxxx8332
- 13 37. Republic Bank of Arizona Certificate of Deposit xxxxxx8103
- 14 38. Republic Bank of Arizona Certificate of Deposit xxxxxx8162
- 15 39. Republic Bank of Arizona Certificate of Deposit xxxxxx8189
- 16 40. Paul Hastings LLP Account number xxxxx0457
- 17 41. Global Trading Solutions xxx7177
- 18 42. K&H Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx1210
- 19 43. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx5803
- 20 44. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx5801
- 21 45. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx5805
- 22 46. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx2226
- 23 47. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx2231
- 24 48. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx2230
- 25 49. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx4194
- 26 50. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx4196
- 27 51. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx4198
- 28 52. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx8083

- 1 53. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx8086
- 2 54. Fio Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx8080
- 3 55. Bank Frick Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx LI090x
- 4 56. Bank Frick Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx LI300x
- 5 57. Bank Frick Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx LI740x
- 6 58. Bank Frick Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx LI900x
- 7 59. Knab Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx7664
- 8 60. Rabo Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx2452
- 9 61. Rabo Bank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx4721
- 10 62. Acacia Conservation Fund Brokerage Account number x2020
- 11 63. Saxo Payments Account number x1262
- 12 64. AS LHV Pank Account number xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx4431
- 13 65. Bitcoin Wallet -6Lix5 in the amount of 6 BTC
- 14 66. Bitcoin Wallet -6Lix5 in the amount of 199.99995716 BTC
- 15 67. Bitcoin Wallet -6Lix5 in the amount of 404.9984122 BTC
- 16 68. JP Morgan Chase Bank account number xxxxx4455 in the amount of \$699,940
- 17 69. JP Morgan Chase Bank account number xxxxx4455 in the amount of \$106,988.41
- 18 70. JP Morgan Chase Bank account number xxxxx4455 in the amount of \$499,910
- 19 71. JP Morgan Chase Bank account number xxxxx4455 in the amount of \$50,000
- 20 72. Bitcoin Cash Wallet -t8v7e in the amount of 3,673.59306905 BCH
- 21 73. Litecoin Wallet -goacV in the amount of 16,310.79413202 LTC
- 22 74. Bitcoin Wallet -6Lix5 in the amount of 173.97319 BTC
- 23 75. Bitcoin Cash Wallet -t8v7c in the amount of 55.5 BCH
- 24 76. Bitcoin Wallet -6Lix5 in the amount of 411.00019 BTC
- 25 77. Bitcoin Wallet -6Lix5 in the amount of 2.00069333 BTC
- 26 78. Bitcoin Wallet -6Lix5 in the amount of 136.6544695 BTC
- 27 79. Bitcoin Cash Wallet -t8v7c in the amount of 73.62522241 BCH
- 28 80. Litecoin Wallet -goaeV in the amount of 783.9735116 LTC

- 1 81. Bitcoin Gold Wallet -KK1mJ in the amount of 509.81904619 BTG
- 2 82. Crypto Capital account number x1124
- 3 83. Crypto Capital account number x1933
- 4 84. Any and all bank funds, securities, cryptocurrency, or other assets on deposit or
5 seized from an account held at Kraken in the name of Ad Tech BV.
- 6 85. JP Morgan Chase Bank account number xxxxx4155 in the amount of \$42,500
- 7 86. Alliance Bernstein Brokerage account x7889
- 8 87. Alliance Bernstein Brokerage account x0582
- 9 88. Midfirst Bank account x4139
- 10 89. Crypto Capital account number x6886

11 Such property further includes, but is not limited to, the following domain names:

- 12 1. admoderation.com (Versio)
- 13 2. admoderators.com (Versio)
- 14 3. adnet.ws (NetNames)
- 15 4. adplace24.com (Versio)
- 16 5. adplaces24.com (Versio)
- 17 6. adpost24.com (Versio)
- 18 7. adpost24.cz (GoDaddy)
- 19 8. adquick365.com (Versio)
- 20 9. adreputation.com (NetNames)
- 21 10. ads-posted-mp.com (Versio)
- 22 11. adsplace24.com (Versio)
- 23 12. adspot24.com (Versio)
- 24 13. adspots24.com (Versio)
- 25 14. adsspot24.com (Versio)
- 26 15. adtechbv.co.nl (NetNames)
- 27 16. adtechbv.com (NetNames)
- 28 17. adtechbv.nl (NetNames)

