

1 WILLIAM G. WALKER, P.C.  
2 1316 E. Broadway Blvd.  
3 Tucson, AZ 85719  
4 William G. Walker SBN 005361  
5 [wgwpc@aol.com](mailto:wgwpc@aol.com)  
6 [assistant@wgwatty.com](mailto:assistant@wgwatty.com)  
7 Telephone: (520) 622-3330  
8 *Attorney for Plaintiffs*

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

**Michael Grabowski, A single man,**  
**Plaintiff,**

**Vs.**

**Arizona Board of Regents; University of Arizona, Frederick Lee Harvey and wife Janet Harvey; Hannah Vivian Peterson, a single woman; James L. Li and wife, Jean Wang; James L. Frances and wife, Tammy Frances; Kim Hanson Barnes and husband, Andrew Barnes; David Wood Heeke and wife, Elizabeth Pangborn Heeke, and Benjamin James Crawford, a single man; Carlos Villarreal; and Hunter Davila, students.**

**Defendants.**

**No.**

**COMPLAINT**  
**Federal Discrimination/Retaliation; Supplemental State Claims for Assault; Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress; Punitive Damages; Defamation**

**(Jury Trial Requested)**

**Assigned to**

Plaintiff, for his Complaint against Defendants, alleges as follows:

**Parties**

1. **Plaintiff Michael Grabowski**, a single man, is, and has been, since August 14, 2017, a student at the University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona.

1           2.       **Defendant Arizona Board of Regents** is, and was at all times relevant  
2 hereto, the administrative and funding body for the State of Arizona, the University of  
3 Arizona, and University of Arizona Athletics.

4           3.       **Defendant Frederick Lee Harvey**, is, and was at all times relevant hereto,  
5 the Director of Cross-Country/Track and Field in the athletic department at the University  
6 of Arizona. On information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that all income derived during his  
7 tenure at the University of Arizona was utilized for the benefit of the community with his  
8 wife, **Janet Harvey**.

9           4.       **Defendant James L. Li**, Associate Head Coach of Cross-Country/Distance  
10 is and was at all times relevant hereto, the Associate Head Coach of Cross-  
11 Country/Distance at the University of Arizona. It is alleged, on information and belief, that  
12 acts of his further alleged herein were for the benefit of the community with his wife, **Jean**  
13 **Wang**.

14           5.       **Defendant Hannah Vivian Peterson** is, and was at all times relevant hereto,  
15 an Assistant Coach of Cross-Country/Distance at the University of Arizona at all times  
16 relevant hereto. In the event she is married, it is alleged, on information and belief, that  
17 acts of hers further alleged herein were for the benefit of the community with her husband,  
18 **Andrew Barnes**.

19           6.       **Defendant James L. Frances** is and was at all times relevant hereto, the  
20 Senior Associate Director of Athletics/Track and Field at the University of Arizona. All  
21 acts alleged herein by him were for the benefit of the community with his wife, **Tammy**  
22 **Frances**.

1 7. **Defendant Kim Hanson Barnes** is, and was at all times relevant hereto, the  
2 Executive Senior Associate Director of Athletics at the University of Arizona. All acts  
3 alleged herein were for the benefit of the community with her husband **Andrew Barnes**.

4 8. **Defendant David Wood Heeke** is and was at all times relevant hereto the  
5 Director of Athletics at the University of Arizona. All acts alleged herein by **Defendant**  
6 **Heeke** was for the benefit of the community with his wife **Elizabeth Pangborn Heeke**  
7 and were within the scope and course of his employment with the University of Arizona.  
8

9 9. **Defendant Benjamin James Crawford** is, and was at all times relevant  
10 hereto, the Associate Athletics Trainer at the University of Arizona.  
11

12 10. **Defendant Carlos Villarreal** is, and was at all times relevant hereto, a  
13 student at the University of Arizona and a member of the University of Arizona Cross-  
14 Country/Track and Field Team.  
15

16 11. **Defendant Hunter Davila** is, and was at all times relevant hereto, a student  
17 at the University of Arizona and a member of the University of Arizona Cross-  
18 Country/Track and Field Team.  
19

20 12. Each Defendant named herein acted within the scope of his/her activities for  
21 the University of Arizona Athletics Department, the University of Arizona or the State of  
22 Arizona.  
23

### 24 **Jurisdiction And Venue**

25 13. This Court has original jurisdiction in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C.  
26 § 1331..



