IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS 2 AUSTIN DIVISION 3 COURTHOUSE NEWS SERVICE,) AU:20-CV-01260-LY) 4 Plaintiff,)) AUSTIN, TEXAS 5 v. MEGAN LAVOIE, 6) 7 Defendant.) JULY 25, 2022 8 TRANSCRIPT OF MOTIONS HEARING/BENCH TRIAL 9 VOLUME 1 BEFORE THE HONORABLE LEE YEAKEL 10 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: MATT DOW JACKSON WALKER, LLP 11 100 CONGRESS AVENUE, SUITE 1100 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701 12 JOHN K. EDWARDS 13 JACKSON WALKER LLP 1401 MCKINNEY SUITE 1900 14 HOUSTON, TEXAS 77010 15 JONATHAN G. FETTERLY BRYAN CAVE LEIGHTON PAISNER LLP 16 THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER, 7TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111 17 FOR THE DEFENDANT: CAROLINE ALYSSA MERIDETH 18 BENJAMIN S. LYLES CHRISTOPHER D. HILTON 19 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 20 PO BOX 12548, CAPITOL STATION, MC-019 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548 21 COURT REPORTER: ARLINDA RODRIGUEZ, CSR 22 501 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 4152 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701 23 (512) 391-8791 24 Proceedings recorded by computerized stenography, transcript 2.5 produced by computer.

> ARLINDA L. RODRIGUEZ, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER U.S. DISTRICT COURT, WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (AUSTIN)

1

		<u></u>
09:33:19	1	(Open court)
09:33:19	2	THE COURT: We're here today for what I had
09:33:26	3	originally set for everything that was going to happen in this
09:33:32	4	case in Cause Number 20-CV-1260, Courthouse News Service v.
09:33:42	5	originally Price and LaVoie, although I believe we're down to
09:33:48	6	just Ms. LaVoie as the party in this case.
09:33:51	7	So let me get announcements by the parties, first
09:33:56	8	from the plaintiffs as to who is here and whether you're ready.
09:33:59	9	MR. DOW: Matt Dow, Your Honor, John Edwards, and
09:34:02	10	John Fetterly for the plaintiff, and Plaintiff is ready.
09:34:05	11	THE COURT: And for the defendant?
09:34:07	12	MS. MERIDETH: Caroline Merideth, Ben Lyles, and
09:34:10	13	Chris Hilton for Director LaVoie.
09:34:13	14	THE COURT: All right. Well, let me I want to
09:34:16	15	make some observations first, and I try to say this with
09:34:21	16	affection for you-all because I was on your side of the bench
09:34:24	17	for 28 1/2 years. Lawyers have eyes, but they don't always see
09:34:36	18	things, and lawyers have ears, and they don't always hear
09:34:39	19	things. And it's even more rare that they understand things,
09:34:44	20	particularly when it comes from a judge.
09:34:46	21	This case has a history. And we had a scheduling
09:34:55	22	conference back in April, and we have discussed this case on
09:35:00	23	several occasions. And I set the case for today by order of
09:35:10	24	May the 10th, 2022 and indicated that I would take up motions
09:35:18	25	by the State, bring them forward, and take up their motion to

09:35:22 1 dismiss at this time.

2 The end of this month I will have been on this bench 09:35:29 3 19 years, which is kind of hard for me to understand. It seems 09:35:31 09:35:38 4 it hasn't been that long. And from the beginning I have given this talk, but it has come more quickly in between iterations 5 09:35:39 of it as the years have gone on about how busy the federal 09:35:48 6 7 district courts in the Austin Division of the Western District 09:35:52 of Texas are. 8 09:35:54

09:35:55 9 We are totally underwater. We do not have enough judicial positions. The Congress of the United States refuses 09:36:00 10 to look on the needs of the people in setting judgeships. 11 09:36:04 The last time we had a judicial position in Austin was 1991, 31 12 09:36:11 years ago. Unless you've been in a cave somewhere, the 13 09:36:18 14 population of the Austin Division has just about doubled in 09:36:21 that time. And the legal activity in an area and the docket 15 09:36:26 size of the courts are generally, if not always, a direct 09:36:30 16 17 relationship of how many people you put down in an area. 09:36:37 The more people you put in an area, the more people sue one another 09:36:40 18 and the more crimes get committed, which leads to an increase 09:36:43 19 in the criminal docket. 09:36:52 20

09:36:5221All of the judicial positions that you've heard about09:36:5222during the four years of President Trump and now coming up on a09:37:0123little over a year and a half of President Biden have been09:37:0624filling vacancies. I'm sure that there are a lot of people09:37:0925around the country that are very happy those two presidents

1 have filled vacancies. It has done nothing for Austin because
2 we do not have a vacancy in Austin. We do not have a vacancy
3 anywhere there is a judge that helps with the Austin docket.
3 So that's totally unhelpful to me.

09:37:295Therefore, Judge Pitman and I have a lot of demands09:37:326on our time. Time is at a premium. We do everything we can to09:37:387shoehorn in things to get people in front of us so we can do09:37:428the people's business in the courts.

It is not helpful when I have set a case with the 09:37:44 9 hope of resolving it almost three months ago and I'm still 09:37:52 10 getting filings on the Friday before today and the Saturday 11 09:37:58 before today and the Sunday before today. I like to prepare 12 09:38:02 for hearings. I think you are entitled to have me read what 09:38:08 13 you file and have me prepared, whether you like the ultimate 14 09:38:14 result or not, when I sit down on the bench and hear the 15 09:38:19 arguments and see the evidence that you have worked on to 09:38:22 16 17 present to this court. I simply cannot do that if I'm still 09:38:26 getting filings just before we have a setting at 9:30. 09:38:32 18

09:38:37 19 In addition, I'm not going to do that, because I like to have some weekend time, too. And now that we have the fancy 09:38:45 20 21 electronic system, I don't sit by my computer all weekend to 09:38:51 22 see if something got filed in a case and then either pull it up 09:38:58 23 or print it out at home or get in my car and come down to the 09:39:03 24 office and spend another two or three hours on what you want to 09:39:09 25 have me look at that you should have given me to look at some 09:39:12

09:39:15 1 weeks ago.

09:39:162Long story short is: I expect more pretrial09:39:203preparation out of the lawyers, and I expect it to be done09:39:254farther out by the lawyers. And I expect you, if there is09:39:305going to be last-minute filings, that you-all have talked about09:39:356those filings before and see what you can work out.

7 So the long story short is: I'm not happy with where 09:39:40 we are in this case this morning. I'm not sure how much of it 09:39:43 8 I'm going to hear. I'm not sure whether I'm going to go into a 09:39:48 9 bench trial this morning or whether -- since I have other 10 09:39:52 things that I haven't read or prepared on, I'm going to back 11 09:39:55 off and now set this for the State's motions and deal with the 12 09:39:59 motions first before we go into a bench trial. 09:40:03 13

09:40:0714That's just the situation you're in, and it is the09:40:1215reason that I set this case way out for a resolution date,09:40:1616because I thought it needed to be resolved.

17 So what -- the way we're going to start out this 09:40:19 morning, because I have been through as much as I could get 09:40:22 18 through in this case, is I want to know -- and I really want to 09:40:30 19 know it without a lot of advocacy at first because I believe, 09:40:38 20 21 as I believe in most state cases, most cases where the State's 09:40:49 22 a party, whether the party -- or whether the State has 09:40:52 23 initiated the action or whether the private parties initiated 09:40:56 24 the action, that most of the facts when you're arguing state 09:41:06 25 action or a state statute or rule, the facts are undisputed 09:41:09

1 with regard to the major facts that would lead to whether there 09:41:18 is a cause of action, whether there is a standing situation, 09:41:22 2 whether the party sued does have the power, if I rule in favor 09:41:26 3 here of the plaintiff, to provide the relief sought. 09:41:31 4 I don't think it is that hard to get to that point. 09:41:40 5 I recognize that probably everybody at both counsel tables 09:41:51 6 7 disagree with me on that. But that is what you are faced with 09:41:54 here. And so what I think will be most useful to me is, one, I 09:41:57 8 09:42:06 9 want to run through several motions that we have highlighted to see if they are still live motions or whether with the change 09:42:10 10 in the complexion of this case since we last met, they're moot, 11 09:42:15 so I can narrow it down to see exactly what I'm going to look 12 09:42:21 And then we're going to have a discussion for a while, and 09:42:25 13 at. 09:42:29 14 then I am going to see what we're actually going to do with this case. 15 09:42:32 So the motions I want to see about to try to clean 09:42:33 16 this case up first are Document 21 which is "Plaintiff 09:42:38 17 Courthouse News Service's Amended Motion For Preliminary 09:42:44 18 Injunction, "filed May the 23rd, 2022. So I will hear -- is 09:42:47 19 that a motion that I need to hear when I take things up? 09:42:56 20 21 MR. DOW: No, Your Honor. 09:42:59 22 THE COURT: All right. And then the -- the 09:43:00 23 "Plaintiff Courthouse News' Motion for Expedited Discovery, 09:43:03 24 Entry of Briefing Schedule, and Hearing on the Plaintiff's 09:43:08 25 Motion for Preliminary Injunction." You agree that's moot now, 09:43:13

		,
09:43:17	1	I think?
09:43:17	2	MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.
09:43:18	3	THE COURT: All right. And then the Defendant Travis
09:43:21	4	County Clerk's motion, Document Number 30, because Travis
09:43:26	5	County is no longer a party in this case, we get rid of that
09:43:29	6	motion, too. Am I right?
09:43:30	7	MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.
09:43:31	8	THE COURT: All right. The State agree with all of
09:43:34	9	that?
09:43:35	10	MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor.
09:43:35	11	THE COURT: All right. Now, with that having been
09:43:40	12	done, what I think I have left in the way of motions is
09:43:54	13	"Director LaVoie's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second Amended
09:43:59	14	Complaint" and then other than the kind of recent haggling over
09:44:05	15	what has been filed lately, what I'm going to do about the
09:44:12	16	merits on the case; is that right? Is the only substantive
09:44:14	17	motion left the motion to dismiss the second amended complaint?
09:44:17	18	MS. MERIDETH: Yes. That's the substantive motion
09:44:18	19	that is left.
09:44:20	20	MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.
09:44:20	21	THE COURT: So here's what I want: We have statutes
09:44:23	22	in this case. We have the Office of Court Administration. We
09:44:27	23	have the Director of the Office of Court Administration. We
09:44:31	24	have district clerks all around the state that get impacted by
09:44:34	25	whatever this court is going to do.

1 If -- and I will reveal my age here. If I ever 09:44:41 2 thought before we had not accomplished anything with computers 09:44:48 3 and electronic filing, I am absolutely convinced of it after 09:44:53 reviewing this case. I became convinced of it when I went on 09:44:57 4 this bench. It was a simpler time when you walked over to the 09:45:01 5 district clerk's office and you filed something, and the 09:45:11 6 7 district clerk put a file-mark on it and the district clerk put 09:45:13 it in a file and everybody knew what it was. 09:45:18 8

09:45:20 9 And it is astounding to me to read, although it reaffirms my prejudice, that we've gotten where we move more 10 09:45:25 slowly with all of the fancy electronic filing than we did 11 09:45:31 before, except when you-all want to file things on Saturday and 12 09:45:38 Sunday. We could have avoided that quite a bit without an 13 09:45:44 electronic filing system. 09:45:47 14

So what I want to do, without advocacy right now, 15 09:45:52 except to the extent it's necessary, I'm going to start with 09:46:01 16 17 the plaintiff, and I want you to walk me through the timeline 09:46:03 facts of this case. What was the system? What occurred with 09:46:16 18 the director or the Office of Court Administration or the 09:46:20 19 Supreme Court or the committee the Supreme Court formed or all 09:46:25 20 21 of them that led to the CM/ECF system, how it works. And at 09:46:30 that point I'll have a base level, and I'll hear some argument. 09:46:44 22 09:46:52 23 Now, from the defendant's point of view, 24 Ms. Merideth, if you don't see the need to interrupt the 09:46:55 25 plaintiff until they finish doing what I just asked them to 09:47:00

1 do -- and I don't favor the plaintiff; I just read from top to 09:47:05 bottom because I'm old-fashioned and the plaintiff is above the 09:47:08 2 "v." and has the burden on the whole case, so that's why I 09:47:12 3 start with them -- you may feel free to stand up and you may 09:47:15 4 interject things if this needs to be a discussion. You don't 09:47:18 5 have to wait. Because at this point I don't want to hear 09:47:21 6 7 advocacy. I just want to get me from point A to point F in 09:47:30 this case and where we are. 09:47:31 8 09:47:33 9 So, Mr. Dow, or whoever is with you, whoever wants to present that, walk me through it. Walk me through it slowly. 09:47:35 10 I want to make sure I fully understand how we got from there to 11 09:47:43 09:47:44 12 here. Thank you, Your Honor. So the timeline 13 MR. DOW: 09:47:49 starts 2013 with mandatory e-filing for Texas state courts. 09:47:51 14 THE COURT: Now, who mandated that, and how did it 15 09:48:03 come about? 09:48:06 16 17 The Texas Supreme Court by order mandated 09:48:07 MR. DOW: that, and it came about by virtue of the Office of Court 09:48:09 18 09:48:13 19 Administration entering into an agreement with Tyler Technologies for the mechanism, the procedure, of e-filing in 09:48:22 20 the State of Texas. 21 09:48:30 THE COURT: And why did the OCA do that? Did the OCA 22 09:48:32 23 do that on its own and then tell the Supreme Court they were 09:48:36 24 going to do that, or did the Supreme Court or any committee 09:48:42 25 formed by the Supreme Court mandate the OCA to look into a 09:48:46

09:48:51	1	system of electronic filing, and the OCA responded to the
09:48:56	2	Supreme Court?
09:48:56	3	MR. DOW: It was by virtue of the Texas Supreme
09:48:59	4	Court's amended order, which is that was in December of
09:49:07	5	2012. And so the OCA, pursuant to that amended order, then
09:49:17	6	started carrying out and implementing the ability for litigants
09:49:25	7	to e-file in Texas.
09:49:31	8	THE COURT: So 2013 or 2012 we had an amended
09:49:35	9	order by the Supreme Court. In 2013 OCA started following
09:49:41	10	through with that and entered into a contract with Tyler what?
09:49:47	11	MR. DOW: Tyler Technologies.
09:49:50	12	THE COURT: All right.
09:49:51	13	MR. DOW: Okay. And that that agreement has been
09:49:59	14	amended, and that's part of our case. But I without I
09:50:03	15	don't want to start advocating.
09:50:05	16	So that's when our timeline begins, when we left the
09:50:11	17	paper world where you know, where I would go to the Travis
09:50:18	18	County District Courthouse and I would actually take a petition
09:50:24	19	to the third floor and give it to an assistant clerk there at
09:50:30	20	the counter on the third floor. And that that deputy clerk
09:50:35	21	would file stamp that petition, and then that petition would
09:50:42	22	then kind of get behind the counter for processing. That in
09:50:51	23	2013 that changed with what we call EFM, the electronic filing
09:51:00	24	manager.
09:51:05	25	THE COURT: All right. And what we're arguing about

09:51:11	1	in this case is the most recent iteration of that original
09:51:17	2	contract, an amended contract of some point between OCA as one
09:51:23	3	contracting party and Tyler Technology as the other contracting
09:51:29	4	party.
09:51:29	5	MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor. A June 24, 2022
09:51:35	6	amendment.
09:51:37	7	THE COURT: All right.
09:51:42	8	MR. DOW: So no longer would I go to the courthouse
09:51:47	9	and, at the counter, file my petition. After mandatory
09:51:55	10	e-filing, my legal assistant, now at her computer, hits "send,"
09:52:06	11	and that petition is transmitted, filed, where it then sits in
09:52:18	12	the electronic filing file manager, that EFM. And that's
09:52:25	13	where we get to the heart of the story.
09:52:28	14	But one without advocating, it's just not me
09:52:34	15	saying
09:52:35	16	THE COURT: You can state your position a little bit.
09:52:36	17	I just don't want to hear argument right now.
09:52:39	18	MR. DOW: All right. If we look at Texas Rule of
09:52:41	19	Civil Procedure 21, Subpart (f)(5), it defines the filing when
09:52:55	20	that transmission occurs. So when the legal assistant presses
09:53:03	21	"send," that's the same thing as me going to the third floor in
09:53:10	22	the old days of the district clerk's office and presenting my
09:53:17	23	petition on that counter.
09:53:19	24	So that's
09:53:21	25	THE COURT: Well, let me make sure we understand one

11

09:53:24	1	another. You stood at the counter and you slid a complaint
09:53:33	2	over the counter. And when I first did that, O.T. Martin was
09:53:39	3	the district clerk, and John Dickson was a very young deputy
09:53:44	4	district clerk who was often there to accept the filing. It
09:53:49	5	was there, and when they put the file stamp down on it, it was
09:53:54	6	filed.
09:53:55	7	So when your legal assistant hits "send," does the
09:54:02	8	moment it hit the electronic file manager, is it filed then or
09:54:10	9	is it unfiled while it sits during this first step, as you
09:54:15	10	describe it?
09:54:16	11	MR. DOW: It is filed.
09:54:17	12	THE COURT: Okay.
09:54:18	13	MR. DOW: It is filed for all purposes, including
09:54:24	14	statute of limitations. It is filed.
09:54:29	15	THE COURT: All right. And electronically a notice
09:54:33	16	goes on it that it's filed in some way?
09:54:36	17	MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.
09:54:36	18	THE COURT: All right.
09:54:37	19	MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, so the actual cause number
09:54:39	20	and notice that the document is filed is not entered until the
09:54:42	21	document is transmitted into clerk's case management system.
09:54:47	22	THE COURT: All right.
09:54:48	23	MS. MERIDETH: So it is deemed filed for purposes of
09:54:51	24	the statute of limitations for Texas Rule of Civil Procedure
09:54:55	25	21(f), but it's but the actual, you know, act of the

		10
09:55:00	1	traditional act of the clerk assigning the cause number and
09:55:04	2	affixing the stamp, if we're looking back to tradition, that
09:55:08	3	happens when the document is transmitted into the clerk's case
09:55:11	4	management system.
09:55:13	5	THE COURT: Do you agree?
09:55:14	6	MR. DOW: Partly.
09:55:15	7	THE COURT: All right.
09:55:17	8	MR. DOW: So that act of my legal assistant hitting
09:55:23	9	"send," that transmission it's filed. It's filed just as if
09:55:28	10	I'm standing there at the counter and it's file stamped.
09:55:32	11	But then it is correct it then sits in this EFM
09:55:41	12	awaiting administrative processing by the district clerks.
09:55:48	13	Just just as in the old days when I would file that petition
09:55:56	14	and it's file stamped, it then would go behind the counter and
09:56:01	15	the deputy clerks would would do their thing.
09:56:05	16	Here
09:56:07	17	THE COURT: Or to really take you back, the clerk
09:56:11	18	picked up the phone and said, "The next number we're going to
09:56:16	19	assign is Number 15,000." That's a round number. And that
09:56:23	20	phone call would go to Paul Holt. So if he had something he
09:56:28	21	wanted to file, he could bring it over and get that number.
09:56:31	22	MR. DOW: I didn't know that.
09:56:32	23	THE COURT: Local lore from the old days, before we
09:56:35	24	had anything electronic.
09:56:38	25	MS. MERIDETH: And, Your Honor, just to add in a note

1 to traditional times, I think, you know, this all is under the assumption that there is no line at the clerk's office, that there is no stack of papers lining up. So this is -- this is under the assumption that the clerk is ready and able to take your filing there at the clerk's counter.

Well, there's always a delay of some 6 THE COURT: 09:56:57 7 It depends on when you get there and what you do. 09:57:02 kind. But when you first -- when Mr. Dow's legal assistant first hits 8 09:57:06 "send," there's not a delay. Everything goes right into EFM. 09:57:12 9 There might be a delay of it coming out of EFM, or there might 09:57:18 10 be a delay in the timeline you're going to tell me about now 11 09:57:23 about what happens when the clerk starts taking action on it. 12 09:57:26 I presume that's going to be the next step? 09:57:30 13

Yes, Your Honor. So, rather than what's 09:57:32 14 MR. DOW: going on now behind the counter on the third floor of the 15 09:57:42 Travis County Courthouse, we now have that petition sitting in 09:57:45 16 the EFM awaiting administrative processing by whichever 09:57:50 17 district clerk that case is filed with. And that gets to the 09:58:01 18 09:58:05 19 guts of the dispute.