- 1 18. advert-ep.com (Versio)
- 2 19. adverts-mp.com (Versio)
- 3 20. axme.com (GoDaddy)
- 4 21. back0age.com (NetNames)
- 5 22. backpa.ge (NetNames)
- 6 23. backpagee.com (NetNames)
- 7 24. backpage-insider.com (NetNames)
- 8 25. backpage.adult (NetNames)
- 9 26. backpage.ac (NetNames)
- 10 27. backpage.at (NetNames)
- 11 28. backpage.ax (NetNames)
- 12 29. backpage.be (NetNames)
- 13 30. backpage.bg (European domains)
- 14 31. backpage.bg (NetNames)
- 15 32. backpage.ca (NetNames)
- 16 33. backpage.cl (NetNames)
- 17 34. backpage.cn (European domains)
- 18 35. backpage.cn (NetNames)
- 19 36. backpage.co.id (NetNames)
- 20 37. backpage.co.nl (European domains)
- 21 38. backpage.co.nl (NetNames)
- 22 39. backpage.co.nz (NetNames)
- 23 40. backpage.co.uk (NetNames)
- 24 41. backpage.co.ve (NetNames)
- 25 42. backpage.co.za (NetNames)
- 26 43. backpage.com (NetNames)
- 27 44. backpage.com.ar (NetNames)
- 28 45. backpage.com.au (NetNames)

- 1 46. backpage.com.ph (NetNames)
- 2 47. backpage.cz (NetNames)
- 3 48. backpage.dk (NetNames)
- 4 49. backpage.ec (NetNames)
- 5 50. backpage.ee (European domains)
- 6 51. backpage.ee (NetNames)
- 7 52. backpage.es (NetNames)
- 8 53. backpage.fi (European domains)
- 9 54. backpage.fi (NetNames)
- 10 55. backpage.fr (European domains)
- 11 56. backpage.fr (NetNames)
- 12 57. backpage.gr (European domains)
- 13 58. backpage.gr (NetNames)
- 14 59. backpage.hk (European domains)
- 15 60. backpage.hk (NetNames)
- 16 61. backpage.hu (European domains)
- 17 62. backpage.hu (NetNames)
- 18 63. backpage.ie (NetNames)
- 19 64. backpage.in (NetNames)
- 20 65. backpage.it (NetNames)
- 21 66. backpage.jp (NetNames)
- 22 67. backpage.kr (NetNames)
- 23 68. backpage.lt (NetNames)
- 24 69. backpage.lv (European domains)
- 25 70. backpage.lv (NetNames)
- 26 71. backpage.me (NetNames)
- 27 72. backpage.mx (NetNames)
- 28 73. backpage.my (NetNames)

- 1 74. backpage.net (NetNames)
- 2 75. backpage.nl (NetNames)
- 3 76. backpage.no (European domains)
- 4 77. backpage.no (NetNames)
- 5 78. backpage.nz (NetNames)
- 6 79. backpage.pe (NetNames)
- 7 80. backpage.ph (NetNames)
- 8 81. backpage.pk (NetNames)
- 9 82. backpage.pl (NetNames)
- 10 83. backpage.porn (NetNames)
- 11 84. backpage.pt (NetNames)
- 12 85. backpage.ro (European domains)
- 13 86. backpage.ro (NetNames)
- 14 87. backpage.se (NetNames)
- 15 88. backpage.sex (NetNames)
- 16 89. backpage.sg (NetNames)
- 17 90. backpage.si (European domains)
- 18 91. backpage.si (NetNames)
- 19 92. backpage.sk (European domains)
- 20 93. backpage.sk (NetNames)
- 21 94. backpage.sucks (NetNames)
- 22 95. backpage.tw (NetNames)
- 23 96. backpage.uk (NetNames)
- 24 97. backpage.uk.com (NetNames)
- 25 98. backpage.us (NetNames)
- 26 99. backpage.vn (NetNames)
- 27 100. backpage.xxx (NetNames)
- 28 101. backpage.xyz (NetNames)