1           24. During the tour, he had a breakout performance in the National  
2 Championship for the Under 20 Men's KKK Steeple Chase. The U20's, **Plaintiff** placed  
3 approximately .2 of a second from being on the US Team headed to Lima, Peru for the  
4 Pan-American Games.

5           25. University of Arizona **Defendants Harvey** and **Li** and Assistant Coach Riley  
6 saw **Plaintiff's** performance at the U20's and congratulated **Plaintiff Grabowski** on his run.  
7

8           26. In August 2017, **Plaintiff Grabowski** arrived at the University of Arizona  
9 and moved into the dorms. At that time, he was on an educational scholarship and an  
10 athletic scholarship as a distance runner.  
11

12           27. **Plaintiff Grabowski's** roommate, upon arriving at the U of A, was Vincent  
13 Huynh-Watkins who left the U of A mid-semester and moved back home to Oregon due to  
14 clinical depression.  
15

16           28. By the end of **Plaintiff's** freshman year, he was the only one of four (4)  
17 distance recruits that year who would be returning to the University of Arizona for his  
18 sophomore year.  
19

20           29. Henry Weisberg, another freshman recruit of the same year, told **Plaintiff**  
21 that he had been falsely accused of racism by **Defendant Coach Harvey**. Weisberg told  
22 **Defendant Harvey** during his exit interview that there was bullying on the team. He  
23 returned home to Reno, Nevada where, upon information and belief, he now attends the  
24 University of Nevada at Reno.  
25

26           30. On August 16 – 22, 2017, **Plaintiff** attended a pre-season cross-country camp  
at Forest Lakes, Arizona.

1 31. Starting at the camp, **Plaintiff Grabowski** began receiving bullying, which  
2 occurred almost daily whenever he encountered Hunter Davila. He also experienced  
3 regular bullying from **Defendant Carlos Villareal** when Defendant **Villareal** was in  
4 **Defendant Hunter Davila's** company.

5  
6 32. Repeatedly, and again throughout his first year at the University of Arizona,  
7 **Defendants Davila** and Villarreal claimed to **Plaintiff** that he should have gone  
8 somewhere other than Arizona.

9  
10 33. At the camp, everyone had a bed except for **Plaintiff**. During the camp,  
11 **Plaintiff** was treated poorly and bullied by other members of the team.

12 34. **Plaintiff** was treated miserably at camp.

13  
14 35. **Plaintiff's** grades at school and his relationships with other students that  
15 were not in the running program was always exemplary.

16 36. In 2017, **Plaintiff** was awarded the Butch Dellacave Award for excellence in  
17 athletics and community service for his involvement in a combination of athletic and  
18 community service. Prior to receiving the award, he had volunteered for helping the elderly  
19 in addition to his athletic successes.

20  
21 37. On August 28, 2017, Philip Grabowski, Plaintiff's father, called **Defendant**  
22 **Coach Li**. When **Li** returned the call, Plaintiff's dad talked directly about an ongoing knee  
23 injury which **Plaintiff** sustained at the camp.

24  
25 38. Plaintiff's father also directly reported to **Defendant Li** the bullying to which  
26 **Plaintiff** had been subjected since the pre-season camp.

1           39.     **Defendant Li** reported that **Plaintiff Grabowski** didn't "know the culture  
2 yet" so he couldn't judge the team. **Li** promised that he would look into the bullying and  
3 promised to talk to **Plaintiff** about the bullying separately.

4           40.     **Plaintiff** and **Defendant Li** talked about the bullying some time within the  
5 next week.