I don't think, but I -- and I don't want to get ahead 09:58:09 20 21 of my skis -- it's this administrative processing by the local 09:58:13 22 district clerk that creates the delayed access that is 09:58:19 23 different from the traditional access that we enjoyed in the 09:58:29 24 days of paper. And so that's where -- and the reason I say I 09:58:36 25 don't think there's any dispute about that is, when my legal 09:58:46

1 assistant hits "send" for that newly filed petition, she 09:58:52 receives a notice of filing from the Texas Court system that 2 09:58:56 says "Please allow 24 to 48 hours for court processing." 09:59:02 3 And that's one of our exhibits. There's -- and there's actually 09:59:11 4 another similar document from the web -- the Texas Courts 09:59:17 5 website which says "Allow one to two business days for this 6 09:59:26 7 administrative processing." 09:59:35 And so we at least know there is that administrative 8 09:59:43 delay while the local district clerk does his or her thing with 09:59:46 9 that petition. 10 09:59:53 THE COURT: All right. Stop right there. Did you 09:59:53 11 have something you wanted to say, Ms. Merideth? 12 09:59:55 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. I wanted to provide 09:59:57 13 clarification as far as the transfer of the document from the 09:59:59 14 electronic file manager, the EFM, to the case management 15 10:00:03 system, which is also called the CMS. 10:00:06 16 17 So when the e-filed document arrives into the EFM, 10:00:10 which is like a conduit to the clerk's case management system, 10:00:21 18 10:00:24 19 once that document arrives in the EFM, there's really three options for clerk. The clerk can accept the filing, the clerk 10:00:31 20 auto-accept the filing, or the clerk can make the filing 21 10:00:38 22 available via the press review tool and then accept the filing 10:00:41 23 at a later time. 10:00:45 24 So I think Mr. Dow's position is that this processing 10:00:46

> ARLINDA L. RODRIGUEZ, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER U.S. DISTRICT COURT, WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (AUSTIN)

is built into the EFM model. But really what the EFM does is

25

10:00:51

that it presents the petition to the clerk to then accept, and 1 10:00:56 then they have different ways in which they can accept the 2 10:01:02 So they can auto-accept, they can conduct whatever 10:01:04 3 petition. 10:01:09 4 process they feel they need to do before they need to accept 10:01:12 5 the document, or they can make it available to the public or press via the press review tool before acceptance. 10:01:17 6 7 THE COURT: All right. So it hits EFM and then goes 10:01:22 to clerk's CMS; is that right? 8 10:01:29 10:01:32 9 MR. DOW: It's submitted to EFM. When my legal assistant --10 10:01:36 THE COURT: Right. 11 10:01:36 MR. DOW: It's submitted to EFM. 12 10:01:37 THE COURT: Yeah. I shouldn't have used the word 10:01:39 13 "hits." 10:01:42 14 I think I used that. 15 MR. DOW: 10:01:43 THE COURT: I find it more descriptive. 10:01:45 16 MR. DOW: So here's the -- this is -- this is 10:01:49 17 important. That petition, that newly filed petition sits in 10:01:54 18 the EFM until the district clerk over in west Texas logs in and 10:02:00 19 grabs that petition through that district clerk's --10:02:11 20 21 THE COURT: All right. I don't want to get down in 10:02:17 22 the nuance of computers, so keep this on kind of a general 10:02:24 23 level. The mainframe, the server, whether it's in the cloud or 10:02:30 not, wherever the EFM is, is not in the local county. 10:02:40 24 25 MR. DOW: Correct, Your Honor. 10:02:48

		1
10:02:49	1	THE COURT: All right. Is it at the does the
10:02:52	2	Office of Court Administration have the system, the electronic
10:02:56	3	system, in that office?
10:03:02	4	MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.
10:03:02	5	THE COURT: And they provide maintenance and updates
10:03:06	6	and work, but it is it is run and don't read legal
10:03:12	7	connotation into this it is run by the Office of Court
10:03:14	8	Administration; is that correct?
10:03:17	9	MS. MERIDETH: Yes. But I need to add a
10:03:19	10	clarification to the EFM. So the clerks actually can log into
10:03:25	11	the EFM and customize the EFM. So, yes, OCA or, yes, the
10:03:31	12	electronic filing manager is part of the Texas e-file contract,
10:03:38	13	but the clerks actually can create their own review queue and
10:03:44	14	customize the tools offered by OCA.
10:03:46	15	So the clerks actually can they have a lot of
10:03:52	16	they have an ability to customize their own review queue. So
10:03:56	17	there's an interplay between the electronic filing manager and
10:04:01	18	then the clerk's ability to customize that electronic filing
10:04:03	19	manager I'm sorry their review queue.
10:04:08	20	THE COURT: All right. So we go back, we have the
10:04:10	21	contract, we have the EFM system put in place. It's basically
10:04:16	22	administered by OCA. We have 254 district clerks in Texas.
10:04:22	23	Each district clerk at this point can has its own little
10:04:29	24	space that it can go to in the EFM system and do certain
10:04:33	25	customizing that would be in effect in that clerk's county

the district clerk's county that would handle petition filings 1 10:04:41 2 in that county consistent with whatever modifications or 10:04:49 3 customizing that that district court has done. 10:04:55 MR. DOW: Correct, Your Honor. 10:04:59 4 THE COURT: But, basically, at this point we're not 5 10:05:00 out of EFM yet. It's -- it's all at OCA. 10:05:02 6 7 MR. DOW: Correct. 10:05:11 8 THE COURT: Okay. 10:05:12 And that's -- that's where -- our case is 10:05:13 9 MR. DOW: about delayed access under the First Amendment. There's no --10:05:19 10 there's no argument by the plaintiff that the district clerks 11 10:05:26 don't need to do their administrative processing. 12 We don't 10:05:30 have any -- we're not squawking with the district clerks for 13 10:05:35 that. But these newly filed petitions, while they await each 14 10:05:39 district clerk to do his or her thing with the administrative 15 10:05:48 processing, those newly filed petitions are not available to 10:05:51 16 the public or press. 10:05:57 17 I've got that. 10:06:01 18 THE COURT: 10:06:02 19 MR. DOW: Okay. THE COURT: But filings happen. They're sitting in 10:06:03 20 21 Now, what initiates the next step? What gets it out of 10:06:13 EFM. what I'm going to call "OCA's domain" and solely into the 22 10:06:26 23 district clerk's domain? 10:06:30 24 MR. DOW: The next step is for the respective 10:06:34 25 district clerk for that case to log into the EFM through that 10:06:37

district clerk's case management system and do that district 1 10:06:45 2 clerk's administrative processing. 10:06:52 3 THE COURT: All right. 10:06:58 10:06:58 4 MR. DOW: And then --MS. MERIDETH: And I need to make a technical 5 10:06:59 correction there, because it's the -- the clerk actually logs 10:07:01 6 7 into a web portal provided by the EFM. And at that point the 10:07:06 clerk then accepts the filing from the EFM into their case 10:07:11 8 10:07:16 9 management system. MR. DOW: So that -- and that's important. 10 10:07:18 The district clerk is logging into -- going behind the counter, so 10:07:20 11 to speak -- into the EFM. So, once again, that's -- now I'm 12 10:07:26 starting to get -- starting to advocate, but --13 10:07:32 THE COURT: No, no. But I'll -- I think I understand 10:07:35 14 what the problem is, but I don't get to resolving the problem 15 10:07:38 until I make sure I know what the path is. 10:07:42 16 So you file a new petition on Saturday morning, which 10:07:46 17 is what lawyers are prone to do. Okay. So it sits -- you do 10:07:53 18 10:07:59 19 it electronically, and it sits in EFM. And presumably the district clerk's office in Loving County is closed. Because 10:08:05 20 21 there are very few people that live in Loving County, it may or 10:08:11 may not even open on Monday, but let's presume that it's going 22 10:08:14 23 to. 10:08:17 24 So Monday morning the clerk's office is open for 10:08:17 25 business. At that point the clerk in Loving County doesn't 10:08:20

10:08:24	1	know that he or she has had a new lawsuit filed on Saturday.
10:08:30	2	Am I correct?
10:08:32	3	MR. DOW: No. They the district clerk in Loving
10:08:35	4	County receives a notification.
10:08:38	5	THE COURT: Well, how does the district clerk know?
10:08:41	6	Does she or he get it at home?
10:08:43	7	MR. DOW: No. At the courthouse.
10:08:45	8	THE COURT: All right. Well Monday morning comes. I
10:08:49	9	have my computer turned off when I walk in. I presume
10:08:52	10	computers in the district clerk's office are turned off.
10:08:57	11	So how then does the clerk become essentially aware
10:09:03	12	of the fact that a new petition got filed on Saturday?
10:09:09	13	MR. DOW: When the district clerk turns on his or her
10:09:13	14	computer, there's a notification received from the EMS [sic].
10:09:21	15	THE COURT: Does that clerk have to go to
10:09:24	16	MR. DOW: EFM.
10:09:25	17	THE COURT: EFM, or does that happen as soon as
10:09:29	18	the clerk turns the computer on? What steps does the clerk
10:09:33	19	make to satisfy himself or herself that there's been something
10:09:40	20	filed?
10:09:40	21	MR. DOW: The clerk has to log in.
10:09:43	22	THE COURT: All right. So Monday morning comes. The
10:09:45	23	clerk logs into EFM. We're hopeful that the clerk or district
10:09:50	24	clerk does that first thing. But, theoretically, they might
10:09:55	25	not log in until noon or three o'clock in the afternoon. That

Γ

10:09:59	1	could happen?
10:10:00	2	MR. DOW: Correct, Your Honor.
10:10:01	3	THE COURT: All right. So the clerk has to log in.
10:10:03	4	So the clerk logs in, and what does the clerk see?
10:10:09	5	MR. DOW: The clerk sees is in the queue now and
10:10:12	6	sees the newly filed petition.
10:10:15	7	THE COURT: All right.
10:10:16	8	MR. DOW: And then the clerk in, whether it's Loving
10:10:19	9	County or Dallas County, then, you know, looks at the petition
10:10:25	10	to make sure there aren't any you know, make sure no
10:10:29	11	corrections are necessary, assigns it to the court, and what
10:10:34	12	they do, that administrative clerical piece of it.
10:10:39	13	THE COURT: All right.
10:10:40	14	MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor?
10:10:41	15	THE COURT: I'm going to come back to you. Don't
10:10:43	16	worry. I've got you.
10:10:44	17	So there's a clerical review. The clerk manually or
10:11:03	18	physically adds the file number?
10:11:07	19	MR. DOW: Or online adds the file number, correct.
10:11:09	20	THE COURT: Yeah. But the clerk has to do that.
10:11:11	21	Right now all the clerk knows when the clerk logs in is the raw
10:11:17	22	data. She sees this Plaintiff's Original Petition filed by
10:11:25	23	Matt Dow. And if you follow the usual style, over to the right
10:11:28	24	there's a blank for the number.
10:11:29	25	So it doesn't have a number when the clerk first sees

10:11:33	1	it; is that right?
10:11:37	2	MR. DOW: The system burns it in. And back and
10:11:43	3	dates it, once again, going back to when my legal assistant
10:11:48	4	submitted, electronically transmitted. So all of that just
10:11:54	5	happens.
10:11:57	6	THE COURT: All right. So, see, this is important to
10:12:00	7	me. So, now, is that true in all 254 counties, or is that one
10:12:10	8	of the things the district clerk can customize?
10:12:16	9	MR. DOW: It was true. Now they can customize that.
10:12:19	10	THE COURT: So the district clerk could just look at
10:12:24	11	a raw petition that doesn't have a file number or a date stamp
10:12:29	12	on it?
10:12:32	13	MR. DOW: It would have on envelope number, but, yes,
10:12:36	14	you're correct.
10:12:36	15	THE COURT: Do you agree with that?
10:12:37	16	MS. MERIDETH: Yes. And, Your Honor, just to
10:12:39	17	clarify, I think you hit the nail on the head there, is that
10:12:43	18	the clerks so just to back up to the idea of a filing on the
10:12:48	19	weekend, if the clerk has decided to enable auto-accept, then
10:12:53	20	that filing would be automatically accepted no matter what time
10:12:56	21	of day. So it would look, essentially, like the Pacer system,
10:13:02	22	which Plaintiff has referenced.
10:13:05	23	And that then the clerk could I think the question
10:13:08	24	here, you're right, is we have no idea what these clerks are
10:13:12	25	doing. We don't know what they're what they're doing when

1 they're reviewing documents. We don't know if it's for 10:13:16 sensitive information. We don't know if it's because of 10:13:19 2 3 another reason. So the -- the electronic filing manager 10:13:22 provides the tools for the clerk to really do -- to fulfill 10:13:26 4 their duties as the custodian of record. 10:13:32 5 But it's ultimately up to the clerk to decide how 6 10:13:36 7 they want to review a document, if they want to review a 10:13:39 document, if they want to auto-accept a document, or if they 10:13:42 8 10:13:46 9 want to enable the press review tool and accept a document later. 10 10:13:49 THE COURT: Back to you. 11 10:13:53 I'm sorry. If I could provide just 12 MS. MERIDETH: 10:13:54 one more clarification? 10:13:56 13 THE COURT: You may. 10:13:57 14 The cause number is added in the case 15 MS. MEREDITH: 10:13:57 management system of the clerk. 10:14:00 16 17 THE COURT: All right. Now, Mr. Dow. 10:14:02 So, Your Honor, I don't -- and we can 10:14:12 18 MR. DOW: 10:14:19 19 work -- we're prepared to talk about delay, whether it's 24 to 48 hours or eight days. We're prepared to offer evidence as to 10:14:24 20 that. But let me -- this auto --21 10:14:31 22 THE COURT: No. I'm sure that what I'm going to 10:14:33 23 hear, when and if I get to that point in this case, that in a 10:14:36 24 state that is geographically the size of France and as divided 10:14:43 25 initially into 254 political subdivisions that are counties, 10:14:48

the evidence is going to show me that it's all over the map as 1 10:14:55 to when things get filed and when they're available to the 2 10:15:00 public on a county-by-county basis. 3 10:15:04 Without arguing what's the worst-case scenario and 10:15:08 4 what's the best-case scenario, I think you both will agree it's 5 10:15:11 all over the map; there's no rhyme or reason as to how it 10:15:15 6 7 works. 10:15:17 Correct, Your Honor, yes. 8 MR. DOW: 10:15:18 THE COURT: Okay. 10:15:19 9 So -- so this is where we get to really the 10:15:20 10 MR. DOW: meat of the argument from the plaintiff's side, and that is --10:15:27 11 or the case that we rely on is this Press Enterprise II case, 12 10:15:31 and it talks about two questions that the court has to ask: 13 10:15:38 You know, the experience and logic test, which is traditional 14 10:15:40 15 access back when there was paper. 10:15:46 And then the second question is, are the restrictions 10:15:48 16 that exist, do they survive constitutional scrutiny? And, in 10:15:54 17 particular, does the OCA here have a compelling governmental 10:16:01 18 10:16:08 19 interest to maintain its practices that do -- I'll try not to be argumentative -- that do create delayed access? Or are 10:16:14 20 there less restrictive alternatives? 21 10:16:19 And this -- this is where we land. 22 There are less 10:16:22 23 restrictive alternatives. There's the auto-accept tool that 10:16:27 24 you just heard about, and there's also the press review tool. 10:16:34 25 That's a -- that's a queue that is the subject of the 10:16:41

		25
10:16:49	1	June 24, 2022 amendment between OCA and Tyler, which allows
10:16:59	2	THE COURT: What year was that June 24th amendment?
10:17:01	3	MR. DOW: 2022.
10:17:03	4	THE COURT: Okay. A recent amendment, right now.
10:17:05	5	MR. DOW: Correct, Your Honor.
10:17:06	6	THE COURT: All right.
10:17:06	7	MR. DOW: And the press review tool allows members of
10:17:10	8	the media to be able to access newly filed petitions while they
10:17:17	9	sit in the EMF EFM awaiting the administrative processing
10:17:26	10	that occurs.
10:17:27	11	THE COURT: All right. Tell me no. Actually, I'm
10:17:32	12	going to ask Ms. Merideth this. Tell me what reviews district
10:17:39	13	clerks normally make.
10:17:43	14	MS. MERIDETH: And the answer is that OCA doesn't
10:17:47	15	know what the clerks are reviewing.
10:17:51	16	THE COURT: Well, I'll tell you I'm not surprised to
10:17:53	17	hear that because, again, we're creatures of our own
10:17:57	18	background. I feel with absolute certainty, when I first
10:18:06	19	started practicing law and probably for a large amount of that
10:18:10	20	time, but I can't say it was for 100 percent and I can't say
10:18:14	21	it's true today, the district clerk in Travis County didn't
10:18:18	22	review a plaintiff's complaint I mean the plaintiff's
10:18:21	23	petition for anything. It came in, it was pushed across the
10:18:25	24	counter, it got file-marked originally with a hand stamp where
10:18:31	25	they wrote in the time, and then we got really fancy and had a

1 little machine you stuck it under that automatically had the 10:18:32 time on it, and then they put a file-mark on it. 2 10:18:35 And if a member of the press happened to be standing 10:18:38 3 there and said "I really want a copy of that," they'd make that 10:18:41 4 copy right away. Nobody looked at it to see if there was a 5 10:18:43 problem or anything. That was the lawyer's problem. If vou 10:18:46 6 7 messed up your petition, then you just weren't in very good 10:18:48 shape, or the defendant would raise it and a judge would take 8 10:18:54 10:18:58 9 care of it at that point. So, you know, we're going to get to a little bit of 10 10:19:00 advocacy in a minute, but I will tell you this court has 11 10:19:07 concern as to whether there needs to be, and whether there's a 12 10:19:10 legitimate reason for any -- the clerk to take any action other 13 10:19:16 than to file the petition that got handed to the clerk. 10:19:23 14 And I guess, then, that impacts -- if it's auto-accept, we don't have 15 10:19:28 that problem because it's automatically up and you-all get to 10:19:35 16 17 look at it as quickly as it hits -- or your client gets to. 10:19:39 And I presume, then, if somebody -- -- if the clerk 10:19:43 18 didn't want to do that, then the press review thing would solve 10:19:48 19 that because the media still got immediate access to what was 10:19:51 20 21 filed. And if the clerk later reviewed and decided to reject 10:19:58 22 the filing, that would also show up somewhere in there as a 10:20:03 23 rejection. I'm just trying to figure out in my own mind a 10:20:08 24 legitimate basis for any review or delay after filing. 10:20:12 10:20:16 25 MS. MERIDETH: And, Your Honor, I think that question

1 can be answered by district clerks, because they're elected 10:20:19 2 officials and it's really their duty to accept filings. And --10:20:23 THE COURT: Well, and I know where you're going. 10:20:27 3 You're coming back to the traditional Attorney General opinion 10:20:28 4 that there's no one person you can sue, you've got to sue all 5 10:20:32 254 district clerks, because everything is going to be 6 10:20:35 7 different. 10:20:40

MS. MERIDETH: I -- Your Honor, I think that the 8 10:20:41 10:20:47 9 question is, you know, there are some clerks that are complying with the current -- with -- they are reviewing documents and 10 10:20:51 accepts documents in a timely manner. And so forcing every 11 10:20:55 single clerk to use a press review tool or auto-accept is like 12 10:21:00 forcing medicine upon everyone that's not necessarily ill. 13 10:21:04

10:21:08 14 THE COURT: No. But you also filed a motion to dismiss, and what you're telling me is there's no one person 15 10:21:11 That suing the Office of Court Administration 10:21:16 16 you can sue. will not get the plaintiff the relief they want because the 10:21:23 17 Office of Court Administration doesn't have the power to tell 10:21:27 18 10:21:30 19 the district clerk in Menard County or the district clerk in Tarrant County or the district clerk in Hood County to change 10:21:34 20 21 the way they're doing things. 10:21:37 That's correct. 22 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. 10:21:39

10:21:4223MR. DOW: And our position is that the OCA, because10:21:4824it's the OCA that is the one that -- the only one that10:21:5225contracts with Tyler Technologies and negotiates changes to the

1 system --10:22:00 2 THE COURT: Yeah. But can this court tell OCA how to 10:22:00 3 contract? 10:22:06 10:22:07 4 MR. DOW: No. THE COURT: Or I'll just jump into this. I have a 5 10:22:08 big question, having reviewed what I reviewed before you gave 10:22:14 6 7 me additional things, that I don't know why the federal court 10:22:22 I don't know why we wouldn't abstain, because it's is in this. 10:22:25 8 10:22:29 9 the Supreme Court of Texas that has put all of this together. 10 I -- I have great concern about the State's argument 10:22:35 that OCA doesn't have the power to do anything, because I don't 10:22:42 11 think I have the power to tell OCA how they're going to 12 10:22:46 contract. 10:22:49 13 MR. DOW: They've already contracted, though. 10:22:53 14 So that --15 10:22:56 THE COURT: I understand: Are you asking me to change 10:22:56 16 17 the contract? 10:22:58 No. No, Your Honor. 10:22:59 18 MR. DOW: THE COURT: You're asking me to void the contract? 10:23:00 19 No. I'm not -- no. 10:23:02 20 MR. DOW: 21 THE COURT: Well, what are you asking me to do? 10:23:04 22 MR. DOW: I'm asking for a permanent injunction that 10:23:06 23 the OCA stops its practice of continuing delayed access. 10:23:10 And 24 they have -- they have the two tools to do that. The solution 10:23:20 25 is at hand, either with the press review tool, which is the --10:23:29

