	Case 8:23-cr-00006-FLA Document 14 Fi	led 01/19/23	Page 1 of 22	Page ID #:73		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JOSEPH T. MCNALLY United States Attorney, Acting Under Authority Conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 515 MACK E. JENKINS Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division BENJAMIN R. BARRON Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Santa Ana Branch Office DANIEL S. LIM (Cal. Bar No. 29240) MELISSA S. RABBANI (Cal. Bar No. 29240) MELISSA S. RABBANI (Cal. Bar No. 29240) Assistant United States Attorneys 411 West Fourth Street, Suite Santa Ana, California 92701 Telephone: (714) 338-3500 Facsimile: (714) 338-3561 E-mail: daniel.lim@usdoj. melissa.rabbani@us	283993) e 8000 gov		FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 1/19/2023 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY:DTADEPUTY		
11 12	Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA					
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
14	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
15	SOUTHERN DIVISION					
16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 8:23	-cr-00006-1	FWS		
17	Plaintiff,		EEMENT FOR	DEFENDANT		
18	V.	MELAHAT	RAFIEL			
19	MELAHAT RAFIEI,					
20	Defendant.					
21						
22	1. This constitutes the plea agreement between defendant					
23	MELAHAT RAFIEI ("defendant") and the United States Attorney's Office					
24	for the Central District of California (the "USAO") in the above-					
25	captioned case. This agreement is limited to the USAO and cannot					
26	bind any other federal, state, local, or foreign prosecuting,					
27	enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authorities.					
28						

#### DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS

2. Defendant agrees to:

a. Give up the right to indictment by a grand jury and,
at the earliest opportunity requested by the USAO and provided by the
Court, appear and plead guilty to a single-count information in the
form attached to this agreement as Exhibit A or a substantially
similar form, which charges defendant with Attempted Wire Fraud, in
violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349.

9

10

11

24

25

26

b. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.

c. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.

d. Appear for all court appearances, surrender as ordered
for service of sentence, obey all conditions of any bond, and obey
any other ongoing court order in this matter.

e. Not commit any crime; however, offenses that would be
excluded for sentencing purposes under United States Sentencing
Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines") § 4A1.2(c) are not
within the scope of this agreement.

f. Be truthful at all times with the United States
 Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the Court.

21 g. Pay the applicable special assessment at or before the 22 time of sentencing unless defendant has demonstrated a lack of 23 ability to pay such assessment.

## THE USAO'S OBLIGATIONS

3. The USAO agrees to:

a. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.

b. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing containedin this agreement.

4

5

6

1

c. At the time of sentencing, provided that defendant demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility for the offense up to and including the time of sentencing, recommend a two-level reduction in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines offense level, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, and recommend and, if necessary, move for an additional one-level reduction if available under that section.

7 Except for criminal tax violations (including d. 8 conspiracy to commit such violations chargeable under 18 U.S.C. 9 § 371), not further criminally prosecute defendant for violations of 10 18 U.S.C. § 666(a)(2), Bribery Concerning Programs Receiving Federal 11 Funds, arising out of defendant's conduct described in the agreed-to factual basis set forth in paragraph 10 below. Defendant understands 12 that the USAO is free to criminally prosecute defendant for any other 13 14 unlawful past conduct or any unlawful conduct that occurs after the 15 date of this agreement. Defendant agrees that at the time of 16 sentencing the Court may consider the uncharged conduct in 17 determining the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, the propriety 18 and extent of any departure from that range, and the sentence to be 19 imposed after consideration of the Sentencing Guidelines and all other relevant factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). 20

e. Recommend that defendant be sentenced to a term of imprisonment no higher than the low end of the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, provided that the offense level used by the Court to determine that range is 16 or higher. For purposes of this agreement, the low end of the Sentencing Guidelines range is that defined by the Sentencing Table in U.S.S.G. Chapter 5, Part A.

3

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

1

#### NATURE OF THE OFFENSE

4. Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in the single-count information, that is, Attempted Wire Fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349, the following must be true: (1) defendant knowingly and willfully participated in or devised a scheme to commit wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343; and (2) defendant took a substantial step in an effort to bring about or accomplish the crime.

