

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
AUSTIN DIVISION

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

COURTHOUSE NEWS SERVICE, ) AU:20-CV-01260-LY  
)  
Plaintiff, )  
)  
v. ) AUSTIN, TEXAS  
)  
MEGAN LAVOIE, )  
)  
Defendant. ) JULY 25, 2022

\*\*\*\*\*  
TRANSCRIPT OF MOTIONS HEARING/BENCH TRIAL  
VOLUME 1  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE LEE YEAKEL  
\*\*\*\*\*

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: MATT DOW  
JACKSON WALKER, LLP  
100 CONGRESS AVENUE, SUITE 1100  
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701

JOHN K. EDWARDS  
JACKSON WALKER LLP  
1401 MCKINNEY SUITE 1900  
HOUSTON, TEXAS 77010

JONATHAN G. FETTERLY  
BRYAN CAVE LEIGHTON PAISNER LLP  
THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER, 7TH FLOOR  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111

FOR THE DEFENDANT: CAROLINE ALYSSA MERIDETH  
BENJAMIN S. LYLES  
CHRISTOPHER D. HILTON  
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL  
PO BOX 12548, CAPITOL STATION, MC-019  
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548

COURT REPORTER: ARLINDA RODRIGUEZ, CSR  
501 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 4152  
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701  
(512) 391-8791

Proceedings recorded by computerized stenography, transcript  
produced by computer.

09:33:19 1 (Open court)

09:33:19 2 THE COURT: We're here today for what I had  
09:33:26 3 originally set for everything that was going to happen in this  
09:33:32 4 case in Cause Number 20-CV-1260, *Courthouse News Service v.*  
09:33:42 5 *originally Price and LaVoie*, although I believe we're down to  
09:33:48 6 just Ms. LaVoie as the party in this case.

09:33:51 7 So let me get announcements by the parties, first  
09:33:56 8 from the plaintiffs as to who is here and whether you're ready.

09:33:59 9 MR. DOW: Matt Dow, Your Honor, John Edwards, and  
09:34:02 10 John Fetterly for the plaintiff, and Plaintiff is ready.

09:34:05 11 THE COURT: And for the defendant?

09:34:07 12 MS. MERIDETH: Caroline Merideth, Ben Lyles, and  
09:34:10 13 Chris Hilton for Director LaVoie.

09:34:13 14 THE COURT: All right. Well, let me -- I want to  
09:34:16 15 make some observations first, and I try to say this with  
09:34:21 16 affection for you-all because I was on your side of the bench  
09:34:24 17 for 28 1/2 years. Lawyers have eyes, but they don't always see  
09:34:36 18 things, and lawyers have ears, and they don't always hear  
09:34:39 19 things. And it's even more rare that they understand things,  
09:34:44 20 particularly when it comes from a judge.

09:34:46 21 This case has a history. And we had a scheduling  
09:34:55 22 conference back in April, and we have discussed this case on  
09:35:00 23 several occasions. And I set the case for today by order of  
09:35:10 24 May the 10th, 2022 and indicated that I would take up motions  
09:35:18 25 by the State, bring them forward, and take up their motion to

09:35:22 1 dismiss at this time.

09:35:29 2           The end of this month I will have been on this bench  
09:35:31 3 19 years, which is kind of hard for me to understand. It seems  
09:35:38 4 it hasn't been that long. And from the beginning I have given  
09:35:39 5 this talk, but it has come more quickly in between iterations  
09:35:48 6 of it as the years have gone on about how busy the federal  
09:35:52 7 district courts in the Austin Division of the Western District  
09:35:54 8 of Texas are.

09:35:55 9           We are totally underwater. We do not have enough  
09:36:00 10 judicial positions. The Congress of the United States refuses  
09:36:04 11 to look on the needs of the people in setting judgeships. The  
09:36:11 12 last time we had a judicial position in Austin was 1991, 31  
09:36:18 13 years ago. Unless you've been in a cave somewhere, the  
09:36:21 14 population of the Austin Division has just about doubled in  
09:36:26 15 that time. And the legal activity in an area and the docket  
09:36:30 16 size of the courts are generally, if not always, a direct  
09:36:37 17 relationship of how many people you put down in an area. The  
09:36:40 18 more people you put in an area, the more people sue one another  
09:36:43 19 and the more crimes get committed, which leads to an increase  
09:36:52 20 in the criminal docket.

09:36:52 21           All of the judicial positions that you've heard about  
09:36:56 22 during the four years of President Trump and now coming up on a  
09:37:01 23 little over a year and a half of President Biden have been  
09:37:06 24 filling vacancies. I'm sure that there are a lot of people  
09:37:09 25 around the country that are very happy those two presidents

09:37:11 1 have filled vacancies. It has done nothing for Austin because  
09:37:16 2 we do not have a vacancy in Austin. We do not have a vacancy  
09:37:20 3 anywhere there is a judge that helps with the Austin docket.  
09:37:25 4 So that's totally unhelpful to me.

09:37:29 5 Therefore, Judge Pitman and I have a lot of demands  
09:37:32 6 on our time. Time is at a premium. We do everything we can to  
09:37:38 7 shoehorn in things to get people in front of us so we can do  
09:37:42 8 the people's business in the courts.

09:37:44 9 It is not helpful when I have set a case with the  
09:37:52 10 hope of resolving it almost three months ago and I'm still  
09:37:58 11 getting filings on the Friday before today and the Saturday  
09:38:02 12 before today and the Sunday before today. I like to prepare  
09:38:08 13 for hearings. I think you are entitled to have me read what  
09:38:14 14 you file and have me prepared, whether you like the ultimate  
09:38:19 15 result or not, when I sit down on the bench and hear the  
09:38:22 16 arguments and see the evidence that you have worked on to  
09:38:26 17 present to this court. I simply cannot do that if I'm still  
09:38:32 18 getting filings just before we have a setting at 9:30.

09:38:37 19 In addition, I'm not going to do that, because I like  
09:38:45 20 to have some weekend time, too. And now that we have the fancy  
09:38:51 21 electronic system, I don't sit by my computer all weekend to  
09:38:58 22 see if something got filed in a case and then either pull it up  
09:39:03 23 or print it out at home or get in my car and come down to the  
09:39:09 24 office and spend another two or three hours on what you want to  
09:39:12 25 have me look at that you should have given me to look at some

09:39:15 1 weeks ago.

09:39:16 2 Long story short is: I expect more pretrial  
09:39:20 3 preparation out of the lawyers, and I expect it to be done  
09:39:25 4 farther out by the lawyers. And I expect you, if there is  
09:39:30 5 going to be last-minute filings, that you-all have talked about  
09:39:35 6 those filings before and see what you can work out.

09:39:40 7 So the long story short is: I'm not happy with where  
09:39:43 8 we are in this case this morning. I'm not sure how much of it  
09:39:48 9 I'm going to hear. I'm not sure whether I'm going to go into a  
09:39:52 10 bench trial this morning or whether -- since I have other  
09:39:55 11 things that I haven't read or prepared on, I'm going to back  
09:39:59 12 off and now set this for the State's motions and deal with the  
09:40:03 13 motions first before we go into a bench trial.

09:40:07 14 That's just the situation you're in, and it is the  
09:40:12 15 reason that I set this case way out for a resolution date,  
09:40:16 16 because I thought it needed to be resolved.

09:40:19 17 So what -- the way we're going to start out this  
09:40:22 18 morning, because I have been through as much as I could get  
09:40:30 19 through in this case, is I want to know -- and I really want to  
09:40:38 20 know it without a lot of advocacy at first because I believe,  
09:40:49 21 as I believe in most state cases, most cases where the State's  
09:40:52 22 a party, whether the party -- or whether the State has  
09:40:56 23 initiated the action or whether the private parties initiated  
09:41:06 24 the action, that most of the facts when you're arguing state  
09:41:09 25 action or a state statute or rule, the facts are undisputed

09:41:18 1 with regard to the major facts that would lead to whether there  
09:41:22 2 is a cause of action, whether there is a standing situation,  
09:41:26 3 whether the party sued does have the power, if I rule in favor  
09:41:31 4 here of the plaintiff, to provide the relief sought.

09:41:40 5 I don't think it is that hard to get to that point.  
09:41:51 6 I recognize that probably everybody at both counsel tables  
09:41:54 7 disagree with me on that. But that is what you are faced with  
09:41:57 8 here. And so what I think will be most useful to me is, one, I  
09:42:06 9 want to run through several motions that we have highlighted to  
09:42:10 10 see if they are still live motions or whether with the change  
09:42:15 11 in the complexion of this case since we last met, they're moot,  
09:42:21 12 so I can narrow it down to see exactly what I'm going to look  
09:42:25 13 at. And then we're going to have a discussion for a while, and  
09:42:29 14 then I am going to see what we're actually going to do with  
09:42:32 15 this case.

09:42:33 16 So the motions I want to see about to try to clean  
09:42:38 17 this case up first are Document 21 which is "Plaintiff  
09:42:44 18 Courthouse News Service's Amended Motion For Preliminary  
09:42:47 19 Injunction," filed May the 23rd, 2022. So I will hear -- is  
09:42:56 20 that a motion that I need to hear when I take things up?

09:42:59 21 MR. DOW: No, Your Honor.

09:43:00 22 THE COURT: All right. And then the -- the  
09:43:03 23 "Plaintiff Courthouse News' Motion for Expedited Discovery,  
09:43:08 24 Entry of Briefing Schedule, and Hearing on the Plaintiff's  
09:43:13 25 Motion for Preliminary Injunction." You agree that's moot now,

09:43:17 1 I think?

09:43:17 2 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.

09:43:18 3 THE COURT: All right. And then the Defendant Travis  
09:43:21 4 County Clerk's motion, Document Number 30, because Travis  
09:43:26 5 County is no longer a party in this case, we get rid of that  
09:43:29 6 motion, too. Am I right?

09:43:30 7 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.

09:43:31 8 THE COURT: All right. The State agree with all of  
09:43:34 9 that?

09:43:35 10 MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor.

09:43:35 11 THE COURT: All right. Now, with that having been  
09:43:40 12 done, what I think I have left in the way of motions is  
09:43:54 13 "Director LaVoie's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second Amended  
09:43:59 14 Complaint" and then other than the kind of recent haggling over  
09:44:05 15 what has been filed lately, what I'm going to do about the  
09:44:12 16 merits on the case; is that right? Is the only substantive  
09:44:14 17 motion left the motion to dismiss the second amended complaint?

09:44:17 18 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. That's the substantive motion  
09:44:18 19 that is left.

09:44:20 20 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.

09:44:20 21 THE COURT: So here's what I want: We have statutes  
09:44:23 22 in this case. We have the Office of Court Administration. We  
09:44:27 23 have the Director of the Office of Court Administration. We  
09:44:31 24 have district clerks all around the state that get impacted by  
09:44:34 25 whatever this court is going to do.

09:44:41 1 If -- and I will reveal my age here. If I ever  
09:44:48 2 thought before we had not accomplished anything with computers  
09:44:53 3 and electronic filing, I am absolutely convinced of it after  
09:44:57 4 reviewing this case. I became convinced of it when I went on  
09:45:01 5 this bench. It was a simpler time when you walked over to the  
09:45:11 6 district clerk's office and you filed something, and the  
09:45:13 7 district clerk put a file-mark on it and the district clerk put  
09:45:18 8 it in a file and everybody knew what it was.

09:45:20 9 And it is astounding to me to read, although it  
09:45:25 10 reaffirms my prejudice, that we've gotten where we move more  
09:45:31 11 slowly with all of the fancy electronic filing than we did  
09:45:38 12 before, except when you-all want to file things on Saturday and  
09:45:44 13 Sunday. We could have avoided that quite a bit without an  
09:45:47 14 electronic filing system.

09:45:52 15 So what I want to do, without advocacy right now,  
09:46:01 16 except to the extent it's necessary, I'm going to start with  
09:46:03 17 the plaintiff, and I want you to walk me through the timeline  
09:46:16 18 facts of this case. What was the system? What occurred with  
09:46:20 19 the director or the Office of Court Administration or the  
09:46:25 20 Supreme Court or the committee the Supreme Court formed or all  
09:46:30 21 of them that led to the CM/ECF system, how it works. And at  
09:46:44 22 that point I'll have a base level, and I'll hear some argument.

09:46:52 23 Now, from the defendant's point of view,  
09:46:55 24 Ms. Merideth, if you don't see the need to interrupt the  
09:47:00 25 plaintiff until they finish doing what I just asked them to

09:47:05 1 do -- and I don't favor the plaintiff; I just read from top to  
09:47:08 2 bottom because I'm old-fashioned and the plaintiff is above the  
09:47:12 3 "v." and has the burden on the whole case, so that's why I  
09:47:15 4 start with them -- you may feel free to stand up and you may  
09:47:18 5 interject things if this needs to be a discussion. You don't  
09:47:21 6 have to wait. Because at this point I don't want to hear  
09:47:30 7 advocacy. I just want to get me from point A to point F in  
09:47:31 8 this case and where we are.

09:47:33 9           So, Mr. Dow, or whoever is with you, whoever wants to  
09:47:35 10 present that, walk me through it. Walk me through it slowly.  
09:47:43 11 I want to make sure I fully understand how we got from there to  
09:47:44 12 here.

09:47:49 13           MR. DOW: Thank you, Your Honor. So the timeline  
09:47:51 14 starts 2013 with mandatory e-filing for Texas state courts.

09:48:03 15           THE COURT: Now, who mandated that, and how did it  
09:48:06 16 come about?

09:48:07 17           MR. DOW: The Texas Supreme Court by order mandated  
09:48:09 18 that, and it came about by virtue of the Office of Court  
09:48:13 19 Administration entering into an agreement with Tyler  
09:48:22 20 Technologies for the mechanism, the procedure, of e-filing in  
09:48:30 21 the State of Texas.

09:48:32 22           THE COURT: And why did the OCA do that? Did the OCA  
09:48:36 23 do that on its own and then tell the Supreme Court they were  
09:48:42 24 going to do that, or did the Supreme Court or any committee  
09:48:46 25 formed by the Supreme Court mandate the OCA to look into a

09:48:51 1 system of electronic filing, and the OCA responded to the  
09:48:56 2 Supreme Court?

09:48:56 3 MR. DOW: It was by virtue of the Texas Supreme  
09:48:59 4 Court's amended order, which is -- that was in December of  
09:49:07 5 2012. And so the OCA, pursuant to that amended order, then  
09:49:17 6 started carrying out and implementing the ability for litigants  
09:49:25 7 to e-file in Texas.

09:49:31 8 THE COURT: So 2013 -- or 2012 we had an amended  
09:49:35 9 order by the Supreme Court. In 2013 OCA started following  
09:49:41 10 through with that and entered into a contract with Tyler what?

09:49:47 11 MR. DOW: Tyler Technologies.

09:49:50 12 THE COURT: All right.

09:49:51 13 MR. DOW: Okay. And that -- that agreement has been  
09:49:59 14 amended, and that's part of our case. But I -- without -- I  
09:50:03 15 don't want to start advocating.

09:50:05 16 So that's when our timeline begins, when we left the  
09:50:11 17 paper world where -- you know, where I would go to the Travis  
09:50:18 18 County District Courthouse and I would actually take a petition  
09:50:24 19 to the third floor and give it to an assistant clerk there at  
09:50:30 20 the counter on the third floor. And that -- that deputy clerk  
09:50:35 21 would file stamp that petition, and then that petition would  
09:50:42 22 then kind of get behind the counter for processing. That -- in  
09:50:51 23 2013 that changed with what we call EFM, the electronic filing  
09:51:00 24 manager.

09:51:05 25 THE COURT: All right. And what we're arguing about

09:51:11 1 in this case is the most recent iteration of that original  
09:51:17 2 contract, an amended contract of some point between OCA as one  
09:51:23 3 contracting party and Tyler Technology as the other contracting  
09:51:29 4 party.

09:51:29 5 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor. A June 24, 2022  
09:51:35 6 amendment.

09:51:37 7 THE COURT: All right.

09:51:42 8 MR. DOW: So no longer would I go to the courthouse  
09:51:47 9 and, at the counter, file my petition. After mandatory  
09:51:55 10 e-filing, my legal assistant, now at her computer, hits "send,"  
09:52:06 11 and that petition is transmitted, filed, where it then sits in  
09:52:18 12 the electronic filing -- file manager, that EFM. And that's  
09:52:25 13 where we get to the heart of the story.

09:52:28 14 But one -- without advocating, it's just not me  
09:52:34 15 saying --

09:52:35 16 THE COURT: You can state your position a little bit.  
09:52:36 17 I just don't want to hear argument right now.

09:52:39 18 MR. DOW: All right. If we look at Texas Rule of  
09:52:41 19 Civil Procedure 21, Subpart (f)(5), it defines the filing when  
09:52:55 20 that transmission occurs. So when the legal assistant presses  
09:53:03 21 "send," that's the same thing as me going to the third floor in  
09:53:10 22 the old days of the district clerk's office and presenting my  
09:53:17 23 petition on that counter.

09:53:19 24 So that's --

09:53:21 25 THE COURT: Well, let me make sure we understand one

09:53:24 1 another. You stood at the counter and you slid a complaint  
09:53:33 2 over the counter. And when I first did that, O.T. Martin was  
09:53:39 3 the district clerk, and John Dickson was a very young deputy  
09:53:44 4 district clerk who was often there to accept the filing. It  
09:53:49 5 was there, and when they put the file stamp down on it, it was  
09:53:54 6 filed.

