HUNTER PYLE, SBN 191125 HUNTER PYLE LAW 505 14th Street, Suite 600 Oakland, CA 94612 Tel: (510) 444-4400 hunter@hunterpylelaw.com			
BRIGITTE NICOLETTI, SBN 336719 EAST BAY COMMUNITY LAW CENTER 2921 Adeline St. Berkeley, CA 94703 Tel: (510) 548-4040 bnicoletti@ebclc.org Attorneys for Plaintiffs JOHN JANOSKO			
0			
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
JOHN JANOSKO and JACKSON BLAIN	Case No.: 3:23-cv-00035-WHO  PLAINTIFFS' THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF AND REQUEST FOR REINSTATEMENT OF TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER		
Plaintiffs, vs.			
Defendant.	Judge:	Hon. William Orrick	
	HUNTER PYLE LAW 505 14th Street, Suite 600 Oakland, CA 94612 Tel: (510) 444-4400 hunter@hunterpylelaw.com  BRIGITTE NICOLETTI, SBN 336719 EAST BAY COMMUNITY LAW CENTER 2921 Adeline St. Berkeley, CA 94703 Tel: (510) 548-4040 bnicoletti@ebclc.org  Attorneys for Plaintiffs JOHN JANOSKO and JACKSON BLAIN  UNITED STATES IN NORTHERN DISTRI  JOHN JANOSKO and JACKSON BLAIN  Plaintiffs, vs.  CITY OF OAKLAND,	HUNTER PYLE LAW 505 14th Street, Suite 600 Oakland, CA 94612 Tel: (510) 444-4400 hunter@hunterpylelaw.com  BRIGITTE NICOLETTI, SBN 336719 EAST BAY COMMUNITY LAW CENTER 2921 Adeline St. Berkeley, CA 94703 Tel: (510) 548-4040 bnicoletti@ebclc.org  Attorneys for Plaintiffs JOHN JANOSKO and JACKSON BLAIN  UNITED STATES DISTRICT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CA  JOHN JANOSKO and JACKSON BLAIN  Plaintiffs, vs.  PLAINT BRIEF A REINST RESTRA  CITY OF OAKLAND,  Judge:	

# PLAINTIFFS' THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF AND REQUEST FOR REINSTATEMENT OF TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiffs JOHN JANOSKO and JACKSON BLAIN (also known as Jaz Colibri) ("Plaintiffs") request that the Court reinstate the existing Temporary Restraining Order set to dissolve February 10, 2023 because defendant CITY OF OAKLAND has failed to comply with the Court's orders in its Order Dissolving TRO, filed February 3, 2023.

### II. SUPPLEMENTAL FACTUAL INFORMATION

## A. The Court's Order Dissolving the TRO

On February 3, 2023, the Court issued an order dissolving the temporary restraining order that it had initially implemented on January 6, 2023, preventing the eviction of the community at the 1707 Wood Street parcel. (Order Dissolving TRO.) The Court stated that the temporary restraining order would dissolve at 8:00am on February 10, 2023. (Order Dissolving TRO, p. 3.) The Court further stated:

This dissolution is conditioned on the representations made by the City's counsel in the papers and at the hearing, including that the cabin site will be open and ready for residents, with a final living agreement, on February 6; that the new RV site will be open and ready for residents on February 13; and that outreach workers will be present at the 1707 Encampment every day during the closure to work with individuals moving to the new sites and also to help those interested in alternative shelter options.

(Order Dissolving TRO, p. 3.)

# **B.** The Wood Street Community Cabins Will Not Open for the Foreseeable Future

On February 9, 2023, plaintiff JOHN JANOSKO, accompanied by community advocate Talya Husbands-Hankin, visited the Wood Street Community Cabins site. (Declaration of John Janosko iso Plaintiffs' Request to Reinstate TRO ("Janosko Decl.") at ¶ 3.) Mr. Janosko spoke with three workers there, one security guard and two individuals in plain clothes, who identified themselves as working at the site. (Janosko Decl. at ¶ 4.)

Mr. Janosko asked the individuals if the cabins were ready for people to move in to them.

(Janosko Decl. at ¶ 5.) One worker responded that the cabins were not ready. (Janosko Decl. at ¶ 6.)

The other worker confirmed this and stated that they were still working on the insides of the cabins. (Janosko Decl. at  $\P$  7.)

The workers then told Mr. Janosko and Ms. Husbands-Hankin that the cabins had been scheduled to be open on February 13, 2023, but they would possibly not even be ready by then. (Janosko Decl. at  $\P$  8.) The workers also told Mr. Janosko to check back in the following week. (Janosko Decl. at  $\P$  9.)

#### III. ARGUMENT

The Court should reinstate the temporary restraining order preventing the eviction of the residents of the 1707 Wood Street parcel because the defendant CITY OF OAKLAND has failed to comply with the Court's order to provide adequate shelter.

Specifically, the Court conditioned the dissolution of its order on the representations from defendant CITY OF OAKLAND that the Wood Street Community Cabin site would have 30 bed spaces and be open and operational by February 6, 2023. (Order Dissolving TRO, p. 3.)

However, as of February 9, 2023, the Wood Street Community Cabin site is neither open nor operational. Workers at the site have indicated that the site was actually scheduled to open on February 13, 2023, a full week later than defendant CITY OF OAKLAND represented it would be ready. (Janosko Decl. at ¶ 8.) The workers have also indicated there were further delays and that the site would likely not even be open by February 13, 2023 because they are still working on the insides of the cabins. (Janosko Decl. at ¶ 7-8.) Thus, there is no clear timeline for when the site will be open.

The temporary restraining order should therefore be reinstated because defendant CITY OF OAKLAND has failed to comply with the Court's order. Without adequate shelter options, the eviction proceedings contemplated by defendant CITY OF OAKLAND would violate Plaintiffs' rights under the Federal and State constitutions and statutes, causing them irreparable injury. The balance of hardships and the public interest again tips sharply towards Plaintiffs. For these reasons, the Court should reinstate the temporary restraining order to preserve the status quo and to shield Plaintiffs from further harm.

1	1 IV. CONCLUSION			
2	Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court reinstate the temporary restraining order in orde			
3	to prevent imminent harm to Plaintiffs and numerous other Wood Street residents. As shown above			
4	and in their moving papers, Plaintiffs are likely to succeed on the merits of their claims and will			
5	certainly face irreparable harm absent this equitable protection. The balance of equities tips sharply			
6	in Plaintiffs' favor, and it is in the public interest to protect their lives, property, and rights.			
7	7			
8	8 Dated: February 10, 2023 HUNTER PYLE LAW			
9				
10	By: /s/ Hunter Pyle Hunter Pyle	-		
11	EAST BAY COMMUNITY LAV	V CENTER		
12		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
13	By. <u>78/ Brighte Nicoletti</u>			
14	Brigitte Nicoletti			
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs JOHN JA and JACKSON BLAIN	NOSKO		
16				
17	17			
18	ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE ATTESTATION			
19	I, Hunter Pyle, attest pursuant to Northern District Civil Local Rule 5-1(h)(3) that all			
20	20 signatories on this document agree to the filing's content and have authorized t	signatories on this document agree to the filing's content and have authorized this filing. I declare		
21	21 under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that th	e foregoing is true an		
22	22 correct.			
23	23			
24	24 Dated: February 10, 2023  /s/ Hunter Pyle  Hunter Pyle			
25	25			
26	26			
27	27			
28	28			
	II 3			