10 5. The elements of wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, 11 United States Code, Section 1343, are as follows: (1) a person 12 knowingly devised or participated in a scheme or plan to defraud, or a scheme to obtain money or property by means of false or fraudulent 13 14 pretenses, representations, promises, or omitted facts; (2) the 15 statements made or facts omitted were material; (3) the person acted 16 with the intent to defraud, that is, the intent to deceive and cheat; 17 and (4) the person used or caused to be used an interstate wire communication to carry out or attempt to carry out an essential part 18 19 of the scheme.

### PENALTIES

6. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349, is: 20 years' imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

27 7. Defendant understands that supervised release is a period
28 of time following imprisonment during which defendant will be subject

2

3

4

5

6

7

to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release authorized by statute for the offense that resulted in the term of supervised release, which could result in defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.

8 8. Defendant understands that, by pleading guilty, defendant 9 may be giving up valuable government benefits and valuable civic 10 rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm, 11 the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury. 12 Defendant understands that she is pleading quilty to a felony and that it is a federal crime for a convicted felon to possess a firearm 13 14 or ammunition. Defendant understands that the conviction in this 15 case may also subject defendant to various other collateral 16 consequences, including but not limited to revocation of probation, 17 parole, or supervised release in another case and suspension or revocation of a professional license. Defendant understands that 18 19 unanticipated collateral consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty plea. 20

21 9. Defendant understands that, if defendant is not a United 22 States citizen, the felony conviction in this case may subject 23 defendant to: removal, also known as deportation, which may, under some circumstances, be mandatory; denial of citizenship; and denial 24 25 of admission to the United States in the future. The Court cannot, 26 and defendant's attorney also may not be able to, advise defendant fully regarding the immigration consequences of the felony conviction 27 28 in this case. Defendant understands that unexpected immigration

## Case 8:23-cr-00006-FLA Document 14 Filed 01/19/23 Page 6 of 22 Page ID #:78

consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty plea.

#### FACTUAL BASIS

10. Defendant admits that defendant is, in fact, guilty of the offense to which defendant is agreeing to plead guilty. Defendant and the USAO agree to the statement of facts provided below and agree that this statement of facts is sufficient to support a plea of guilty to the charges described in this agreement and to establish the Sentencing Guidelines factors set forth in paragraph 12 below but is not meant to be a complete recitation of all facts relevant to the underlying criminal conduct or all facts known to either party that relate to that conduct.

#### Bribery

Between approximately April 2018 and June 2018, defendant agreed to give at least \$225,000 in bribes to Elected Official 1 and Elected Official 2, both of whom were then members of the Irvine City Council, in exchange for their agreement to introduce and pass a city ordinance that would allow defendant's clients to open a retail cannabis store in the City of Irvine.

In particular, in or around April 2018, defendant presented a business opportunity to an individual who was then employed in the medical cannabis industry. Unbeknownst to defendant, that individual was a confidential human source ("CHS-1") working with the FBI. Defendant offered to introduce CHS-1 to Elected Official 1.

On or about May 4, 2018, defendant, CHS-1, and Elected Official 1 met in Irvine, California, and discussed Elected Official 1 using Elected Official 1's position as a councilmember to introduce an ordinance within the City of Irvine that would legalize retail

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

medical cannabis, which would have benefitted CHS-1's business and furthered its interests. Defendant and Elected Official 1 told CHS-1 and CHS-1's business partner -- a second confidential human source working with the FBI ("CHS-2") -- that they planned to use another member of the Irvine City Council, Elected Official 2, to introduce the ordinance.

On or about May 15, 2018, in a recorded phone call, defendant asked CHS-2 to pay her between \$350,000 and \$400,000 in exchange for getting the cannabis ordinance introduced. Defendant explained that the ordinance needed to be introduced while Elected Official 1 could vote on it.

In order to avoid detection and mask the bribe payments to the Elected Officials, defendant planned to enter into legal retainer agreements with the Elected Officials. Indeed, on or about June 3, 2018, defendant caused a contract to be drafted between her and Elected Official 2, the terms of which included a \$25,000 retainer for "legal services."