09:53:55 7 So when your legal assistant hits "send," does the  
09:54:02 8 moment it hit the electronic file manager, is it filed then or  
09:54:10 9 is it unfiled while it sits during this first step, as you  
09:54:15 10 describe it?

09:54:16 11 MR. DOW: It is filed.

09:54:17 12 THE COURT: Okay.

09:54:18 13 MR. DOW: It is filed for all purposes, including  
09:54:24 14 statute of limitations. It is filed.

09:54:29 15 THE COURT: All right. And electronically a notice  
09:54:33 16 goes on it that it's filed in some way?

09:54:36 17 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.

09:54:36 18 THE COURT: All right.

09:54:37 19 MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, so the actual cause number  
09:54:39 20 and notice that the document is filed is not entered until the  
09:54:42 21 document is transmitted into clerk's case management system.

09:54:47 22 THE COURT: All right.

09:54:48 23 MS. MERIDETH: So it is deemed filed for purposes of  
09:54:51 24 the statute of limitations for Texas Rule of Civil Procedure  
09:54:55 25 21(f), but it's -- but the actual, you know, act of -- the

09:55:00 1 traditional act of the clerk assigning the cause number and  
09:55:04 2 affixing the stamp, if we're looking back to tradition, that  
09:55:08 3 happens when the document is transmitted into the clerk's case  
09:55:11 4 management system.

09:55:13 5 THE COURT: Do you agree?

09:55:14 6 MR. DOW: Partly.

09:55:15 7 THE COURT: All right.

09:55:17 8 MR. DOW: So that act of my legal assistant hitting  
09:55:23 9 "send," that transmission it's filed. It's filed just as if  
09:55:28 10 I'm standing there at the counter and it's file stamped.

09:55:32 11 But then it is correct it then sits in this EFM  
09:55:41 12 awaiting administrative processing by the district clerks.  
09:55:48 13 Just -- just as in the old days when I would file that petition  
09:55:56 14 and it's file stamped, it then would go behind the counter and  
09:56:01 15 the deputy clerks would -- would do their thing.

09:56:05 16 Here --

09:56:07 17 THE COURT: Or to really take you back, the clerk  
09:56:11 18 picked up the phone and said, "The next number we're going to  
09:56:16 19 assign is Number 15,000." That's a round number. And that  
09:56:23 20 phone call would go to Paul Holt. So if he had something he  
09:56:28 21 wanted to file, he could bring it over and get that number.

09:56:31 22 MR. DOW: I didn't know that.

09:56:32 23 THE COURT: Local lore from the old days, before we  
09:56:35 24 had anything electronic.

09:56:38 25 MS. MERIDETH: And, Your Honor, just to add in a note

09:56:40 1 to traditional times, I think, you know, this all is under the  
09:56:44 2 assumption that there is no line at the clerk's office, that  
09:56:47 3 there is no stack of papers lining up. So this is -- this is  
09:56:52 4 under the assumption that the clerk is ready and able to take  
09:56:55 5 your filing there at the clerk's counter.

09:56:57 6 **THE COURT:** Well, there's always a delay of some  
09:57:02 7 kind. It depends on when you get there and what you do. But  
09:57:06 8 when you first -- when Mr. Dow's legal assistant first hits  
09:57:12 9 "send," there's not a delay. **Everything goes right into EFM.**  
09:57:18 10 **There might be a delay of it coming out of EFM, or there might**  
09:57:23 11 **be a delay in the timeline you're going to tell me about now**  
09:57:26 12 **about what happens when the clerk starts taking action on it.**  
09:57:30 13 **I presume that's going to be the next step?**

09:57:32 14 **MR. DOW:** Yes, Your Honor. So, rather than what's  
09:57:42 15 going on now behind the counter on the third floor of the  
09:57:45 16 Travis County Courthouse, we now have that petition sitting in  
09:57:50 17 the EFM awaiting administrative processing by whichever  
09:58:01 18 district clerk that case is filed with. And that gets to the  
09:58:05 19 guts of the dispute.

09:58:09 20 I don't think, but I -- and I don't want to get ahead  
09:58:13 21 of my skis -- it's this administrative processing by the local  
09:58:19 22 district clerk that creates the delayed access that is  
09:58:29 23 different from the traditional access that we enjoyed in the  
09:58:36 24 days of paper. **And so that's where -- and the reason I say I**  
09:58:46 25 **don't think there's any dispute about that is, when my legal**

09:58:52 1 assistant hits "send" for that newly filed petition, she  
09:58:56 2 receives a notice of filing from the Texas Court system that  
09:59:02 3 says "Please allow 24 to 48 hours for court processing." And  
09:59:11 4 that's one of our exhibits. There's -- and there's actually  
09:59:17 5 another similar document from the web -- the Texas Courts  
09:59:26 6 website which says "Allow one to two business days for this  
09:59:35 7 administrative processing."

09:59:43 8 And so we at least know there is that administrative  
09:59:46 9 delay while the local district clerk does his or her thing with  
09:59:53 10 that petition.

09:59:53 11 THE COURT: All right. Stop right there. Did you  
09:59:55 12 have something you wanted to say, Ms. Merideth?

09:59:57 13 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. I wanted to provide  
09:59:59 14 clarification as far as the transfer of the document from the  
10:00:03 15 electronic file manager, the EFM, to the case management  
10:00:06 16 system, which is also called the CMS.

10:00:10 17 So when the e-filed document arrives into the EFM,  
10:00:21 18 which is like a conduit to the clerk's case management system,  
10:00:24 19 once that document arrives in the EFM, there's really three  
10:00:31 20 options for clerk. The clerk can accept the filing, the clerk  
10:00:38 21 auto-accept the filing, or the clerk can make the filing  
10:00:41 22 available via the press review tool and then accept the filing  
10:00:45 23 at a later time.

10:00:46 24 So I think Mr. Dow's position is that this processing  
10:00:51 25 is built into the EFM model. But really what the EFM does is

10:00:56 1 that it presents the petition to the clerk to then accept, and  
10:01:02 2 then they have different ways in which they can accept the  
10:01:04 3 petition. So they can auto-accept, they can conduct whatever  
10:01:09 4 process they feel they need to do before they need to accept  
10:01:12 5 the document, or they can make it available to the public or  
10:01:17 6 press via the press review tool before acceptance.

10:01:22 7 THE COURT: All right. So it hits EFM and then goes  
10:01:29 8 to clerk's CMS; is that right?

10:01:32 9 MR. DOW: It's submitted to EFM. When my legal  
10:01:36 10 assistant --

10:01:36 11 THE COURT: Right.

10:01:37 12 MR. DOW: It's submitted to EFM.

10:01:39 13 THE COURT: Yeah. I shouldn't have used the word  
10:01:42 14 "hits."

10:01:43 15 MR. DOW: I think I used that.

10:01:45 16 THE COURT: I find it more descriptive.

10:01:49 17 MR. DOW: So here's the -- this is -- this is  
10:01:54 18 important. That petition, that newly filed petition sits in  
10:02:00 19 the EFM until the district clerk over in west Texas logs in and  
10:02:11 20 grabs that petition through that district clerk's --

10:02:17 21 THE COURT: All right. I don't want to get down in  
10:02:24 22 the nuance of computers, so keep this on kind of a general  
10:02:30 23 level. The mainframe, the server, whether it's in the cloud or  
10:02:40 24 not, wherever the EFM is, is not in the local county.

10:02:48 25 MR. DOW: Correct, Your Honor.

10:02:49 1 THE COURT: All right. Is it at the -- does the  
10:02:52 2 Office of Court Administration have the system, the electronic  
10:02:56 3 system, in that office?

10:03:02 4 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.

10:03:02 5 THE COURT: And they provide maintenance and updates  
10:03:06 6 and work, but it is -- it is run -- and don't read legal  
10:03:12 7 connotation into this -- it is run by the Office of Court  
10:03:14 8 Administration; is that correct?

10:03:17 9 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. But I need to add a  
10:03:19 10 clarification to the EFM. So the clerks actually can log into  
10:03:25 11 the EFM and customize the EFM. So, yes, OCA -- or, yes, the  
10:03:31 12 electronic filing manager is part of the Texas e-file contract,  
10:03:38 13 but the clerks actually can create their own review queue and  
10:03:44 14 customize the tools offered by OCA.

10:03:46 15 So the clerks actually can -- they have a lot of --  
10:03:52 16 they have an ability to customize their own review queue. So  
10:03:56 17 there's an interplay between the electronic filing manager and  
10:04:01 18 then the clerk's ability to customize that electronic filing  
10:04:03 19 manager -- I'm sorry -- their review queue.

10:04:08 20 THE COURT: All right. So we go back, we have the  
10:04:10 21 contract, we have the EFM system put in place. It's basically  
10:04:16 22 administered by OCA. We have 254 district clerks in Texas.  
10:04:22 23 Each district clerk at this point can -- has its own little  
10:04:29 24 space that it can go to in the EFM system and do certain  
10:04:33 25 customizing that would be in effect in that clerk's county --

10:04:41 1 the district clerk's county that would handle petition filings  
10:04:49 2 in that county consistent with whatever modifications or  
10:04:55 3 customizing that that district court has done.

10:04:59 4 MR. DOW: Correct, Your Honor.

10:05:00 5 THE COURT: But, basically, at this point we're not  
10:05:02 6 out of EFM yet. It's -- it's all at OCA.

10:05:11 7 MR. DOW: Correct.

10:05:12 8 THE COURT: Okay.

10:05:13 9 MR. DOW: And that's -- that's where -- our case is  
10:05:19 10 about delayed access under the First Amendment. There's no --  
10:05:26 11 there's no argument by the plaintiff that the district clerks  
10:05:30 12 don't need to do their administrative processing. We don't  
10:05:35 13 have any -- we're not squawking with the district clerks for  
10:05:39 14 that. But these newly filed petitions, while they await each  
10:05:48 15 district clerk to do his or her thing with the administrative  
10:05:51 16 processing, those newly filed petitions are not available to  
10:05:57 17 the public or press.

10:06:01 18 THE COURT: I've got that.

10:06:02 19 MR. DOW: Okay.

10:06:03 20 THE COURT: But filings happen. They're sitting in  
10:06:13 21 EFM. Now, what initiates the next step? What gets it out of  
10:06:26 22 what I'm going to call "OCA's domain" and solely into the  
10:06:30 23 district clerk's domain?

10:06:34 24 MR. DOW: The next step is for the respective  
10:06:37 25 district clerk for that case to log into the EFM through that

10:06:45 1 district clerk's case management system and do that district  
10:06:52 2 clerk's administrative processing.

10:06:58 3 THE COURT: All right.

10:06:58 4 MR. DOW: And then --

10:06:59 5 MS. MERIDETH: And I need to make a technical  
10:07:01 6 correction there, because it's the -- the clerk actually logs  
10:07:06 7 into a web portal provided by the EFM. And at that point the  
10:07:11 8 clerk then accepts the filing from the EFM into their case  
10:07:16 9 management system.

10:07:18 10 MR. DOW: So that -- and that's important. The  
10:07:20 11 district clerk is logging into -- going behind the counter, so  
10:07:26 12 to speak -- into the EFM. So, once again, that's -- now I'm  
10:07:32 13 starting to get -- starting to advocate, but --

10:07:35 14 THE COURT: No, no. But I'll -- I think I understand  
10:07:38 15 what the problem is, but I don't get to resolving the problem  
10:07:42 16 until I make sure I know what the path is.

10:07:46 17 So you file a new petition on Saturday morning, which  
10:07:53 18 is what lawyers are prone to do. Okay. So it sits -- you do  
10:07:59 19 it electronically, and it sits in EFM. And presumably the  
10:08:05 20 district clerk's office in Loving County is closed. Because  
10:08:11 21 there are very few people that live in Loving County, it may or  
10:08:14 22 may not even open on Monday, but let's presume that it's going  
10:08:17 23 to.

10:08:17 24 So Monday morning the clerk's office is open for  
10:08:20 25 business. At that point the clerk in Loving County doesn't

10:08:24 1 know that he or she has had a new lawsuit filed on Saturday.

10:08:30 2 Am I correct?

10:08:32 3 MR. DOW: No. They -- the district clerk in Loving  
10:08:35 4 County receives a notification.

10:08:38 5 THE COURT: Well, how does the district clerk know?

10:08:41 6 Does she or he get it at home?

10:08:43 7 MR. DOW: No. At the courthouse.

10:08:45 8 THE COURT: All right. Well Monday morning comes. I  
10:08:49 9 have my computer turned off when I walk in. I presume  
10:08:52 10 computers in the district clerk's office are turned off.

10:08:57 11 So how then does the clerk become essentially aware  
10:09:03 12 of the fact that a new petition got filed on Saturday?

10:09:09 13 MR. DOW: When the district clerk turns on his or her  
10:09:13 14 computer, there's a notification received from the EMS [sic].

10:09:21 15 THE COURT: Does that clerk have to go to --

10:09:24 16 MR. DOW: EFM.

10:09:25 17 THE COURT: -- EFM, or does that happen as soon as  
10:09:29 18 the clerk turns the computer on? What steps does the clerk  
10:09:33 19 make to satisfy himself or herself that there's been something  
10:09:40 20 filed?

10:09:40 21 MR. DOW: The clerk has to log in.

10:09:43 22 THE COURT: All right. So Monday morning comes. The  
10:09:45 23 clerk logs into EFM. We're hopeful that the clerk or district  
10:09:50 24 clerk does that first thing. But, theoretically, they might  
10:09:55 25 not log in until noon or three o'clock in the afternoon. That

10:09:59 1 could happen?

10:10:00 2 MR. DOW: Correct, Your Honor.

10:10:01 3 THE COURT: All right. So the clerk has to log in.

10:10:03 4 So the clerk logs in, and what does the clerk see?

10:10:09 5 MR. DOW: The clerk sees -- is in the queue now and

10:10:12 6 sees the newly filed petition.

10:10:15 7 THE COURT: All right.

10:10:16 8 MR. DOW: And then the clerk in, whether it's Loving

10:10:19 9 County or Dallas County, then, you know, looks at the petition

10:10:25 10 to make sure there aren't any -- you know, make sure no

10:10:29 11 corrections are necessary, assigns it to the court, and what

10:10:34 12 they do, that administrative clerical piece of it.

10:10:39 13 THE COURT: All right.

10:10:40 14 MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor?

10:10:41 15 THE COURT: I'm going to come back to you. Don't

10:10:43 16 worry. I've got you.

10:10:44 17 So there's a clerical review. The clerk manually or

10:11:03 18 physically adds the file number?

10:11:07 19 MR. DOW: Or online adds the file number, correct.

10:11:09 20 THE COURT: Yeah. But the clerk has to do that.

10:11:11 21 Right now all the clerk knows when the clerk logs in is the raw

10:11:17 22 data. She sees this Plaintiff's Original Petition filed by

10:11:25 23 Matt Dow. And if you follow the usual style, over to the right

10:11:28 24 there's a blank for the number.

10:11:29 25 So it doesn't have a number when the clerk first sees

10:11:33 1 it; is that right?

10:11:37 2 MR. DOW: The system burns it in. And back -- and  
10:11:43 3 dates it, once again, going back to when my legal assistant  
10:11:48 4 submitted, electronically transmitted. So all of that just  
10:11:54 5 happens.

10:11:57 6 THE COURT: All right. So, see, this is important to  
10:12:00 7 me. So, now, is that true in all 254 counties, or is that one  
10:12:10 8 of the things the district clerk can customize?

10:12:16 9 MR. DOW: It was true. Now they can customize that.

10:12:19 10 THE COURT: So the district clerk could just look at  
10:12:24 11 a raw petition that doesn't have a file number or a date stamp  
10:12:29 12 on it?

10:12:32 13 MR. DOW: It would have an envelope number, but, yes,  
10:12:36 14 you're correct.

10:12:36 15 THE COURT: Do you agree with that?

10:12:37 16 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. And, Your Honor, just to  
10:12:39 17 clarify, I think you hit the nail on the head there, is that  
10:12:43 18 the clerks -- so just to back up to the idea of a filing on the  
10:12:48 19 weekend, if the clerk has decided to enable auto-accept, then  
10:12:53 20 that filing would be automatically accepted no matter what time  
10:12:56 21 of day. So it would look, essentially, like the Pacer system,  
10:13:02 22 which Plaintiff has referenced.

10:13:05 23 And that then the clerk could -- I think the question  
10:13:08 24 here, you're right, is we have no idea what these clerks are  
10:13:12 25 doing. We don't know what they're -- what they're doing when

10:13:16 1 they're reviewing documents. We don't know if it's for  
10:13:19 2 sensitive information. We don't know if it's because of  
10:13:22 3 another reason. So the -- the electronic filing manager  
10:13:26 4 provides the tools for the clerk to really do -- to fulfill  
10:13:32 5 their duties as the custodian of record.

10:13:36 6 But it's ultimately up to the clerk to decide how  
10:13:39 7 they want to review a document, if they want to review a  
10:13:42 8 document, if they want to auto-accept a document, or if they  
10:13:46 9 want to enable the press review tool and accept a document  
10:13:49 10 later.