	1	
10:23:33	1	THE COURT: But is the OCA delaying access?
10:23:37	2	MR. DOW: Yes.
10:23:38	3	THE COURT: Or are various district clerks delaying
10:23:47	4	access? Some are, some aren't.
10:23:49	5	MR. DOW: And, Your Honor, the O the answer is,
10:23:58	6	you know, the delay is created by the district clerk
10:24:03	7	administrative processing. I'm not going to try and circle
10:24:08	8	around that. That is the cause for the delay. But here's
10:24:14	9	and it's just where we are, you know. The OCA is the agency,
10:24:25	10	it's the entity, that is in charge of and, you know,
10:24:29	11	administers the electronic file manager, the EFM, and has
10:24:36	12	negotiated with Tyler Technologies to provide an auto-accept
10:24:45	13	tool and to provide a press review tool.
10:24:52	14	And what and what we hear from the OCA is, well,
10:24:56	15	that's for the district clerks to decide. No. Because, once
10:25:01	16	again, if we go behind the
10:25:06	17	THE COURT: Well, is the OCA telling them not to use
10:25:13	18	the auto-accept or the press review tools?
10:25:16	19	MR. DOW: No, Your Honor.
10:25:17	20	THE COURT: Is the OCA telling every district clerk
10:25:22	21	to use auto-accept or press review?
10:25:25	22	MR. DOW: No, Your Honor.
10:25:26	23	THE COURT: Well, then here's where I'm kind of hung
10:25:32	24	up with this. The Attorney General comes in here and
10:25:38	25	consistently takes the position in a whole variety of things, a

1 lot of times, because I hear them all. I should give either 10:25:42 2 frequent filer points or frequent responder points to the 10:25:49 3 Attorney General's Office. I know most of them, at least every 10:25:52 one that sets foot in the courtroom a lot. 10:25:55 4

So I'll just give one example. The Texas Election 5 10:25:58 Code says the Secretary of State is the chief elections officer 10:26:02 6 7 of the State of Texas. It says that. To my mind that means 10:26:06 the Secretary of State's in charge of the election process in 10:26:12 8 10:26:15 9 the State of Texas. And if you've got a problem with our election process, you see the Secretary of State. And I think 10:26:18 10 I can make an argument that that's the reason the legislature 11 10:26:22 has that in the statute, because we're a big state, as I've 12 10:26:26 said, 254 counties. 13 10:26:30

The Attorney General does not agree with that 10:26:32 14 position and consistently argues that if you've got a problem 15 10:26:35 with an election, you need to sue the election official in the 10:26:38 16 county or the political subdivision where the election took 10:26:43 17 place. Consistently in that instance and other instances where 10:26:49 18 10:26:56 19 that general point is raised as to who you sue, the Fifth Circuit supports the Attorney General and looks at Texas's 10:27:02 20 21 political scheme that way. 10:27:09 10:27:12 22 So where I'm trying then to get with you is to tell 23 me why this case is any different. Because when I read 10:27:17 24 Chapter 72, is it, of the -- the --10:27:23 25 10:27:28

MS. MERIDETH: The Texas Government --

THE COURT: -- Texas Government Code, I see that the 1 10:27:29 OCA is charged with assisting the process of the courts, making 2 10:27:34 3 recommendations, consulting with district clerks. And I don't 10:27:47 see that statute giving the OCA real power to do anything. So 10:27:50 4 tell me where they get their power to do anything or it gets 10:28:07 5 its power to do anything. 10:28:10 6

7 They get their power based on what they've 10:28:10 MR. DOW: So negotiating the contract and the done over the years. 10:28:12 8 10:28:18 9 amendments with Tyler Technologies. They -- they maintain that electronic file manager. So someone has to -- you know, with 10 10:28:24 the duties comes responsibility, and with our First Amendment 10:28:34 11 claim, Your Honor -- so the answer to your question is I think 12 10:28:40 the OCA has to be able to articulate a compelling governmental 13 10:28:47 10:28:52 14 interest under Press Enterprise II as to why there is delayed 15 access. And the delayed access --10:29:05

THE COURT: But there's not uniform delayed access. 10:29:07 16 MR. DOW: Maybe the number of days is not uniform, 10:29:10 17 Your Honor. That's true. But the -- what is uniform is -- and 10:29:13 18 10:29:19 19 this is true -- that delayed access occurs under the OCA's watch in the electronic file manager. 10:29:27 20

21 THE COURT: So you're saying the OCA has the power to 10:29:35 22 reach down and look at Burnet County and say: "District Clerk, 10:29:39 23 you're taking way too much time to have these petitions 10:29:52 available to the public, and we want you to stop"? 10:30:00 24 25 MR. DOW: 10:30:03 No.

10:30:04	1	THE COURT: So what can OCA do that this court can
10:30:09	2	order OCA to do with these problems?
10:30:17	3	MR. DOW: Texas Government Code, Section 72.031(b)(1)
10:30:28	4	and (2), where the OCA is granted broad authority. That's my
10:30:35	5	words. But let me quote let me quote from 72.031
10:30:39	6	THE COURT: Let me get to it.
10:30:41	7	MR. DOW: $$ (b) (1) and (2).
10:30:42	8	THE COURT: All right. Texas Government Code 71.
10:30:49	9	MR. DOW: 72
10:30:50	10	THE COURT: 72.
10:30:50	11	MR. DOW:031(b).
10:31:02	12	THE COURT: All right.
10:31:04	13	MR. DOW: And under (b), numbers (1) and (2).
10:31:12	14	THE COURT: All right.
10:31:12	15	MR. DOW: And, specifically, Your Honor, the OCA is
10:31:20	16	to implement an electronic filing system for use in the courts
10:31:26	17	of this state and
10:31:27	18	THE COURT: Wait. So OCA has satisfied (1) or has
10:31:34	19	done (1). They have implemented an electronic filing system
10:31:40	20	for use in the courts of this state.
10:31:42	21	MR. DOW: Yes.
10:31:44	22	THE COURT: Everybody in agreement on that? OCA did
10:31:46	23	that?
10:31:47	24	MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.
10:31:47	25	THE COURT: Okay. Now (2).

Г

MR. DOW: "Allow public" -- and I'm quoting. 10:31:49 1 "Allow public access to view information or documents in the state 2 10:31:52 3 court document database." 10:31:57 THE COURT: All right. And the state court document 10:32:00 4 database is? 10:32:02 5 The EFM. MR. DOW: 6 10:32:05 7 MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, that's -- the state court 10:32:06 document database is actually Research Texas, and that's a 8 10:32:09 10:32:15 9 completely separate system that was ordered by the Supreme Court of Texas. And OCA received authority to implement 10 10:32:18 Research Texas by that Supreme Court order. And also JCIT made 11 10:32:25 recommendations as to that database as well, and both JCIT and 12 10:32:33 the Supreme Court of Texas decided that only documents that 10:32:38 13 were filed and accepted -- accepted documents by the clerk 10:32:45 14 would be accessible via Research Texas. 15 10:32:48 THE COURT: All right. 10:32:51 16 17 MS. MERIDETH: And just to add more clarification --10:32:53 I wasn't sure that was a THE COURT: No. Don't add. 10:32:55 18 10:32:57 19 clarification; it was a statement. So let me then ask this. This is why I wanted to walk through all of this, because 10:33:05 20 21 lawyers are really good at filing a lot of papers and not 10:33:09 really good about giving the kind of trail I like, and we've 10:33:12 22 10:33:16 23 been spending an hour getting this trail right now and we're 24 not through yet. 10:33:19 10:33:19 25 Is there anywhere a state court document database

		51
10:33:31	1	such as is described in Section 72.031(b)(2) of the Texas
10:33:40	2	government code, whether that state court document database is
10:33:46	3	maintained by the Office of Court Administration or anywhere
10:33:50	4	else? That's a yes-or-no question. Is there a state court
10:33:55	5	document database?
10:33:56	6	MS. MERIDETH: Yes.
10:33:57	7	MR. DOW: Yes.
10:33:58	8	THE COURT: And where is that?
10:34:00	9	MS. MERIDETH: So it's called Research Texas.
10:34:05	10	THE COURT: Research Texas?
10:34:06	11	MS. MERIDETH: Yes.
10:34:07	12	THE COURT: All right.
10:34:07	13	MS. MEREDITH: And it's essentially it's an
10:34:10	14	additional database for users to access filings so that they
10:34:19	15	don't
10:34:19	16	THE COURT: Who maintains it?
10:34:21	17	MS. MERIDETH: OCA.
10:34:22	18	THE COURT: Okay.
10:34:25	19	MS. MERIDETH: And if I could give more
10:34:26	20	clarification, JCIT recommended Research Texas, and that
10:34:33	21	JCIT
10:34:34	22	THE COURT: Now, what is JCIT?
10:34:37	23	MS. MERIDETH: So JCIT is the Judicial Committee on
10:34:41	24	Information Technology, and it's a committee of the Supreme
10:34:42	25	Court of Texas.

THE COURT: All right. Just a minute. 1 Initialisms 10:34:43 2 come easy to you. They don't come easy to me because I hear 10:34:50 3 them in all different contexts. 10:34:53 So it's the Judicial Committee --10:34:54 4 MS. MERIDETH: -- on Information on Technology. 5 10:34:57 THE COURT: And that is a committee established by 6 10:34:59 7 the Supreme Court; is that right? 10:35:03 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. And the code section is Texas 8 10:35:06 Government Code Section 77.031. 10:35:09 9 10 THE COURT: All right. 10:35:13 MS. MEREDITH: And Section 77.031 delineates the 10:35:26 11 powers and duties of JCIT. And if we go to subsection (5), 12 10:35:32 they are tasked with developing minimum standards for an 13 10:35:42 electronically-based document system to provide for the flow of 10:35:47 14 information within the judicial system in electronic form and 15 10:35:49 recommend rules relating to the electronic filing of documents 10:35:52 16 with courts. 17 10:35:56 So JCIT offers recommendations regarding the filing 10:35:58 18 system, regarding Research Texas, and then the Supreme Court 10:36:01 19 then essentially ratifies those recommendations and then orders 10:36:05 20 OCA to act on those recommendations. 21 10:36:11 22 And so while, yes, OCA can enter into contracts 10:36:14 23 regarding the electronic filing manager, regarding the Texas 10:36:20 24 e-file system and Research Texas, it's stemming from JCIT's 10:36:22 25 recommendations and then ordered by the Supreme Court of Texas. 10:36:26

		50
10:36:29	1	THE COURT: So go back to an hour ago. So is the
10:36:34	2	path: The Supreme Court, pursuant to Chapter 77, established
10:36:49	3	the Joint Committee on Information Technology? Is that the
10:36:57	4	first step?
10:36:58	5	MS. MERIDETH: Yes.
10:36:58	6	THE COURT: And then the Joint Committee on
10:37:00	7	Information Technology interacted how with Office of Court
10:37:06	8	Administration?
10:37:07	9	MS. MERIDETH: So JCIT makes recommendations.
10:37:15	10	THE COURT: And they recommended to OCA what an
10:37:17	11	electronic filing system should look like?
10:37:20	12	MS. MERIDETH: Yes.
10:37:24	13	THE COURT: Okay. And then OCA contracted with
10:37:46	14	Mr. Dow, what's the name of that company? Tyler?
10:37:48	15	MR. DOW: Tyler Technologies.
10:37:51	16	THE COURT: All right. Do you agree that that was
10:37:55	17	the path?
10:37:56	18	MR. DOW: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Could
10:38:01	19	THE COURT: All right.
10:38:02	20	MR. DOW: I
10:38:04	21	THE COURT: The legislature establishes the Joint
10:38:07	22	Committee on Information Technology and says it operates under
10:38:13	23	the direction and supervision of the Chief Justice of the
10:38:16	24	Supreme Court.
10:38:18	25	MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.

10:38:19	1	THE COURT: All right. That committee gets formed.
10:38:23	2	MR. DOW: Yes.
10:38:23	3	THE COURT: Okay. So that committee that is
10:38:26	4	operating under the direction and supervision of the Chief
10:38:29	5	Justice of the Supreme Court, it then makes recommendations to
10:38:33	6	the Office of Court Administration on an electronic filing
10:38:37	7	system.
10:38:38	8	MR. DOW: Correct.
10:38:39	9	THE COURT: The Office of Court Administration then
10:38:43	10	contracts with Tyler Technology for that system.
10:38:53	11	MR. DOW: For the e-filing system.
10:38:54	12	THE COURT: Yeah. We're in agreement there?
10:38:57	13	MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.
10:38:58	14	THE COURT: All right. Then I'm jumping now back to
10:39:03	15	where we were, Section 72.031 of the Texas Government Code,
10:39:10	16	(b), "The office as authorized by Supreme Court rule or order
10:39:16	17	may allow public access to view information or documents in the
10:39:24	18	state court document database."
10:39:25	19	So there is a state court document database, as
10:39:32	20	defined in 72.031(a)(5), that was established or authorized by
10:39:41	21	the Supreme Court for storing documents filed with the court of
10:39:46	22	this state, and that is called Research Texas.
10:39:53	23	MS. MERIDETH: Yes.
10:39:55	24	MR. DOW: Yes, but may I add something?
10:39:59	25	THE COURT: Yes.

Г

MR. DOW: Post-acceptance. So what Research Texas, 10:40:00 1 2 that database, that is -- that is holding petitions that have 10:40:08 3 been filed and administratively processed by the district 10:40:20 10:40:26 4 clerks. THE COURT: I was going to get to that. So that 5 10:40:26 question was going to be, so once a petition hits EFM, it is 10:40:30 6 7 not automatically placed in the Research Texas database. 10:40:46 MR. DOW: Correct, Your Honor. 8 10:40:50 MS. MERIDETH: So, Your Honor, there's a step missing 10:40:52 9 The document goes from the EFM to the case management there. 10:40:54 10 system, and then the Supreme Court has decided that the -- that 10:40:58 11 the document doesn't skip the step, that the document flows 12 10:41:02 through the case management system of the clerk. And then upon 13 10:41:05 acceptance of the clerk --10:41:08 14 THE COURT: Yeah. 15 10:41:11 MS. MERIDETH: -- is reproduced in Research Texas. 10:41:12 16 THE COURT: But that's the step. What I'm saying, 10:41:14 17 there has to be clerk action to get it into Research Texas. 10:41:17 18 10:41:21 19 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. And that was the Supreme Court's and JCIT's decision. 10:41:24 20 21 THE COURT: All right. Well, let's make sure. All 10:41:26 right. Document goes into -- I keep going over this because, 22 10:41:29 23 if I got you back in here tomorrow, no offense, I would hear 10:41:40 24 something different that I didn't hear today. So I'm just 10:41:43 25 trying to cover it all today. 10:41:45

Documents sits in EFM. Clerk comes in on Monday 10:41:46 1 morning, going back to my example, logs into EFM, finds out 2 10:41:50 there's a new petition sitting there. The clerk then takes an 3 10:41:55 10:42:01 affirmative act to put it in their case management system? 4 Or is it automatically in the case management system, or is it 5 10:42:07 just sitting there waiting for the clerk to take an affirmative 10:42:11 6 7 act? 10:42:16 The district clerk has to accept it into 8 MR. DOW: 10:42:16 that district clerk's --10:42:19 9 THE COURT: So once the clerk accepts it to the 10 10:42:20 clerks CMFS, the clerk hasn't done anything with it yet. 11 10:42:28 The clerk hasn't reviewed it or anything. The clerk just hits a 12 10:42:32 key stroke and puts it in the clerk's CMFS; is that right? 13 10:42:36 MS. MERIDETH: So the clerk review -- if the clerk 10:42:40 14 15 wants to take action to review a document, that happens in the 10:42:43 electronic filing manager. And then the clerk -- once the 10:42:46 16 clerk accepts the document, it's then transferred into the case 10:42:51 17 10:42:54 18 management system. 10:42:55 19 THE COURT: Okay. So the clerk, once it gets into CMS, then it automatically goes to Research Texas? 10:42:59 20 21 MS. MERIDETH: That's correct. And the -- the county 10:43:03 22 also makes documents available via the case management system. 10:43:06 23 So, essentially, Research Texas is an additional overlay that 10:43:10 provides additional access to the public. 10:43:15 24 25 THE COURT: No. But it is the state court document 10:43:17

10:43:21	1	database that Chapter 72 anticipates.
10:43:26	2	MS. MERIDETH: Yes.
10:43:26	3	THE COURT: Even though you might also be able to go,
10:43:30	4	depending on how each individual county does its business and
10:43:33	5	files public records in the individual counties. Is that what
10:43:37	6	you're saying?
10:43:38	7	MS. MERIDETH: Yes. And Research Texas also it
10:43:40	8	doesn't make every single document available. It makes
10:43:45	9	nonconfidential documents available.
10:43:47	10	THE COURT: Well, I don't think we have a debate over
10:43:49	11	documents that are sealed or confidential at this point, and
10:43:53	12	I'm not going to get bogged down in that. I'm sure if it's a
10:43:57	13	problem, I'll get a second lawsuit about it and we'll be able
10:44:00	14	to handle that.
10:44:05	15	But it is not on the state court document database
10:44:11	16	until it goes into the clerks CMS. Am I correct?
10:44:16	17	MR. DOW: Correct.
10:44:17	18	MS. MERIDETH: That's correct.
10:44:18	19	MR. DOW: And accepted.
10:44:20	20	THE COURT: No. Wait a minute. You added a step.
10:44:22	21	MR. DOW: I did.
10:44:23	22	THE COURT: "And accepted." I'm the clerk. I'm
10:44:26	23	sitting there. I see I've got something in my manager. I hit
10:44:33	24	a button and put it in my CMS. Now, are you saying that it
10:44:39	25	doesn't automatically go? Because I thought I understood

1 Ms. Merideth to say it automatically went to Research Texas. 10:44:49 2 MR. DOW: The district clerk has to accept it first. 10:44:52 Well, is that a step different than 3 THE COURT: 10:44:57 10:44:59 4 putting it in CMS? 10:45:01 5 MR. DOW: Yes. Yes, sir. MS. MEREDITH: No. 10:45:02 6 7 THE COURT: Does that come before or after you put it 10:45:02 in CMS? 8 10:45:05 10:45:06 9 MR. DOW: It happens at the same time. Right. So it's the --10 10:45:12 THE COURT: Is there one click by the clerk that 10:45:12 11 accepts it and puts it in CMS? 12 10:45:14 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor. 10:45:17 13 All right. And even if the clerk later 10:45:18 14 THE COURT: has second thoughts, it's already in there and it's already 15 10:45:20 gone to Research Texas. 10:45:22 16 17 MR. DOW: Correct. 10:45:24 Okay. All right. 10:45:25 18 THE COURT: But Your Honor? 10:45:28 19 MR. DOW: THE COURT: Yeah? 10:45:37 20 21 MR. DOW: You asked the question just a minute ago 10:45:37 about, so is this 72.031(b)(2), is the -- I think what I heard 22 10:45:43 23 was: So is the Research Texas what was contemplated as far as 10:45:54 24 the state court. 10:46:00 25 THE COURT: No. I don't ask if it was contemplated. 10:46:02