On or about June 18, 2018 -- after defendant explained to CHS-2 18 19 that both Elected Officials were "on board" with the aforementioned plan -- defendant brought Elected Official 2 to CHS-2's office in 20 21 Irvine, California. After the meeting, defendant explained to CHS-2 22 that Elected Official 2 had asked for approximately \$25,000 and that Elected Official 1 had asked for \$200,000. Defendant explained that 23 CHS-1 and CHS-2 could not pay Elected Official 1 or Elected Official 24 25 2 directly; rather, CHS-1 and CHS-2 would pay defendant, who would 26 then pay Elected Official 1 and Elected Official 2 and disquise the funds as attorney fees for legal services rendered to her various 27 28 public affairs and campaign management companies.

During the same meeting, defendant described to CHS-2 that the payments had to be "maneuvered" in this way to circumvent the Elected Officials' disclosure requirements, as -- according to defendant --Elected Officials were not required to identify legal clients on disclosure forms. As such, defendant intended to pay Elected Official 1 and Elected Official 2 with the money that was to be provided by CHS-1 and CHS-2.

During this time, defendant was the principal and founder of Progressive Solutions Consulting based in Long Beach, California. As members of the Irvine City Council, both Elected Official 1 and Elected Official 2 were agents of the City of Irvine. Defendant corruptly agreed to give Elected Official 1 and Elected Official 2 the bribes with the intent to influence and reward Elected Official 1 and Elected Official 2 in connection with business, transactions, and a series of transactions of the City of Irvine having a value of \$5,000 or more. Between January 2018 and January 2019, the City of Irvine received benefits in excess of \$10,000 under a federal program involving a grant, contract, subsidy, loan, guarantee, insurance, and other form of federal assistance.

## Attempted Wire Fraud

Beginning in September 2019, and continuing through October 2019, in Orange County, within the Central District of California, defendant knowingly, willfully, and with the intent to defraud, attempted to devise and execute a scheme to defraud and obtain money from CHS-2 by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and material omissions of facts.

27 Specifically, on September 5, 2019, in Anaheim, California, 28 defendant falsely represented to CHS-2 that, in exchange for a

## Case 8:23-cr-00006-FLA Document 14 Filed 01/19/23 Page 9 of 22 Page ID #:81

payment of at least \$300,000, defendant would work to pass a cannabis-related ordinance in Anaheim that would benefit and be specifically tailored for CHS-2's business, when in fact, defendant was already working on such an ordinance for other paying clients. Defendant then falsely represented to CHS-2 that defendant would keep only \$10,000 of CHS-2's payment in exchange for her purported work, when in fact, defendant intended to keep \$100,000 of CHS-2's payment.

Defendant also falsely represented to CHS-2 that \$200,000 of the \$300,000 would go to the Anaheim Chamber of Commerce, when in fact, defendant intended to split \$200,000 of the \$300,000 equally between her and an associate of hers who was not affiliated with the Anaheim Chamber of Commerce.

Further, defendant instructed CHS-2 to pay the \$300,000 via checks made out to various entities. Defendant intended to deposit the money into accounts that she controlled and then transmit a portion of the funds to others. Either of these actions would cause interstate wire communications in furtherance of executing the scheme.

Through her actions, defendant believed that she had taken all necessary and substantial steps necessary to complete the scheme.

#### SENTENCING FACTORS

11. Defendant understands that in determining defendant's sentence the Court is required to calculate the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range and to consider that range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and the other sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory only, that defendant cannot have any expectation of receiving a sentence within the calculated

1

2

3

4

## Case 8:23-cr-00006-FLA Document 14 Filed 01/19/23 Page 10 of 22 Page ID #:82

Sentencing Guidelines range, and that after considering the Sentencing Guidelines and the other § 3553(a) factors, the Court will be free to exercise its discretion to impose any sentence it finds appropriate up to the maximum set by statute for the crime of conviction.