10:13:53 11 THE COURT: Back to you.

10:13:54 12 MS. MERIDETH: I'm sorry. If I could provide just  
10:13:56 13 one more clarification?

10:13:57 14 THE COURT: You may.

10:13:57 15 MS. MEREDITH: The cause number is added in the case  
10:14:00 16 management system of the clerk.

10:14:02 17 THE COURT: All right. Now, Mr. Dow.

10:14:12 18 MR. DOW: So, Your Honor, I don't -- and we can  
10:14:19 19 work -- we're prepared to talk about delay, whether it's 24 to  
10:14:24 20 48 hours or eight days. We're prepared to offer evidence as to  
10:14:31 21 that. But let me -- this auto --

10:14:33 22 THE COURT: No. I'm sure that what I'm going to  
10:14:36 23 hear, when and if I get to that point in this case, that in a  
10:14:43 24 state that is geographically the size of France and as divided  
10:14:48 25 initially into 254 political subdivisions that are counties,

10:14:55 1 the evidence is going to show me that it's all over the map as  
10:15:00 2 to when things get filed and when they're available to the  
10:15:04 3 public on a county-by-county basis.

10:15:08 4 Without arguing what's the worst-case scenario and  
10:15:11 5 what's the best-case scenario, I think you both will agree it's  
10:15:15 6 all over the map; there's no rhyme or reason as to how it  
10:15:17 7 works.

10:15:18 8 MR. DOW: Correct, Your Honor, yes.

10:15:19 9 THE COURT: Okay.

10:15:20 10 MR. DOW: So -- so this is where we get to really the  
10:15:27 11 meat of the argument from the plaintiff's side, and that is --  
10:15:31 12 or the case that we rely on is this *Press Enterprise II* case,  
10:15:38 13 and it talks about two questions that the court has to ask:  
10:15:40 14 You know, the experience and logic test, which is traditional  
10:15:46 15 access back when there was paper.

10:15:48 16 And then the second question is, are the restrictions  
10:15:54 17 that exist, do they survive constitutional scrutiny? And, in  
10:16:01 18 particular, does the OCA here have a compelling governmental  
10:16:08 19 interest to maintain its practices that do -- I'll try not to  
10:16:14 20 be argumentative -- that do create delayed access? Or are  
10:16:19 21 there less restrictive alternatives?

10:16:22 22 And this -- this is where we land. There are less  
10:16:27 23 restrictive alternatives. There's the auto-accept tool that  
10:16:34 24 you just heard about, and there's also the press review tool.  
10:16:41 25 That's a -- that's a queue that is the subject of the

10:16:49 1 June 24, 2022 amendment between OCA and Tyler, which allows --

10:16:59 2 THE COURT: What year was that June 24th amendment?

10:17:01 3 MR. DOW: 2022.

10:17:03 4 THE COURT: Okay. A recent amendment, right now.

10:17:05 5 MR. DOW: Correct, Your Honor.

10:17:06 6 THE COURT: All right.

10:17:06 7 MR. DOW: And the press review tool allows members of  
10:17:10 8 the media to be able to access newly filed petitions while they  
10:17:17 9 sit in the EMF -- EFM awaiting the administrative processing  
10:17:26 10 that occurs.

10:17:27 11 THE COURT: All right. Tell me -- no. Actually, I'm  
10:17:32 12 going to ask Ms. Merideth this. Tell me what reviews district  
10:17:39 13 clerks normally make.

10:17:43 14 MS. MERIDETH: And the answer is that OCA doesn't  
10:17:47 15 know what the clerks are reviewing.

10:17:51 16 THE COURT: Well, I'll tell you I'm not surprised to  
10:17:53 17 hear that because, again, we're creatures of our own

10:17:57 18 background. I feel with absolute certainty, when I first  
10:18:06 19 started practicing law and probably for a large amount of that

10:18:10 20 time, but I can't say it was for 100 percent and I can't say

10:18:14 21 it's true today, the district clerk in Travis County didn't

10:18:18 22 review a plaintiff's complaint -- I mean the plaintiff's

10:18:21 23 petition for anything. It came in, it was pushed across the

10:18:25 24 counter, it got file-marked originally with a hand stamp where

10:18:31 25 they wrote in the time, and then we got really fancy and had a

10:18:32 1 little machine you stuck it under that automatically had the  
10:18:35 2 time on it, and then they put a file-mark on it.

10:18:38 3 And if a member of the press happened to be standing  
10:18:41 4 there and said "I really want a copy of that," they'd make that  
10:18:43 5 copy right away. Nobody looked at it to see if there was a  
10:18:46 6 problem or anything. That was the lawyer's problem. If you  
10:18:48 7 messed up your petition, then you just weren't in very good  
10:18:54 8 shape, or the defendant would raise it and a judge would take  
10:18:58 9 care of it at that point.

10:19:00 10 So, you know, we're going to get to a little bit of  
10:19:07 11 advocacy in a minute, but I will tell you this court has  
10:19:10 12 concern as to whether there needs to be, and whether there's a  
10:19:16 13 legitimate reason for any -- the clerk to take any action other  
10:19:23 14 than to file the petition that got handed to the clerk. And I  
10:19:28 15 guess, then, that impacts -- if it's auto-accept, we don't have  
10:19:35 16 that problem because it's automatically up and you-all get to  
10:19:39 17 look at it as quickly as it hits -- or your client gets to.

10:19:43 18 And I presume, then, if somebody -- -- if the clerk  
10:19:48 19 didn't want to do that, then the press review thing would solve  
10:19:51 20 that because the media still got immediate access to what was  
10:19:58 21 filed. And if the clerk later reviewed and decided to reject  
10:20:03 22 the filing, that would also show up somewhere in there as a  
10:20:08 23 rejection. I'm just trying to figure out in my own mind a  
10:20:12 24 legitimate basis for any review or delay after filing.

10:20:16 25 MS. MERIDETH: And, Your Honor, I think that question

10:20:19 1 can be answered by district clerks, because they're elected  
10:20:23 2 officials and it's really their duty to accept filings. And --

10:20:27 3 THE COURT: Well, and I know where you're going.  
10:20:28 4 You're coming back to the traditional Attorney General opinion  
10:20:32 5 that there's no one person you can sue, you've got to sue all  
10:20:35 6 254 district clerks, because everything is going to be  
10:20:40 7 different.

10:20:41 8 MS. MERIDETH: I -- Your Honor, I think that the  
10:20:47 9 question is, you know, there are some clerks that are complying  
10:20:51 10 with the current -- with -- they are reviewing documents and  
10:20:55 11 accepts documents in a timely manner. And so forcing every  
10:21:00 12 single clerk to use a press review tool or auto-accept is like  
10:21:04 13 forcing medicine upon everyone that's not necessarily ill.

10:21:08 14 THE COURT: No. But you also filed a motion to  
10:21:11 15 dismiss, and what you're telling me is there's no one person  
10:21:16 16 you can sue. That suing the Office of Court Administration  
10:21:23 17 will not get the plaintiff the relief they want because the  
10:21:27 18 Office of Court Administration doesn't have the power to tell  
10:21:30 19 the district clerk in Menard County or the district clerk in  
10:21:34 20 Tarrant County or the district clerk in Hood County to change  
10:21:37 21 the way they're doing things.

10:21:39 22 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. That's correct.

10:21:42 23 MR. DOW: And our position is that the OCA, because  
10:21:48 24 it's the OCA that is the one that -- the only one that  
10:21:52 25 contracts with Tyler Technologies and negotiates changes to the

10:22:00 1 system --

10:22:00 2 THE COURT: Yeah. But can this court tell OCA how to  
10:22:06 3 contract?

10:22:07 4 MR. DOW: No.

10:22:08 5 THE COURT: Or I'll just jump into this. I have a  
10:22:14 6 big question, having reviewed what I reviewed before you gave  
10:22:22 7 me additional things, that I don't know why the federal court  
10:22:25 8 is in this. I don't know why we wouldn't abstain, because it's  
10:22:29 9 the Supreme Court of Texas that has put all of this together.

10:22:35 10 I -- I have great concern about the State's argument  
10:22:42 11 that OCA doesn't have the power to do anything, because I don't  
10:22:46 12 think I have the power to tell OCA how they're going to  
10:22:49 13 contract.

10:22:53 14 MR. DOW: They've already contracted, though. So  
10:22:56 15 that --

10:22:56 16 THE COURT: I understand: Are you asking me to change  
10:22:58 17 the contract?

10:22:59 18 MR. DOW: No. No, Your Honor.

10:23:00 19 THE COURT: You're asking me to void the contract?

10:23:02 20 MR. DOW: No. I'm not -- no.

10:23:04 21 THE COURT: Well, what are you asking me to do?

10:23:06 22 MR. DOW: I'm asking for a permanent injunction that  
10:23:10 23 the OCA stops its practice of continuing delayed access. And  
10:23:20 24 they have -- they have the two tools to do that. The solution  
10:23:29 25 is at hand, either with the press review tool, which is the --

10:23:33 1 THE COURT: But is the OCA delaying access?

10:23:37 2 MR. DOW: Yes.

10:23:38 3 THE COURT: Or are various district clerks delaying  
10:23:47 4 access? Some are, some aren't.

10:23:49 5 MR. DOW: And, Your Honor, the O -- the answer is,  
10:23:58 6 you know, the delay is created by the district clerk  
10:24:03 7 administrative processing. I'm not going to try and circle  
10:24:08 8 around that. That is the cause for the delay. But here's --  
10:24:14 9 and it's just where we are, you know. The OCA is the agency,  
10:24:25 10 it's the entity, that is in charge of and, you know,  
10:24:29 11 administers the electronic file manager, the EFM, and has  
10:24:36 12 negotiated with Tyler Technologies to provide an auto-accept  
10:24:45 13 tool and to provide a press review tool.

10:24:52 14 And what -- and what we hear from the OCA is, well,  
10:24:56 15 that's for the district clerks to decide. No. Because, once  
10:25:01 16 again, if we go behind the --

10:25:06 17 THE COURT: Well, is the OCA telling them not to use  
10:25:13 18 the auto-accept or the press review tools?

10:25:16 19 MR. DOW: No, Your Honor.

10:25:17 20 THE COURT: Is the OCA telling every district clerk  
10:25:22 21 to use auto-accept or press review?

10:25:25 22 MR. DOW: No, Your Honor.

10:25:26 23 THE COURT: Well, then here's where I'm kind of hung  
10:25:32 24 up with this. The Attorney General comes in here and  
10:25:38 25 consistently takes the position in a whole variety of things, a

10:25:42 1 lot of times, because I hear them all. I should give either  
10:25:49 2 frequent filer points or frequent responder points to the  
10:25:52 3 Attorney General's Office. I know most of them, at least every  
10:25:55 4 one that sets foot in the courtroom a lot.

10:25:58 5 So I'll just give one example. The Texas Election  
10:26:02 6 Code says the Secretary of State is the chief elections officer  
10:26:06 7 of the State of Texas. It says that. To my mind that means  
10:26:12 8 the Secretary of State's in charge of the election process in  
10:26:15 9 the State of Texas. And if you've got a problem with our  
10:26:18 10 election process, you see the Secretary of State. And I think  
10:26:22 11 I can make an argument that that's the reason the legislature  
10:26:26 12 has that in the statute, because we're a big state, as I've  
10:26:30 13 said, 254 counties.

10:26:32 14 The Attorney General does not agree with that  
10:26:35 15 position and consistently argues that if you've got a problem  
10:26:38 16 with an election, you need to sue the election official in the  
10:26:43 17 county or the political subdivision where the election took  
10:26:49 18 place. Consistently in that instance and other instances where  
10:26:56 19 that general point is raised as to who you sue, the Fifth  
10:27:02 20 Circuit supports the Attorney General and looks at Texas's  
10:27:09 21 political scheme that way.

10:27:12 22 So where I'm trying then to get with you is to tell  
10:27:17 23 me why this case is any different. Because when I read  
10:27:23 24 Chapter 72, is it, of the -- the --

10:27:28 25 MS. MERIDETH: The Texas Government --

10:27:29 1 THE COURT: -- Texas Government Code, I see that the  
10:27:34 2 OCA is charged with assisting the process of the courts, making  
10:27:47 3 recommendations, consulting with district clerks. And I don't  
10:27:50 4 see that statute giving the OCA real power to do anything. So  
10:28:07 5 tell me where they get their power to do anything or it gets  
10:28:10 6 its power to do anything.

10:28:10 7 MR. DOW: They get their power based on what they've  
10:28:12 8 done over the years. So negotiating the contract and the  
10:28:18 9 amendments with Tyler Technologies. They -- they maintain that  
10:28:24 10 electronic file manager. So someone has to -- you know, with  
10:28:34 11 the duties comes responsibility, and with our First Amendment  
10:28:40 12 claim, Your Honor -- so the answer to your question is I think  
10:28:47 13 the OCA has to be able to articulate a compelling governmental  
10:28:52 14 interest under *Press Enterprise II* as to why there is delayed  
10:29:05 15 access. And the delayed access --

10:29:07 16 THE COURT: But there's not uniform delayed access.

10:29:10 17 MR. DOW: Maybe the number of days is not uniform,  
10:29:13 18 Your Honor. That's true. But the -- what is uniform is -- and  
10:29:19 19 this is true -- that delayed access occurs under the OCA's  
10:29:27 20 watch in the electronic file manager.

10:29:35 21 THE COURT: So you're saying the OCA has the power to  
10:29:39 22 reach down and look at Burnet County and say: "District Clerk,  
10:29:52 23 you're taking way too much time to have these petitions  
10:30:00 24 available to the public, and we want you to stop"?

10:30:03 25 MR. DOW: No.

10:30:04 1 THE COURT: So what can OCA do that this court can  
10:30:09 2 order OCA to do with these problems?

10:30:17 3 MR. DOW: Texas Government Code, Section 72.031(b)(1)  
10:30:28 4 and (2), where the OCA is granted broad authority. That's my  
10:30:35 5 words. But let me quote -- let me quote from 72.031 --

10:30:39 6 THE COURT: Let me get to it.

10:30:41 7 MR. DOW: -- (b)(1) and (2).

10:30:42 8 THE COURT: All right. Texas Government Code 71.

10:30:49 9 MR. DOW: 72 --

10:30:50 10 THE COURT: 72.

10:30:50 11 MR. DOW: -- .031(b).

10:31:02 12 THE COURT: All right.

10:31:04 13 MR. DOW: And under (b), numbers (1) and (2).

10:31:12 14 THE COURT: All right.

10:31:12 15 MR. DOW: And, specifically, Your Honor, the OCA is  
10:31:20 16 to implement an electronic filing system for use in the courts  
10:31:26 17 of this state and --

10:31:27 18 THE COURT: Wait. So OCA has satisfied (1) or has  
10:31:34 19 done (1). They have implemented an electronic filing system  
10:31:40 20 for use in the courts of this state.

10:31:42 21 MR. DOW: Yes.

10:31:44 22 THE COURT: Everybody in agreement on that? OCA did  
10:31:46 23 that?

10:31:47 24 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.

10:31:47 25 THE COURT: Okay. Now (2).

10:31:49 1 MR. DOW: "Allow public" -- and I'm quoting. "Allow  
10:31:52 2 public access to view information or documents in the state  
10:31:57 3 court document database."

10:32:00 4 THE COURT: All right. And the state court document  
10:32:02 5 database is?

10:32:05 6 MR. DOW: The EFM.

10:32:06 7 MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, that's -- the state court  
10:32:09 8 document database is actually Research Texas, and that's a  
10:32:15 9 completely separate system that was ordered by the Supreme  
10:32:18 10 Court of Texas. And OCA received authority to implement  
10:32:25 11 Research Texas by that Supreme Court order. And also JCIT made  
10:32:33 12 recommendations as to that database as well, and both JCIT and  
10:32:38 13 the Supreme Court of Texas decided that only documents that  
10:32:45 14 were filed and accepted -- accepted documents by the clerk  
10:32:48 15 would be accessible via Research Texas.

10:32:51 16 THE COURT: All right.

10:32:53 17 MS. MERIDETH: And just to add more clarification --

10:32:55 18 THE COURT: No. Don't add. I wasn't sure that was a  
10:32:57 19 clarification; it was a statement. So let me then ask this.  
10:33:05 20 This is why I wanted to walk through all of this, because  
10:33:09 21 lawyers are really good at filing a lot of papers and not  
10:33:12 22 really good about giving the kind of trail I like, and we've  
10:33:16 23 been spending an hour getting this trail right now and we're  
10:33:19 24 not through yet.

10:33:19 25 Is there anywhere a state court document database

10:33:31 1 such as is described in Section 72.031(b)(2) of the Texas  
10:33:40 2 government code, whether that state court document database is  
10:33:46 3 maintained by the Office of Court Administration or anywhere  
10:33:50 4 else? That's a yes-or-no question. Is there a state court  
10:33:55 5 document database?

10:33:56 6 MS. MERIDETH: Yes.

10:33:57 7 MR. DOW: Yes.

10:33:58 8 THE COURT: And where is that?

10:34:00 9 MS. MERIDETH: So it's called Research Texas.

10:34:05 10 THE COURT: Research Texas?

10:34:06 11 MS. MERIDETH: Yes.

10:34:07 12 THE COURT: All right.