6           41.     On October 4, 2017, **Plaintiff's** mother, Mary Ann Stephens, emailed the  
7 team sports psychologist, Dr. Amy Athey, giving her permission to speak to **Plaintiff** since  
8 he was still a minor. **Plaintiff's** mother requested that Athey speak to **Plaintiff** about his  
9 difficulties.  
10

11           42.     Given the statements of **Defendant Li** to **Plaintiff's** father that he would be  
12 "right on top" of the bullying issue, **Plaintiff's** mother told **Plaintiff** that **Defendant Li** had  
13 assured **Plaintiff** that he was simply "adjusting".  
14

15           43.     In the last week of October, 2017, **Plaintiff** was repeatedly bullied by  
16 numerous members of the University of Arizona Athletic Department.  
17

18           44.     In late October, 2017, **Defendant Hunter Davila** posted a harassing,  
19 homophobic, obscene video about **Plaintiff** at the team's public chat group. The videotape,  
20 published by **Defendant Davila** was made at a University of Arizona party, attended by  
21 many people who were unknown to **Plaintiff**.  
22

23           45.     Although **Plaintiff** did not attend the party, he heard about the video and the  
24 accusations concerning him.  
25

26           46.     On October 31, 2017, **Defendant Fred Harvey** hosted a Halloween party,  
but did not raise any issues concerning the publication of the video or the constant bullying

1 suffered by the **Plaintiff**. During the party, **Defendant Harvey** did not respond whatsoever  
2 to the complaints made by **Plaintiff** at the party.

3 47. On November 9, 2017, **Plaintiff** turned 18.

4 48. In January, 2018, after having met with Dr. Athey's and reporting aggressive  
5 bullying, almost daily, **Plaintiff** met several times with Dr. Athey's associate, Michelle  
6 Johnson-Skog. Again, he reported aggressive bullying, almost daily.

7 49. Every time **Plaintiff Grabowski** mentioned the "bullying" to either one of  
8 the coaches, they rephrased it as Plaintiff's need to "adjust" and, on information and belief,  
9 they did nothing to address or report the bullying in clear violation of the University of  
10 Arizona's Non-Discrimination and Anti-Harassment Policy which obligated each of the  
11 coaches to report the bullying, yet they did nothing.

12 50. On January 19, 2018, Plaintiff's father called **Defendant Li** and left a  
13 voicemail.

14 51. On January 24, 2018, **Defendant Li** returned the telephone call during which  
15 Plaintiff's father reported that little has changed since the first phone conversation with  
16 **Defendant Li**.

17 52. On January 24, 2018, **Defendant Li** promised to speak to **Plaintiff** about the  
18 bullying.

19 53. On the same day, Plaintiff's mother sent her second email to Dr. Amy Athey,  
20 expressing serious concern about **Plaintiff's** increasing sadness and asking her to speak to  
21 **Plaintiff** as soon as possible.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26



1           54.     Although his mother did not speak personally about the bullying, she did  
2 discuss the bullying at length with Athey and Skog in both October of 2017 and January,  
3 2018.

4           55.     During those calls, Athey and Skog refused to describe the activity with  
5 **Plaintiff Grabowski** as “bullying”. They simply stated that they blamed **Plaintiff**  
6 **Grabowski** and told him repeatedly of his need to “adjust”.

7           56.     On the evening of September 12, 2018, after a team dinner, **Defendant**  
8 **Harvey**, long-distance running coach **Defendant Li**, assistant coach **Defendant Hannah**  
9 **Peterson** and **Defendant Crawford** brought **Plaintiff** to **Coach Li’s** office at McKale  
10 Stadium.

11           57.     In the face of new initiatives in athletics against harassment, and acting as if  
12 he had no advance reports of the bullying, **Defendants Harvey** and **Li** denied that they  
13 knew anything about the bullying received by the **Plaintiff**.

14           58.     On August 24th, shortly after the pre-season camp, **Plaintiff** met with  
15 **Defendant Li** and the new assistant coach, **Defendant Hannah Peterson**.

16           59.     At that meeting, **Defendant Li** asked him, as if he had no advance reporting  
17 of it, if there had been any bullying “going on”.

18           60.     **Plaintiff**, at that time, specifically named **Defendants Carlos Villarreal** and  
19 **Hunter Davila**. **Plaintiff** reported that other teammates had been bullied by them too.  
20 **Defendant Li** replied, “you can’t single out the two top runners on the team”.