6 12. Defendant and the USAO agree to the following applicable7 Sentencing Guidelines factors:

Base Offense Level:7[U.S.S.G. §§ 2X1.1, 2B1.1]Over \$250,000:+12[U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(b)(1)(g)]Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to argue that additionalspecific offense characteristics, adjustments, and departures underthe Sentencing Guidelines are appropriate.

13. Defendant understands that there is no agreement as to defendant's criminal history or criminal history category.

14. Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to argue for a sentence outside the sentencing range established by the Sentencing Guidelines based on the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(6), and (a)(7).

## WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

15. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty, defendant gives up the following rights:

22

23

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

a. The right to persist in a plea of not guilty.

b. The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.

c. The right to be represented by counsel -- and if necessary have the Court appoint counsel -- at trial. Defendant understands, however, that defendant retains the right to be represented by counsel -- and if necessary have the Court appoint counsel -- at every other stage of the proceeding.

Case 8:23-cr-00006-FLA Document 14 Filed 01/19/23 Page 11 of 22 Page ID #:83

d. The right to be presumed innocent and to have the
 burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant guilty
 beyond a reasonable doubt.

4 e. The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses5 against defendant.

f. The right to testify and to present evidence in
7 opposition to the charges, including the right to compel the
8 attendance of witnesses to testify.

g. The right not to be compelled to testify, and, if defendant chose not to testify or present evidence, to have that choice not be used against defendant.

h. Any and all rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.

## WAIVER OF APPEAL OF CONVICTION

16 16. Defendant understands that, with the exception of an appeal 17 based on a claim that defendant's quilty plea was involuntary, by 18 pleading guilty defendant is waiving and giving up any right to 19 appeal defendant's conviction on the offense to which defendant is pleading guilty. Defendant understands that this waiver includes, 20 21 but is not limited to, arguments that the statute to which defendant 22 is pleading guilty is unconstitutional, and any and all claims that 23 the statement of facts provided herein is insufficient to support defendant's plea of guilty. 24

25 ///

26 27

28

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## LIMITED MUTUAL WAIVER OF APPEAL OF SENTENCE

Defendant agrees that, provided the Court imposes a term of 17. 3 imprisonment within or below the range corresponding to an offense 4 level of 16 and the criminal history category calculated by the 5 Court, defendant gives up the right to appeal all of the following: 6 (a) the procedures and calculations used to determine and impose any 7 portion of the sentence; (b) the term of imprisonment imposed by the 8 Court; (c) the fine imposed by the Court, provided it is within the 9 statutory maximum; (d) to the extent permitted by law, the 10 constitutionality or legality of defendant's sentence, provided it is 11 within the statutory maximum; (e) the term of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court, provided it is within the statutory 12 maximum; and (f) any of the following conditions of probation or 13 14 supervised release imposed by the Court: the conditions set forth in 15 Second Amended General Order 20-04 of this Court; the drug testing 16 conditions mandated by 18 U.S.C. §§ 3563(a)(5) and 3583(d); and the 17 alcohol and drug use conditions authorized by 18 U.S.C. § 3563(b)(7).

18. The USAO agrees that, provided (a) all portions of the sentence are at or below the statutory maximum specified above and (b) the Court imposes a term of imprisonment within or above the range corresponding to an offense level of 16 and the criminal history category calculated by the Court, the USAO gives up its right to appeal any portion of the sentence.

#### RESULT OF WITHDRAWAL OF GUILTY PLEA

19. Defendant agrees that if, after entering a guilty plea pursuant to this agreement, defendant seeks to withdraw and succeeds in withdrawing defendant's guilty plea on any basis other than a claim and finding that entry into this plea agreement was

1 involuntary, then (a) the USAO will be relieved of all of its obligations under this agreement; and (b) should the USAO choose to 2 3 pursue any charge that was either dismissed or not filed as a result of this agreement, then (i) any applicable statute of limitations 4 5 will be tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this 6 agreement and the filing commencing any such action; and 7 (ii) defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute 8 of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy 9 trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent 10 that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this 11 agreement.

## EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

12

13

14

15

16

20. This agreement is effective upon signature and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney.

#### BREACH OF AGREEMENT

17 21. Defendant agrees that if defendant, at any time after the signature of this agreement and execution of all required 18 19 certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant 20 United States Attorney, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of 21 defendant's obligations under this agreement ("a breach"), the USAO 22 may declare this agreement breached. All of defendant's obligations 23 are material, a single breach of this agreement is sufficient for the USAO to declare a breach, and defendant shall not be deemed to have 24 25 cured a breach without the express agreement of the USAO in writing. 26 If the USAO declares this agreement breached, and the Court finds 27 such a breach to have occurred, then: (a) if defendant has previously 28 entered a guilty plea pursuant to this agreement, defendant will not

be able to withdraw the guilty plea, and (b) the USAO will be relieved of all its obligations under this agreement.

22. Following the Court's finding of a knowing breach of this agreement by defendant, should the USAO choose to pursue any charge that was either dismissed or not filed as a result of this agreement, then:

a. Defendant agrees that any applicable statute of limitations is tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the filing commencing any such action.

b. Defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this agreement.

c. Defendant agrees that: (i) any statements made by defendant, under oath, at the guilty plea hearing (if such a hearing occurred prior to the breach); (ii) the agreed to factual basis statement in this agreement; and (iii) any evidence derived from such statements, shall be admissible against defendant in any such action against defendant, and defendant waives and gives up any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or any other federal rule, that the statements or any evidence derived from the statements should be suppressed or are inadmissible.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

2

## COURT AND UNITED STATES PROBATION AND PRETRIAL SERVICES

#### OFFICE NOT PARTIES

3 23. Defendant understands that the Court and the United States
4 Probation and Pretrial Services Office are not parties to this
5 agreement and need not accept any of the USAO's sentencing
6 recommendations or the parties' agreements to facts or sentencing
7 factors.

8 24. Defendant understands that both defendant and the USAO are 9 free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information 10 to the United States Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the 11 Court, (b) correct any and all factual misstatements relating to the 12 Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and determination of sentence, and (c) argue on appeal and collateral review that the 13 14 Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and the sentence it 15 chooses to impose are not error, although each party agrees to maintain its view that the calculations in paragraph 12 are 16 17 consistent with the facts of this case. While this paragraph permits both the USAO and defendant to submit full and complete factual 18 19 information to the United States Probation and Pretrial Services 20 Office and the Court, even if that factual information may be viewed 21 as inconsistent with the facts agreed to in this agreement, this 22 paragraph does not affect defendant's and the USAO's obligations not 23 to contest the facts agreed to in this agreement.

24 25. Defendant understands that even if the Court ignores any 25 sentencing recommendation, finds facts or reaches conclusions 26 different from those agreed to, and/or imposes any sentence up to the 27 maximum established by statute, defendant cannot, for that reason, 28 withdraw defendant's guilty plea, and defendant will remain bound to

fulfill all defendant's obligations under this agreement. Defendant understands that no one -- not the prosecutor, defendant's attorney, or the Court -- can make a binding prediction or promise regarding the sentence defendant will receive, except that it will be within the statutory maximum.

## NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS

7 26. Defendant understands that, except as set forth herein, 8 there are no promises, understandings, or agreements between the USAO 9 and defendant or defendant's attorney, and that no additional 10 promise, understanding, or agreement may be entered into unless in a 11 writing signed by all parties or on the record in court.

12

6

PLEA AGREEMENT PART OF THE GUILTY PLEA HEARING

13 27. The parties agree that this agreement will be considered 14 part of the record of defendant's guilty plea hearing as if the 15 entire agreement had been read into the record of the proceeding. 16 AGREED AND ACCEPTED 17 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 18

JOSEPH T. MCNALLY 19 United States Attorney, Acting Under Authority Conferred 20 by 28 U.S.C. § 515

21 22

23

28

DANIEL S. LIM

Assistant United States Attorney

24 MELAHAT RAFIEI Defendant 25 26 ALALEH KANRAN Attorney for Defendant MELAHAT RAFIEI 27 January 19, 2023

Date 1022

#### CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT

2 I have read this agreement in its entirety. I have had enough 3 time to review and consider this agreement, and I have carefully and 4 thoroughly discussed every part of it with my attorney. I understand 5 the terms of this agreement, and I voluntarily agree to those terms. 6 I have discussed the evidence with my attorney, and my attorney has 7 advised me of my rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be 8 filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or 9 at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), 10 of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences 11 of entering into this agreement. No promises, inducements, or 12 representations of any kind have been made to me other than those 13 contained in this agreement. No one has threatened or forced me in 14 any way to enter into this agreement. I am satisfied with the representation of my attorney in this matter, and I am pleading 15 16 guilty because I am guilty of the charges and wish to take advantage of the promises set forth in this agreement, and not for any other 17 18 reason.