10:34:07 13 MS. MEREDITH: And it's essentially -- it's an  
10:34:10 14 additional database for users to access filings so that they  
10:34:19 15 don't --

10:34:19 16 THE COURT: Who maintains it?

10:34:21 17 MS. MERIDETH: OCA.

10:34:22 18 THE COURT: Okay.

10:34:25 19 MS. MERIDETH: And if I could give more  
10:34:26 20 clarification, JCIT recommended Research Texas, and that  
10:34:33 21 JCIT --

10:34:34 22 THE COURT: Now, what is JCIT?

10:34:37 23 MS. MERIDETH: So JCIT is the Judicial Committee on  
10:34:41 24 Information Technology, and it's a committee of the Supreme  
10:34:42 25 Court of Texas.

10:34:43 1 THE COURT: All right. Just a minute. Initialisms  
10:34:50 2 come easy to you. They don't come easy to me because I hear  
10:34:53 3 them in all different contexts.

10:34:54 4 So it's the Judicial Committee --

10:34:57 5 MS. MERIDETH: -- on Information on Technology.

10:34:59 6 THE COURT: And that is a committee established by  
10:35:03 7 the Supreme Court; is that right?

10:35:06 8 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. And the code section is Texas  
10:35:09 9 Government Code Section 77.031.

10:35:13 10 THE COURT: All right.

10:35:26 11 MS. MEREDITH: And Section 77.031 delineates the  
10:35:32 12 powers and duties of JCIT. And if we go to subsection (5),  
10:35:42 13 they are tasked with developing minimum standards for an  
10:35:47 14 electronically-based document system to provide for the flow of  
10:35:49 15 information within the judicial system in electronic form and  
10:35:52 16 recommend rules relating to the electronic filing of documents  
10:35:56 17 with courts.

10:35:58 18 So JCIT offers recommendations regarding the filing  
10:36:01 19 system, regarding Research Texas, and then the Supreme Court  
10:36:05 20 then essentially ratifies those recommendations and then orders  
10:36:11 21 OCA to act on those recommendations.

10:36:14 22 And so while, yes, OCA can enter into contracts  
10:36:20 23 regarding the electronic filing manager, regarding the Texas  
10:36:22 24 e-file system and Research Texas, it's stemming from JCIT's  
10:36:26 25 recommendations and then ordered by the Supreme Court of Texas.

10:36:29 1 THE COURT: So go back to an hour ago. So is the  
10:36:34 2 path: The Supreme Court, pursuant to Chapter 77, established  
10:36:49 3 the Joint Committee on Information Technology? Is that the  
10:36:57 4 first step?

10:36:58 5 MS. MERIDETH: Yes.

10:36:58 6 THE COURT: And then the Joint Committee on  
10:37:00 7 Information Technology interacted how with Office of Court  
10:37:06 8 Administration?

10:37:07 9 MS. MERIDETH: So JCIT makes recommendations.

10:37:15 10 THE COURT: And they recommended to OCA what an  
10:37:17 11 electronic filing system should look like?

10:37:20 12 MS. MERIDETH: Yes.

10:37:24 13 THE COURT: Okay. And then OCA contracted with --  
10:37:46 14 Mr. Dow, what's the name of that company? Tyler?

10:37:48 15 MR. DOW: Tyler Technologies.

10:37:51 16 THE COURT: All right. Do you agree that that was  
10:37:55 17 the path?

10:37:56 18 MR. DOW: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Could ...

10:38:01 19 THE COURT: All right.

10:38:02 20 MR. DOW: I ...

10:38:04 21 THE COURT: The legislature establishes the Joint  
10:38:07 22 Committee on Information Technology and says it operates under  
10:38:13 23 the direction and supervision of the Chief Justice of the  
10:38:16 24 Supreme Court.

10:38:18 25 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.

10:38:19 1 THE COURT: All right. That committee gets formed.

10:38:23 2 MR. DOW: Yes.

10:38:23 3 THE COURT: Okay. So that committee that is

10:38:26 4 operating under the direction and supervision of the Chief

10:38:29 5 Justice of the Supreme Court, it then makes recommendations to

10:38:33 6 the Office of Court Administration on an electronic filing

10:38:37 7 system.

10:38:38 8 MR. DOW: Correct.

10:38:39 9 THE COURT: The Office of Court Administration then

10:38:43 10 contracts with Tyler Technology for that system.

10:38:53 11 MR. DOW: For the e-filing system.

10:38:54 12 THE COURT: Yeah. We're in agreement there?

10:38:57 13 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.

10:38:58 14 THE COURT: All right. Then I'm jumping now back to

10:39:03 15 where we were, Section 72.031 of the Texas Government Code,

10:39:10 16 (b), "The office as authorized by Supreme Court rule or order

10:39:16 17 may allow public access to view information or documents in the

10:39:24 18 state court document database."

10:39:25 19 So there is a state court document database, as

10:39:32 20 defined in 72.031(a)(5), that was established or authorized by

10:39:41 21 the Supreme Court for storing documents filed with the court of

10:39:46 22 this state, and that is called Research Texas.

10:39:53 23 MS. MERIDETH: Yes.

10:39:55 24 MR. DOW: Yes, but may I add something?

10:39:59 25 THE COURT: Yes.

10:40:00 1 MR. DOW: Post-acceptance. So what Research Texas,  
10:40:08 2 that database, that is -- that is holding petitions that have  
10:40:20 3 been filed and administratively processed by the district  
10:40:26 4 clerks.

10:40:26 5 THE COURT: I was going to get to that. So that  
10:40:30 6 question was going to be, so once a petition hits EFM, it is  
10:40:46 7 not automatically placed in the Research Texas database.

10:40:50 8 MR. DOW: Correct, Your Honor.

10:40:52 9 MS. MERIDETH: So, Your Honor, there's a step missing  
10:40:54 10 there. The document goes from the EFM to the case management  
10:40:58 11 system, and then the Supreme Court has decided that the -- that  
10:41:02 12 the document doesn't skip the step, that the document flows  
10:41:05 13 through the case management system of the clerk. And then upon  
10:41:08 14 acceptance of the clerk --

10:41:11 15 THE COURT: Yeah.

10:41:12 16 MS. MERIDETH: -- is reproduced in Research Texas.

10:41:14 17 THE COURT: But that's the step. What I'm saying,  
10:41:17 18 there has to be clerk action to get it into Research Texas.

10:41:21 19 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. And that was the Supreme Court's  
10:41:24 20 and JCIT's decision.

10:41:26 21 THE COURT: All right. Well, let's make sure. All  
10:41:29 22 right. Document goes into -- I keep going over this because,  
10:41:40 23 if I got you back in here tomorrow, no offense, I would hear  
10:41:43 24 something different that I didn't hear today. So I'm just  
10:41:45 25 trying to cover it all today.

10:41:46 1 Documents sits in EFM. Clerk comes in on Monday  
10:41:50 2 morning, going back to my example, logs into EFM, finds out  
10:41:55 3 there's a new petition sitting there. The clerk then takes an  
10:42:01 4 affirmative act to put it in their case management system? Or  
10:42:07 5 is it automatically in the case management system, or is it  
10:42:11 6 just sitting there waiting for the clerk to take an affirmative  
10:42:16 7 act?

10:42:16 8 MR. DOW: The district clerk has to accept it into  
10:42:19 9 that district clerk's --

10:42:20 10 THE COURT: So once the clerk accepts it to the  
10:42:28 11 clerks CMFS, the clerk hasn't done anything with it yet. The  
10:42:32 12 clerk hasn't reviewed it or anything. The clerk just hits a  
10:42:36 13 key stroke and puts it in the clerk's CMFS; is that right?

10:42:40 14 MS. MERIDETH: So the clerk review -- if the clerk  
10:42:43 15 wants to take action to review a document, that happens in the  
10:42:46 16 electronic filing manager. And then the clerk -- once the  
10:42:51 17 clerk accepts the document, it's then transferred into the case  
10:42:54 18 management system.

10:42:55 19 THE COURT: Okay. So the clerk, once it gets into  
10:42:59 20 CMS, then it automatically goes to Research Texas?

10:43:03 21 MS. MERIDETH: That's correct. And the -- the county  
10:43:06 22 also makes documents available via the case management system.  
10:43:10 23 So, essentially, Research Texas is an additional overlay that  
10:43:15 24 provides additional access to the public.

10:43:17 25 THE COURT: No. But it is the state court document

10:43:21 1 database that Chapter 72 anticipates.

10:43:26 2 MS. MERIDETH: Yes.

10:43:26 3 THE COURT: Even though you might also be able to go,  
10:43:30 4 depending on how each individual county does its business and  
10:43:33 5 files public records in the individual counties. Is that what  
10:43:37 6 you're saying?

10:43:38 7 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. And Research Texas also -- it  
10:43:40 8 doesn't make every single document available. It makes  
10:43:45 9 nonconfidential documents available.

10:43:47 10 THE COURT: Well, I don't think we have a debate over  
10:43:49 11 documents that are sealed or confidential at this point, and  
10:43:53 12 I'm not going to get bogged down in that. I'm sure if it's a  
10:43:57 13 problem, I'll get a second lawsuit about it and we'll be able  
10:44:00 14 to handle that.

10:44:05 15 But it is not on the state court document database  
10:44:11 16 until it goes into the clerks CMS. Am I correct?

10:44:16 17 MR. DOW: Correct.

10:44:17 18 MS. MERIDETH: That's correct.

10:44:18 19 MR. DOW: And accepted.

10:44:20 20 THE COURT: No. Wait a minute. You added a step.

10:44:22 21 MR. DOW: I did.

10:44:23 22 THE COURT: "And accepted." I'm the clerk. I'm  
10:44:26 23 sitting there. I see I've got something in my manager. I hit  
10:44:33 24 a button and put it in my CMS. Now, are you saying that it  
10:44:39 25 doesn't automatically go? Because I thought I understood

10:44:49 1 Ms. Merideth to say it automatically went to Research Texas.

10:44:52 2 MR. DOW: The district clerk has to accept it first.

10:44:57 3 THE COURT: Well, is that a step different than

10:44:59 4 putting it in CMS?

10:45:01 5 MR. DOW: Yes. Yes, sir.

10:45:02 6 MS. MEREDITH: No.

10:45:02 7 THE COURT: Does that come before or after you put it

10:45:05 8 in CMS?

10:45:06 9 MR. DOW: It happens at the same time. Right. So

10:45:12 10 it's the --

10:45:12 11 THE COURT: Is there one click by the clerk that

10:45:14 12 accepts it and puts it in CMS?

10:45:17 13 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.

10:45:18 14 THE COURT: All right. And even if the clerk later

10:45:20 15 has second thoughts, it's already in there and it's already

10:45:22 16 gone to Research Texas.

10:45:24 17 MR. DOW: Correct.

10:45:25 18 THE COURT: Okay. All right.

10:45:28 19 MR. DOW: But Your Honor?

10:45:37 20 THE COURT: Yeah?

10:45:37 21 MR. DOW: You asked the question just a minute ago

10:45:43 22 about, so is this 72.031(b)(2), is the -- I think what I heard

10:45:54 23 was: So is the Research Texas what was contemplated as far as

10:46:00 24 the state court.

10:46:02 25 THE COURT: No. I don't ask if it was contemplated.

10:46:08 1 That says, "The office is authorized" -- "the office" being  
10:46:11 2 OCA -- "by Supreme Court rule or order may ..." Is the OCA  
10:46:19 3 authorized by Supreme Court rule or order to allow public  
10:46:27 4 access to view information or documents in the state court  
10:46:33 5 document database, which is a database established and  
10:46:39 6 authorized by the Supreme Court for storing documents prepared  
10:46:44 7 or filed in a court.

10:46:46 8 What I -- what I'm still trying to do is this is "See  
10:46:49 9 Spot Run." You know, has the Supreme Court authorized a state  
10:46:57 10 court document database or established such a database as  
10:47:04 11 described in 72.031(5)? Yes or no? Either one of you.

10:47:16 12 MR. DOW: They authorized Research Texas do that.

10:47:18 13 THE COURT: My question was, yes or no: Have they  
10:47:22 14 established or authorized a state court document database, as  
10:47:31 15 described in 72.031(a)(5)? Yes or no? Did they do that?

10:47:35 16 MR. DOW: Yes.

10:47:40 17 THE COURT: Do you agree with that?

10:47:41 18 MS. MERIDETH: Yes.

10:47:41 19 THE COURT: All right. So they've done that. And  
10:47:43 20 are we in agreement that that state court document database is  
10:47:47 21 Research Texas?

10:47:51 22 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.

10:47:51 23 MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor.

10:47:52 24 THE COURT: All right. So when I then read (b)(2),  
10:47:59 25 "Allow public access to view information or documents in

10:48:02 1 Research Texas." I'm substituting "Research Texas" for the  
10:48:06 2 "state court document database." Does the public have access  
10:48:12 3 to view information or documents that are in Research Texas?

10:48:19 4 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.

10:48:19 5 THE COURT: Now, I know we're going to talk in a  
10:48:22 6 minute about how they get there because -- and the delay. I'm  
10:48:27 7 just trying to walk through this. So then we get over here to  
10:48:30 8 (3), and that really is not the basis of this lawsuit, as I  
10:48:36 9 understand it, that the OCA can charge a fee -- a reasonable  
10:48:44 10 fee for additional optional features in the state court  
10:48:48 11 document database? We're not even arguing about that?

10:48:48 12 MR. DOW: No, Your Honor.

10:48:49 13 THE COURT: All right. So we've got it, and it's to  
10:48:51 14 Research Texas. But it doesn't get to Research Texas until a  
10:49:00 15 specific district clerk pushes a button which accepts the  
10:49:08 16 document and places it in the district clerk's CMS.

10:49:16 17 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.

10:49:16 18 THE COURT: Then it goes directly to Research Texas.

10:49:20 19 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.

10:49:23 20 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. That's correct.

10:49:24 21 THE COURT: All right. Now, your beef is, Mr. Dow?

10:49:31 22 MR. DOW: My beef is going back to 72.031(b)(2),  
10:49:39 23 allow public access. What -- what sits in that Research  
10:49:45 24 Texas --

10:49:46 25 THE COURT: Your argument is a temporal argument.

10:49:49 1 MR. DOW: We want preprocessing access.

10:49:53 2 THE COURT: You don't want the clerk to sit on it  
10:49:55 3 until the clerk gets through with it and accepts it before you  
10:49:59 4 get to see it?

10:50:01 5 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.

10:50:03 6 THE COURT: And the State's position is?

10:50:06 7 MS. MERIDETH: This State's position is that it's the  
10:50:08 8 district clerks that get to decide how they want to process the  
10:50:13 9 document, if they want to process the document. Additionally,  
10:50:18 10 JCIT has already considered, essentially, a statewide mandate  
10:50:21 11 of the press review tool back in October of 2020 and they  
10:50:26 12 recommended against essentially requiring the tool for every  
10:50:29 13 county. And then the -- and then the Supreme Court didn't take  
10:50:32 14 any action on the recommendation. So OCA's understanding is  
10:50:37 15 that the Supreme Court has essentially made the decision not to  
10:50:42 16 implement the press review tool statewide. And JCIT nor the  
10:50:48 17 Supreme Court have taken any other action.

10:50:50 18 And I will add, though, that the auto-accept tool was  
10:50:53 19 included in the latest contract back for the e-file two system,  
10:51:02 20 and that was recommended by JCIT.

10:51:07 21 THE COURT: So what do I order OCA to do or not do to  
10:51:18 22 grant you the relief you seek, Mr. Dow?

10:51:21 23 MR. DOW: Cease the practice of not utilizing either  
10:51:30 24 the auto-accept tool or the press review tool preprocessing so  
10:51:38 25 that the public and the press can view newly filed petitions

10:51:48 1 before each district clerk performs their clerical  
10:51:54 2 administrative processing.

10:52:00 3 THE COURT: Well, said another way, I order the OCA  
10:52:04 4 to tell every district clerk in Texas that they must have  
10:52:08 5 either auto-accept or press review.

10:52:12 6 MR. DOW: No, Your Honor.

10:52:12 7 THE COURT: Then tell me what's the difference  
10:52:14 8 between the way you phrased it and the way I phrased it.

10:52:17 9 MR. DOW: It goes back to the EFM. We know that the  
10:52:24 10 OCA is the one that contracts and amends the contracts with  
10:52:31 11 Tyler Technologies. And that --

10:52:34 12 THE COURT: All right. Let me stop you right there.  
10:52:35 13 But every one of the 254 district clerks in the state of Texas  
10:52:41 14 has the option of auto-accept or press review as it stands  
10:52:45 15 right now?

10:52:47 16 MR. DOW: Correct.

10:52:48 17 THE COURT: OCA is not preventing any district clerk  
10:52:54 18 from having auto-accept and/or press review?

10:52:59 19 MR. DOW: Correct, Your Honor.

10:53:01 20 THE COURT: Now keep going with why it's not two  
10:53:05 21 sides of the same coin.

10:53:06 22 MR. DOW: Well, they're -- we've settled with the  
10:53:10 23 Travis County District Clerk, but there are 253 other district  
10:53:15 24 clerks.

10:53:15 25 THE COURT: So it's a lot of trouble, and that's why

10:53:18 1 I said earlier about the Attorney General's usual position on  
10:53:21 2 this. And I recognize that.