1044881That says, "The office is authorized" "the office" being10481120CA "by Supreme Court rule or order may" Is the 0CA1048163authorized by Supreme Court rule or order to allow public1048274access to view information or documents in the state court1048385document database, which is a database established and1048447or filed in a court.1048456What I what I'm still trying to do is this is "See1048467court document database or established such a database as10484710court document database or established such a database as10484811described in 72.031(5)? Yes or no? Either one of you.10471613THE COURT: My question was, yes or no: Have they10472814established or authorized a state court document database, as10473915described in 72.031(a) (5)? Yes or no? Did they do that?10474017THE COURT: Do you agree with that?10474118MS. MERIDETH: Yes.10474419THE COURT: All right. So they've done that. And10474520MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.10474721Research Texas?10474822MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.10474923MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor.10474924THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b)(2),10474924THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b)(2),10474924THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b)(2),<			14
1044193authorized by Supreme Court rule or order to allow public1044313access to view information or documents in the state court1044335document database, which is a database established and1044336authorized by the Supreme Court for storing documents prepared1044347or filed in a court.1044358What I what I'm still trying to do is this is "See1044499Spot Run." You know, has the Supreme Court authorized a state10445710court document database or established such a database as10445710court document database or established such a database as10445711described in 72.031(5)? Yes or no? Either one of you.10475612MR. DOW: They authorized Research Texas do that.10477813THE COURT: My question was, yes or no: Have they10472214established or authorized a state court document database, as10473816MR. DOW: Yes.10474118MS. MERIDETH: Yes.10474119THE COURT: All right. So they've done that. And10474120are we in agreement that that state court document database is10474121MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor.10475123MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor.10475124THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b) (2),	10:46:08	1	That says, "The office is authorized" "the office" being
1044274access to view information or documents in the state court1044335document database, which is a database established and1044436authorized by the Supreme Court for storing documents prepared1046447or filed in a court.1046448What I what I'm still trying to do is this is "See1046469Spot Run." You know, has the Supreme Court authorized a state10464710court document database or established such a database as10470611described in 72.031(5)? Yes or no? Either one of you.10471612MR. DOW: They authorized Research Texas do that.10471813THE COURT: My question was, yes or no: Have they10472214established or authorized a state court document database, as10473115described in 72.031(a) (5)? Yes or no? Did they do that?10473214MR. DOW: Yes.10473415MS. MERIDETH: Yes.10474419THE COURT: All right. So they've done that. And10474721Research Texas?10474122MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.10475123MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor.10475124THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b)(2),	10:46:11	2	OCA "by Supreme Court rule or order may" Is the OCA
10:44335document database, which is a database established and10:4436authorized by the Supreme Court for storing documents prepared10:4447or filed in a court.10:4448What I what I'm still trying to do is this is "See10:4449Spot Run." You know, has the Supreme Court authorized a state10:44510court document database or established such a database as10:47:011described in 72.031(5)? Yes or no? Either one of you.10:47:1612MR. DOW: They authorized Research Texas do that.10:47:1713THE COURT: My question was, yes or no: Have they10:47:2814established or authorized a state court document database, as10:47:4915described in 72.031(a) (5)? Yes or no? Did they do that?10:47:4916MR. DOW: Yes.10:47:4118MS. MERIDETH: Yes.10:47:4119THE COURT: All right. So they've done that. And10:47:4721Research Texas?10:47:4722MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.10:47:4723MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor.10:47:4723MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor.10:47:4724THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b)(2),	10:46:19	3	authorized by Supreme Court rule or order to allow public
1044396authorized by the Supreme Court for storing documents prepared1046447or filed in a court.1046468What I what I'm still trying to do is this is "See1046469Spot Run." You know, has the Supreme Court authorized a state10465710court document database or established such a database as10470411described in 72.031(5)? Yes or no? Either one of you.10471612MR. DOW: They authorized Research Texas do that.10472814established or authorized a state court document database, as10473115described in 72.031(a) (5)? Yes or no? Did they do that?10473214established or authorized a state court document database, as10474315described in 72.031(a) (5)? Yes or no? Did they do that?10474516MR. DOW: Yes.10474617THE COURT: Do you agree with that?10474118MS. MERIDETH: Yes.10474419THE COURT: All right. So they've done that. And10474520MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.10474621MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.10475122MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.10475123MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor.10475224THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b)(2),	10:46:27	4	access to view information or documents in the state court
 104644 7 or filed in a court. 104646 8 What I what I'm still trying to do is this is "See 104649 9 Spot Run." You know, has the Supreme Court authorized a state 104647 10 court document database or established such a database as 104704 11 described in 72.031(5)? Yes or no? Either one of you. 104716 12 MR. DOW: They authorized Research Texas do that. 104718 13 THE COURT: My question was, yes or no: Have they 104722 14 established or authorized a state court document database, as 104731 15 described in 72.031(a) (5)? Yes or no? Did they do that? 104735 16 MR. DOW: Yes. 104740 17 THE COURT: Do you agree with that? 104741 18 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. 104741 19 THE COURT: All right. So they've done that. And 104747 21 Research Texas? 104751 22 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor. 104751 23 MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor. 104752 24 THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b) (2), 	10:46:33	5	document database, which is a database established and
1046468What I what I'm still trying to do is this is "See1046499Spot Run." You know, has the Supreme Court authorized a state10464910court document database or established such a database as10470411described in 72.031(5)? Yes or no? Either one of you.10471612MR. DOW: They authorized Research Texas do that.10471813THE COURT: My question was, yes or no: Have they10472214established or authorized a state court document database, as10473115described in 72.031(a) (5)? Yes or no? Did they do that?10474316MR. DOW: Yes.10474417THE COURT: Do you agree with that?10474419THE COURT: All right. So they've done that. And10474721Research Texas?10475123MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.10475224THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b) (2),	10:46:39	6	authorized by the Supreme Court for storing documents prepared
 104649 9 Spot Run." You know, has the Supreme Court authorized a state 104657 10 court document database or established such a database as 104704 11 described in 72.031(5)? Yes or no? Either one of you. 104716 12 MR. DOW: They authorized Research Texas do that. 104718 13 THE COURT: My question was, yes or no: Have they 10472 14 established or authorized a state court document database, as 104731 15 described in 72.031(a) (5)? Yes or no? Did they do that? 104735 16 MR. DOW: Yes. 104740 17 THE COURT: Do you agree with that? 104741 18 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. 104741 19 THE COURT: All right. So they've done that. And 104747 21 Research Texas? 104751 22 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor. 104751 23 MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor. 104752 24 THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b) (2), 	10:46:44	7	or filed in a court.
 104657 10 court document database or established such a database as 104704 11 described in 72.031(5)? Yes or no? Either one of you. 104716 12 MR. DOW: They authorized Research Texas do that. 104718 13 THE COURT: My question was, yes or no: Have they 104722 14 established or authorized a state court document database, as 104731 15 described in 72.031(a) (5)? Yes or no? Did they do that? 104735 16 MR. DOW: Yes. 104741 17 THE COURT: Do you agree with that? 104741 18 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. 104743 10 are we in agreement that that state court document database is 104747 11 Research Texas? 104751 22 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor. 104752 24 THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b) (2), 	10:46:46	8	What I what I'm still trying to do is this is "See
10470411described in 72.031(5)? Yes or no? Either one of you.10471612MR. DOW: They authorized Research Texas do that.10471813THE COURT: My question was, yes or no: Have they10472214established or authorized a state court document database, as10473115described in 72.031(a) (5)? Yes or no? Did they do that?10473516MR. DOW: Yes.10474017THE COURT: Do you agree with that?10474118MS. MERIDETH: Yes.10474420are we in agreement that that state court document database is10474522MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.10475123MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.10475224THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b) (2),	10:46:49	9	Spot Run." You know, has the Supreme Court authorized a state
 1047:16 12 MR. DOW: They authorized Research Texas do that. 1047:18 13 THE COURT: My question was, yes or no: Have they 104722 14 established or authorized a state court document database, as 104731 15 described in 72.031(a) (5)? Yes or no? Did they do that? 104735 16 MR. DOW: Yes. 104740 17 THE COURT: Do you agree with that? 104741 18 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. 104741 19 THE COURT: All right. So they've done that. And 104747 21 Research Texas? 104751 22 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor. 104752 24 THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b) (2), 	10:46:57	10	court document database or established such a database as
 1047:18 13 THE COURT: My question was, yes or no: Have they 1047:22 14 established or authorized a state court document database, as 1047:31 15 described in 72.031(a)(5)? Yes or no? Did they do that? 1047:35 16 MR. DOW: Yes. 1047:40 17 THE COURT: Do you agree with that? 1047:41 18 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. 1047:41 19 THE COURT: All right. So they've done that. And 1047:42 20 are we in agreement that that state court document database is 1047:43 20 Are we in agreement that that state court document database is 1047:44 21 Research Texas? 1047:51 22 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor. 1047:51 23 MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor. 1047:52 24 THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b) (2), 	10:47:04	11	described in 72.031(5)? Yes or no? Either one of you.
10472214established or authorized a state court document database, as10473115described in 72.031(a) (5)? Yes or no? Did they do that?10473516MR. DOW: Yes.10474017THE COURT: Do you agree with that?10474118MS. MERIDETH: Yes.10474119THE COURT: All right. So they've done that. And10474320are we in agreement that that state court document database is10474721Research Texas?10475122MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.10475123MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor.10475224THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b) (2),	10:47:16	12	MR. DOW: They authorized Research Texas do that.
 104731 15 described in 72.031(a) (5)? Yes or no? Did they do that? 104735 16 MR. DOW: Yes. 104740 17 THE COURT: Do you agree with that? 104741 18 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. 104741 19 THE COURT: All right. So they've done that. And 104743 20 are we in agreement that that state court document database is 104747 21 Research Texas? 104751 22 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor. 104752 24 THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b)(2), 	10:47:18	13	THE COURT: My question was, yes or no: Have they
 1047.35 16 MR. DOW: Yes. 1047.40 17 THE COURT: Do you agree with that? 1047.41 18 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. 1047.41 19 THE COURT: All right. So they've done that. And 1047.43 20 are we in agreement that that state court document database is 1047.47 21 Research Texas? 1047.51 22 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor. 1047.52 24 THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b) (2), 	10:47:22	14	established or authorized a state court document database, as
10.47.4017THE COURT: Do you agree with that?10.47.4118MS. MERIDETH: Yes.10.47.4119THE COURT: All right. So they've done that. And10.47.4320are we in agreement that that state court document database is10.47.4721Research Texas?10.47.5122MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.10.47.5224THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b) (2),	10:47:31	15	described in 72.031(a)(5)? Yes or no? Did they do that?
1047.4118MS. MERIDETH: Yes.1047.4119THE COURT: All right. So they've done that. And1047.4320are we in agreement that that state court document database is1047.4721Research Texas?1047.5122MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.1047.5123MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor.1047.5224THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b) (2),	10:47:35	16	MR. DOW: Yes.
10.47.4119THE COURT: All right. So they've done that. And10.47.4320are we in agreement that that state court document database is10.47.4721Research Texas?10.47.5122MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.10.47.5123MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor.10.47.5224THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b) (2),	10:47:40	17	THE COURT: Do you agree with that?
10:47:4320are we in agreement that that state court document database is10:47:4721Research Texas?10:47:5122MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.10:47:5123MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor.10:47:5224THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b)(2),	10:47:41	18	MS. MERIDETH: Yes.
 10:47:47 21 Research Texas? 10:47:51 22 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor. 10:47:51 23 MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor. 10:47:52 24 THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b) (2), 	10:47:41	19	THE COURT: All right. So they've done that. And
10:47:5122MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.10:47:5123MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor.10:47:5224THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b) (2),	10:47:43	20	are we in agreement that that state court document database is
10:47:5123MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor.10:47:5224THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b)(2),	10:47:47	21	Research Texas?
10:47:52 24 THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b)(2),	10:47:51	22	MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.
	10:47:51	23	MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor.
10:47:59 25 "Allow public access to view information or documents in	10:47:52	24	THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b)(2),
	10:47:59	25	"Allow public access to view information or documents in

10:48:02	1	Research Texas." I'm substituting "Research Texas" for the
10:48:06	2	"state court document database." Does the public have access
10:48:12	3	to view information or documents that are in Research Texas?
10:48:19	4	MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.
10:48:19	5	THE COURT: Now, I know we're going to talk in a
10:48:22	6	minute about how they get there because and the delay. I'm
10:48:27	7	just trying to walk through this. So then we get over here to
10:48:30	8	(3), and that really is not the basis of this lawsuit, as I
10:48:36	9	understand it, that the OCA can charge a fee a reasonable
10:48:44	10	fee for additional optional features in the state court
10:48:48	11	document database? We're not even arguing about that?
10:48:48	12	MR. DOW: No, Your Honor.
10:48:49	13	THE COURT: All right. So we've got it, and it's to
10:48:51	14	Research Texas. But it doesn't get to Research Texas until a
10:49:00	15	specific district clerk pushes a button which accepts the
10:49:08	16	document and places it in the district clerk's CMS.
10:49:16	17	MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.
10:49:16	18	THE COURT: Then it goes directly to Research Texas.
10:49:20	19	MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.
10:49:23	20	MS. MERIDETH: Yes. That's correct.
10:49:24	21	THE COURT: All right. Now, your beef is, Mr. Dow?
10:49:31	22	MR. DOW: My beef is going back to 72.031(b)(2),
10:49:39	23	allow public access. What what sits in that Research
10:49:45	24	Texas
10:49:46	25	THE COURT: Your argument is a temporal argument.

Г

10:49:49	1	MR. DOW: We want preprocessing access.
10:49:53	2	THE COURT: You don't want the clerk to sit on it
10:49:55	3	until the clerk gets through with it and accepts it before you
10:49:59	4	get to see it?
10:50:01	5	MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.
10:50:03	6	THE COURT: And the State's position is?
10:50:06	7	MS. MERIDETH: This State's position is that it's the
10:50:08	8	district clerks that get to decide how they want to process the
10:50:13	9	document, if they want to process the document. Additionally,
10:50:18	10	JCIT has already considered, essentially, a statewide mandate
10:50:21	11	of the press review tool back in October of 2020 and they
10:50:26	12	recommended against essentially requiring the tool for every
10:50:29	13	county. And then the and then the Supreme Court didn't take
10:50:32	14	any action on the recommendation. So OCA's understanding is
10:50:37	15	that the Supreme Court has essentially made the decision not to
10:50:42	16	implement the press review tool statewide. And JCIT nor the
10:50:48	17	Supreme Court have taken any other action.
10:50:50	18	And I will add, though, that the auto-accept tool was
10:50:53	19	included in the latest contract back for the e-file two system,
10:51:02	20	and that was recommended by JCIT.
10:51:07	21	THE COURT: So what do I order OCA to do or not do to
10:51:18	22	grant you the relief you seek, Mr. Dow?
10:51:21	23	MR. DOW: Cease the practice of not utilizing either
10:51:30	24	the auto-accept tool or the press review tool preprocessing so
10:51:38	25	that the public and the press can view newly filed petitions

before each district clerk performs their clerical 10:51:48 1 administrative processing. 2 10:51:54 THE COURT: Well, said another way, I order the OCA 10:52:00 3 to tell every district clerk in Texas that they must have 10:52:04 4 10:52:08 5 either auto-accept or press review. MR. DOW: No, Your Honor. 6 10:52:12 7 Then tell me what's the difference THE COURT: 10:52:12 between the way you phrased it and the way I phrased it. 10:52:14 8 10:52:17 9 MR. DOW: It goes back to the EFM. We know that the OCA is the one that contracts and amends the contracts with 10:52:24 10 Tyler Technologies. 11 And that --10:52:31 THE COURT: All right. Let me stop you right there. 12 10:52:34 But every one of the 254 district clerks in the state of Texas 13 10:52:35 10:52:41 14 has the option of auto-accept or press review as it stands right now? 15 10:52:45 MR. DOW: Correct. 10:52:47 16 THE COURT: OCA is not preventing any district clerk 10:52:48 17 from having auto-accept and/or press review? 10:52:54 18 MR. DOW: Correct, Your Honor. 10:52:59 19 THE COURT: Now keep going with why it's not two 10:53:01 20 sides of the same coin. 21 10:53:05 22 MR. DOW: Well, they're -- we've settled with the 10:53:06 23 Travis County District Clerk, but there are 253 other district 10:53:10 10:53:15 24 clerks. 25 THE COURT: So it's a lot of trouble, and that's why 10:53:15

		10
10:53:18	1	I said earlier about the Attorney General's usual position on
10:53:21	2	this. And I recognize that.
10:53:23	3	MR. DOW: And
10:53:24	4	THE COURT: But I'm not sure that a lot of trouble is
10:53:29	5	something that I'm able to grant relief for.
10:53:33	6	MR. DOW: I would if I could just yes. You're
10:53:37	7	right that it's a lot of trouble. But I don't think that
10:53:44	8	there's any question that the OCA has the right and the
10:53:55	9	authority to simply implement the press review tool or the
10:53:59	10	auto-accept tool while petitions are in the EFM. There's
10:54:07	11	nothing preventing that, and it doesn't change anything.
10:54:13	12	THE COURT: So you're I am getting down to your
10:54:16	13	argument. And I've learned over the years that it's better for
10:54:19	14	me to take three hours right now and understand what the
10:54:22	15	arguments are then trying to do something.
10:54:24	16	So you're saying that you believe that the OCA right
10:54:30	17	now under their contract with Tyler Technology, once a document
10:54:46	18	anywhere in the state of Texas filed with the district clerk
10:54:51	19	hits EFM, then OCA can just on its own make that available? It
10:54:59	20	could happen at OCA?
10:55:01	21	MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.
10:55:07	22	THE COURT: Ms. Merideth?
10:55:08	23	MS. MERIDETH: Yes. And OCA's position is that,
10:55:11	24	essentially, CNS is asking this court to mandate OCA to amend
10:55:18	25	its contract to either require the press review tool or to

		1,
10:55:23	1	require auto-accept.
10:55:24	2	THE COURT: No. Back up right there. How would
10:55:28	3	according to Mr. Dow, there wouldn't have to be an amended
10:55:32	4	contract; that the power is there within the contract between
10:55:35	5	EFM and Tyler Technology to where EFM I mean, OCA could just
10:55:49	6	allow anybody to access EFM through OCA and get access to these
10:55:55	7	documents?
10:55:58	8	MR. DOW: They would OCA could allow anyone to
10:56:02	9	access the newly filed petitions in the EFM through either the
10:56:08	10	auto-accept tool. That would be anyone.
10:56:11	11	THE COURT: To authorize it in the EFM as is
10:56:15	12	administered by OCA and not authorize it through anything a
10:56:21	13	district clerk had anywhere in the country?
10:56:22	14	MR. DOW: Yes.
10:56:23	15	THE COURT: You could sit down, I could sit down,
10:56:25	16	members of the press could sit down whenever they wanted to,
10:56:30	17	access a website at the Office of Court Administration, pull up
10:56:36	18	EFM, and find out everything that had been filed in the courts
10:56:41	19	of the state of Texas, whether it had been accepted by the
10:56:44	20	district clerk or not?
10:56:45	21	MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.
10:56:46	22	THE COURT: Do you believe that's possible? I'm not
10:56:49	23	asking you to agree to it. I'm not asking you whether you
10:56:52	24	think it's advisable. I'm not asking whether you think it's
10:56:56	25	legal. Does that technology exist?

10:56:58	1	MS. MERIDETH: Does the can the press review tool
10:57:02	2	be linked to the EFM? Is that what Mr. Dow is asking?
10:57:07	3	THE COURT: No. That's what I'm asking.
10:57:09	4	MS. MERIDETH: Okay. Yes. That is possible. And
10:57:11	5	we've never contended that it's not technically possible.
10:57:13	6	THE COURT: All right. But is OCA of the opinion
10:57:20	7	that they couldn't do that without direction of the Supreme
10:57:23	8	Court or that they're barred right now from doing that because
10:57:28	9	of the interaction of 77 and 72? Why would they not be able to
10:57:37	10	do that right now if they saw fit to do it?
10:57:40	11	MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor. Well, there's two
10:57:42	12	reasons. Number one, because the clerks are the custodian of
10:57:50	13	the record, and it's OCA's position that it's ultimately up to
10:57:53	14	the clerk to determine how they want to accept the document.
10:57:56	15	If they want to accept the document sorry. If they want to
10:57:59	16	make the document available via the press review tool before
10:58:02	17	acceptance, if they want to auto-accept, or if they want to
10:58:07	18	conduct whatever process they they need to do before they
10:58:12	19	accept the document, clerks are elected officials and that's
10:58:15	20	how the Texas Constitution has laid out the clerks' structure.
10:58:20	21	There is no governing body over the clerks. There is no
10:58:23	22	disciplinary body over the clerks.
10:58:25	23	The Office of Court Administration can't even force
10:58:29	24	counties to use the EFM. Recently there was a county that
10:58:33	25	wasn't using the EFM, and all OCA could do was ask the county

Г

1 to use the EFM. 10:58:37 2 So, essentially, OCA really -- OCA has no teeth to 10:58:39 3 force those counties to use the tool. 10:58:44 THE COURT: No, no. But I think -- there's a little 10:58:47 4 difference in what you're saying. There is a difference in 5 10:58:53 saying that district clerks have total control over themselves 6 10:58:58 7 and their filings. There's a difference between that and 10:59:07 saying now that we have this statewide electronic system, 8 10:59:10 10:59:18 9 before the district clerk accepts it, if some other entity read OCA has it, that entity can make it available to the public. 10 10:59:24 MS. MERIDETH: And our position is that OCA cannot 11 10:59:31 make those documents available. 12 10:59:33 THE COURT: And why can OCA not? Because of the 10:59:34 13 Supreme Court's rulings? Because they don't want to? Why can 10:59:39 14 OCA not do this? 15 10:59:43 MS. MERIDETH: There's two reasons: Because the 10:59:45 16 clerk is the custodian of the document. It's their duty to 10:59:47 17 accept the document to make the record of the court. 10:59:49 18 And so OCA's position is that it's the clerk's decision. 10:59:53 19 THE COURT: Well, but --10:59:56 20 21 MS. MERIDETH: That it fringes upon the duty --10:59:58 THE COURT: -- if OCA has that, why can't they do 22 11:00:00 23 with it whatever they want to? Is it purely a positional 11:00:05 24 thing, or is there law out there or rule out there that says 11:00:09 25 OCA can't do that? 11:00:11

11:00:17	1	MS. MERIDETH: Okay. And let me back up. So can
11:00:20	2	members of the public log directly into the EFM? So the answer
11:00:24	3	to that is no. The members of the public can't.
11:00:26	4	THE COURT: All right. Well, that just cost me
11:00:29	5	30 minutes.
11:00:30	6	MS. MEREDITH: I apologize.
11:00:30	7	THE COURT: I'll never get that back.
11:00:32	8	MS. MEREDITH: I've been corrected.
11:00:34	9	THE COURT: Yes.
11:00:35	10	MS. MEREDITH: And our position is that and I can
11:00:37	11	pull up the statutes that I have cited in my briefing. But
11:00:43	12	Texas law provides duties to the clerk that OCA cannot infringe
11:00:48	13	upon. And then, secondly, the Supreme Court of Texas has
11:00:52	14	already followed JCIT's recommendation against the press review
11:00:58	15	tool statewide.
11:00:59	16	So OCA's position is that the Supreme Court has
11:01:02	17	already decided that the that the press review tool should
11:01:06	18	not be implemented statewide.
11:01:20	19	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Dow, now back to my
11:01:21	20	question of is this an OCA problem or is this a Supreme Court
11:01:25	21	problem.
11:01:27	22	MR. DOW: It's an OCA problem because you were
11:01:32	23	going to ask a question?
11:01:33	24	THE COURT: Well, I was going to ask you: If the
11:01:35	25	Supreme Court is telling OCA what to do, how can this court go

11:01:39	1	against the Supreme Court?
11:01:40	2	MR. DOW: I don't think that's correct. I don't
11:01:43	3	think the Texas Supreme Court is telling the OCA how to manage
11:01:51	4	and negotiate the contracts and the amendments.
11:01:55	5	THE COURT: No. But we're through the contract now.
11:01:57	6	There is a contract, you know. And I still am having a problem
11:02:11	7	with why what you're asking me to do isn't to amend that
11:02:14	8	contract or order that that contract be construed in a certain
11:02:18	9	way.
11:02:20	10	MR. DOW: I'm not asking you to amend the contract.
11:02:26	11	I'm not. The answer my answer to your question, Your Honor,
11:02:33	12	is: It is the OCA that manages/oversees the EFM. And
11:02:43	13	that's and they and the OCA has already negotiated with
11:02:51	14	Tyler Technologies for two different tools that would allow
11:03:00	15	members of the public and press to view newly filed petitions
11:03:08	16	preprocessed in the EFM. And that, I think, if I and if we
11:03:15	17	go back to 72.031(b)(2)
11:03:28	18	THE COURT: Yeah.
11:03:29	19	MR. DOW: "Allow public access to view information
11:03:32	20	or documents in the state court document database," that has to
11:03:40	21	satisfy the First Amendment.
11:03:42	22	THE COURT: Well, but but, if I understand
11:03:47	23	Ms. Merideth, her position is it doesn't get to the state court
11:03:51	24	document database without action by the particular district
11:03:56	25	clerk.