19

20

21

22

MELAHAT RAFIEI Defendant

## CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

1-18-23

I am Melahat Rafiei's attorney. I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of this agreement with my client. Further, I have fully advised my client of her rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines

provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. To my knowledge: no promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to my client other than those contained in this agreement; no one has threatened or forced my client in any way to enter into this agreement; my client's decision to enter into this agreement is an informed and voluntary one; and the factual basis set forth in this agreement is sufficient to support my client's entry of a guilty plea pursuant to this agreement. 1/18/2013 ALALEH KAMRAN Attorney for Defendant MELAHAT RAFIEI 

Case 8:23-cr-00006-FLA Document 14 Filed 01/19/23 Page 19 of 22 Page ID #:91

# EXHIBIT A

	Case 8:23-cr-00006-FLA Document 14 Fil	ed 01/19/23	Page 20 of 22	Page ID #:92			
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10	SOUTHERN DIVISION						
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No.					
12	Plaintiff,	<u>i n f O</u>	<u>r m a t i o</u>	N			
13	V.			1349: Attempt			
14	MELAHAT RAFIEI,	to Commit Wire Fraud]					
15	Defendant.						
16							
17							
18	The United States Attorney charges:						
19	[18 U.S.C. §§ 1343, 1349]						
20	Beginning no later than September 2019, and continuing through						
21	at least October 2019, in Orange County, within the Central District						
22	of California, defendant MELAHAT RAFIEI, knowingly and with intent to						
23	defraud, attempted to devise and execute a scheme to defraud and						
24	obtain money from Victim 1 by means of materially false and						
25	fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and material						
26	omissions of facts, by transmitting and causing the transmission of						
27	funds into bank accounts controlled by defendant RAFIEI, by means of						
28	wire communications in interstate commerce, for the purpose of						

1 executing such scheme.

> 2. The scheme to defraud operated, in substance, as follows:

3 Defendant RAFIEI falsely represented to Victim 1 that, a. 4 in exchange for a payment of at least \$300,000, she would work to 5 pass a cannabis-related ordinance in Anaheim that would exclusively benefit and be tailored for Victim 1's business, when in fact, 6 7 defendant RAFIEI was already working on the same ordinance on behalf 8 of other paying clients.

9 b. Defendant RAFIEI falsely represented to Victim 1 that defendant RAFIEI would keep only \$10,000 of Victim 1's payment in 11 exchange for her purported work, when in fact, defendant RAFIEI 12 intended to keep \$100,000 of Victim 1's payment.

Defendant RAFIEI falsely represented to Victim 1 that 13 с. 14 \$200,000 of Victim 1's payment would go to the Anaheim Chamber of 15 Commerce, when in fact, defendant RAFIEI intended to split \$200,000 16 of the \$300,000 equally between her and an associate of hers who was 17 not affiliated with the Anaheim Chamber of Commerce.

18 /// 19 ///

/// 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case 8:23-cr-00006-FLA Document 14 Filed 01/19/23 Page 22 of 22 Page ID #:94

d. Defendant RAFIEI would instruct Victim 1 to pay the \$300,000 via checks that would be deposited into financial accounts controlled by defendant RAFIEI, thereby causing the transmission of wire communications in interstate commerce.

STEPHANIE S. CHRISTENSEN United States Attorney, Acting Under Authority Conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 515

SCOTT M. GARRINGER Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division

BENJAMIN R. BARRON Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Santa Ana Branch Office

DANIEL S. LIM MELISSA S. RABBANI Assistant United States Attorneys Santa Ana Branch Office