10:53:23 3 MR. DOW: And --

10:53:24 4 THE COURT: But I'm not sure that a lot of trouble is  
10:53:29 5 something that I'm able to grant relief for.

10:53:33 6 MR. DOW: I would -- if I could just -- yes. You're  
10:53:37 7 right that it's a lot of trouble. But I don't think that  
10:53:44 8 there's any question that the OCA has the right and the  
10:53:55 9 authority to simply implement the press review tool or the  
10:53:59 10 auto-accept tool while petitions are in the EFM. There's  
10:54:07 11 nothing preventing that, and it doesn't change anything.

10:54:13 12 THE COURT: So you're -- I am getting down to your  
10:54:16 13 argument. And I've learned over the years that it's better for  
10:54:19 14 me to take three hours right now and understand what the  
10:54:22 15 arguments are then trying to do something.

10:54:24 16 So you're saying that you believe that the OCA right  
10:54:30 17 now under their contract with Tyler Technology, once a document  
10:54:46 18 anywhere in the state of Texas filed with the district clerk  
10:54:51 19 hits EFM, then OCA can just on its own make that available? It  
10:54:59 20 could happen at OCA?

10:55:01 21 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.

10:55:07 22 THE COURT: Ms. Merideth?

10:55:08 23 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. And OCA's position is that,  
10:55:11 24 essentially, CNS is asking this court to mandate OCA to amend  
10:55:18 25 its contract to either require the press review tool or to

10:55:23 1 require auto-accept.

10:55:24 2 THE COURT: No. Back up right there. How would --  
10:55:28 3 according to Mr. Dow, there wouldn't have to be an amended  
10:55:32 4 contract; that the power is there within the contract between  
10:55:35 5 EFM and Tyler Technology to where EFM -- I mean, OCA could just  
10:55:49 6 allow anybody to access EFM through OCA and get access to these  
10:55:55 7 documents?

10:55:58 8 MR. DOW: They would -- OCA could allow anyone to  
10:56:02 9 access the newly filed petitions in the EFM through either the  
10:56:08 10 auto-accept tool. That would be anyone.

10:56:11 11 THE COURT: To authorize it in the EFM as is  
10:56:15 12 administered by OCA and not authorize it through anything a  
10:56:21 13 district clerk had anywhere in the country?

10:56:22 14 MR. DOW: Yes.

10:56:23 15 THE COURT: You could sit down, I could sit down,  
10:56:25 16 members of the press could sit down whenever they wanted to,  
10:56:30 17 access a website at the Office of Court Administration, pull up  
10:56:36 18 EFM, and find out everything that had been filed in the courts  
10:56:41 19 of the state of Texas, whether it had been accepted by the  
10:56:44 20 district clerk or not?

10:56:45 21 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.

10:56:46 22 THE COURT: Do you believe that's possible? I'm not  
10:56:49 23 asking you to agree to it. I'm not asking you whether you  
10:56:52 24 think it's advisable. I'm not asking whether you think it's  
10:56:56 25 legal. Does that technology exist?

10:56:58 1 MS. MERIDETH: Does the -- can the press review tool  
10:57:02 2 be linked to the EFM? Is that what Mr. Dow is asking?

10:57:07 3 THE COURT: No. That's what I'm asking.

10:57:09 4 MS. MERIDETH: Okay. Yes. That is possible. And  
10:57:11 5 we've never contended that it's not technically possible.

10:57:13 6 THE COURT: All right. But is OCA of the opinion  
10:57:20 7 that they couldn't do that without direction of the Supreme  
10:57:23 8 Court or that they're barred right now from doing that because  
10:57:28 9 of the interaction of 77 and 72? Why would they not be able to  
10:57:37 10 do that right now if they saw fit to do it?

10:57:40 11 MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor. Well, there's two  
10:57:42 12 reasons. Number one, because the clerks are the custodian of  
10:57:50 13 the record, and it's OCA's position that it's ultimately up to  
10:57:53 14 the clerk to determine how they want to accept the document.  
10:57:56 15 If they want to accept the document -- sorry. If they want to  
10:57:59 16 make the document available via the press review tool before  
10:58:02 17 acceptance, if they want to auto-accept, or if they want to  
10:58:07 18 conduct whatever process they -- they need to do before they  
10:58:12 19 accept the document, clerks are elected officials and that's  
10:58:15 20 how the Texas Constitution has laid out the clerks' structure.  
10:58:20 21 There is no governing body over the clerks. There is no  
10:58:23 22 disciplinary body over the clerks.

10:58:25 23 The Office of Court Administration can't even force  
10:58:29 24 counties to use the EFM. Recently there was a county that  
10:58:33 25 wasn't using the EFM, and all OCA could do was ask the county

10:58:37 1 to use the EFM.

10:58:39 2 So, essentially, OCA really -- OCA has no teeth to  
10:58:44 3 force those counties to use the tool.

10:58:47 4 THE COURT: No, no. But I think -- there's a little  
10:58:53 5 difference in what you're saying. There is a difference in  
10:58:58 6 saying that district clerks have total control over themselves  
10:59:07 7 and their filings. There's a difference between that and  
10:59:10 8 saying now that we have this statewide electronic system,  
10:59:18 9 before the district clerk accepts it, if some other entity read  
10:59:24 10 OCA has it, that entity can make it available to the public.

10:59:31 11 MS. MERIDETH: And our position is that OCA cannot  
10:59:33 12 make those documents available.

10:59:34 13 THE COURT: And why can OCA not? Because of the  
10:59:39 14 Supreme Court's rulings? Because they don't want to? Why can  
10:59:43 15 OCA not do this?

10:59:45 16 MS. MERIDETH: There's two reasons: Because the  
10:59:47 17 clerk is the custodian of the document. It's their duty to  
10:59:49 18 accept the document to make the record of the court. And so  
10:59:53 19 OCA's position is that it's the clerk's decision.

10:59:56 20 THE COURT: Well, but --

10:59:58 21 MS. MERIDETH: That it fringes upon the duty --

11:00:00 22 THE COURT: -- if OCA has that, why can't they do  
11:00:05 23 with it whatever they want to? Is it purely a positional  
11:00:09 24 thing, or is there law out there or rule out there that says  
11:00:11 25 OCA can't do that?

11:00:17 1 MS. MERIDETH: Okay. And let me back up. So can  
11:00:20 2 members of the public log directly into the EFM? So the answer  
11:00:24 3 to that is no. The members of the public can't.

11:00:26 4 THE COURT: All right. Well, that just cost me  
11:00:29 5 30 minutes.

11:00:30 6 MS. MEREDITH: I apologize.

11:00:30 7 THE COURT: I'll never get that back.

11:00:32 8 MS. MEREDITH: I've been corrected.

11:00:34 9 THE COURT: Yes.

11:00:35 10 MS. MEREDITH: And our position is that -- and I can  
11:00:37 11 pull up the statutes that I have cited in my briefing. But  
11:00:43 12 Texas law provides duties to the clerk that OCA cannot infringe  
11:00:48 13 upon. And then, secondly, the Supreme Court of Texas has  
11:00:52 14 already followed JCIT's recommendation against the press review  
11:00:58 15 tool statewide.

11:00:59 16 So OCA's position is that the Supreme Court has  
11:01:02 17 already decided that the -- that the press review tool should  
11:01:06 18 not be implemented statewide.

11:01:20 19 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Dow, now back to my  
11:01:21 20 question of is this an OCA problem or is this a Supreme Court  
11:01:25 21 problem.

11:01:27 22 MR. DOW: It's an OCA problem because -- you were  
11:01:32 23 going to ask a question?

11:01:33 24 THE COURT: Well, I was going to ask you: If the  
11:01:35 25 Supreme Court is telling OCA what to do, how can this court go

11:01:39 1 against the Supreme Court?

11:01:40 2 MR. DOW: I don't think that's correct. I don't  
11:01:43 3 think the Texas Supreme Court is telling the OCA how to manage  
11:01:51 4 and negotiate the contracts and the amendments.

11:01:55 5 THE COURT: No. But we're through the contract now.  
11:01:57 6 There is a contract, you know. And I still am having a problem  
11:02:11 7 with why what you're asking me to do isn't to amend that  
11:02:14 8 contract or order that that contract be construed in a certain  
11:02:18 9 way.

11:02:20 10 MR. DOW: I'm not asking you to amend the contract.  
11:02:26 11 I'm not. The answer -- my answer to your question, Your Honor,  
11:02:33 12 is: It is the OCA that manages/oversees the EFM. And  
11:02:43 13 that's -- and they -- and the OCA has already negotiated with  
11:02:51 14 Tyler Technologies for two different tools that would allow  
11:03:00 15 members of the public and press to view newly filed petitions  
11:03:08 16 preprocessed in the EFM. And that, I think, if I -- and if we  
11:03:15 17 go back to 72.031(b)(2) --

11:03:28 18 THE COURT: Yeah.

11:03:29 19 MR. DOW: -- "Allow public access to view information  
11:03:32 20 or documents in the state court document database," that has to  
11:03:40 21 satisfy the First Amendment.

11:03:42 22 THE COURT: Well, but -- but, if I understand  
11:03:47 23 Ms. Merideth, her position is it doesn't get to the state court  
11:03:51 24 document database without action by the particular district  
11:03:56 25 clerk.

11:03:57 1 MS. MERIDETH: That's correct, Your Honor. And that  
11:03:59 2 was a decision made by JCIT and the Supreme Court of Texas.

11:04:04 3 THE COURT: So do I order that, once it's on EFM,  
11:04:13 4 that it goes immediately into the state court document  
11:04:17 5 database? Is that what you're asking?

11:04:19 6 MR. DOW: No, Your Honor. I'm asking for an order  
11:04:26 7 that requires the OCA to implement the auto-accept tool.

11:04:37 8 THE COURT: Where do I have the power to order the  
11:04:42 9 OCA to implement the auto-accept tool? And if I do, where then  
11:04:51 10 does the OCA have the power to instruct the district clerks to  
11:04:56 11 do it?

11:04:57 12 MR. DOW: Well, the OCA has already -- the OCA  
11:05:03 13 doesn't have to -- we're not changing the duties of the  
11:05:06 14 district clerks and we're not asking you to have OCA say  
11:05:10 15 anything to the district clerks. All we're asking for is  
11:05:15 16 that ...

11:05:18 17 THE COURT: No. Go ahead.

11:05:20 18 MR. DOW: ... is that the practice and how they do  
11:05:24 19 things, that it allows -- that they start allowing the public  
11:05:31 20 and the press to use either the auto-accept tool or the press  
11:05:38 21 review.

11:05:39 22 THE COURT: But how can they tell the public or the  
11:05:45 23 press that they can use auto-accept or press review? Because I  
11:05:51 24 thought that's part of what district clerks have the power to  
11:05:56 25 do or not do.

11:05:59 1 MR. DOW: That's the way the OCA has contracted with  
11:06:04 2 Tyler, is to push -- push those two different tools off to the  
11:06:11 3 district clerks. But that -- but that is -- that's  
11:06:18 4 postprocessing. That's postacceptance. It doesn't address --

11:06:25 5 THE COURT: No. It's not post -- it may be post, but  
11:06:29 6 here's the deal. This is why we've got to break this out and  
11:06:33 7 not generalize on it.

11:06:36 8 Right now there is a contract between Tyler  
11:06:44 9 Technology and the OCA, which came about in that chain that we  
11:06:50 10 previously discussed of the Supreme Court and the committee and  
11:06:55 11 everything like that. All right. Forget about the previous  
11:06:59 12 iterations of the contract. The contract right now allows an  
11:07:06 13 auto-accept function or a press review function.

11:07:10 14 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.

11:07:11 15 THE COURT: But the only entities that can  
11:07:16 16 immediately -- that can sign up for that are the district  
11:07:20 17 clerks. Am I right?

11:07:22 18 MR. DOW: As currently written, yes, Your Honor.

11:07:26 19 THE COURT: All right. So what you want me to do is  
11:07:32 20 then say that once a document hits the ECM, which is where it  
11:07:45 21 goes when your legal assistant first files it, and that is in a  
11:07:51 22 database maintained by the OCA.

11:07:54 23 MR. DOW: Correct.

11:07:55 24 THE COURT: The OCA will have its -- will allow  
11:08:03 25 individuals to access that database, not the state court

11:08:12 1 document database.

11:08:14 2 MR. DOW: Correct.

11:08:18 3 THE COURT: And, Ms. Merideth, you say that the OC --  
11:08:36 4 Office of Court Administration can't do that.

11:08:38 5 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. You're right. The Office of  
11:08:43 6 Court Administration cannot do that.

11:08:45 7 THE COURT: And why can't the Office of Court  
11:08:48 8 Administration do this?

11:08:49 9 MS. MERIDETH: Because that would basically usurp the  
11:08:51 10 duties of the clerk. It would essentially force every single  
11:08:54 11 clerk to either use the press review tool or use auto-accept.

11:08:59 12 THE COURT: That is a general argument, and it may  
11:09:05 13 mean that it would put the OCA at odds with, potentially,  
11:09:16 14 Chapter 77.

11:09:18 15 MS. MERIDETH: Essentially, the Director would be  
11:09:20 16 acting *ultra vires*.

11:09:22 17 THE COURT: Well, what -- what you're saying is --  
11:09:28 18 and I'm looking for why it's illegal. I'm looking for why you  
11:09:32 19 can't do it, other than that district clerks are a powerful  
11:09:36 20 political force in Texas and there are 254 of them and you  
11:09:41 21 might not want to do that. A governor might not want to have  
11:09:44 22 that happen. An attorney general might not want to have that  
11:09:47 23 happen. Various state representatives and senators might not  
11:09:50 24 want to have that happen. But once the OCA has legally  
11:09:59 25 established a database that has information on it, why can't

11:10:02 1 the OCA allow access to that database?

11:10:05 2 And I think that's really what your argument is.

11:10:07 3 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.

11:10:08 4 THE COURT: Is to allow the public to access the  
11:10:12 5 database because the filed -- or the submitted but unaccepted  
11:10:20 6 petition is on that database.

11:10:23 7 MR. DOW: Could I?

11:10:24 8 THE COURT: Yes.

11:10:25 9 MR. DOW: Deemed filed. They are filed.

11:10:29 10 THE COURT: For purposes of what have you, yeah.

11:10:32 11 Well, are they filed or are they deemed filed, because  
11:10:35 12 definition of "deemed" is something that really didn't happen,  
11:10:38 13 but we're going to make it up and say it happened. That's  
11:10:41 14 deeming. Are they filed or are they not filed?

11:10:42 15 MR. DOW: Filed.

11:10:43 16 THE COURT: So they're filed but not accepted?

11:10:45 17 MR. DOW: Correct.

11:11:10 18 THE COURT: All right. Back to you, Ms. Merideth.  
11:11:12 19 You've had a conference now. You've gotten advice from your  
11:11:14 20 lawyers.

11:11:15 21 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. So there's two points. Number  
11:11:17 22 one, it's not technically possible for users. And I apologize;  
11:11:22 23 I have to correct myself. It's not possible for users to  
11:11:25 24 access those documents via the EFM. And the second point is  
11:11:31 25 that, essentially, OCA would become the clerk if OCA starts --

11:11:37 1 if it was even technically possible, OCA would essentially be  
11:11:41 2 functioning as the clerk.

11:11:43 3 THE COURT: Okay. Why is it not technically  
11:11:44 4 possible?

11:11:45 5 MS. MERIDETH: Because my understanding is that the  
11:11:48 6 EFM would have to be connected to the case -- to the --  
11:11:53 7 pardon -- the --

11:11:55 8 First of all, I think I have to ask what -- what tool  
11:12:00 9 is CNS seeking? Because my understanding is that the public  
11:12:06 10 can't just access the EFM. There would have to be a tool that  
11:12:11 11 attaches to the case management system of the clerk.

11:12:16 12 THE COURT: Well, you-all are going to have to tell  
11:12:18 13 me that. I get to ask the questions. You don't get to ask the  
11:12:22 14 questions. You know, I spent a large amount of the morning  
11:12:25 15 finding, well, this could happen, and now I'm hearing it can't  
11:12:29 16 happen. So that may be grounds for an evidentiary hearing,  
11:12:36 17 because you've now said it's not technically possible.

11:12:40 18 And let's forget about the public. I'll worry about  
11:12:43 19 them later. Let's talk about Courthouse News Service, which is  
11:12:47 20 a part of the public. Why, technically, could Courthouse News  
11:12:52 21 Service not have access through the Office of Court  
11:12:57 22 Administration to filed, but not accepted, documents on the  
11:13:05 23 system?

11:13:07 24 MS. MERIDETH: If I could just have a brief  
11:13:09 25 conference.

11:13:09 1 THE COURT: The question was really to you. Why is  
11:13:12 2 that not technically possible, as distinguished from  
11:13:16 3 contractually possible or advisable or any number of other  
11:13:21 4 words we could use. Why, if I ordered that right now and  
11:13:25 5 everybody said "that's a good idea," why could it not happen?

11:13:29 6 MS. MERIDETH: Could I have brief moment, Your Honor?

11:13:31 7 THE COURT: You may.

11:13:32 8 MS. MERIDETH: Just to make sure.