1           61.    On September 1, after **Plaintiff** vomited twice during the team’s meeting at  
2 Flagstaff and ended the race with a very disappointing time, **Plaintiff** reported his fever  
3 and nausea, which continued after they returned to Tucson.

4           62.    At practice, **Defendant Peterson** angrily scolded **Plaintiff** for “faking” an  
5 illness.

6           63.    When **Plaintiff** voluntarily saw a doctor at McKale, he was sent for blood  
7 tests and diagnosed with viral illness.

8           64.    Since being told on August 24, 2018 by **Defendant Li** that he could not  
9 “single out” his bullies, **Plaintiff** noted a concerted effort among the coaches to demoralize  
10 him.

11           65.    On the evening of September 12, 2018, **Plaintiff** was brought into **Defendant**  
12 **Li’s** office at McKale for a “meeting” with **Defendants Li, Peterson, Harvey** and  
13 **Crawford**.

14           66.    While in the meeting, the **Defendant coaches** locked the door so that no one  
15 could come in or hear the discussion.

16           67.    **Defendant Li** then took **Plaintiff’s** possessions away from him and placed  
17 them behind **Li’s** desk, far out of his reach.

18           68.    **Defendant Harvey** then sternly stated, “there’s a certain atmosphere we are  
19 trying to establish on this team, and you do not fit in it”. He dismissed **Plaintiff** from the  
20 program, furiously and repeatedly saying that **Plaintiff’s** name “kept coming up”  
21 associated with “a string of things” and refused to give **Plaintiff** any specific details.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

1           69. To the date of the filing of this Complaint, despite repeated requests,  
2 **Plaintiff** has never received any written notice in any form from anyone at the University  
3 of Arizona indicating that he had ever done anything wrong.

4           70. At the meeting, **Plaintiff** told **Defendant Harvey** that he had never done  
5 anything wrong, had never violated any team rules, and asked him specifically for details.  
6 **Defendant Harvey** gave no details, only insults. When **Plaintiff** brought up the bullying,  
7 **Defendant Harvey** lept out of his chair, ran up to within a few inches of **Plaintiff's** face,  
8 slammed his hands down hard on **Plaintiff's** arms, pressing them painfully into the metal  
9 arms of the chair as he leaned in with spit hitting **Plaintiff's** face and called **Plaintiff** a  
10 "fucking racist", a "white racist", a "fucking white racist", a "fucking liar", and more.  
11

12           71. **Plaintiff** was terrified. His heart was pounding, his blood pressure shot up  
13 and he had a spontaneous bloody nose and fainted.  
14

15           72. **Plaintiff** woke up on the floor. As soon as he could get back into the chair,  
16 **Defendant Harvey** continued insisting that **Plaintiff** was lying, that there could never be  
17 any bullying on his team, that he was a "person of low character" for even suggesting that  
18 bullying could ever exist on his team, and for a long string of things, none of which he  
19 could describe, other than **Plaintiff's** opposition to being bullied.  
20

21           73. On September 14, believing that the removal had been a misunderstanding  
22 and would be sorted out, **Plaintiff** went to cheer on his roommates at a meet in Reid Park.  
23

24           74. As he neared the team tent, **Defendant Li** told him that **Defendant Peterson**  
25 had complained to associate athletic director **Defendant James Frances** that **Plaintiff** was  
26

1 at the park and, although it was a public park, **Defendant Frances** ordered that **Plaintiff**  
2 could not speak with any of his many teammates who ran out to greet **Plaintiff**.

3 75. At the meet, Plaintiff's parents joined him and had a lengthy discussion with  
4 **Defendant Frances**. **Frances** repeated **Defendant Harvey's** mantra, that **Plaintiff's**  
5 "name" kept coming up because he was being accused by athletics of a "string of things".  
6

7 The details given by **Defendant Frances** were:

8 (1) **Defendant Harvey** claimed **Plaintiff** had sexually harassed a female  
9 student who later transferred out of the University of Arizona. **Defendant Frances**  
10 insisted there were outstanding University of Arizona and Title IX Complaints filed  
11 against **Plaintiff** for this incident.  
12