Γ

MS. MERIDETH: That's correct, Your Honor. And that 11:03:57 1 was a decision made by JCIT and the Supreme Court of Texas. 2 11:03:59 THE COURT: So do I order that, once it's on EFM, 3 11:04:04 11:04:13 4 that it goes immediately into the state court document database? Is that what you're asking? 5 11:04:17 MR. DOW: No, Your Honor. I'm asking for an order 6 11:04:19 7 that requires the OCA to implement the auto-accept tool. 11:04:26 THE COURT: Where do I have the power to order the 8 11:04:37 9 OCA to implement the auto-accept tool? And if I do, where then 11:04:42 does the OCA have the power to instruct the district clerks to 10 11:04:51 do it? 11 11:04:56 MR. DOW: Well, the OCA has already -- the OCA 12 11:04:57 doesn't have to -- we're not changing the duties of the 13 11:05:03 district clerks and we're not asking you to have OCA say 11:05:06 14 anything to the district clerks. All we're asking for is 15 11:05:10 that ... 11:05:15 16 THE COURT: No. Go ahead. 17 11:05:18 ... is that the practice and how they do 11:05:20 18 MR. DOW: things, that it allows -- that they start allowing the public 11:05:24 19 and the press to use either the auto-accept tool or the press 11:05:31 20 review. 21 11:05:38 22 THE COURT: But how can they tell the public or the 11:05:39 23 press that they can use auto-accept or press review? Because I 11:05:45 24 thought that's part of what district clerks have the power to 11:05:51 25 do or not do. 11:05:56

MR. DOW: That's the way the OCA has contracted with 1 11:05:59 Tyler, is to push -- push those two different tools off to the 2 11:06:04 3 district clerks. But that -- but that is -- that's 11:06:11 11:06:18 4 postprocessing. That's postacceptance. It doesn't address --THE COURT: No. It's not post -- it may be post, but 11:06:25 5 here's the deal. This is why we've got to break this out and 11:06:29 6 7 not generalize on it. 11:06:33 Right now there is a contract between Tyler 8 11:06:36 9 Technology and the OCA, which came about in that chain that we 11:06:44 previously discussed of the Supreme Court and the committee and 10 11:06:50 everything like that. All right. Forget about the previous 11 11:06:55 iterations of the contract. The contract right now allows an 12 11:06:59 auto-accept function or a press review function. 11:07:06 13 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor. 11:07:10 14 THE COURT: But the only entities that can 15 11:07:11 immediately -- that can sign up for that are the district 11:07:16 16 clerks. Am I right? 17 11:07:20 MR. DOW: As currently written, yes, Your Honor. 11:07:22 18 THE COURT: All right. So what you want me to do is 11:07:26 19 then say that once a document hits the ECM, which is where it 11:07:32 20 21 goes when your legal assistant first files it, and that is in a 11:07:45 database maintained by the OCA. 22 11:07:51 23 MR. DOW: Correct. 11:07:54 24 THE COURT: The OCA will have its -- will allow 11:07:55 25 individuals to access that database, not the state court 11:08:03

118811document database.118814MR. DOW: Correct.118814THE COURT: And, Ms. Merideth, you say that the OC118816Office of Court Administration can't do that.118838Office of Court Administration can't do that.118838Court Administration cannot do that.118843Court Administration cannot do that.118843Court Administration cannot do that.118843MS. MERIDETH: Yes. You're right. The Office of Court118843Administration cannot do that.118844MS. MERIDETH: Because that would basically usurp the118845duties of the clerk. It would essentially force every single118841clerk to either use the press review tool or use auto-accept.119854THE COURT: That is a general argument, and it may119854mean that it would put the OCA at odds with, potentially,119876MS. MERIDETH: Essentially, the Director would be119885MS. MERIDETH: Well, what what you're saying is119826MS. MERIDETH: Well, what what you're saying is119827MS. MERIDETH: Well, what what you're saying is119828MS. MERIDETH: Well, what what you're saying is119829Image and I'm looking for why it's illegal. I'm looking for why you119829Image and I'm looking for why it's illegal. I'm looking for why you119829Image and I'm looking for why it's illegal. I'm looking for why you119829Image and I'm looking for why it's illegal. I'm looking for why you119829Image and I'm looking for why it's illegal.			
1102183THE COURT: And, Ms. Merideth, you say that the OC1102834Office of Court Administration can't do that.1102835MS. MERIDETH: Yes. You're right. The Office of1102846Court Administration cannot do that.1102847THE COURT: And why can't the Office of Court1102847MS. MERIDETH: Because that would basically usurp the1102848Administration do this?1102849MS. MERIDETH: Because that would basically usurp the11028410duties of the clerk. It would essentially force every single11028410clerk to either use the press review tool or use auto-accept.11028511mean that it would put the OCA at odds with, potentially,11028612MS. MERIDETH: Essentially, the Director would be11028113mean that it would put the OCA at odds with, potentially,11028114Chapter 77.11028115MS. MERIDETH: Essentially, the Director would be11028216acting ultra vires.11028217THE COURT: Well, what what you're saying is11028218and I'm looking for why it's illegal. I'm looking for why you11028319can't do it, other than that district clerks are a powerful11029319political force in Texas and there are 254 of them and you11029422that happen. An attorney general might not want to have that11029423happen. Various state representatives and senators might not	11:08:12	1	document database.
1108384Office of Court Administration can't do that.1108385MS. MERIDETH: Yes. You're right. The Office of1108436Court Administration cannot do that.1108447THE COURT: And why can't the Office of Court1108457MS. MERIDETH: Because that would basically usurp the1108468Administration do this?1108479MS. MERIDETH: Because that would basically usurp the11084810duties of the clerk. It would essentially force every single11085912THE COURT: That is a general argument, and it may11085913mean that it would put the OCA at odds with, potentially,11081614Chapter 77.11082615MS. MERIDETH: Essentially, the Director would be11082716acting ultra vires.11082818and I'm looking for why it's illegal. I'm looking for why you11083819can't do it, other than that district clerks are a powerful11083820political force in Texas and there are 254 of them and you11084421might not want to do that. A governor might not want to have11084422that happen. An attorney general might not want to have that	11:08:14	2	MR. DOW: Correct.
119335MS. MERIDETH: Yes. You're right. The Office of1198436Court Administration cannot do that.1198437THE COURT: And why can't the Office of Court1198448Administration do this?1198499MS. MERIDETH: Because that would basically usurp the11984910duties of the clerk. It would essentially force every single11985910clerk to either use the press review tool or use auto-accept.11985912THE COURT: That is a general argument, and it may11986413mean that it would put the OCA at odds with, potentially,11981614Chapter 77.11982815MS. MERIDETH: Essentially, the Director would be11982916acting ultra vires.11982818and I'm looking for why it's illegal. I'm looking for why you11983820political force in Texas and there are 254 of them and you11984421might not want to do that. A governor might not want to have11984422that happen. An attorney general might not want to have that	11:08:18	3	THE COURT: And, Ms. Merideth, you say that the OC
1108436Court Administration cannot do that.1108447THE COURT: And why can't the Office of Court1108448Administration do this?1108499MS. MERIDETH: Because that would basically usurp the11084110duties of the clerk. It would essentially force every single11084311clerk to either use the press review tool or use auto-accept.11085112THE COURT: That is a general argument, and it may11085613mean that it would put the OCA at odds with, potentially,11081614Chapter 77.11081815MS. MERIDETH: Essentially, the Director would be11082216acting ultra vires.11082818and I'm looking for why it's illegal. I'm looking for why you11082819can't do it, other than that district clerks are a powerful11083420political force in Texas and there are 254 of them and you11084422that happen. An attorney general might not want to have that	11:08:36	4	Office of Court Administration can't do that.
1108457THE COURT: And why can't the Office of Court110848Administration do this?1108499110851101108531011085411110854111108551111085512110856121108571311085813110859131108591311081614110916141109161511092016110922171110923171110924191110925101109251011092610110926111109271211092813110928141109291511092916110929171111THE COURT: Well, what what you're saying is111092819111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111 <trr>111</trr>	11:08:38	5	MS. MERIDETH: Yes. You're right. The Office of
1108.488Administration do this?1108.499MS. MERIDETH: Because that would basically usurp the duties of the clerk. It would essentially force every single clerk to either use the press review tool or use auto-accept.1108.5110clerk to either use the press review tool or use auto-accept.1108.5912THE COURT: That is a general argument, and it may1109.0513mean that it would put the OCA at odds with, potentially,1109.1614Chapter 77.1109.1615MS. MERIDETH: Essentially, the Director would be acting ultra vires.1109.2217THE COURT: Well, what what you're saying is1109.2818and I'm looking for why it's illegal. I'm looking for why you1109.2919can't do it, other than that district clerks are a powerful1109.3210might not want to do that. A governor might not want to have1109.4421happen. An attorney general might not want to have that	11:08:43	6	Court Administration cannot do that.
1108499MS. MERIDETH: Because that would basically usurp the11085110duties of the clerk. It would essentially force every single11085411clerk to either use the press review tool or use auto-accept.11085912THE COURT: That is a general argument, and it may11095513mean that it would put the OCA at odds with, potentially,11091614Chapter 77.11092616acting ultra vires.11092717THE COURT: Well, what what you're saying is11092818and I'm looking for why it's illegal. I'm looking for why you11093620political force in Texas and there are 254 of them and you11093421might not want to do that. A governor might not want to have11094422that happen. An attorney general might not want to have that11094723happen. Various state representatives and senators might not	11:08:45	7	THE COURT: And why can't the Office of Court
 110851 10 110854 11 clerk to either use the press review tool or use auto-accept. 110859 12 THE COURT: That is a general argument, and it may 110905 13 mean that it would put the OCA at odds with, potentially, 110916 14 Chapter 77. 110918 15 MS. MERIDETH: Essentially, the Director would be 110920 16 acting <i>ultra vires</i>. 110922 17 THE COURT: Well, what what you're saying is 110928 18 and I'm looking for why it's illegal. I'm looking for why you 110938 19 can't do it, other than that district clerks are a powerful 110934 21 might not want to do that. A governor might not want to have 110944 22 that happen. An attorney general might not want to have that 110947 23 	11:08:48	8	Administration do this?
<pre>11:08:54 11 clerk to either use the press review tool or use auto-accept. 11:08:59 12 THE COURT: That is a general argument, and it may 11:09:05 13 mean that it would put the OCA at odds with, potentially, 11:09:16 14 Chapter 77. 11:09:16 15 MS. MERIDETH: Essentially, the Director would be 11:09:20 16 acting ultra vires. 11:09:22 17 THE COURT: Well, what what you're saying is 11:09:28 18 and I'm looking for why it's illegal. I'm looking for why you 11:09:38 20 political force in Texas and there are 254 of them and you 11:09:40 21 might not want to do that. A governor might not want to have 11:09:41 22 that happen. An attorney general might not want to have that 11:09:47 23 happen. Various state representatives and senators might not</pre>	11:08:49	9	MS. MERIDETH: Because that would basically usurp the
11:08:5912THE COURT: That is a general argument, and it may11:09:0513mean that it would put the OCA at odds with, potentially,11:09:1614Chapter 77.11:09:1815MS. MERIDETH: Essentially, the Director would be11:09:2016acting ultra vires.11:09:2217THE COURT: Well, what what you're saying is11:09:2818and I'm looking for why it's illegal. I'm looking for why you11:09:3219can't do it, other than that district clerks are a powerful11:09:3620political force in Texas and there are 254 of them and you11:09:4422that happen. An attorney general might not want to have that11:09:4723happen. Various state representatives and senators might not	11:08:51	10	duties of the clerk. It would essentially force every single
<pre>11.0905 13 mean that it would put the OCA at odds with, potentially, 11.09.16 14 Chapter 77. 11.09.18 15 MS. MERIDETH: Essentially, the Director would be acting ultra vires. 11.0922 16 acting ultra vires. 11.0922 17 THE COURT: Well, what what you're saying is 11.0928 18 and I'm looking for why it's illegal. I'm looking for why you 11.0932 19 can't do it, other than that district clerks are a powerful 11.0936 20 political force in Texas and there are 254 of them and you 11.0934 21 might not want to do that. A governor might not want to have 11.0934 22 that happen. An attorney general might not want to have that 11.0934 23 happen. Various state representatives and senators might not</pre>	11:08:54	11	clerk to either use the press review tool or use auto-accept.
11.09:1614Chapter 77.11.09:1815MS. MERIDETH: Essentially, the Director would be11.09:2016acting ultra vires.11.09:2217THE COURT: Well, what what you're saying is11.09:2818and I'm looking for why it's illegal. I'm looking for why you11.09:3219can't do it, other than that district clerks are a powerful11.09:3620political force in Texas and there are 254 of them and you11.09:4121might not want to do that. A governor might not want to have11.09:4422that happen. An attorney general might not want to have that11.09:4723happen. Various state representatives and senators might not	11:08:59	12	THE COURT: That is a general argument, and it may
11:09:1815MS. MERIDETH: Essentially, the Director would be11:09:2016acting ultra vires.11:09:2217THE COURT: Well, what what you're saying is11:09:2818and I'm looking for why it's illegal. I'm looking for why you11:09:3219can't do it, other than that district clerks are a powerful11:09:3620political force in Texas and there are 254 of them and you11:09:4121might not want to do that. A governor might not want to have11:09:4422that happen. An attorney general might not want to have that11:09:4723happen. Various state representatives and senators might not	11:09:05	13	mean that it would put the OCA at odds with, potentially,
11.092016acting ultra vires.11.092217THE COURT: Well, what what you're saying is11.092818and I'm looking for why it's illegal. I'm looking for why you11.093219can't do it, other than that district clerks are a powerful11.093620political force in Texas and there are 254 of them and you11.094121might not want to do that. A governor might not want to have11.094422that happen. An attorney general might not want to have that11.094723happen. Various state representatives and senators might not	11:09:16	14	Chapter 77.
11:09:2217THE COURT: Well, what what you're saying is11:09:2818and I'm looking for why it's illegal. I'm looking for why you11:09:3219can't do it, other than that district clerks are a powerful11:09:3620political force in Texas and there are 254 of them and you11:09:4121might not want to do that. A governor might not want to have11:09:4422that happen. An attorney general might not want to have that11:09:4723happen. Various state representatives and senators might not	11:09:18	15	MS. MERIDETH: Essentially, the Director would be
11:09:28 18 and I'm looking for why it's illegal. I'm looking for why you can't do it, other than that district clerks are a powerful political force in Texas and there are 254 of them and you might not want to do that. A governor might not want to have that happen. An attorney general might not want to have that happen. Various state representatives and senators might not	11:09:20	16	acting ultra vires.
<pre>11:09:32 19 can't do it, other than that district clerks are a powerful 11:09:36 20 political force in Texas and there are 254 of them and you 11:09:41 21 might not want to do that. A governor might not want to have 11:09:44 22 that happen. An attorney general might not want to have that 11:09:47 23 happen. Various state representatives and senators might not</pre>	11:09:22	17	THE COURT: Well, what what you're saying is
 11:09:36 20 political force in Texas and there are 254 of them and you 11:09:41 21 might not want to do that. A governor might not want to have 11:09:44 22 that happen. An attorney general might not want to have that 11:09:47 23 happen. Various state representatives and senators might not 	11:09:28	18	and I'm looking for why it's illegal. I'm looking for why you
 11:09:41 21 might not want to do that. A governor might not want to have 11:09:44 22 that happen. An attorney general might not want to have that 11:09:47 23 happen. Various state representatives and senators might not 	11:09:32	19	can't do it, other than that district clerks are a powerful
11:09:44 22 that happen. An attorney general might not want to have that 11:09:47 23 happen. Various state representatives and senators might not	11:09:36	20	political force in Texas and there are 254 of them and you
11:09:47 23 happen. Various state representatives and senators might not	11:09:41	21	might not want to do that. A governor might not want to have
	11:09:44	22	that happen. An attorney general might not want to have that
	11:09:47	23	happen. Various state representatives and senators might not
11:09:50 24 want to have that happen. But once the OCA has legally	11:09:50	24	want to have that happen. But once the OCA has legally
11:09:59 25 established a database that has information on it, why can't	11:09:59	25	established a database that has information on it, why can't

		55
11:10:02	1	the OCA allow access to that database?
11:10:05	2	And I think that's really what your argument is.
11:10:07	3	MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.
11:10:08	4	THE COURT: Is to allow the public to access the
11:10:12	5	database because the filed or the submitted but unaccepted
11:10:20	6	petition is on that database.
11:10:23	7	MR. DOW: Could I?
11:10:24	8	THE COURT: Yes.
11:10:25	9	MR. DOW: Deemed filed. They are filed.
11:10:29	10	THE COURT: For purposes of what have you, yeah.
11:10:32	11	Well, are they filed or are they deemed filed, because
11:10:35	12	definition of "deemed" is something that really didn't happen,
11:10:38	13	but we're going to make it up and say it happened. That's
11:10:41	14	deeming. Are they filed or are they not filed?
11:10:42	15	MR. DOW: Filed.
11:10:43	16	THE COURT: So they're filed but not accepted?
11:10:45	17	MR. DOW: Correct.
11:11:10	18	THE COURT: All right. Back to you, Ms. Merideth.
11:11:12	19	You've had a conference now. You've gotten advice from your
11:11:14	20	lawyers.
11:11:15	21	MS. MERIDETH: Yes. So there's two points. Number
11:11:17	22	one, it's not technically possible for users. And I apologize;
11:11:22	23	I have to correct myself. It's not possible for users to
11:11:25	24	access those documents via the EFM. And the second point is
11:11:31	25	that, essentially, OCA would become the clerk if OCA starts

1 if it was even technically possible, OCA would essentially be 11:11:37 functioning as the clerk. 11:11:41 2 THE COURT: Okay. Why is it not technically 11:11:43 3 11:11:44 4 possible? MS. MERIDETH: Because my understanding is that the 11:11:45 5 EFM would have to be connected to the case -- to the --11:11:48 6 7 pardon -- the --11:11:53 First of all, I think I have to ask what -- what tool 8 11:11:55 is CNS seeking? Because my understanding is that the public 9 11:12:00 can't just access the EFM. There would have to be a tool that 10 11:12:06 attaches to the case management system of the clerk. 11 11:12:11 THE COURT: Well, you-all are going to have to tell 12 11:12:16 me that. I get to ask the questions. You don't get to ask the 13 11:12:18 questions. You know, I spent a large amount of the morning 11:12:22 14 finding, well, this could happen, and now I'm hearing it can't 15 11:12:25 happen. So that may be grounds for an evidentiary hearing, 11:12:29 16 17 because you've now said it's not technically possible. 11:12:36 And let's forget about the public. I'll worry about 11:12:40 18 them later. Let's talk about Courthouse News Service, which is 11:12:43 19 a part of the public. Why, technically, could Courthouse News 11:12:47 20 21 Service not have access through the Office of Court 11:12:52 Administration to filed, but not accepted, documents on the 22 11:12:57 23 system? 11:13:05 24 MS. MERIDETH: If I could just have a brief 11:13:07 25 conference. 11:13:09