11:13:39 9 Your Honor, if we need to get there, we can provide a  
11:13:42 10 witness to provide that information. But we're getting into  
11:13:45 11 the ...

11:13:45 12 THE COURT: Does anybody just know? They could tell  
11:13:48 13 me in a shorthand version without a witness. Is it -- is it --  
11:13:54 14 you know, there a lot of smart computer people out there, and I  
11:13:58 15 bet of bunch of them work for Courthouse News Service.

11:14:00 16 MS. MERIDETH: Unfortunately, it's too technical at  
11:14:04 17 this point, and so we ...

11:14:05 18 THE COURT: For even a district judge to understand?

11:14:07 19 MS. MERIDETH: I think for me to understand, for me  
11:14:10 20 to be able to speak the tech. I think we'd have to contact the  
11:14:18 21 software vendor to make sure we get clarification and provide  
11:14:22 22 accurate information to the Court.

11:14:26 23 MR. HILTON: And, Your Honor, we do have a witness on  
11:14:28 24 our witness list who can answer that question specifically  
11:14:30 25 about why -- you know, the technical issues. It's just going

11:14:33 1 to get too far into the weeds for us mere lawyers.

11:14:40 2 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Dow?

11:14:41 3 MR. DOW: Your Honor, do you mind if I point you to a  
11:14:49 4 prospective plaintiff's exhibit?

11:14:50 5 THE COURT: Well, why don't you just tell me about  
11:14:50 6 it?

11:14:50 7 MR. DOW: They have technical ability to do this  
11:14:52 8 through the -- and it's as simple as they have to make it  
11:15:02 9 available to the press, the press review tool, and that CNS  
11:15:06 10 would have to create a log-in.

11:15:09 11 THE COURT: What would Office of Court Administration  
11:15:12 12 have to do?

11:15:15 13 MR. DOW: Create a queue for registered users -- for  
11:15:20 14 users to register using the press review tool to log in and  
11:15:27 15 access the petitions.

11:15:29 16 THE COURT: All right. And the Supreme Court has  
11:15:33 17 said they're not going to adopt press review statewide; is that  
11:15:37 18 correct?

11:15:37 19 MR. DOW: No.

11:15:39 20 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Let me hear Ms. Merideth.

11:15:42 21 MS. MERIDETH: That's correct. JCIT recommended  
11:15:45 22 against that.

11:15:45 23 THE COURT: And so did the Supreme Court then not act  
11:15:48 24 on it, or did they accept what the committee said?

11:15:52 25 MS. MERIDETH: Yeah. OCA's understanding is --

11:15:54 1 THE COURT: No. Don't tell me what anybody's  
11:15:56 2 understanding is. Tell me what you as the lawyer standing in  
11:15:58 3 front of me. The committee recommended against statewide  
11:16:02 4 application of the press review function, and what did the  
11:16:07 5 Supreme Court do?

11:16:07 6 MS. MERIDETH: And the Supreme Court didn't take  
11:16:11 7 action to recommend --

11:16:13 8 THE COURT: All right.

11:16:14 9 MS. MERIDETH: -- or order the press review tool.

11:16:15 10 THE COURT: All right. And it's the Attorney  
11:16:18 11 General's position the Supreme Court has to order statewide  
11:16:24 12 application of the press review tool or it doesn't apply to all  
11:16:29 13 254 county clerks?

11:16:31 14 MS. MERIDETH: Yes.

11:16:32 15 THE COURT: All right. Now you.

11:16:34 16 MR. DOW: I don't think that's what 72.031  
11:16:38 17 contemplates.

11:16:39 18 THE COURT: No. But is that what happened?

11:16:41 19 MR. DOW: No.

11:16:43 20 THE COURT: What happened?

11:16:44 21 MR. DOW: They -- the Supreme Court didn't -- didn't  
11:16:48 22 act on it.

11:16:49 23 THE COURT: That's just what Ms. Merideth said.

11:16:51 24 MR. DOW: Okay. I'm sorry.

11:16:52 25 THE COURT: That the committee recommended it and the

11:16:54 1 Supreme Court took no action on it.

11:16:56 2 MR. DOW: Correct, Your Honor.

11:16:57 3 THE COURT: All right.

11:16:58 4 MR. DOW: Sorry about that.

11:16:59 5 THE COURT: It's all right. No. If you haven't been  
11:17:03 6 reading appellate cases lately, you don't know how this country  
11:17:07 7 and the appellate courts are consumed with textualism right  
11:17:13 8 now. So when I write anything, I have got to make sure that I  
11:17:17 9 have paid attention to the text of what was done, whether it's  
11:17:21 10 by statute or whether it's by rule or whether it's by other  
11:17:25 11 actions. So, you know, we're going to take this down to the  
11:17:29 12 smallest granular leveling in determining it.

11:17:33 13 MR. DOW: Then I need to make a correction.

11:17:35 14 THE COURT: All right.

11:17:36 15 MR. DOW: The J --

11:17:36 16 THE COURT: You weren't going to make it until I told  
11:17:39 17 you that?

11:17:39 18 MR. DOW: I was going to make it anyway.

11:17:42 19 THE COURT: Okay.

11:17:42 20 MR. DOW: But now I'm definitely going to make it.

11:17:45 21 THE COURT: Okay.

11:17:45 22 MR. DOW: The J --

11:17:46 23 THE COURT: Yeah.

11:17:46 24 MR. DOW: That committee, they recommended not  
11:17:49 25 utilizing the press review tool statewide.

11:17:53 1 THE COURT: Okay.

11:17:53 2 MR. DOW: And the Texas Supreme Court didn't say yea  
11:17:56 3 or nay --

11:17:57 4 THE COURT: All right.

11:17:57 5 MR. DOW: -- to that.

11:18:06 6 THE COURT: So are we at the point -- just a minute.

11:18:32 7 So are we at the point, because I'm sliding back into  
11:18:36 8 what I need to hear testimony on and where I am on the State's  
11:18:45 9 motion to dismiss. Are we at the point where, except for the  
11:18:57 10 technical situation, could the OCA order the relief sought by  
11:19:10 11 the plaintiff?

11:19:11 12 MS. MERIDETH: No, Your Honor.

11:19:12 13 THE COURT: And why could -- presume we have solved  
11:19:17 14 the technical problem. Why could OCA not order that?

11:19:21 15 MS. MERIDETH: Because, number one, the Supreme Court  
11:19:24 16 of Texas has recommended against it. Number two, because this  
11:19:29 17 relief would assume the duties of the clerk. And I can point  
11:19:33 18 out the direct statutes: Texas Government Code 51.303(a), the  
11:19:41 19 clerk --

11:19:42 20 THE COURT: What was the -- what was the section?

11:19:45 21 MS. MERIDETH: 51.

11:19:46 22 THE COURT: Are you saying five?

11:19:48 23 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. Chapter 51.

11:19:50 24 THE COURT: All right. 303(a), "The clerk of a  
11:20:00 25 district court has custody of, and shall carefully maintain and

11:20:03 1 arrange, the records relating to or lawfully deposited in the  
11:20:08 2 clerk's office."

11:20:10 3 Now, why does that conflict with giving the public  
11:20:16 4 access to a database that contains material in it? What I'm  
11:20:23 5 saying is, it may be that this whole system wasn't carefully  
11:20:28 6 enough thought through with regard to all the statutes that may  
11:20:31 7 interact or conflict with one another. And that is not  
11:20:34 8 uncommon. But I don't see why that -- I don't see what  
11:20:44 9 protects the records in the EFM database that is maintained by  
11:20:57 10 the Office of Court Administration.

11:21:00 11 MS. MERIDETH: Well, our position is that that  
11:21:02 12 they're not OCA's documents; they're essentially the clerk's  
11:21:05 13 documents. And so EFM would essentially be allowing the public  
11:21:09 14 to look at these documents without authorization of the clerks.  
11:21:13 15 And we could -- we have -- we likely will have testimony that  
11:21:16 16 confirms that as well.

11:21:23 17 THE COURT: Well, this is the Attorney General game  
11:21:25 18 again. I'm happy to hear that testimony, but you-all always  
11:21:29 19 jump out with a motion to dismiss because you don't want me to  
11:21:32 20 hear that testimony. You want me to rule on the basis of  
11:21:36 21 pleadings.

11:21:41 22 Now, my question is: As a citizen, I don't like the  
11:21:45 23 optics of the Attorney General not wanting to have a fully  
11:21:50 24 developed record on an issue of public importance that then has  
11:21:56 25 the courts rule on it on the basis of that -- a district court

11:22:03 1 rule on it on the basis of fully developed record and then  
11:22:06 2 appeal it to the Court of Appeals and, if necessary, to the  
11:22:10 3 Supreme Court, and get it worked out.

11:22:13 4 I've never understood the philosophy of not wanting a  
11:22:18 5 complete record, which is -- which I hear all the time from the  
11:22:24 6 Attorney General.

11:22:24 7 MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, we're prepared today to  
11:22:34 8 provide a full record.

11:22:35 9 THE COURT: Mr. Dow?

11:22:36 10 MR. DOW: I just -- I wanted to go back, if I may, on  
11:22:40 11 the is it technologically doable, providing the press review  
11:22:50 12 tool.

11:22:50 13 THE COURT: I can tell you I'm not going to rule on  
11:22:52 14 that until I take evidence on it.

11:22:53 15 MR. DOW: All right. Then I am not going to get into  
11:22:56 16 the -- okay.

11:22:56 17 THE COURT: Because, you know, even the people that  
11:23:01 18 say it's impossible say I need to hear technical evidence on  
11:23:05 19 that.

11:23:05 20 MR. DOW: I'll stand down on that.

11:23:07 21 THE COURT: I'm not able to hear you argue and say,  
11:23:09 22 okay, I can do this with just hearing argument on it. If one  
11:23:13 23 side or the other thinks it requires testimony, then it  
11:23:17 24 requires testimony.

11:23:20 25 MR. DOW: Yes.

11:23:20 1 THE COURT: All right.

11:23:23 2 MR. DOW: Could I offer one thing?

11:23:26 3 THE COURT: Yes.

11:23:26 4 MR. DOW: The -- the argument that the newly filed  
11:23:32 5 petitions, I think what I heard was they -- they're owned or  
11:23:39 6 they belong to district clerks. It's the public that should  
11:23:45 7 have access to those newly filed petitions. And that's --  
11:23:51 8 that's why we're here. It's -- and obviously for the press.  
11:24:00 9 You probably didn't need that clarification from me.

11:24:02 10 THE COURT: Well, let me write something down here,  
11:24:05 11 and then I'm going to ask you about that. Let's suppose that I  
11:24:18 12 accept that. All right. What that argument basically is --  
11:24:31 13 basically, is your argument they are not the clerk's records?  
11:24:35 14 Or is your argument they are the clerk's records, but the clerk  
11:24:41 15 cannot deny public access to them?

11:24:44 16 MR. DOW: I -- yes. And including the OCA can't deny  
11:24:50 17 public access.

11:24:51 18 THE COURT: Well, there's a difference. There's a  
11:24:53 19 difference in the way we approach that to try to reach release  
11:24:57 20 as to whether they're the clerk's records and the clerk has  
11:25:02 21 control over the records even though they are in the possession  
11:25:07 22 of OCA. Or whether once they go on the EFM database, they are  
11:25:21 23 also, perhaps, OCA records and OCA is answerable. That point  
11:25:31 24 reaches right to the gravamen of the complaint and whether I  
11:25:35 25 can grant relief.

11:25:37 1 You know, my biggest problem with this whole case is,  
11:25:41 2 no matter what I think is a good idea, is how can I grant  
11:25:44 3 relief? And that's what I want to hear from both of you on at  
11:25:48 4 the appropriate time. Because I'm not as convinced as you are  
11:25:52 5 that I can grant relief against OCA. I'm not convinced that I  
11:25:56 6 can't. But I think it's far from an obvious choice. And I  
11:25:59 7 think part of the issue comes down to, now that we've raised  
11:26:10 8 it, whose records are they?

11:26:11 9 If they're the clerk's records, then we're kind of  
11:26:15 10 back to what I started with. I don't think there's any one  
11:26:19 11 person in the state of Texas that's capable of being sued over  
11:26:25 12 all those records. And I come back to the precedent that I've  
11:26:34 13 seen with the Fifth Circuit on how elections are conducted.

11:26:37 14 You may be in the position that you have to deal with  
11:26:40 15 each individual district clerk. That's the trouble argument.  
11:26:45 16 If the records are in the possession of the Office of Court  
11:26:52 17 Administration, then that's a different consideration, if they  
11:27:01 18 have control over them or any part of them, as to what kind of  
11:27:05 19 remedy that can be fashioned.

11:27:08 20 MR. DOW: And I would say, Your Honor, that the --  
11:27:13 21 the newly filed petitions belong to the public. Granted, the  
11:27:21 22 district clerk --

11:27:22 23 THE COURT: No, no. Let's -- they belong to the  
11:27:24 24 public whether they're in the hands of the district clerk and  
11:27:29 25 under the district clerk's control or whether there's equal

11:27:35 1 control because they go to this other database or whether  
11:27:38 2 they're out of the control of the district clerk now because  
11:27:43 3 they also are maintained by someone else.

11:27:46 4 But we can say they're the public's records, but  
11:27:52 5 they're not the public's records until it's ruled that the  
11:27:56 6 public has access to them. And that's what comes down to a  
11:28:00 7 standing argument and who is the right person to sue to gain  
11:28:05 8 access to those records.

11:28:06 9 They are public records. At the moment your legal  
11:28:11 10 assistant pushes the button, that's a public record, in my  
11:28:15 11 opinion. But that's not what we're arguing about here. We're  
11:28:19 12 arguing about whether the district clerk or the OCA can shield  
11:28:24 13 those records from the public or whether they must be made  
11:28:30 14 available to the public.

11:28:31 15 MR. DOW: So my answer to your question is OCA and  
11:28:36 16 the district clerk have equal access. I should have said that  
11:28:42 17 from the beginning.

11:28:43 18 THE COURT: Yeah. I know that. But then the  
11:28:45 19 question is, you know, can I order the OCA to do something or  
11:28:53 20 can I not?

11:28:54 21 MR. DOW: You can.

11:28:56 22 THE COURT: I know you say that.

11:28:57 23 MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, if I may? I'd like to  
11:28:59 24 point out that Mr. Dow mentioned -- he did not mention custody,  
11:29:05 25 he mentioned access. And so I think there's a -- there's a big

11:29:09 1 difference between access and custody. And if you look at  
11:29:13 2 section -- it's Chapter 51.303 states in -- in Subsection (a)  
11:29:19 3 that "The clerk of a district court has custody and shall  
11:29:22 4 certify, maintain, and arrange the records relating to --  
11:29:27 5 THE COURT: Yeah.  
11:29:27 6 MS. MERIDETH: -- or lawfully deposited ..."  
11:29:29 7 But, Your Honor, if we look back at the civil  
11:29:32 8 procedures section -- Chapter -- or Rule 21 that Mr. Dow has  
11:29:36 9 been citing to, I mean, these -- these documents are in the  
11:29:40 10 custody of the clerk essentially as soon as they are entering  
11:29:44 11 the EFM because the clerk is the custodian of records. And  
11:29:47 12 it's up to the clerk to maintain the security and safety of the  
11:29:50 13 document.  
11:29:52 14 THE COURT: Except they're not. Somebody at OCA can  
11:29:57 15 sit down and look at them.  
11:30:00 16 MS. MERIDETH: And, Your Honor, that's access, not  
11:30:02 17 custody.  
11:30:06 18 THE COURT: Well, if somebody at OCA sits down and  
11:30:10 19 looks at them, it's not breaking and entering. I mean, it's  
11:30:15 20 out there for people to look at.  
11:30:17 21 MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, OCA cannot access the  
11:30:20 22 documents in EFM. That's my understanding. Even OCA can't  
11:30:24 23 peer behind the veil and look at those documents.  
11:30:27 24 THE COURT: All right. See? And now we're 3 1/2 --  
11:30:31 25 or 2 1/2 hours into finding out things, which is why I wanted

11:30:35 1 to do this. You know, you think I could have learned all this  
11:30:38 2 from looking at all of the reams of paperwork that you filed?  
11:30:42 3 That's the problem when you're the judge.

11:30:43 4 So what we're now being told is -- I'm now being told  
11:30:49 5 is OCA maintains EFM, and nobody at OCA can access anything  
11:31:06 6 that's being maintained on EFM?

11:31:11 7 MS. MERIDETH: That's correct.

11:31:21 8 THE COURT: So OCA can only access the document once  
11:31:30 9 it is moved to the individual clerk's case management system  
11:31:38 10 and it pops up on Research Texas?

11:31:41 11 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. That's correct. OCA can't  
11:31:44 12 access the document in the case management system. They  
11:31:47 13 have -- but once the document is accepted into the case  
11:31:50 14 management system, it is instantly uploaded to Research Texas.  
11:31:55 15 So at that point OCA can access the document.

11:32:23 16 THE COURT: Mr. Dow?

11:32:24 17 MR. DOW: I don't know if they can or can't, but  
11:32:29 18 access -- they, being OCA, access the newly filed petitions  
11:32:35 19 while they sit in the EFM. But I do know that they can have  
11:32:42 20 Tyler Technologies configure it so they can.