13 At the beginning of the 2018 semester, **Defendant Li** told **Plaintiff** directly  
14 that the female student never filed any complaint, that **Plaintiff's** name was not  
15 involved in the allegations and that he should forget about the entire incident, for it  
16 never concerned **Plaintiff**.  
17

18 Other university officials confirmed **Defendant Li's** reporting.  
19

20 (2) Allegedly, **Defendant Harvey** insisted that **Plaintiff** was involved in  
21 a racial incident for which **Defendant Harvey** alleged **Plaintiff** and another recruit  
22 were called together for a meeting in **Defendant Harvey's** office.  
23

24 This accusation was a complete lie, and **Defendant Harvey's** allegation was  
25 entirely fabricated. **Plaintiff** was never involved in this or any other racial incident.  
26

1 (3) **Defendant Coaches Harvey and Li** insisted that **Plaintiff** was  
2 overheard “joking” about rape with teammates and therefore had an official Title  
3 IX Complaint lodged against him.

4 On September 10<sup>th</sup>, while **Plaintiff** was at practice, he softly spelled “R-A-  
5 P-E” in response to a teammate while having a conversation about the importance  
6 of a woman’s right to say no to sex.  
7

8 **Plaintiff’s** response to said allegation is, “I have always been a strong  
9 women’s rights advocate and any comment I made was in support of a woman’s  
10 right to say no regardless of the circumstance.”  
11

12 76. According to **Defendant Coaches Harvey and Li**, teammates of the  
13 **Plaintiff** filed a Title IX complaint alleging that he been “joking” about rape. “The coaches  
14 claimed that that was cause to remove me from the program.”  
15

16 77. **Plaintiff** states “The Dean of Students has repeatedly told me that no  
17 complaint was ever filed against me, there are no substantiated complaints of any type  
18 against me and I have never been found to have violated Title IX.”  
19

20 78. On September 27, 2018, **Plaintiff** and his parents met with **Defendant**  
21 **Coaches Harvey and Li**, Associate Director of Athletics, **Defendant Frances** and  
22 **Defendant Kim Hanson Barnes** in **Defendant Harvey’s** office.  
23

24 79. **Defendant Frances** told **Plaintiff** and his parents, and **Defendant Harvey**  
25 confirmed, that the purpose of this meeting was solely for **Plaintiff** and his parents to ask  
26 questions about the reasons **Plaintiff’s** removal. They stated that **Plaintiff’s** place on the

1 team was a privilege; that the coaches could, and did, instantly rescind at their complete  
2 discretion and that there would no opportunity for reconsideration or appeal of the removal.

3 80. At the meeting, the persons there reviewed **Defendant Harvey's** "string of  
4 things" and his responses.

5  
6 81. **Plaintiff** and his parents mentioned that the Title IX and University offices  
7 had no complaints against **Plaintiff**.

8 82. Both **Defendant Coaches** insisted that the complaints existed within  
9 athletics.

10  
11 83. **Defendant Barnes** asked the coaches if they had ever filed anything in  
12 writing within athletics about the allegations and much to her dismay, they both stated  
13 "no".  
14

15 84. At the meeting, the focus became the "rape" conversation. Both **Defendant**  
16 **coaches** insisted, at the meeting, that **Plaintiff's** comments in that regard were  
17 "inappropriate joking", insisting that voicing support for a feminist cause with the word  
18 "rape" in its name and having the audacity to spell the word "rape" was disruptive to the  
19 team and reason for dismissal.  
20

21 85. This, from a team with rampant depravity, abundant rule-breaking and  
22 regular serious harassment, was clearly made up.  
23

24 86. At the time of the meeting, **Defendant Davila**, the most active and malicious  
25 bully had a "Do Not Contact Order" in place against him for his sexual harassment of a  
26 female teammate.

1 87. At this meeting, **Defendant Harvey** lied, vehemently denying that he ever  
2 heard about the bullying from anyone.

3 88. From 2015 – 2018 only 12.5% of recruited runners have even a chance to  
4 finish all four years of running on the team. One was **Defendant Carlos Villarreal** and the  
5 other is Connor McCabe who is currently a sophomore.  
6

7 89. No runners from the 2016-2017 recruiting classes finished more than 1.5  
8 semesters on the team.  
9

10 90. The 2016 recruiting class was composed of six runners and the 2017  
11 recruiting class was four runners for a total of 10 runners.