- 1 102. backpagecompimp.com (NctNames)
- 2 103. backpagecompimps.com (NetNames)
- 3 104. backpagepimp.com (NctNames)
- 4 105. backpagepimps.com (NctNames)
- 5 106. backpage.com (NetNames)
- 6 107. backpagem.com (NetNames)
- 7 108. backpageu.com (NetNames)
- 8 109. backpaoe.com (NctNames)
- 9 110. backpawe.com (NetNames)
- 10 111. backqage.com (NctNames)
- 11 112. backrage.com (NetNames)
- 12 113. backxage.com (NetNames)
- 13 114. bakkpage.com (NetNames)
- 14 115. bcklistings.com (NetNames)
- 15 116. bestofbackpage.com (NetNames)
- 16 117. bestofbigcity.com (NetNames)
- 17 118. bickpage.com (NetNames)
- 18 119. bigcity.com (NetNames)
- 19 120. bpclassified.com (NetNames)
- 20 121. bpclassifieds.com (NetNames)
- 21 122. carlferrer.com (NetNames)
- 22 123. clasificadosymas.com (NetNames)
- 23 124. clasificadosymas.net (NetNames)
- 24 125. clasificadosymas.org (NetNames)
- 25 126. classifiedsolutions.co.uk (NctNames)
- 26 127. classifiedsolutions.net (NctNames)
- 27 128. classyadultads.com (Versio)
- 28 129. columbusbackpage.com (NctNames)

- 1 130. connecticutbackpage.com (NetNames)
- 2 131. cracker.co.id (NetNames)
- 3 132. cracker.com (NetNames)
- 4 133. cracker.com.au (NetNames)
- 5 134. crackcr.id (NetNames)
- 6 135. crackcr.net.au (NetNames)
- 7 136. crackers.com.au (NetNames)
- 8 137. crackers.net.au (NetNames)
- 9 138. ctbackpage.com (NetNames)
- 10 139. dallasbackpage.com (NetNames)
- 11 140. denverbackpage.com (NetNames)
- 12 141. easypost123.com (Versio)
- 13 142. easyposts123.com (Versio)
- 14 143. emais.com.pt (NetNames)
- 15 144. evilempire.com (NetNames)
- 16 145. ezpost123.com (Versio)
- 17 146. fackpage.com (NetNames)
- 18 147. fastadboard.com (Versio)
- 19 148. guliccttagroup.nl (Versio)
- 20 149. http.org (NetNames)
- 21 150. ichold.com (NetNames)
- 22 151. internetspeechfoundation.com (nameisp)
- 23 152. internetspeechfoundation.org (nameisp)
- 24 153. loads2drive.com (NetNames)
- 25 154. loadstodrive.com (NetNames)
- 26 155. loadtodrive.com (NetNames)
- 27 156. losangelesbackpage.com (NetNames)
- 28 157. mediafilecloud.com (NetNames)

- 1 158. miamibackpage.com (NetNames)
- 2 159. minneapolisbackpage.com (NetNames)
- 3 160. mobileposting.com (Versio)
- 4 161. mobilepostings.com (Versio)
- 5 162. mobilepostlist.com (Versio)
- 6 163. mobilposting.com (Versio)
- 7 164. naked.city (NetNames)
- 8 165. nakedcity.com (NetNames)
- 9 166. newyorkbackpage.com (NetNames)
- 10 167. paidbyhour.com (NetNames)
- 11 168. petscekr.com (NetNames)
- 12 169. petsfindr.com (NetNames)
- 13 170. phocnixbackpage.com (NetNames)
- 14 171. posteasy123.com (Versio)
- 15 172. postfaster.com (NetNames)
- 16 173. postfastly.com (NetNames)
- 17 174. postfastr.com (NetNames)
- 18 175. postonlinewith.com (Versio)
- 19 176. postonlinewith.me (Versio)
- 20 177. postseasy123.com (Versio)
- 21 178. postsol.com (GoDaddy)
- 22 179. postszonc24.com (Versio)
- 23 180. postzone24.com (Versio)
- 24 181. postzones24.com (Versio)
- 25 182. rentscekr.com (NetNames)
- 26 183. results911.com (NetNames)
- 27 184. sandiegobackpage.com (NetNames)
- 28 185. sanfranciscobackpage.com (NetNames)

- 1 186. seattlebackpage.com (NetNames)
- 2 187. sellyostuffonline.com (Versio)
- 3 188. sfbackpage.com (NetNames)
- 4 189. simplepost24.com (Versio)
- 5 190. simpleposts24.com (Versio)
- 6 191. svc.ws (NetNames)
- 7 192. truckrjobs.com (NetNames)
- 8 193. ugctechgroup.com (NetNames)
- 9 194. universads.nl (Versio)
- 10 195. villagevoicepimps.com (GoDaddy)
- 11 196. websitetechnologies.co.uk (NetNames)
- 12 197. websitetechnologies.com (NetNames)
- 13 198. websitetechnologies.net (NetNames)
- 14 199. websitetechnologies.nl (NctNames)
- 15 200. websitetechnologies.org (NetNames)
- 16 201. weprocessmoney.com (GoDaddy)
- 17 202. wst.ws (NetNames)
- 18 203. xn--yms-fla.com (NetNames)
- 19 204. ymas.ar.com (European domains)
- 20 205. ymas.br.com (European domains)
- 21 206. ymas.br.com (NetNames)
- 22 207. ymas.bz (European domains)
- 23 208. ymas.bz (NetNames)
- 24 209. ymas.cl (European domains)
- 25 210. ymas.cl (NetNames)
- 26 211. ymas.co.bz (European domains)
- 27 212. ymas.co.bz (NetNames)
- 28 213. ymas.co.cr (European domains)