11:32:48 21 THE COURT: Sure. And so that's what I'm saying what  
11:32:51 22 you're asking me to do is to order them to do something  
11:32:57 23 regarding their contract --

11:32:58 24 MR. DOW: No, Your Honor.

11:32:59 25 THE COURT: -- with Tyler Technology.

11:33:00 1 Well, how is it anything -- you know, if I accept  
11:33:05 2 everything you say is correct and I render an order like you  
11:33:11 3 say I ought to render, exactly how is that going to be worded?  
11:33:17 4 What is the wording of your order? Suppose we've tried this  
11:33:21 5 for a month or suppose suddenly the State says, oh, it was a  
11:33:25 6 bad idea for us to contest this, but we want some legal  
11:33:28 7 guidance on what we're supposed to do. What's the order going  
11:33:32 8 to say?

11:33:33 9 MR. DOW: Cease the practicing -- stop the practice  
11:33:37 10 of not --

11:33:40 11 THE COURT: What's the practice? Define the practice  
11:33:43 12 to me.

11:33:43 13 MR. DOW: Not allowing -- well, delayed access. But  
11:33:50 14 specifically not allowing the press review tool to be utilized  
11:34:00 15 while petitions sit in EFM.

11:34:03 16 THE COURT: All right. And the State tells me  
11:34:07 17 there's a technical reason why that can't be done.

11:34:12 18 MS. MERIDETH: Yes.

11:34:14 19 THE COURT: All right. Then here's what we're going  
11:34:21 20 to do. We're going to recess until one o'clock. And because  
11:34:25 21 the State has indicated that they will put together -- the full  
11:34:30 22 record will be fine. I want a full record on this. And I  
11:34:34 23 think where we start is, because we've spent a good amount of  
11:34:39 24 time on this this morning, I want to start with all I want to  
11:34:43 25 know is the technical way this whole thing works from the

11:34:52 1 witnesses that you're prepared to call, their point of view on  
11:34:55 2 it, and how we get there.

11:34:56 3           Because I come back to where I'm far from convinced  
11:34:59 4 that I have the power with the party that's before me to do  
11:35:03 5 what is requested by the plaintiff, whether I think it should  
11:35:07 6 be done or not. And so what I want to do is to try to work  
11:35:12 7 through these technical items so we know exactly what could or  
11:35:19 8 could not be done. And then I want to hear the legal arguments  
11:35:22 9 on why it's district clerks' records, why even if it could  
11:35:26 10 happen, it can't happen or shouldn't happen.

11:35:32 11           MS. MERIDETH: Yes, Your Honor.

11:35:32 12           THE COURT: Is that good enough?

11:35:33 13           MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.

11:35:34 14           THE COURT: All right. That's where I want to start  
11:35:35 15 at one o'clock.

11:35:36 16           MR. DOW: Thank you.

11:35:37 17           THE COURT: All right. Court will be in recess until  
11:35:40 18 one o'clock.

11:35:40 19           (Recess)

13:04:37 20           (Open court)

13:04:37 21           THE COURT: Good to see you again this afternoon.

13:04:42 22           Since we had our discussion this morning and I kind  
13:04:45 23 of indicated -- I did indicate how I would like to proceed on  
13:04:49 24 this initially, it is the court's position that both sides can  
13:04:55 25 use any of the evidence that's presented, whether they call the

13:04:58 1 witnesses or not or whether who cross-examines them or not.

13:05:03 2 I am interested as I said, among other things, in the  
13:05:07 3 technical aspects of how the whole program works. So what  
13:05:12 4 would be the easiest thing, Mr. Dow, for you to call witnesses  
13:05:16 5 first, which would be the normal thing in a bench trial, but we  
13:05:21 6 also have the motion to dismiss that I'm taking up. So,  
13:05:28 7 Ms. Merideth, I'm open to both of you on how is the best way to  
13:05:33 8 proceed, but nobody operates to their disadvantage depending on  
13:05:38 9 who calls the witnesses or where the witnesses are.

13:05:40 10 MR. DOW: Your Honor, if we're going to focus on the  
13:05:43 11 technology now, I'm fine with the Director going first with the  
13:05:49 12 evidence.

13:05:51 13 THE COURT: Ms. Merideth, how do you feel with that?

13:05:54 14 MR. HILTON: Your Honor, Chris Hilton on behalf of  
13:05:57 15 the state. I just want to make sure I understand. Of course  
13:06:01 16 we're happy to provide testimony if that's what the Court would  
13:06:04 17 like. But is that for a purpose of the bench trial or for  
13:06:07 18 purpose of deciding the motion to dismiss? I just want to be  
13:06:10 19 clear procedurally where we are.

13:06:12 20 THE COURT: Well, we're taking them both up at the  
13:06:15 21 same time.

13:06:15 22 MR. HILTON: Okay.

13:06:16 23 THE COURT: And you haven't waived anything in your  
13:06:18 24 motion to dismiss. I do think that what the OCA is capable of  
13:06:27 25 doing with EFM is important. I think I need a record on it. I

13:06:39 1 think it could impact on your motion to dismiss because you may  
13:06:41 2 be entitled to a motion to dismiss on the law. And, if you  
13:06:45 3 are, that's fine. If not, I'm going to convert it to a motion  
13:06:50 4 for summary judgment, and we'll take up, you know, what we have  
13:06:53 5 here.

13:06:53 6 But in order for me to rule that the OCA can't --  
13:07:03 7 that if I rule in favor of the plaintiff, I can't grant them  
13:07:06 8 the relief they seek because it's against the OCA, I think it's  
13:07:11 9 important for me and I think it will be important for the  
13:07:14 10 Circuit to know whether or not it was possible for OCA to  
13:07:22 11 provide EFM -- here we go with all of these initialisms -- if I  
13:07:32 12 ordered them to do it as opposed to just the other legal  
13:07:39 13 matters. So I think that makes the motion to dismiss just on  
13:07:43 14 the pleadings kind of iffy, because I don't see how I can do it  
13:07:51 15 just on the pleadings.

13:07:52 16 So what I would propose doing is making whatever  
13:07:53 17 record we can make, and then I'll make a decision on that  
13:07:55 18 motion. And we'll call it, whether it's to dismiss or whether  
13:07:58 19 you got summary judgment granted. But your legal arguments are  
13:08:03 20 still alive on that.

13:08:06 21 MR. HILTON: That makes complete sense, Your Honor.  
13:08:08 22 I appreciate the explanation and carrying the motion to dismiss  
13:08:11 23 with the trial. That makes sense.

13:08:13 24 If I may, though, if you'll give me just a minute to  
13:08:15 25 address you. Your comments this morning about where the case

13:08:19 1 is and the level of preparation and the shape that it's in, you  
13:08:24 2 know, all these questions you've outlined are extremely  
13:08:27 3 important, and you deserve a full record of that and so does  
13:08:30 4 the Circuit. Unfortunately, what we have here is a situation  
13:08:34 5 where we've had a constantly shifting target throughout the  
13:08:37 6 case.

13:08:37 7 This case was filed in 2020. We weren't added as a  
13:08:40 8 defendant until March of this year. And the -- the live  
13:08:43 9 pleading before Your Honor today was filed less than three  
13:08:46 10 weeks ago, after the close of discovery. So all of these  
13:08:50 11 questions that are coming after our discussion this morning,  
13:08:53 12 those are things that neither side has had an opportunity to  
13:08:56 13 take discovery on. Indeed, we didn't even know that was  
13:08:59 14 necessary until after the close of discovery in the scheduling  
13:09:01 15 order.

13:09:02 16 So, you know, we are mindful of how busy the Court is  
13:09:05 17 and certainly don't want to take up or waste the time you've  
13:09:08 18 set aside for us. That being said, you know, this case has  
13:09:12 19 changed dramatically since we were brought in and agreed to the  
13:09:16 20 schedule that we're here on today. And, you know, I think that  
13:09:22 21 the Court is not being served by the preparation --

13:09:24 22 THE COURT: Don't be hesitant. If you think it would  
13:09:28 23 be helpful to come back another day and do this, let me know.

13:09:32 24 MR. HILTON: That's what I was building up for. I  
13:09:34 25 want to suggest that perhaps a continuance here, go through

13:09:37 1 some discovery, litigate this in the normal course. You know,  
13:09:40 2 when we initially set up this schedule, that was under a very  
13:09:44 3 different complaint. We had another party. We didn't  
13:09:47 4 understand the position and the role that we played in the  
13:09:49 5 plaintiff's claims. And the Court just seems to be poorly  
13:09:53 6 served by trying to proceed today and try to cobble this  
13:09:55 7 together at the last minute.

13:09:57 8 THE COURT: Well, let me tell you the only way I  
13:09:59 9 could be more poorly served, is if I let you out of my sight  
13:10:04 10 for a while and you file a whole bunch more things.

13:10:09 11 MR. HILTON: I have been in your court before,  
13:10:11 12 Your Honor. You know what we would do. That being said --  
13:10:14 13 and, again, if you want to hear testimony, we're prepared to  
13:10:17 14 present our witnesses.

13:10:18 15 THE COURT: Well, I'm trying to figure out the most  
13:10:21 16 expeditious way to handle this, but I have to fit it into the  
13:10:25 17 docket, too. Believe me, if I'm not dealing with you-all this  
13:10:29 18 afternoon, I have something else I can do. I don't -- I don't  
13:10:32 19 have anything that doesn't have something lined up behind it in  
13:10:37 20 the way we do things.

13:10:38 21 What I want to do is -- what I really want to make  
13:10:42 22 sure we do, as we spent all that time this morning, which was  
13:10:47 23 very helpful to me, walking through what has happened and where  
13:10:52 24 we are. Because, honestly, I thought I'd spent some time with  
13:10:56 25 the record, but apparently not enough, because I didn't glean

13:10:59 1 very much of what we got through this morning from the record.  
13:11:02 2 And I think it's important. And I think there's maybe a lot  
13:11:08 3 more moving parts to this than initially either side thought  
13:11:15 4 there was.

13:11:16 5 And, as I've said several times today, a whole lot of  
13:11:22 6 it is involved with, under the Texas system of administrative  
13:11:28 7 law and any number of different topics, **who can you sue?** And  
13:11:33 8 that is not an easy thing to point out. As I've told the  
13:11:39 9 plaintiffs, I'm not willing to say that OCA is the wrong party,  
13:11:45 10 but I'm concerned about it. I don't think it's nearly as  
13:11:48 11 obvious as you do.

13:11:50 12 I think -- and I'm not wedded to this, but **I think**  
**13:11:55 13 the Supreme Court may be the ultimate decision-maker** in this  
13:11:59 14 case. And I'm not suggesting that you run out and sue the  
13:12:03 15 Supreme Court. I'm just saying these are questions that the  
13:12:07 16 court has that the court thinks are difficult.

13:12:10 17 Mr. Dow, how do you feel about this?

13:12:15 18 MR. DOW: Your Honor, we'll do -- we'll proceed  
13:12:18 19 however you want to proceed. It is true that we did file a  
13:12:24 20 motion for leave to amend our petition on July 1st, I think it  
13:12:33 21 was, and the motion for leave was granted, so our second  
13:12:35 22 amended complaint, asking for statewide relief I think it's  
13:12:40 23 been on file since July 10, I believe.

13:12:43 24 But I don't think a bunch of discovery is necessary.  
13:12:48 25 The -- the Director hasn't served us with anything.

13:12:52 1 THE COURT: No. But, you know, as I said this  
13:12:55 2 morning, but I'll just back up on that, I'm glad the Director  
13:13:01 3 hasn't served you with anything else because I've got more  
13:13:04 4 things in at the end of last week and over the weekend than I  
13:13:08 5 wanted to get in in this case. And, it would have only --  
13:13:13 6 I don't know. Let me ask both of you while I have  
13:13:17 7 you, what additional discovery would need to be get done?  
13:13:23 8 Because I continue to go back, and I'll go back to what I said  
13:13:25 9 earlier, it is beyond me why there would need to be any facts  
13:13:32 10 in this case that either couldn't be stipulated to as  
13:13:39 11 stipulated facts or facts that would say, if Janice Smith was  
13:13:46 12 testifying in this case, this is what she would say -- which is  
13:13:50 13 little bit different than agreed facts, because the other party  
13:13:54 14 is not agreeing that those facts are true, but that's what she  
13:13:57 15 would say -- why we can't get this resolved down to that.

13:14:03 16 Because I think we all know what happened here. We  
13:14:09 17 went through the complete sequence. I believe the defendants  
13:14:12 18 are going to be able to show that -- well, we pretty much  
13:14:16 19 agreed this morning that the counties are all over the map. I  
13:14:19 20 think the contract is the contract. I presume you know we've  
13:14:23 21 got that. The amendments are the amendments. I've got the law  
13:14:28 22 on what OCA's empowered to do, what the committee is empowered  
13:14:34 23 to do. I know what the Supreme Court can do. All of those  
13:14:37 24 things interact as to who's got responsibility here.

13:14:41 25 And I think the bottom line for what we're doing here

13:14:46 1 is seeing whether OCA can continue to be sued or whether OCA  
13:14:52 2 cannot continue to be sued. And if OCA can continue to be  
13:15:04 3 sued, then we determine whether or not I can grant relief or  
13:15:10 4 what relief I should grant.

13:15:11 5 If I find that OCA cannot continue to be sued, I want  
13:15:17 6 to be able to do that on the basis of impossibility. That was  
13:15:23 7 the technical argument, that even if I think everything the  
13:15:27 8 plaintiff says is correct, they can't respond on the question  
13:15:33 9 of unable to respond, that's when I will consider the legal  
13:15:37 10 questions that you-all raise with regard to what the OCA is  
13:15:44 11 empowered to do, with regard to what responsibilities the  
13:15:48 12 district clerks have over records in their possession,  
13:15:52 13 et cetera, all the things we talked about this morning.

13:15:54 14 That may ultimately resolve the case or it may not.  
13:16:02 15 But I'm having a hard time figuring out what discovery would  
13:16:04 16 need to be done to get us to this point.

13:16:08 17 Mr. Hilton, I'm going to refer to you first since you  
13:16:12 18 threw the discovery cat out on in the courtroom.

13:16:16 19 MR. HILTON: I said "discovery" and I said  
13:16:18 20 "continuance." I said two bad words.

13:16:20 21 THE COURT: Yeah, well, continuance is not a bad  
13:16:22 22 word. As I said, there is no such thing as judicial economy in  
13:16:26 23 my court. There's just scheduling. I mean, I'm going to fill  
13:16:31 24 all of my days. You know, it's not going to get easier. But I  
13:16:34 25 would prefer not to go deeply into this case and then find out

13:16:40 1 it just didn't make sense to get started with it and we need to  
13:16:44 2 come back anyway.

13:16:45 3           If we're going to come back, I'd rather find a day or  
13:16:47 4 how much time you think it's going to take and put you in it  
13:16:50 5 and get it done instead of piecemeal. So what kind of  
13:16:53 6 discovery do you think is out there?

13:16:56 7           MR. HILTON: There are three main areas where I think  
13:16:58 8 discovery could be beneficial to the Court, as the Court  
13:17:00 9 understands the case. And I think you have a handle on many of  
13:17:02 10 the major issues that could decide the case.

13:17:06 11           The first is the full extent and the details of all  
13:17:10 12 these technical issues that we've begun to discuss today, but  
13:17:14 13 that we really could not have had an appreciation they were  
13:17:18 14 going to be so important really until we got here today, not  
13:17:21 15 understanding what was going to be important to the Court and  
13:17:23 16 not understanding how -- you know, fully appreciating how  
13:17:27 17 Plaintiff's claims were going to change. So the discovery on  
13:17:29 18 that I think would be very beneficial. And we can give  
13:17:32 19 preliminary answers today, but I think any answer we would give  
13:17:35 20 you would necessarily require confirmation and discussion with  
13:17:38 21 others.

13:17:39 22           The second area I think would be into what exactly  
13:17:41 23 the clerk -- it is that the clerks are doing. That is  
13:17:45 24 obviously something that's important to the Court. We had a  
13:17:48 25 clerk in this case, and we thought this case when we're added

13:17:51 1 to it was about what's going on in one county and with one  
13:17:54 2 clerk. That clerk has been dismissed, and we've subpoenaed her  
13:17:59 3 to testify today. We can ask her some questions, if needed.  
13:18:01 4 But now we're talking about 253 other counties, some of which  
13:18:04 5 provide access timely, some of which don't.

13:18:07 6 And to understand all that is going to be crucial to  
13:18:10 7 these issues of authority and what's --

13:18:11 8 THE COURT: Yeah. But why can't you all just agree?

13:18:17 9 MR. HILTON: And I appreciate you asking that  
13:18:18 10 question because that's an important question, and we may be  
13:18:20 11 able to. We may get into this discovery and realize, well, we  
13:18:23 12 agree on the basic facts. But sitting right here right know,  
13:18:26 13 we don't know. They don't know and we don't know.

13:18:28 14 THE COURT: I know. But let me tell you, when I  
13:18:31 15 suggest that you agree on it, that it is sufficient probably  
13:18:34 16 for this record -- I can't speak for an appellate court who may  
13:18:38 17 review it later. But it's sufficient for me to have a general  
13:18:42 18 overview of what's going on in Texas with examples that you  
13:18:47 19 might be able to agree on.