12 91. 87.5% of recruited runners did not finish four years on the cross-country  
13 team.  
14

15 92. 75% of the recruited runners (2015-2018) were gone by 1.5 semesters.

16 93. 68.75% of recruited runners (2015 – 2018) were gone by year one.

17 94. The average retention rate for a Division I NCAA team recruiting class is  
18 80% which is four times better than U of A Cross-Country's retention rate.  
19

20 95. A program that loses almost every athlete most certainly has problems.

21 96. The running program at the University of Arizona is racist, sexist, and designed  
22 such that the program is not susceptible to favoring or helping the students who enter.  
23 Rather, the coaching staff, and ancillary persons at the University of Arizona are designed  
24 to protect the coaches and favor certain runners over others.  
25  
26

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**COUNT I**  
**(Violations of Title IX and 28 U.S.C. § 1983)**

97. Paragraphs 1 – 96 are incorporated by reference.

98. Defendants Arizona Board of Supervisors and University of Arizona receive and expend fees from the federal government and are subject to the requirements of 28 U.S.C. Title IX.

99. The actions, statements and facts cited above show a pattern of racial, sexual and other discrimination against **Plaintiff** by **Defendants** aforementioned.

**COUNT II**  
**(Assault)**

100. Paragraphs 1 – 99 are incorporated by reference.

101. The actions of **Defendant Fred Harvey** were done recklessly or deliberately by **Defendant Coach Fred Harvey** and constitute assault.

102. **Plaintiff Grabowski** was physically and emotionally damaged by the assault and had to be taken to the hospital.

**COUNT III**  
**(Defamation)**

103. Paragraphs 1 – 101 are incorporated by reference.

104. The statements of all **Defendants** aforementioned constitute defamation of **Plaintiff Michael Grabowski**.

105. The statements were made public and delivered to others in a deliberate attempt to sully the reputation of the **Plaintiff** and to cause disrepute.





1 contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have  
2 evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or  
3 discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Rule 11.  
4

5 DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2019.

6 WILLIAM G. WALKER, P.C.

7 By: /s/ William G. Walker  
8 Attorney for Plaintiffs  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

JS 44 (Rev. 09/19)

### CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

**I. (a) PLAINTIFFS**

**DEFENDANTS**

University of Arizona, Arizona Board of Regents, Fred Harvey, Janet Harvey, Hannah Vivian Peterson, James Li, Jean Wang, James Frances, Tammy Frances, (continued on 2nd sheet)

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Pima  
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff \_\_\_\_\_  
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)  
William G. Walker, P.C.  
1316 E. Broadway Blvd.,  
Tucson, AZ 85719 (520) 622-3330

**II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

**III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES** (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6

**IV. NATURE OF SUIT** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions](#)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	<b>PERSONAL INJURY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	<b>PERSONAL INJURY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability <b>PERSONAL PROPERTY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other <b>LABOR</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act <b>IMMIGRATION</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 <b>PROPERTY RIGHTS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark <b>SOCIAL SECURITY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) <b>FEDERAL TAX SUITS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) <input type="checkbox"/> 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS			
<input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/ Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	<b>Habeas Corpus:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty <b>Other:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement			

**V. ORIGIN** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding
- 2 Removed from State Court
- 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
- 4 Reinstated or Reopened
- 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)
- 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer
- 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

**VI. CAUSE OF ACTION**

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):  
 Violations of Title IX and 28 U.S.C. § 1983  
 Brief description of cause:

**VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:**

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMANDS CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  
**JURY DEMAND:**  Yes  No

**VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY**

(See instructions): JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ DOCKET NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_

DATE 09/16/2019 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD 

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

RECEIPT # \_\_\_\_\_ AMOUNT \_\_\_\_\_ APPLYING IFP \_\_\_\_\_ JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ MAG. JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_

List of Defendants (page 2):

Kin Hanson Barnes, Andrew Barnes, David Wood Heeke, Elizabeth Pangborn Heeke, Benjamin James Crawford, Carlos Villarreal, Hunter Davila.