THE COURT: The question was really to you. 11:13:09 1 Why is that not technically possible, as distinguished from 2 11:13:12 3 contractually possible or advisable or any number of other 11:13:16 words we could use. Why, if I ordered that right now and 11:13:21 4 everybody said "that's a good idea," why could it not happen? 5 11:13:25 MS. MERIDETH: Could I have brief moment, Your Honor? 6 11:13:29 7 THE COURT: You may. 11:13:31 MS. MERIDETH: Just to make sure. 8 11:13:32 9 Your Honor, if we need to get there, we can provide a 11:13:39 witness to provide that information. But we're getting into 11:13:42 10 the ... 11 11:13:45 THE COURT: Does anybody just know? They could tell 12 11:13:45 me in a shorthand version without a witness. Is it -- is it --13 11:13:48 you know, there a lot of smart computer people out there, and I 14 11:13:54 bet of bunch of them work for Courthouse News Service. 15 11:13:58 MS. MERIDETH: Unfortunately, it's too technical at 11:14:00 16 this point, and so we ... 11:14:04 17 For even a district judge to understand? 11:14:05 18 THE COURT: 11:14:07 19 MS. MERIDETH: I think for me to understand, for me to be able to speak the tech. I think we'd have to contact the 11:14:10 20 21 software vendor to make sure we get clarification and provide 11:14:18 accurate information to the Court. 22 11:14:22 23 MR. HILTON: And, Your Honor, we do have a witness on 11:14:26 24 our witness list who can answer that question specifically 11:14:28 25 about why -- you know, the technical issues. It's just going 11:14:30

11:14:33	1	to get too far into the weeds for us mere lawyers.
11:14:40	2	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Dow?
11:14:41	3	MR. DOW: Your Honor, do you mind if I point you to a
11:14:49	4	prospective plaintiff's exhibit?
11:14:50	5	THE COURT: Well, why don't you just tell me about
11:14:50	6	it?
11:14:50	7	MR. DOW: They have technical ability to do this
11:14:52	8	through the and it's as simple as they have to make it
11:15:02	9	available to the press, the press review tool, and that CNS
11:15:06	10	would have to create a log-in.
11:15:09	11	THE COURT: What would Office of Court Administration
11:15:12	12	have to do?
11:15:15	13	MR. DOW: Create a queue for registered users for
11:15:20	14	users to register using the press review tool to log in and
11:15:27	15	access the petitions.
11:15:29	16	THE COURT: All right. And the Supreme Court has
11:15:33	17	said they're not going to adopt press review statewide; is that
11:15:37	18	correct?
11:15:37	19	MR. DOW: No.
11:15:39	20	THE COURT: Wait a minute. Let me hear Ms. Merideth.
11:15:42	21	MS. MERIDETH: That's correct. JCIT recommended
11:15:45	22	against that.
11:15:45	23	THE COURT: And so did the Supreme Court then not act
11:15:48	24	on it, or did they accept what the committee said?
11:15:52	25	MS. MERIDETH: Yeah. OCA's understanding is

58

1 THE COURT: No. Don't tell me what anybody's 11:15:54 2 understanding is. Tell me what you as the lawyer standing in 11:15:56 3 front of me. The committee recommended against statewide 11:15:58 application of the press review function, and what did the 11:16:02 4 Supreme Court do? 11:16:07 5 MS. MERIDETH: And the Supreme Court didn't take 6 11:16:07 7 action to recommend --11:16:11 THE COURT: All right. 11:16:13 8 11:16:14 9 MS. MERIDETH: -- or order the press review tool. THE COURT: All right. And it's the Attorney 10 11:16:15 General's position the Supreme Court has to order statewide 11 11:16:18 application of the press review tool or it doesn't apply to all 11:16:24 12 13 254 county clerks? 11:16:29 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. 11:16:31 14 THE COURT: All right. Now you. 11:16:32 15 MR. DOW: I don't think that's what 72.031 11:16:34 16 17 contemplates. 11:16:38 THE COURT: No. But is that what happened? 11:16:39 18 11:16:41 19 MR. DOW: No. THE COURT: What happened? 11:16:43 20 They -- the Supreme Court didn't -- didn't 21 MR. DOW: 11:16:44 act on it. 22 11:16:48 23 THE COURT: That's just what Ms. Merideth said. 11:16:49 11:16:51 24 MR. DOW: Okay. I'm sorry. 11:16:52 25 THE COURT: That the committee recommended it and the

11:16:54	1	Supreme Court took no action on it.
11:16:56	2	MR. DOW: Correct, Your Honor.
11:16:57	3	THE COURT: All right.
11:16:58	4	MR. DOW: Sorry about that.
11:16:59	5	THE COURT: It's all right. No. If you haven't been
11:17:03	6	reading appellate cases lately, you don't know how this country
11:17:07	7	and the appellate courts are consumed with textualism right
11:17:13	8	now. So when I write anything, I have got to make sure that I
11:17:17	9	have paid attention to the text of what was done, whether it's
11:17:21	10	by statute or whether it's by rule or whether it's by other
11:17:25	11	actions. So, you know, we're going to take this down to the
11:17:29	12	smallest granular leveling in determining it.
11:17:33	13	MR. DOW: Then I need to make a correction.
11:17:35	14	THE COURT: All right.
11:17:36	15	MR. DOW: The J
11:17:36	16	THE COURT: You weren't going to make it until I told
11:17:39	17	you that?
11:17:39	18	MR. DOW: I was going to make it anyway.
11:17:42	19	THE COURT: Okay.
11:17:42	20	MR. DOW: But now I'm definitely going to make it.
11:17:45	21	THE COURT: Okay.
11:17:45	22	MR. DOW: The J
11:17:46	23	THE COURT: Yeah.
11:17:46	24	MR. DOW: That committee, they recommended not
11:17:49	25	utilizing the press review tool statewide.

		01
11:17:53	1	THE COURT: Okay.
11:17:53	2	MR. DOW: And the Texas Supreme Court didn't say yea
11:17:56	3	or nay
11:17:57	4	THE COURT: All right.
11:17:57	5	MR. DOW: to that.
11:18:06	6	THE COURT: So are we at the point just a minute.
11:18:32	7	So are we at the point, because I'm sliding back into
11:18:36	8	what I need to hear testimony on and where I am on the State's
11:18:45	9	motion to dismiss. Are we at the point where, except for the
11:18:57	10	technical situation, could the OCA order the relief sought by
11:19:10	11	the plaintiff?
11:19:11	12	MS. MERIDETH: No, Your Honor.
11:19:12	13	THE COURT: And why could presume we have solved
11:19:17	14	the technical problem. Why could OCA not order that?
11:19:21	15	MS. MERIDETH: Because, number one, the Supreme Court
11:19:24	16	of Texas has recommended against it. Number two, because this
11:19:29	17	relief would assume the duties of the clerk. And I can point
11:19:33	18	out the direct statutes: Texas Government Code 51.303(a), the
11:19:41	19	clerk
11:19:42	20	THE COURT: What was the what was the section?
11:19:45	21	MS. MERIDETH: 51.
11:19:46	22	THE COURT: Are you saying five?
11:19:48	23	MS. MERIDETH: Yes. Chapter 51.
11:19:50	24	THE COURT: All right. 303(a), "The clerk of a
11:20:00	25	district court has custody of, and shall carefully maintain and

61

1 arrange, the records relating to or lawfully deposited in the 11:20:03 2 clerk's office." 11:20:08 Now, why does that conflict with giving the public 11:20:10 3 access to a database that contains material in it? What I'm 11:20:16 4 saying is, it may be that this whole system wasn't carefully 5 11:20:23 enough thought through with regard to all the statutes that may 6 11:20:28 7 interact or conflict with one another. And that is not 11:20:31 uncommon. But I don't see why that -- I don't see what 8 11:20:34 protects the records in the EFM database that is maintained by 11:20:44 9 the Office of Court Administration. 10 11:20:57 MS. MERIDETH: Well, our position is that that 11:21:00 11 they're not OCA's documents; they're essentially the clerk's 12 11:21:02 documents. And so EFM would essentially be allowing the public 11:21:05 13 to look at these documents without authorization of the clerks. 11:21:09 14 And we could -- we have -- we likely will have testimony that 15 11:21:13 confirms that as well. 11:21:16 16 THE COURT: Well, this is the Attorney General game 17 11:21:23 I'm happy to hear that testimony, but you-all always 11:21:25 18 again. jump out with a motion to dismiss because you don't want me to 11:21:29 19 hear that testimony. You want me to rule on the basis of 11:21:32 20 21 pleadings. 11:21:36 Now, my question is: As a citizen, I don't like the 11:21:41 22 23 optics of the Attorney General not wanting to have a fully 11:21:45 24 developed record on an issue of public importance that then has 11:21:50 25 the courts rule on it on the basis of that -- a district court 11:21:56

		65
11:22:03	1	rule on it on the basis of fully developed record and then
11:22:06	2	appeal it to the Court of Appeals and, if necessary, to the
11:22:10	3	Supreme Court, and get it worked out.
11:22:13	4	I've never understood the philosophy of not wanting a
11:22:18	5	complete record, which is which I hear all the time from the
11:22:24	6	Attorney General.
11:22:24	7	MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, we're prepared today to
11:22:34	8	provide a full record.
11:22:35	9	THE COURT: Mr. Dow?
11:22:36	10	MR. DOW: I just I wanted to go back, if I may, on
11:22:40	11	the is it technologically doable, providing the press review
11:22:50	12	tool.
11:22:50	13	THE COURT: I can tell you I'm not going to rule on
11:22:52	14	that until I take evidence on it.
11:22:53	15	MR. DOW: All right. Then I am not going to get into
11:22:56	16	the okay.
11:22:56	17	THE COURT: Because, you know, even the people that
11:23:01	18	say it's impossible say I need to hear technical evidence on
11:23:05	19	that.
11:23:05	20	MR. DOW: I'll stand down on that.
11:23:07	21	THE COURT: I'm not able to hear you argue and say,
11:23:09	22	okay, I can do this with just hearing argument on it. If one
11:23:13	23	side or the other thinks it requires testimony, then it
11:23:17	24	requires testimony.
11:23:20	25	MR. DOW: Yes.

	1	
11:23:20	1	THE COURT: All right.
11:23:23	2	MR. DOW: Could I offer one thing?
11:23:26	3	THE COURT: Yes.
11:23:26	4	MR. DOW: The the argument that the newly filed
11:23:32	5	petitions, I think what I heard was they they're owned or
11:23:39	6	they belong to district clerks. It's the public that should
11:23:45	7	have access to those newly filed petitions. And that's
11:23:51	8	that's why we're here. It's and obviously for the press.
11:24:00	9	You probably didn't need that clarification from me.
11:24:02	10	THE COURT: Well, let me write something down here,
11:24:05	11	and then I'm going to ask you about that. Let's suppose that I
11:24:18	12	accept that. All right. What that argument basically is
11:24:31	13	basically, is your argument they are not the clerk's records?
11:24:35	14	Or is your argument they are the clerk's records, but the clerk
11:24:41	15	cannot deny public access to them?
11:24:44	16	MR. DOW: I yes. And including the OCA can't deny
11:24:50	17	public access.
11:24:51	18	THE COURT: Well, there's a difference. There's a
11:24:53	19	difference in the way we approach that to try to reach release
11:24:57	20	as to whether they're the clerk's records and the clerk has
11:25:02	21	control over the records even though they are in the possession
11:25:07	22	of OCA. Or whether once they go on the EFM database, they are
11:25:21	23	also, perhaps, OCA records and OCA is answerable. That point
11:25:31	24	reaches right to the gravamen of the complaint and whether I
11:25:35	25	can grant relief.

You know, my biggest problem with this whole case is, 1 11:25:37 no matter what I think is a good idea, is how can I grant 2 11:25:41 3 relief? And that's what I want to hear from both of you on at 11:25:44 the appropriate time. Because I'm not as convinced as you are 11:25:48 4 that I can grant relief against OCA. I'm not convinced that I 11:25:52 5 can't. But I think it's far from an obvious choice. And I 6 11:25:56 7 think part of the issue comes down to, now that we've raised 11:25:59 it, whose records are they? 11:26:10 8 If they're the clerk's records, then we're kind of 11:26:11 9 back to what I started with. I don't think there's any one 11:26:15 10 person in the state of Texas that's capable of being sued over 11 11:26:19 all those records. And I come back to the precedent that I've 12 11:26:25 seen with the Fifth Circuit on how elections are conducted. 13 11:26:34 11:26:37 14 You may be in the position that you have to deal with each individual district clerk. That's the trouble argument. 15 11:26:40 If the records are in the possession of the Office of Court 11:26:45 16 17 Administration, then that's a different consideration, if they 11:26:52 have control over them or any part of them, as to what kind of 11:27:01 18 11:27:05 19 remedy that can be fashioned. And I would say, Your Honor, that the --11:27:08 20 MR. DOW: 21 the newly filed petitions belong to the public. Granted, the 11:27:13 22 district clerk --11:27:21 11:27:22 23 THE COURT: No, no. Let's -- they belong to the 24 public whether they're in the hands of the district clerk and 11:27:24 25 under the district clerk's control or whether there's equal 11:27:29

1 control because they go to this other database or whether 11:27:35 2 they're out of the control of the district clerk now because 11:27:38 3 they also are maintained by someone else. 11:27:43 But we can say they're the public's records, but 11:27:46 4 they're not the public's records until it's ruled that the 11:27:52 5 public has access to them. And that's what comes down to a 6 11:27:56 7 standing argument and who is the right person to sue to gain 11:28:00 access to those records. 8 11:28:05 They are public records. At the moment your legal 11:28:06 9 assistant pushes the button, that's a public record, in my 11:28:11 10 opinion. But that's not what we're arguing about here. 11 We're 11:28:15 arguing about whether the district clerk or the OCA can shield 12 11:28:19 those records from the public or whether they must be made 11:28:24 13 11:28:30 14 available to the public. So my answer to your question is OCA and 15 11:28:31 MR. DOW: the district clerk have equal access. I should have said that 11:28:36 16 17 from the beginning. 11:28:42 THE COURT: Yeah. I know that. But then the 11:28:43 18 question is, you know, can I order the OCA to do something or 11:28:45 19 can I not? 11:28:53 20 MR. DOW: You can. 21 11:28:54 22 THE COURT: I know you say that. 11:28:56 23 MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, if I may? I'd like to 11:28:57 24 point out that Mr. Dow mentioned -- he did not mention custody, 11:28:59 25 he mentioned access. And so I think there's a -- there's a big 11:29:05

difference between access and custody. And if you look at 1 11:29:09 section -- it's Chapter 51.303 states in -- in Subsection (a) 2 11:29:13 that "The clerk of a district court has custody and shall 3 11:29:19 certify, maintain, and arrange the records relating to --11:29:22 4 THE COURT: Yeah. 5 11:29:27 MS. MERIDETH: -- or lawfully deposited ..." 6 11:29:27 7 But, Your Honor, if we look back at the civil 11:29:29 procedures section -- Chapter -- or Rule 21 that Mr. Dow has 11:29:32 8 9 been citing to, I mean, these -- these documents are in the 11:29:36 custody of the clerk essentially as soon as they are entering 11:29:40 10 the EFM because the clerk is the custodian of records. 11 And 11:29:44 it's up to the clerk to maintain the security and safety of the 12 11:29:47 document. 13 11:29:50 THE COURT: Except they're not. Somebody at OCA can 11:29:52 14 sit down and look at them. 15 11:29:57 MS. MERIDETH: And, Your Honor, that's access, not 11:30:00 16 17 custody. 11:30:02 THE COURT: Well, if somebody at OCA sits down and 11:30:06 18 looks at them, it's not breaking and entering. I mean, it's 11:30:10 19 out there for people to look at. 11:30:15 20 21 MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, OCA cannot access the 11:30:17 22 documents in EFM. That's my understanding. Even OCA can't 11:30:20 23 peer behind the veil and look at those documents. 11:30:24 24 THE COURT: All right. See? And now we're 3 1/2 --11:30:27 25 or 2 1/2 hours into finding out things, which is why I wanted 11:30:31

11:30:35	1	to do this. You know, you think I could have learned all this
11:30:38	2	from looking at all of the reams of paperwork that you filed?
11:30:42	3	That's the problem when you're the judge.
11:30:43	4	So what we're now being told is I'm now being told
11:30:49	5	is OCA maintains EFM, and nobody at OCA can access anything
11:31:06	6	that's being maintained on EFM?
11:31:11	7	MS. MERIDETH: That's correct.
11:31:21	8	THE COURT: So OCA can only access the document once
11:31:30	9	it is moved to the individual clerk's case management system
11:31:38	10	and it pops up on Research Texas?
11:31:41	11	MS. MERIDETH: Yes. That's correct. OCA can't
11:31:44	12	access the document in the case management system. They
11:31:47	13	have but once the document is accepted into the case
11:31:50	14	management system, it is instantly uploaded to Research Texas.
11:31:55	15	So at that point OCA can access the document.
11:32:23	16	THE COURT: Mr. Dow?
11:32:24	17	MR. DOW: I don't know if they can or can't, but
11:32:29	18	access they, being OCA, access the newly filed petitions
11:32:35	19	while they sit in the EFM. But I do know that they can have
11:32:42	20	Tyler Technologies configure it so they can.
11:32:48	21	THE COURT: Sure. And so that's what I'm saying what
11:32:51	22	you're asking me to do is to order them to do something
11:32:57	23	regarding their contract
11:32:58	24	MR. DOW: No, Your Honor.
11:32:59	25	THE COURT: with Tyler Technology.