- 1 214. ymas.co.cr (NetNames)
- 2 215. ymas.co.ni (European domains)
- 3 216. ymas.co.ni (NetNames)
- 4 217. ymas.co.ve (European domains)
- 5 218. ymas.co.ve (NetNames)
- 6 219. ymas.com (NetNames)
- 7 220. ymas.com.br (European domains)
- 8 221. ymas.com.br (NetNames)
- 9 222. ymas.com.bz (European domains)
- 10 223. ymas.com.bz (NetNames)
- 11 224. ymas.com.co (European domains)
- 12 225. ymas.com.co (NetNames)
- 13 226. ymas.com.do (European domains)
- 14 227. ymas.com.do (NetNames)
- 15 228. ymas.com.ec (European domains)
- 16 229. ymas.com.ec (NetNames)
- 17 230. ymas.com.es (European domains)
- 18 231. ymas.com.es (NetNames)
- 19 232. ymas.com.gt (European domains)
- 20 233. ymas.com.gt (NetNames)
- 21 234. ymas.com.hn (European domains)
- 22 235. ymas.com.hn (NetNames)
- 23 236. ymas.com.mx (NetNames)
- 24 237. ymas.com.ni (European domains)
- 25 238. ymas.com.ni (NetNames)
- 26 239. ymas.com.pe (European domains)
- 27 240. ymas.com.pe (NetNames)
- 28 241. ymas.com.pr (European domains)

- 1 242. ymas.com.pr (NetNames)
- 2 243. ymas.com.pt (NetNames)
- 3 244. ymas.com.uy (European domains)
- 4 245. ymas.com.uy (NetNames)
- 5 246. ymas.com.ve (European domains)
- 6 247. ymas.com.ve (NetNames)
- 7 248. ymas.cr (European domains)
- 8 249. ymas.cr (NetNames)
- 9 250. ymas.do (European domains)
- 10 251. ymas.do (NetNames)
- 11 252. ymas.ec (European domains)
- 12 253. ymas.ec (NetNames)
- 13 254. ymas.es (European domains)
- 14 255. ymas.es (NetNames)
- 15 256. ymas.org (NetNames)
- 16 257. ymas.pe (European domains)
- 17 258. ymas.pe (NetNames)
- 18 259. ymas.pt (NetNames)
- 19 260. ymas.us (European domains)
- 20 261. ymas.us (NetNames)
- 21 262. ymas.uy (European domains)
- 22 263. ymas.uy (NetNames)
- 23 264. ymas.uy.com (European domains)
- 24 265. atlantabackpage.com (NetNames)
- 25 266. backpage.com.br (NetNames)
- 26 267. chicagobackpage.com (NetNames)
- 27 268. tampabackpage.com (NetNames)
- 28 b. To the extent such property is not available for forfeiture, a sum of money

1 equal to the total value of such property.

2 3. Pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by
3 Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(b), each defendant convicted under Counts 52
4 through 100 of this Superseding Indictment shall forfeit substitute property, if, by any act
5 or omission of that defendant, the property described in the preceding paragraph, or any
6 portion thereof, cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; has been transferred,
7 sold to, or deposited with a third party; has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
8 has been substantially diminished in value; or has been commingled with other property
9 that cannot be divided without difficulty.

10
11 A TRUE BILL

12
13 S/
FOREPERSON OF THE GRAND JURY
14 Date: July 25, 2018

15 ELIZABETH A. STRANGE
16 First Assistant United States Attorney
District of Arizona

17 BRIAN BENCZKOWSKI Assistant Attorney General
18 Criminal Division, U.S. Department of Justice

19 S/
20 KEVIN M. RAPP
MARGARET PERLMETER
21 PETER KOZINETS
ANDREW STONE
22 Assistant U.S. Attorneys

23 JOHN J. KUCERA
Special Assistant U.S. Attorney

24 REGINALD E. JONES
25 Senior Trial Attorney
U.S. Department of Justice, Criminal Division
26 Child Exploitation and Obscenity Section