11:33:00	1	Well, how is it anything you know, if I accept
11:33:05	2	everything you say is correct and I render an order like you
11:33:11	3	say I ought to render, exactly how is that going to be worded?
11:33:17	4	What is the wording of your order? Suppose we've tried this
11:33:21	5	for a month or suppose suddenly the State says, oh, it was a
11:33:25	6	bad idea for us to contest this, but we want some legal
11:33:28	7	guidance on what we're supposed to do. What's the order going
11:33:32	8	to say?
11:33:33	9	MR. DOW: Cease the practicing stop the practice
11:33:37	10	of not
11:33:40	11	THE COURT: What's the practice? Define the practice
11:33:43	12	to me.
11:33:43	13	MR. DOW: Not allowing well, delayed access. But
11:33:50	14	specifically not allowing the press review tool to be utilized
11:34:00	15	while petitions sit in EFM.
11:34:03	16	THE COURT: All right. And the State tells me
11:34:07	17	there's a technical reason why that can't be done.
11:34:12	18	MS. MERIDETH: Yes.
11:34:14	19	THE COURT: All right. Then here's what we're going
11:34:21	20	to do. We're going to recess until one o'clock. And because
11:34:25	21	the State has indicated that they will put together the full
11:34:30	22	record will be fine. I want a full record on this. And I
11:34:34	23	think where we start is, because we've spent a good amount of
11:34:39	24	time on this this morning, I want to start with all I want to
11:34:43	25	know is the technical way this whole thing works from the

witnesses that you're prepared to call, their point of view on 1 11:34:52 it, and how we get there. 2 11:34:55 Because I come back to where I'm far from convinced 3 11:34:56 that I have the power with the party that's before me to do 11:34:59 4 what is requested by the plaintiff, whether I think it should 11:35:03 5 be done or not. And so what I want to do is to try to work 6 11:35:07 7 through these technical items so we know exactly what could or 11:35:12 could not be done. And then I want to hear the legal arguments 8 11:35:19 on why it's district clerks' records, why even if it could 11:35:22 9 happen, it can't happen or shouldn't happen. 10 11:35:26 MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor. 11 11:35:32 THE COURT: Is that good enough? 12 11:35:32 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor. 11:35:33 13 THE COURT: All right. That's where I want to start 11:35:34 14 at one o'clock. 15 11:35:35 11:35:36 16 MR. DOW: Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Court will be in recess until 11:35:37 17 one o'clock. 11:35:40 18 11:35:40 19 (Recess) 13:04:37 20 (Open court) 21 THE COURT: Good to see you again this afternoon. 13:04:37 22 Since we had our discussion this morning and I kind 13:04:42 23 of indicated -- I did indicate how I would like to proceed on 13:04:45 24 this initially, it is the court's position that both sides can 13:04:49 25 use any of the evidence that's presented, whether they call the 13:04:55

1 witnesses or not or whether who cross-examines them or not. 13:04:58 2 I am interested as I said, among other things, in the 13:05:03 3 technical aspects of how the whole program works. 13:05:07 So what would be the easiest thing, Mr. Dow, for you to call witnesses 13:05:12 4 first, which would be the normal thing in a bench trial, but we 5 13:05:16 also have the motion to dismiss that I'm taking up. So, 13:05:21 6 7 Ms. Merideth, I'm open to both of you on how is the best way to 13:05:28 proceed, but nobody operates to their disadvantage depending on 13:05:33 8 13:05:38 9 who calls the witnesses or where the witnesses are. MR. DOW: Your Honor, if we're going to focus on the 10 13:05:40 technology now, I'm fine with the Director going first with the 11 13:05:43 12 evidence. 13:05:49 THE COURT: Ms. Merideth, how do you feel with that? 13:05:51 13 Your Honor, Chris Hilton on behalf of 13:05:54 MR. HILTON: 14 I just want to make sure I understand. Of course 15 13:05:57 the state. we're happy to provide testimony if that's what the Court would 13:06:01 16 17 like. But is that for a purpose of the bench trial or for 13:06:04 purpose of deciding the motion to dismiss? I just want to be 13:06:07 18 13:06:10 19 clear procedurally where we are. THE COURT: Well, we're taking them both up at the 13:06:12 20 same time. 21 13:06:15 22 MR. HILTON: Okay. 13:06:15 23 THE COURT: And you haven't waived anything in your 13:06:16 24 motion to dismiss. I do think that what the OCA is capable of 13:06:18 25 doing with EFM is important. I think I need a record on it. Ι 13:06:27

1 think it could impact on your motion to dismiss because you may 13:06:39 be entitled to a motion to dismiss on the law. And, if you 2 13:06:41 3 are, that's fine. If not, I'm going to convert it to a motion 13:06:45 13:06:50 4 for summary judgment, and we'll take up, you know, what we have 5 here. 13:06:53 But in order for me to rule that the OCA can't --6 13:06:53 7 that if I rule in favor of the plaintiff, I can't grant them 13:07:03 the relief they seek because it's against the OCA, I think it's 13:07:06 8 important for me and I think it will be important for the 13:07:11 9 Circuit to know whether or not it was possible for OCA to 10 13:07:14 provide EFM -- here we go with all of these initialisms -- if I 11 13:07:22 ordered them to do it as opposed to just the other legal 12 13:07:32 matters. So I think that makes the motion to dismiss just on 13 13:07:39 the pleadings kind of iffy, because I don't see how I can do it 13:07:43 14 just on the pleadings. 15 13:07:51 So what I would propose doing is making whatever 13:07:52 16 record we can make, and then I'll make a decision on that 13:07:53 17 motion. And we'll call it, whether it's to dismiss or whether 13:07:55 18 you got summary judgment granted. But your legal arguments are 13:07:58 19 still alive on that. 13:08:03 20 21 MR. HILTON: That makes complete sense, Your Honor. 13:08:06 22 I appreciate the explanation and carrying the motion to dismiss 13:08:08 23 with the trial. That makes sense. 13:08:11 24 If I may, though, if you'll give me just a minute to 13:08:13 25 address you. Your comments this morning about where the case 13:08:15

1 is and the level of preparation and the shape that it's in, you 13:08:19 know, all these questions you've outlined are extremely 2 13:08:24 3 important, and you deserve a full record of that and so does 13:08:27 Unfortunately, what we have here is a situation 13:08:30 4 the Circuit. where we've had a constantly shifting target throughout the 5 13:08:34 case. 6 13:08:37

7 This case was filed in 2020. We weren't added as a 13:08:37 defendant until March of this year. And the -- the live 8 13:08:40 pleading before Your Honor today was filed less than three 9 13:08:43 weeks ago, after the close of discovery. So all of these 10 13:08:46 questions that are coming after our discussion this morning, 13:08:50 11 those are things that neither side has had an opportunity to 12 13:08:53 take discovery on. Indeed, we didn't even know that was 13 13:08:56 necessary until after the close of discovery in the scheduling 14 13:08:59 order. 15 13:09:01

So, you know, we are mindful of how busy the Court is 13:09:02 16 and certainly don't want to take up or waste the time you've 13:09:05 17 set aside for us. That being said, you know, this case has 13:09:08 18 13:09:12 19 changed dramatically since we were brought in and agreed to the schedule that we're here on today. And, you know, I think that 13:09:16 20 21 the Court is not being served by the preparation --13:09:22 22 THE COURT: Don't be hesitant. If you think it would 13:09:24 23 be helpful to come back another day and do this, let me know. 13:09:28 24 MR. HILTON: That's what I was building up for. Ι 13:09:32 want to suggest that perhaps a continuance here, go through 13:09:34 25

1 some discovery, litigate this in the normal course. You know, 13:09:37 2 when we initially set up this schedule, that was under a very 13:09:40 3 different complaint. We had another party. We didn't 13:09:44 13:09:47 4 understand the position and the role that we played in the plaintiff's claims. And the Court just seems to be poorly 5 13:09:49 served by trying to proceed today and try to cobble this 6 13:09:53 7 together at the last minute. 13:09:55

13:09:578THE COURT: Well, let me tell you the only way I13:09:599could be more poorly served, is if I let you out of my sight13:10:0410for a while and you file a whole bunch more things.

13:10:0911MR. HILTON: I have been in your court before,13:10:1112Your Honor. You know what we would do. That being said --13:10:1413and, again, if you want to hear testimony, we're prepared to13:10:1714present our witnesses.

THE COURT: Well, I'm trying to figure out the most 13:10:18 15 expeditious way to handle this, but I have to fit it into the 13:10:21 16 docket, too. Believe me, if I'm not dealing with you-all this 17 13:10:25 afternoon, I have something else I can do. I don't -- I don't 13:10:29 18 13:10:32 19 have anything that doesn't have something lined up behind it in 13:10:37 20 the way we do things.

13:10:3821What I want to do is -- what I really want to make13:10:4222sure we do, as we spent all that time this morning, which was13:10:4723very helpful to me, walking through what has happened and where13:10:5224we are. Because, honestly, I thought I'd spent some time with13:10:5625the record, but apparently not enough, because I didn't glean

13:10:59 1 very much of what we got through this morning from the record.
13:11:02 2 And I think it's important. And I think there's maybe a lot
13:11:08 3 more moving parts to this than initially either side thought
13:11:15 4 there was.

And, as I've said several times today, a whole lot of 13:11:16 5 it is involved with, under the Texas system of administrative 13:11:22 6 7 law and any number of different topics, who can you sue? 13:11:28 And that is not an easy thing to point out. As I've told the 13:11:33 8 13:11:39 9 plaintiffs, I'm not willing to say that OCA is the wrong party, but I'm concerned about it. I don't think it's nearly as 10 13:11:45 obvious as you do. 11 13:11:48

13:11:5012I think -- and I'm not wedded to this, but I think13:11:5513the Supreme Court may be the ultimate decision-maker in this13:11:5914case. And I'm not suggesting that you run out and sue the13:12:0315Supreme Court. I'm just saying these are questions that the13:12:0716court has that the court thinks are difficult.

17 Mr. Dow, how do you feel about this? 13:12:10 MR. DOW: Your Honor, we'll do -- we'll proceed 13:12:15 18 13:12:18 19 however you want to proceed. It is true that we did file a motion for leave to amend our petition on July 1st, I think it 13:12:24 20 21 was, and the motion for leave was granted, so our second 13:12:33 22 amended complaint, asking for statewide relief I think it's 13:12:35 23 been on file since July 10, I believe. 13:12:40

13:12:4324But I don't think a bunch of discovery is necessary.13:12:4825The -- the Director hasn't served us with anything.

1 THE COURT: No. But, you know, as I said this 13:12:52 morning, but I'll just back up on that, I'm glad the Director 13:12:55 2 3 hasn't served you with anything else because I've got more 13:13:01 things in at the end of last week and over the weekend than I 13:13:04 4 wanted to get in in this case. And, it would have only --13:13:08 5 I don't know. Let me ask both of you while I have 13:13:13 6 7 you, what additional discovery would need to be get done? 13:13:17 Because I continue to go back, and I'll go back to what I said 13:13:23 8 earlier, it is beyond me why there would need to be any facts 13:13:25 9 in this case that either couldn't be stipulated to as 10 13:13:32 stipulated facts or facts that would say, if Janice Smith was 11 13:13:39 testifying in this case, this is what she would say -- which is 12 13:13:46 little bit different than agreed facts, because the other party 13:13:50 13 is not agreeing that those facts are true, but that's what she 13:13:54 14 would say -- why we can't get this resolved down to that. 15 13:13:57 Because I think we all know what happened here. 13:14:03 16 We went through the complete sequence. I believe the defendants 13:14:09 17 are going to be able to show that -- well, we pretty much 13:14:12 18 agreed this morning that the counties are all over the map. 13:14:16 19 Ι think the contract is the contract. I presume you know we've 13:14:19 20 21 got that. The amendments are the amendments. I've got the law 13:14:23 on what OCA's empowered to do, what the committee is empowered 22 13:14:28 23 to do. I know what the Supreme Court can do. All of those 13:14:34 24 things interact as to who's got responsibility here. 13:14:37 25 And I think the bottom line for what we're doing here 13:14:41

13:14:46 1 is seeing whether OCA can continue to be sued or whether OCA
13:14:52 2 cannot continue to be sued. And if OCA can continue to be
13:15:04 3 sued, then we determine whether or not I can grant relief or
13:15:10 4 what relief I should grant.

If I find that OCA cannot continue to be sued, I want 13:15:11 5 to be able to do that on the basis of impossibility. That was 13:15:17 6 7 the technical argument, that even if I think everything the 13:15:23 plaintiff says is correct, they can't respond on the question 13:15:27 8 of unable to respond, that's when I will consider the legal 13:15:33 9 questions that you-all raise with regard to what the OCA is 10 13:15:37 empowered to do, with regard to what responsibilities the 11 13:15:44 district clerks have over records in their possession, 12 13:15:48 et cetera, all the things we talked about this morning. 13 13:15:52 That may ultimately resolve the case or it may not. 13:15:54 14 But I'm having a hard time figuring out what discovery would 15 13:16:02 need to be done to get us to this point. 13:16:04 16 17 Mr. Hilton, I'm going to refer to you first since you 13:16:08 threw the discovery cat out on in the courtroom. 13:16:12 18 MR. HILTON: I said "discovery" and I said 13:16:16 19 "continuance." I said two bad words. 13:16:18 20 THE COURT: Yeah, well, continuance is not a bad 21 13:16:20 As I said, there is no such thing as judicial economy in 22 word. 13:16:22 23 my court. There's just scheduling. I mean, I'm going to fill 13:16:26

13:16:31 24 all of my days. You know, it's not going to get easier. But I
13:16:34 25 would prefer not to go deeply into this case and then find out

1 it just didn't make sense to get started with it and we need to 13:16:40 2 come back anyway. 13:16:44 If we're going to come back, I'd rather find a day or 13:16:45 3 how much time you think it's going to take and put you in it 13:16:47 4 and get it done instead of piecemeal. So what kind of 5 13:16:50 discovery do you think is out there? 6 13:16:53 7 There are three main areas where I think MR. HILTON: 13:16:56 discovery could be beneficial to the Court, as the Court 8 13:16:58 13:17:00 9 understands the case. And I think you have a handle on many of the major issues that could decide the case. 10 13:17:02 The first is the full extent and the details of all 11 13:17:06 these technical issues that we've begun to discuss today, but 13:17:10 12 that we really could not have had an appreciation they were 13 13:17:14 going to be so important really until we got here today, not 13:17:18 14 understanding what was going to be important to the Court and 15 13:17:21 not understanding how -- you know, fully appreciating how 13:17:23 16 17 Plaintiff's claims were going to change. So the discovery on 13:17:27 that I think would be very beneficial. And we can give 13:17:29 18 preliminary answers today, but I think any answer we would give 13:17:32 19 you would necessarily require confirmation and discussion with 13:17:35 20 others. 13:17:38 21 22 The second area I think would be into what exactly 13:17:39 23 the clerk -- it is that the clerks are doing. That is 13:17:41 24 obviously something that's important to the Court. We had a 13:17:45 25 clerk in this case, and we thought this case when we're added 13:17:48

13:17:51	1	to it was about what's going on in one county and with one
13:17:54	2	clerk. That clerk has been dismissed, and we've subpoenaed her
13:17:59	3	to testify today. We can ask her some questions, if needed.
13:18:01	4	But now we're talking about 253 other counties, some of which
13:18:04	5	provide access timely, some of which don't.
13:18:07	6	And to understand all that is going to be crucial to
13:18:10	7	these issues of authority and what's
13:18:11	8	THE COURT: Yeah. But why can't you all just agree?
13:18:17	9	MR. HILTON: And I appreciate you asking that
13:18:18	10	question because that's an important question, and we may be
13:18:20	11	able to. We may get into this discovery and realize, well, we
13:18:23	12	agree on the basic facts. But sitting right here right know,
13:18:26	13	we don't know. They don't know and we don't know.
13:18:28	14	THE COURT: I know. But let me tell you, when I
13:18:31	15	suggest that you agree on it, that it is sufficient probably
13:18:34	16	for this record I can't speak for an appellate court who may
13:18:38	17	review it later. But it's sufficient for me to have a general
13:18:42	18	overview of what's going on in Texas with examples that you
13:18:47	19	might be able to agree on.
13:18:49	20	You know, I presume maybe large counties are handling
13:18:52	21	it differently from small counties and vice versa. I don't
13:18:56	22	think I need 253-county rundown. It's not like when the
13:19:01	23	Supreme Court decides to do a 50-state review to see whether
13:19:05	24	they're in line with everything else.
13:19:07	25	I think what we're going to find out, unless I'm

1 wrong, is some counties are utilizing one of the two systems 13:19:12 that is acceptable to the plaintiffs. Others are not doing 2 13:19:22 3 either. 13:19:28 I'm sure that that's right. And I think 13:19:28 4 MR. HILTON: some of those counties that aren't doing either are still 5 13:19:30 nonetheless providing timely access to filings. 6 13:19:33 7 THE COURT: Yeah. And this would be helpful to know. 13:19:36 But I'm trying -- what I'm trying to tell you is you-all put 8 13:19:38 9 your heads together on this and be reasonable, you don't have 13:19:44 to pride yourself in knowing you've now visited 252 counties, 10 13:19:47 and you've probably also both been in Travis and Harris 11 13:19:52 Counties, the two that have settled. So you now can click off 12 13:19:56 of your bucket list I was in physically at all 254 counties in 13 13:19:59 Texas because you just took depositions in 252 of them. 13:20:02 14 Well, your point is well taken, but that 15 MR. HILTON: 13:20:07 takes me to the third area where I think discovery might be 13:20:09 16 17 beneficial. And that's with regard to data. And this also 13:20:12 gets into the motion to strike that we we're forced to file 13:20:15 18 13:20:18 19 late on Friday. We were requested to produce data on a statewide basis after the close of discovery. And in the 13:20:22 20 21 course of compiling that data, we realized that it would take 13:20:27 22 months and great expense to understand the statewide data. 13:20:29 13:20:32 23 So in lieu of conducting 254 depositions, let's just 24 get the data and bring that to the Court, and you can get that 13:20:36 25 statewide picture. Well, it's not that simple. And we 13:20:39

1 discovered that in trying to respond to Plaintiff's discovery 13:20:42 request, and Judge Lane denied a motion to compel additional 2 13:20:43 3 data on Friday. 13:20:46 Instead, what they've done is they've come up with 13:20:47 4 their own data, and we have no idea what it is, where it came 5 13:20:49 from, who collected it, or how. 6 13:20:52 7 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Dow, let me hear from 13:20:56 8 13:20:59 you. Your Honor, as far as the technical side, 13:21:00 9 MR. DOW: if I could, the OCA has already admitted that, technically 13:21:05 10 speaking, the answer to the question of whether the press 11 13:21:12 review tool could be toggled as soon as the documents hit the 12 13:21:19 EFM, could that happen, and the OCA in its 30(b)(6) deposition 13:21:25 13 answered, technologically speaking, yes. And that's in our 13:21:33 14 designations, page 32 and 33. So I think the technical piece 15 13:21:40 is -- I don't think any more discovery is needed as to that. 13:21:47 16 THE COURT: Well --17 13:21:52 MR. HILTON: May I respond, Your Honor? 13:21:53 18 THE COURT: 13:21:54 19 Just a minute. Yeah. But see, that changed this morning when I was hearing argument or hearing the 13:21:57 20 21 factual basis. Now, it may frustrate you, it may annoy you. 13:22:02 22 But a remember one time in front of Judge Myers, a very fine 13:22:10 23 state district judge here, when I was arguing a matter to him 13:22:19 24 and the other side was taking a different position. And his 13:22:23 25 comment was, "Mr. Yeakel, you may actually beat them to death 13:22:31

13:22:36	1	on the merits, but there is an issue here."	
13:22:38	2	So you may have a judicial admission here already. I	
13:22:41	3	don't know. But we're not taking that up now.	
13:22:44	4	MR. DOW: All right.	
13:22:44	5	THE COURT: And I'm not going to tell you how to	
13:22:47	6	practice law, but I'm telling you, from my point of view, I'm	
13:22:49	7	trying to get my hands around this. Because guess what? Once	
13:22:52	8	I write an order and an opinion, it's going to be for one of	
13:22:57	9	you or for the other one of you, and I don't get to tell the	
13:23:02	10	Circuit what it means. They have only my writing and what you	
13:23:11	11	tell them I did, the winner.	
13:23:13	12	And so I rely greatly on the winner once you go to	
13:23:16	13	the Circuit. And I want to make sure that before I establish a	
13:23:21	14	winner in this case, I've had all the information in front of	
13:23:23	15	me I get to have. I recognize reversal is an occupational	
13:23:29	16	hazard, but I'm not going to go out of my way to try to put it	
13:23:33	17	in the record.	
13:23:33	18	MR. DOW: So as to the second basis that the Director	
13:23:41	19	says a continuance would be helpful, what are the clerks doing?	
13:23:43	20	That doesn't matter.	
13:23:45	21	THE COURT: No. This isn't the second basis. This	
13:23:47	22	is	
13:23:48	23	MR. DOW: What they would want discovery on.	
13:23:50	24	THE COURT: the one basis. We're just talking	
13:23:50	25	about discovery right now.	

1	MR. DOW: That's correct. So the second point they
2	would like discovery on is what are the clerks doing? And
3	that's irrelevant to delayed access, because this goes back to
4	submitting or filing the newly filed petition, my legal
5	assistant. It sits in the EFM. And under Press Enterprise II,
6	is there are this restrictions on access? And we know that
7	there are because of the administrative processing. So I don't
8	think we need to take any district clerks' depositions.
9	they've subpoenaed Velva Price.
10	THE COURT: I understand. I don't think you need to
11	do that either, except I have a really hard time with you-all,
12	which is why I sent you-all to Judge Lane, because I'm busy
13	getting you-all to agree to my satisfaction to a record that I
14	can look at and see succinctly in front of me what is going on
15	here.
16	It's you know, I've got I'm sitting here
17	looking at four binders of varying sizes in front of me right
18	now. If I had my way, I would do away you've heard me say
19	this before will all dispositive motions, period. I would
20	pass a law to that. And you'd either get your case settled or
21	you'd come in here and just try it and I could look through all
22	the evidence.
23	If we want to talk about inefficiency, it's when the
24	court has to go through all kinds of motions and, if the case
25	is still alive, then try the case. It would be more efficient
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

13:25:39 1 and cost-effective for everybody just to walk in here and try
13:25:42 2 the case. It would also provide a record which gets over my
13:25:47 3 optics problem to the public with why we would want to keep
13:25:51 4 anything out of the record.

But we're beyond that. I'm not going to do this. 13:25:52 5 Т think the State raises very important issues. I think the 13:25:59 6 7 plaintiff raises very important issues. And I'm not going to 13:26:01 do it on something that's truncated. I want to have the full 8 13:26:04 13:26:09 9 picture in front of me so I can set it out, because I really think this is a deal where you, regardless of those Supreme 10 13:26:15 Court cases, where under Texas law we've got to look at what 11 13:26:21 policy the Supreme Court sets, what the Supreme Court does 12 13:26:24 through its committee, and what the role of the OCA is and what 13 13:26:28 the role of the district clerks are. And the role and -- and 13:26:32 14 whether or not, you know, those records that the district clerk 15 13:26:36 has become public records the moment your legal assistant hits 13:26:44 16 the "send" button. 17 13:26:50

And, if so, whether or not the law that we talked 13:26:51 18 13:26:55 19 about this morning that states the district clerk's duties and responsibilities gives the district clerk any dominion over 13:27:01 20 21 those records and what happens with them, as the State seemed 13:27:11 22 to infer to me, or whether or not those laws are instructive to 13:27:16 13:27:22 23 the district clerk that you've got to keep the records and you 24 can't dispose of them and they have to be available at all 13:27:26 25 times. 13:27:29

13:27:291And I don't get to go plug my court reporter's record13:27:372into a computer and it produces an opinion for me, so these are13:27:423things that I want to know before I write an opinion. I think13:27:464it's important.

And I think the problem we have here, really, is the 13:27:47 5 problem of the electronic world. Society always is ahead of 13:27:52 6 7 The law is always slow to catch up with society. the law. And 13:28:00 I can argue to you that that's good because we need one of the 13:28:05 8 13:28:08 9 three branches of government that doesn't immediately react to the flavor of the day, that there's a little lag on it, a 10 13:28:12 little not quite as urgent as what the legislative branch or 11 13:28:17 the executive branch feels. And it used to be society would 12 13:28:22 advance and then the law would advance. Now we're in the 13:28:26 13 electronic world, and society advances over here and the law 13:28:29 14 15 advances to right here. And then society advances again. 13:28:33

I think the issues that we talked about this morning 13:28:38 16 were not ever contemplated when we went to an electronic filing 13:28:46 17 system in the state. I think everybody is going to 13:28:50 18 13:28:53 19 electronics. The federal courts were ahead of state courts on The State of Texas determined to do this, and they did it. 13:28:56 20 it. 21 I think the State did it and the Supreme Court established 13:29:01 22 policy. And working through its committee and the OCA, did it 13:29:04 23 in order to make information easier to file and more readily 13:29:09 24 available. 13:29:16

13:29:17 25

And now we're in a situation that we're trying to

13:29:25 1 reconcile those things, and you've got another entity out there
13:29:28 2 called the OCA that has documents in their possession and what
13:29:32 3 they can do with it and how it works. And I'm not going to do
13:29:40 4 this on truncated basis.

I want both the State -- all, the State, the public, 5 13:29:42 and your client, Mr. Dow, to be treated fairly on this. And if 13:29:46 6 7 everybody agrees I did it, great. If somebody doesn't, then 13:29:50 the Circuit can say, no, we can do it better, or, no, he did it 13:29:53 8 9 right. And then we can see what the Supreme Court would say 13:29:56 based on their previous position. 10 13:30:01

So that's what I'm going to do. But I want you-all 13:30:03 11 to work harder to agree on getting a record together for me on 12 13:30:06 this. But I do think it might help you to at least have some 13 13:30:11 discovery on what the technical people are going to say, 14 13:30:21 because -- OCA, because it might be that you might want to have 15 13:30:23 13:30:30 16 a technical person, too.

17 Because I think it's important to know whether the 13:30:32 OCA could provide this easily, can't provide it at all, or it 13:30:38 18 would be a burden on the State fisc to order them to do it. 13:30:44 19 Ι think those are all relevant considerations in this case. 13:30:50 20 21 MR. HILTON: And, Your Honor, we'll certainly, if 13:30:59 22 given time, work in good faith with Mr. Dow to agree to as much 13:31:00 23 as possible. I think where we are today, we simply haven't had 13:31:05 24 the time to work through these issues. 13:31:08

25

13:31:11

THE COURT: I think this case changed its complexion

13:31:16	1	after the last time we were all together.
13:31:17	2	MR. DOW: It did, Your Honor. I fully admit to that.
13:31:21	3	THE COURT: Now, there isn't going to be anything new
13:31:27	4	filed.
13:31:28	5	MR. DOW: No. Not from us.
13:31:31	6	MR. HILTON: I have a duty to the State of Texas to
13:31:34	7	defend my client, Your Honor but we will do everything we can
13:31:37	8	to not burden
13:31:37	9	THE COURT: Well, let me make this real easy. There
13:31:41	10	will be no new filings in this case without leave of court.
13:31:46	11	MR. HILTON: Understood, Your Honor.
13:31:48	12	THE COURT: That way it's open for you, but you've
13:31:50	13	got to tell me why you've got to file something.
13:31:53	14	MR. HILTON: I understand.
13:31:54	15	THE COURT: Now, if you can't agree on discovery,
13:31:57	16	discovery is exempt from that. But I'm going to tell you
13:32:01	17	you're going back to the magistrate judge, because I'm not
13:32:03	18	going to deal with concerns. I was tempted to send this whole
13:32:07	19	case to the magistrate judge. But I decided, no, this is
13:32:10	20	something that the district judge needs to deal with on the
13:32:15	21	merits. This is not a magistrate judge thing.
13:32:17	22	But, if you're going to file any pleadings or motions
13:32:21	23	or anything other than the ones that involve discovery, you've
13:32:24	24	got to seek leave of court before you do it. And you can ask
13:32:28	25	for that leave in a one-page document, and I can assure you

1 that the first thing that's going to happen if I get one of 13:32:33 those, if you don't have a detailed certificate of conference 2 13:32:35 on it -- and lawyers don't read our certificate of conference 13:32:38 3 rules in our local rules very carefully because they just say 13:32:44 4 "It's four o'clock in the afternoon. I tried to call Mr. Dow. 5 13:32:47 It's now 4:15. He hadn't called me back. I'm going to presume 13:32:50 6 7 he's opposed to it and I file it." 13:32:55 That's not what a certificate of conference means. 8 Α 13:32:56 certificate of conference says you've talked to the other 9 13:32:59 lawyer. The other lawyer is opposed to your motion, and this 10 13:33:02 is why. And I expect you to put certificates of conference 11 13:33:04 like that on any motion. 12 13:33:08 Discovery is another deal. I urge you to agree on 13:33:09 13 If you can't agree on it, you're going to go to the 13:33:11 14 it. 15 magistrate on that. 13:33:14 Understood, Your Honor. 13:33:16 16 MR. HILTON: THE COURT: Now, what else would we accomplish if I 13:33:16 17 put this off for a while. 13:33:18 18 The last point -- which I don't think 13:33:24 19 MR. HILTON: Mr. Dow responded to it; I want to make sure he had an 13:33:26 20 21 opportunity to do that if he'd like -- was the data issue. Ι 13:33:27 22 think that's an area where we really do have to have more 13:33:31 23 dialogue. We simply didn't have the time. 13:33:34 24 THE COURT: I want the data. And it doesn't have to 13:33:35 be every county. But, you know, it can be a general summary 13:33:38 25

		65
13:33:44	1	that you-all agree to that says in a in most large counties,
13:33:50	2	such and such, this is what the data would show and other
13:33:55	3	counties, this, or however you want to arrange it. West Texas
13:33:59	4	does it this way and East Texas does it a different way.
13:34:03	5	MR. HILTON: Understood. And that makes perfect
13:34:05	6	sense. This is an area where there is significant expense and
13:34:08	7	time involved to get the data in the format that's going to be
13:34:12	8	usable for the Court. So I just want to give the Court fair
13:34:15	9	warning that this is an area where we're going to have to do a
13:34:16	10	lot of work together, but we're happy to do that.
13:34:19	11	THE COURT: But don't get down in the weeds on it.
13:34:22	12	MR. DOW: Yeah. This is this does not bode well.
13:34:26	13	This is going to take a lot of time and money and expense for
13:34:31	14	discovery on the data.
13:34:34	15	THE COURT: No.
13:34:35	16	MR. DOW: And so were already getting off on a rocky
13:34:37	17	relationship.
13:34:38	18	THE COURT: I have suggested to you that you not do
13:34:41	19	discovery on the data, that you talk about it. It's easy to
13:34:45	20	get don't let your first reaction be to follow the rules of
13:34:49	21	civil procedure. The rules of civil procedure is a default
13:34:53	22	mechanism that the courts have put in place when you can't
13:34:57	23	agree on things. That's all it is.
13:35:00	24	It's not a checklist for lawyers to follow during a
13:35:04	25	trial. And you-all can get what data is necessary together.

13:35:10 1 It doesn't have to be lengthy. It simply is what I have -- and
13:35:15 2 this is what we went over this morning, and don't make it any
13:35:19 3 more complex than it is.

This is this case from the court's point 13:35:25 4 It's this. of view: The Supreme Court established a committee to look 5 13:35:28 into electronic filing. The committee made a recommendation to 6 13:35:37 7 the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court told the OCA what to do. 13:35:43 The OCA contracted with Tyler Technology. That's where we are. 13:35:50 8 13:36:01 9 Now, the issues with regard to that, then, is: Who has control of the documents? Is it the district clerk, or is 13:36:10 10 it a combination of the district clerk and OCA, and what we're 11 13:36:14 going to do about it. So I only want as little bit more data 12 13:36:19 as is necessary to do that. This is not a lengthy thing. 13 Ι 13:36:25 want more than what I have, but I don't need a lengthy run of 14 13:36:30 15 it. 13:36:36

I believe I already know what the data is, if you 13:36:37 16 don't get down in the weeds. I know what the mega-picture is. 13:36:41 17 Because, hard to believe, but I practiced law on your side of 13:36:44 18 13:36:48 19 the bench for 28 1/2 years, and I did it in big counties and little counties. And I took depositions around the state and I 13:36:52 20 21 filed things around the state, and I've been to a lot of these 13:36:54 22 counties. And I know the way it works, even though I have been 13:37:00 23 doing some form of the bench since 1998. But it hadn't changed 13:37:03 24 that much, except we've got the intrusion of electronic filing. 13:37:10 And that's all we are dealing with. 25 13:37:17

1 So don't make this harder than it needs to be. 13:37:19 Ι don't need a lot of data. I want enough data to support a 2 13:37:21 3 record where everybody who doesn't know anything about this 13:37:26 case, who hasn't spent the time on it you've spent on it or 13:37:29 4 you've spent on it or was in this courtroom today or in any of 5 13:37:33 our other hearings, can pick up something I write and read it 6 13:37:36 7 and know what we were talking about and know what the issue was 13:37:40 and how this court ruled on it. That's all I'm looking for. 8 13:37:43 13:37:48 9 And we can do this with some expeditiousness. And what I want to get it down to is where we get all 10 13:37:54 of this done in a day, where I can give you a day to come in 11 13:38:01 here, we'll pull it -- put it all on. And I will tell the 12 13:38:06 State it could all end with your motion to dismiss. That could 13 13:38:09 happen. Or it could end because I go back through everything 13:38:14 14 I've already gotten, and I convert your motion to dismiss to a 15 13:38:19 motion for summary judgment and say it was supported by that 13:38:23 16 and you win, or anything else that gets filed after discovery. 13:38:26 17 Or I can say I accepted all of these documents into evidence 13:38:32 18 13:38:37 19 and then I heard additional testimony, and this is the way I rule on the merits. 13:38:41 20 21 I submit to everybody you would be better off with a 13:38:42 merits ruling. Because then if it goes to the Circuit, the 22 13:38:46 23 Circuit doesn't get balled up in burdens of proof and what the 13:38:49 24 court considers. I sing this song all the time, and lawyers 13:38:54 25 always ignore me. But you're always better off with a merits 13:38:58

1 ruling than you are on a motion to dismiss or a summary 13:39:02 2 judgment, just because then you've got a ruling that is a 13:39:04 3 absolute dispositive ruling but doesn't have any little 13:39:09 problems with the procedures and, you know, what the court had 13:39:15 4 to consider and what weight I had to give it. 5 13:39:19 But I want to get it done in a day, and I want to 6 13:39:22 7 rule on it. But I need additional evidence, and part of it is 13:39:26 on this technological deal. 8 13:39:29 I realize it's not off to a good start, but it wasn't 9 13:39:34 off to a good start to begin with. 13:39:37 10 It's all good. Thank you, Your Honor. MR. DOW: Ι 13:39:40 11 bet we end up --12 13:39:47 THE COURT: It's good for you because you've got 13 13:39:48 people down there paying you. The people to my left and me are 14 13:39:49 all getting paid the same thing no matter how hard we work on 15 13:39:52 You get overtime when you go back tonight and work into 13:39:55 16 this. the dark? Well, neither do I. I spent all of last week trying 13:39:58 17 a criminal case and got a hung jury, so that did me no good 13:40:02 18 13:40:06 19 whatsoever. I'm going to have to try it again. I'm not going to get paid twice to try that case. 13:40:09 20 21 So what are we looking at time-wise. Be reasonable. 13:40:12 22 Don't tell me what you think you want me to hear. From the 13:40:17 23 plaintiff's point of view, I know this is urgent, but urgency 13:40:21 24 gets defined by what the rest of my calendar looks like. 13:40:27 25 So let's be realistic and not come back here again, 13:40:32

13:40:36	1	where we all have a complete record. And I also am aware that
13:40:48	2	both the Attorney General and Mr. Dow has more than one case.
13:40:52	3	So I think we need to we'll look at your dockets, too.
13:41:05	4	MR. DOW: Your Honor, my wife and I are going to
13:41:09	5	Scotland for our 40th wedding anniversary on September 14.
13:41:14	6	THE COURT: You-all have been married 40 years?
13:41:16	7	MR. DOW: Yesterday.
13:41:16	8	THE COURT: Children. Congratulations.
13:41:19	9	MR. DOW: Thank you.
13:41:19	10	THE COURT: I can't even remember my 40th
13:41:21	11	anniversary. I'll tell you that.
13:41:23	12	MR. DOW: People always tell me "congratulations,"
13:41:25	13	and they say "condolences" to her. But, anyway
13:41:28	14	THE COURT: It's always a miracle to everybody else.
13:41:32	15	MR. DOW: September 14th
13:41:33	16	THE COURT: Well, if it makes you feel any better,
13:41:35	17	we're going to Scotland on the 3rd of August.
13:41:39	18	MR. DOW: Okay. So I'm going to be out of pocket
13:41:43	19	September 14th through the 29th. So I don't know if getting a
13:41:48	20	one-day trial before the 14th of September or that first week
13:41:55	21	of October.
13:41:57	22	MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, I'm going to be out of
13:41:59	23	country the 22nd through the 3rd.
13:42:01	24	THE COURT: Of September?
13:42:03	25	MS. MERIDETH: Yes. September 22nd through the 3rd

Г

13:42:09 1	of	October
------------	----	---------

13:42:17 2 (Discussion off the record)

3 THE COURT: That is going to get us to October, 13:42:34 because I'm not going to get back from when I'm gone and 13:42:36 4 squeeze you-all into some little narrow slot where you-all are 5 13:42:38 wanting to get out of pocket. And I think I have a pretty good 6 13:42:41 7 feel on, because I see the Attorney General's people a lot and 13:42:47 I see Mr. Dow and his partners a lot, and I have a pretty good 13:42:50 8 13:42:54 9 idea that, you know, you need to been concentrating on your vacations and taking some time off and not worrying about me. 10 13:42:58 What would we think about October the 11th, which is 11 13:43:08 a Tuesday? 12 13:43:15 I apologize, Your Honor. I'll be in 13:43:18 13 MR. HILTON: trial in El Paso that week. I'll be back the following week. 13:43:20 14 THE COURT: Oh, I'm terribly, terribly sorry. 15 13:43:23 All right. As luck would have it, the week of the 13:43:26 16 17 17th is a good week with me. You may paint on a clean canvas 13:43:29 which day that week is worthwhile. And I submit to you it 13:43:37 18 would be better not to do it on Monday, the 17th. Even though 13:43:40 19 we had this case on a Monday, that was the vacant date. 13:43:48 20 But a 21 lot of times everybody likes to get to their office and see 13:43:51 what happened to them ahead of time, and Monday is often not 22 13:43:54 23 the best time to do something. 13:43:57 24 MR. DOW: We can do any day that week. We'd love it 13:43:59 25 if we -- if not Monday, but we're available, Your Honor. 13:44:02

That Thursday, the 20th, would be 1 MS. MERIDETH: 13:44:06 2 preferable for us. 13:44:09 3 THE COURT: Work for you? 13:44:09 13:44:11 4 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Works for me. Now, we're going to do 13:44:11 5 that 20th at 9:30. Please, please, please do this: The first 13:44:30 6 7 thing you do is sit down, and I really want you to agree on 13:44:39 what you're going to do and come up with a plan. 13:44:43 8 9 And if you have a problem agreeing on that, I want 13:44:55 you to contact Ms. Baffes -- that's Kathryn Baffes, the 13:44:57 10 chambers attorney who has overall supervision of your file --13:44:58 11 and tell her you're having a problem and we need to have a 12 13:45:01 phone call. I don't want to do this with competing filings. 13 13:45:04 If you can't work this out, I will get you on the phone, and we 14 13:45:14 will talk about what your problem is and I will resolve it then 15 13:45:17 so we can get this done. 13:45:20 16 I stress again I think this is an important case, 13:45:22 17 both from the State's point of view and from the media's point 13:45:26 18 of view and from the people of the state of Texas' point of 13:45:30 19 view. But it needs to be where we can get it done in an 13:45:33 20 21 orderly fashion. 13:45:37 Thank you, Your Honor. 22 MR. DOW: 13:45:40 23 THE COURT: Now, are there other things -- we talked 13:45:43 about this morning about motions that were no longer necessary 13:45:51 24 25 to be heard. Is there anything else that right now I ought to 13:45:56

13:46:05	1	take up, or are we or are we in reasonably good shape on
13:46:09	2	everything else at this moment?
13:46:16	3	MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, we did file a motion to
13:46:18	4	strike Plaintiff's exhibit list, but I think at this point it's
13:46:21	5	moot, and we'll probably all be filing new exhibit lists before
13:46:26	6	then.
13:46:26	7	THE COURT: That will be fine. Everybody can file
13:46:29	8	new exhibit lists.
13:46:30	9	Now, let me tell you what I'm prepared to do, so I
13:46:33	10	want you to look at this, too. I've got a lot of binders with
13:46:39	11	a lot of exhibits of things you-all have previously filed,
13:46:42	12	whether you've objected to them or not. What I want you to do
13:46:44	13	is look at one another's filings, what you've done now, because
13:46:53	14	the goal would be that you agree to those to be considered when
13:46:56	15	we have our hearing as opposed to making one another prove them
13:47:01	16	up with a witness or do this or that. And if you need to have
13:47:03	17	affidavits that shed light on that, that's fine. But I don't
13:47:08	18	want to really set aside a whole lot of time to go through
13:47:11	19	what's admissible and what's not.
13:47:13	20	As we approach the October date and if we're doing
13:47:20	21	it on Thursday, the 20th, it does not mean as we approach the
13:47:25	22	18th or the 19th. But as we approach October 1st, if you've
13:47:31	23	got any problems with that, call us and let me take it up then.
13:47:37	24	Because I would like to walk in here on the 20th, take what
13:47:44	25	evidence we have to take, admit everything we're going to admit

Г

	1		
13:47:48	1	into the record, and then I hear arguments from you and we get	
13:47:51	2	this resolved. I don't want to come in and we spend an hour	
13:47:56	3	dealing with what your objections to one another's evidence is.	
13:48:00	4	I want to get that done ahead of time.	
13:48:02	5	Now, you can have an objection you can agree that	
13:48:07	6	something is admissible, and that doesn't mean you disagree	
13:48:11	7	I mean, that you agree with the content of it. But I want to	
13:48:15	8	get everything in to where you can argue this case and attack	
13:48:20	9	one another's positions and support your own positions. That's	
13:48:24	10	what I'm trying to get to.	
13:48:29	11	Anything else while I have you?	
13:48:31	12	MS. MERIDETH: No, Your Honor. Thank you.	
13:48:33	13	MR. DOW: Your Honor, this might also be helpful. We	
13:48:37	14	did file joint stipulated facts.	
13:48:40	15	THE COURT: Yes.	
13:48:40	16	MR. DOW: At Docket 64. So maybe we can work on	
13:48:45	17	THE COURT: Yeah. And I thank you for that. We	
13:48:47	18	didn't ever get to the good part of what you filed. And if you	
13:48:52	19	want to leave those intact and file additional stipulated	
13:48:56	20	facts	
13:48:56	21	MR. DOW: Okay.	
13:48:57	22	THE COURT: that's fine. Or if you think it fits	
13:48:59	23	together better if you just do one set of stipulated facts,	
13:49:03	24	because you might want to rearrange them or something. However	
13:49:06	25	you want to do that is fine with me.	

		50
13:49:09	1	MR. DOW: Thank you, Your Honor.
13:49:10	2	THE COURT: Yeah. What else?
13:49:18	3	MR. DOW: Have a great time in Scotland.
13:49:20	4	(Discussion off the record)
13:50:05	5	THE COURT: All right. Well, thank you-all.
13:50:07	6	Although it might not be apparent to those who observed today,
13:50:11	7	I think we covered a lot of ground today, I've got a whole lot
13:50:14	8	better feel for this case, and we'll go from there.
13:50:16	9	So court's in recess. Have a nice day.
13:50:20	10	(End of transcript)
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT)
2	WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS)
3	I, Arlinda Rodriguez, Official Court Reporter, United
4	States District Court, Western District of Texas, do certify
5	that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of
6	proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
7	I certify that the transcript fees and format comply with
8	those prescribed by the Court and Judicial Conference of the
9	United States.
10	WITNESS MY OFFICIAL HAND this the 1st day of August 2022.
11	
12	/S/ Arlinda Rodriguez Arlinda Rodriguez, Texas CSR 7753
13	Expiration Date: 10/31/2023 Official Court Reporter
14	United States District Court Austin Division
15	501 West 5th Street, Suite 4152 Austin, Texas 78701
16	(512) 391-8791
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	