13:18:49 20 You know, I presume maybe large counties are handling  
13:18:52 21 it differently from small counties and vice versa. I don't  
13:18:56 22 think I need 253-county rundown. It's not like when the  
13:19:01 23 Supreme Court decides to do a 50-state review to see whether  
13:19:05 24 they're in line with everything else.

13:19:07 25 I think what we're going to find out, unless I'm

13:19:12 1 wrong, is some counties are utilizing one of the two systems  
13:19:22 2 that is acceptable to the plaintiffs. Others are not doing  
13:19:28 3 either.

13:19:28 4 MR. HILTON: I'm sure that that's right. And I think  
13:19:30 5 some of those counties that aren't doing either are still  
13:19:33 6 nonetheless providing timely access to filings.

13:19:36 7 THE COURT: Yeah. And this would be helpful to know.  
13:19:38 8 But I'm trying -- what I'm trying to tell you is you-all put  
13:19:44 9 your heads together on this and be reasonable, you don't have  
13:19:47 10 to pride yourself in knowing you've now visited 252 counties,  
13:19:52 11 and you've probably also both been in Travis and Harris  
13:19:56 12 Counties, the two that have settled. So you now can click off  
13:19:59 13 of your bucket list I was in physically at all 254 counties in  
13:20:02 14 Texas because you just took depositions in 252 of them.

13:20:07 15 MR. HILTON: Well, your point is well taken, but that  
13:20:09 16 takes me to the third area where I think discovery might be  
13:20:12 17 beneficial. And that's with regard to data. And this also  
13:20:15 18 gets into the motion to strike that we we're forced to file  
13:20:18 19 late on Friday. We were requested to produce data on a  
13:20:22 20 statewide basis after the close of discovery. And in the  
13:20:27 21 course of compiling that data, we realized that it would take  
13:20:29 22 months and great expense to understand the statewide data.

13:20:32 23 So in lieu of conducting 254 depositions, let's just  
13:20:36 24 get the data and bring that to the Court, and you can get that  
13:20:39 25 statewide picture. Well, it's not that simple. And we

13:20:42 1 discovered that in trying to respond to Plaintiff's discovery  
13:20:43 2 request, and Judge Lane denied a motion to compel additional  
13:20:46 3 data on Friday.

13:20:47 4           Instead, what they've done is they've come up with  
13:20:49 5 their own data, and we have no idea what it is, where it came  
13:20:52 6 from, who collected it, or how.

13:20:56 7           THE COURT: All right. Mr. Dow, let me hear from  
13:20:59 8 you.

13:21:00 9           MR. DOW: Your Honor, as far as the technical side,  
13:21:05 10 if I could, the OCA has already admitted that, technically  
13:21:12 11 speaking, the answer to the question of whether the press  
13:21:19 12 review tool could be toggled as soon as the documents hit the  
13:21:25 13 EFM, could that happen, and the OCA in its 30(b)(6) deposition  
13:21:33 14 answered, technologically speaking, yes. And that's in our  
13:21:40 15 designations, page 32 and 33. So I think the technical piece  
13:21:47 16 is -- I don't think any more discovery is needed as to that.

13:21:52 17           THE COURT: Well --

13:21:53 18           MR. HILTON: May I respond, Your Honor?

13:21:54 19           THE COURT: Just a minute. Yeah. But see, that  
13:21:57 20 changed this morning when I was hearing argument or hearing the  
13:22:02 21 factual basis. Now, it may frustrate you, it may annoy you.  
13:22:10 22 But a remember one time in front of Judge Myers, a very fine  
13:22:19 23 state district judge here, when I was arguing a matter to him  
13:22:23 24 and the other side was taking a different position. And his  
13:22:31 25 comment was, "Mr. Yeakel, you may actually beat them to death

13:22:36 1 on the merits, but there is an issue here."

13:22:38 2 So you may have a judicial admission here already. I  
13:22:41 3 don't know. But we're not taking that up now.

13:22:44 4 MR. DOW: All right.

13:22:44 5 THE COURT: And I'm not going to tell you how to  
13:22:47 6 practice law, but I'm telling you, from my point of view, I'm  
13:22:49 7 trying to get my hands around this. Because guess what? Once  
13:22:52 8 I write an order and an opinion, it's going to be for one of  
13:22:57 9 you or for the other one of you, and I don't get to tell the  
13:23:02 10 Circuit what it means. They have only my writing and what you  
13:23:11 11 tell them I did, the winner.

13:23:13 12 And so I rely greatly on the winner once you go to  
13:23:16 13 the Circuit. And I want to make sure that before I establish a  
13:23:21 14 winner in this case, I've had all the information in front of  
13:23:23 15 me I get to have. I recognize reversal is an occupational  
13:23:29 16 hazard, but I'm not going to go out of my way to try to put it  
13:23:33 17 in the record.

13:23:33 18 MR. DOW: So as to the second basis that the Director  
13:23:41 19 says a continuance would be helpful, what are the clerks doing?  
13:23:43 20 That doesn't matter.

13:23:45 21 THE COURT: No. This isn't the second basis. This  
13:23:47 22 is --

13:23:48 23 MR. DOW: What they would want discovery on.

13:23:50 24 THE COURT: -- the one basis. We're just talking  
13:23:50 25 about discovery right now.

13:23:52 1 MR. DOW: That's correct. So the second point they  
13:23:54 2 would like discovery on is what are the clerks doing? And  
13:23:57 3 that's irrelevant to delayed access, because this goes back to  
13:24:07 4 submitting or filing the newly filed petition, my legal  
13:24:11 5 assistant. It sits in the EFM. And under *Press Enterprise II*,  
13:24:22 6 is there -- are there restrictions on access? And we know that  
13:24:29 7 there are because of the administrative processing. So I don't  
13:24:33 8 think we need to take any district clerks' depositions.  
13:24:38 9 they've subpoenaed Velva Price.

13:24:40 10 THE COURT: I understand. I don't think you need to  
13:24:42 11 do that either, except I have a really hard time with you-all,  
13:24:50 12 which is why I sent you-all to Judge Lane, because I'm busy  
13:24:53 13 getting you-all to agree to my satisfaction to a record that I  
13:24:58 14 can look at and see succinctly in front of me what is going on  
13:25:04 15 here.

13:25:04 16 It's -- you know, I've got -- I'm sitting here  
13:25:09 17 looking at four binders of varying sizes in front of me right  
13:25:13 18 now. If I had my way, I would do away -- you've heard me say  
13:25:21 19 this before -- will all dispositive motions, period. I would  
13:25:23 20 pass a law to that. And you'd either get your case settled or  
13:25:26 21 you'd come in here and just try it and I could look through all  
13:25:31 22 the evidence.

13:25:32 23 If we want to talk about inefficiency, it's when the  
13:25:33 24 court has to go through all kinds of motions and, if the case  
13:25:36 25 is still alive, then try the case. It would be more efficient

13:25:39 1 and cost-effective for everybody just to walk in here and try  
13:25:42 2 the case. It would also provide a record which gets over my  
13:25:47 3 optics problem to the public with why we would want to keep  
13:25:51 4 anything out of the record.

13:25:52 5 But we're beyond that. I'm not going to do this. I  
13:25:59 6 think the State raises very important issues. I think the  
13:26:01 7 plaintiff raises very important issues. And I'm not going to  
13:26:04 8 do it on something that's truncated. I want to have the full  
13:26:09 9 picture in front of me so I can set it out, because I really  
13:26:15 10 think this is a deal where you, regardless of those Supreme  
13:26:21 11 Court cases, where under Texas law we've got to look at what  
13:26:24 12 policy the Supreme Court sets, what the Supreme Court does  
13:26:28 13 through its committee, and what the role of the OCA is and what  
13:26:32 14 the role of the district clerks are. And the role and -- and  
13:26:36 15 whether or not, you know, those records that the district clerk  
13:26:44 16 has become public records the moment your legal assistant hits  
13:26:50 17 the "send" button.

13:26:51 18 And, if so, whether or not the law that we talked  
13:26:55 19 about this morning that states the district clerk's duties and  
13:27:01 20 responsibilities gives the district clerk any dominion over  
13:27:11 21 those records and what happens with them, as the State seemed  
13:27:16 22 to infer to me, or whether or not those laws are instructive to  
13:27:22 23 the district clerk that you've got to keep the records and you  
13:27:26 24 can't dispose of them and they have to be available at all  
13:27:29 25 times.

13:27:29 1 And I don't get to go plug my court reporter's record  
13:27:37 2 into a computer and it produces an opinion for me, so these are  
13:27:42 3 things that I want to know before I write an opinion. I think  
13:27:46 4 it's important.

13:27:47 5 And I think the problem we have here, really, is the  
13:27:52 6 problem of the electronic world. Society always is ahead of  
13:28:00 7 the law. The law is always slow to catch up with society. And  
13:28:05 8 I can argue to you that that's good because we need one of the  
13:28:08 9 three branches of government that doesn't immediately react to  
13:28:12 10 the flavor of the day, that there's a little lag on it, a  
13:28:17 11 little not quite as urgent as what the legislative branch or  
13:28:22 12 the executive branch feels. And it used to be society would  
13:28:26 13 advance and then the law would advance. Now we're in the  
13:28:29 14 electronic world, and society advances over here and the law  
13:28:33 15 advances to right here. And then society advances again.

13:28:38 16 I think the issues that we talked about this morning  
13:28:46 17 were not ever contemplated when we went to an electronic filing  
13:28:50 18 system in the state. I think everybody is going to  
13:28:53 19 electronics. The federal courts were ahead of state courts on  
13:28:56 20 it. The State of Texas determined to do this, and they did it.  
13:29:01 21 I think the State did it and the Supreme Court established  
13:29:04 22 policy. And working through its committee and the OCA, did it  
13:29:09 23 in order to make information easier to file and more readily  
13:29:16 24 available.

13:29:17 25 And now we're in a situation that we're trying to

13:29:25 1 reconcile those things, and you've got another entity out there  
13:29:28 2 called the OCA that has documents in their possession and what  
13:29:32 3 they can do with it and how it works. And I'm not going to do  
13:29:40 4 this on truncated basis.

13:29:42 5 I want both the State -- all, the State, the public,  
13:29:46 6 and your client, Mr. Dow, to be treated fairly on this. And if  
13:29:50 7 everybody agrees I did it, great. If somebody doesn't, then  
13:29:53 8 the Circuit can say, no, we can do it better, or, no, he did it  
13:29:56 9 right. And then we can see what the Supreme Court would say  
13:30:01 10 based on their previous position.

13:30:03 11 So that's what I'm going to do. But I want you-all  
13:30:06 12 to work harder to agree on getting a record together for me on  
13:30:11 13 this. But I do think it might help you to at least have some  
13:30:21 14 discovery on what the technical people are going to say,  
13:30:23 15 because -- OCA, because it might be that you might want to have  
13:30:30 16 a technical person, too.

13:30:32 17 Because I think it's important to know whether the  
13:30:38 18 OCA could provide this easily, can't provide it at all, or it  
13:30:44 19 would be a burden on the State fisc to order them to do it. I  
13:30:50 20 think those are all relevant considerations in this case.

13:30:59 21 MR. HILTON: And, Your Honor, we'll certainly, if  
13:31:00 22 given time, work in good faith with Mr. Dow to agree to as much  
13:31:05 23 as possible. I think where we are today, we simply haven't had  
13:31:08 24 the time to work through these issues.

13:31:11 25 THE COURT: I think this case changed its complexion

13:31:16 1 after the last time we were all together.

13:31:17 2 MR. DOW: It did, Your Honor. I fully admit to that.

13:31:21 3 THE COURT: Now, there isn't going to be anything new  
13:31:27 4 filed.

13:31:28 5 MR. DOW: No. Not from us.

13:31:31 6 MR. HILTON: I have a duty to the State of Texas to  
13:31:34 7 defend my client, Your Honor but we will do everything we can  
13:31:37 8 to not burden --

13:31:37 9 THE COURT: Well, let me make this real easy. There  
13:31:41 10 will be no new filings in this case without leave of court.

13:31:46 11 MR. HILTON: Understood, Your Honor.

13:31:48 12 THE COURT: That way it's open for you, but you've  
13:31:50 13 got to tell me why you've got to file something.

13:31:53 14 MR. HILTON: I understand.

13:31:54 15 THE COURT: Now, if you can't agree on discovery,  
13:31:57 16 discovery is exempt from that. But I'm going to tell you  
13:32:01 17 you're going back to the magistrate judge, because I'm not  
13:32:03 18 going to deal with concerns. I was tempted to send this whole  
13:32:07 19 case to the magistrate judge. But I decided, no, this is  
13:32:10 20 something that the district judge needs to deal with on the  
13:32:15 21 merits. This is not a magistrate judge thing.

13:32:17 22 But, if you're going to file any pleadings or motions  
13:32:21 23 or anything other than the ones that involve discovery, you've  
13:32:24 24 got to seek leave of court before you do it. And you can ask  
13:32:28 25 for that leave in a one-page document, and I can assure you

13:32:33 1 that the first thing that's going to happen if I get one of  
13:32:35 2 those, if you don't have a detailed certificate of conference  
13:32:38 3 on it -- and lawyers don't read our certificate of conference  
13:32:44 4 rules in our local rules very carefully because they just say  
13:32:47 5 "It's four o'clock in the afternoon. I tried to call Mr. Dow.  
13:32:50 6 It's now 4:15. He hadn't called me back. I'm going to presume  
13:32:55 7 he's opposed to it and I file it."

13:32:56 8 That's not what a certificate of conference means. A  
13:32:59 9 certificate of conference says you've talked to the other  
13:33:02 10 lawyer. The other lawyer is opposed to your motion, and this  
13:33:04 11 is why. And I expect you to put certificates of conference  
13:33:08 12 like that on any motion.

13:33:09 13 Discovery is another deal. I urge you to agree on  
13:33:11 14 it. If you can't agree on it, you're going to go to the  
13:33:14 15 magistrate on that.

13:33:16 16 MR. HILTON: Understood, Your Honor.

13:33:16 17 THE COURT: Now, what else would we accomplish if I  
13:33:18 18 put this off for a while.

13:33:24 19 MR. HILTON: The last point -- which I don't think  
13:33:26 20 Mr. Dow responded to it; I want to make sure he had an  
13:33:27 21 opportunity to do that if he'd like -- was the data issue. I  
13:33:31 22 think that's an area where we really do have to have more  
13:33:34 23 dialogue. We simply didn't have the time.

13:33:35 24 THE COURT: I want the data. And it doesn't have to  
13:33:38 25 be every county. But, you know, it can be a general summary

13:33:44 1 that you-all agree to that says in a -- in most large counties,  
13:33:50 2 such and such, this is what the data would show and other  
13:33:55 3 counties, this, or however you want to arrange it. West Texas  
13:33:59 4 does it this way and East Texas does it a different way.

13:34:03 5 MR. HILTON: Understood. And that makes perfect  
13:34:05 6 sense. This is an area where there is significant expense and  
13:34:08 7 time involved to get the data in the format that's going to be  
13:34:12 8 usable for the Court. So I just want to give the Court fair  
13:34:15 9 warning that this is an area where we're going to have to do a  
13:34:16 10 lot of work together, but we're happy to do that.

13:34:19 11 THE COURT: But don't get down in the weeds on it.

13:34:22 12 MR. DOW: Yeah. This is -- this does not bode well.  
13:34:26 13 This is going to take a lot of time and money and expense for  
13:34:31 14 discovery on the data.

13:34:34 15 THE COURT: No.

13:34:35 16 MR. DOW: And so were already getting off on a rocky  
13:34:37 17 relationship.

13:34:38 18 THE COURT: I have suggested to you that you not do  
13:34:41 19 discovery on the data, that you talk about it. It's easy to  
13:34:45 20 get -- don't let your first reaction be to follow the rules of  
13:34:49 21 civil procedure. The rules of civil procedure is a default  
13:34:53 22 mechanism that the courts have put in place when you can't  
13:34:57 23 agree on things. That's all it is.

13:35:00 24 It's not a checklist for lawyers to follow during a  
13:35:04 25 trial. And you-all can get what data is necessary together.

13:35:10 1 It doesn't have to be lengthy. It simply is what I have -- and  
13:35:15 2 this is what we went over this morning, and don't make it any  
13:35:19 3 more complex than it is.

13:35:25 4 It's this. This is this case from the court's point  
13:35:28 5 of view: The Supreme Court established a committee to look  
13:35:37 6 into electronic filing. The committee made a recommendation to  
13:35:43 7 the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court told the OCA what to do.  
13:35:50 8 The OCA contracted with Tyler Technology. That's where we are.

13:36:01 9 Now, the issues with regard to that, then, is: Who  
13:36:10 10 has control of the documents? Is it the district clerk, or is  
13:36:14 11 it a combination of the district clerk and OCA, and what we're  
13:36:19 12 going to do about it. So I only want as little bit more data  
13:36:25 13 as is necessary to do that. This is not a lengthy thing. I  
13:36:30 14 want more than what I have, but I don't need a lengthy run of  
13:36:36 15 it.

13:36:37 16 I believe I already know what the data is, if you  
13:36:41 17 don't get down in the weeds. I know what the mega-picture is.  
13:36:44 18 Because, hard to believe, but I practiced law on your side of  
13:36:48 19 the bench for 28 1/2 years, and I did it in big counties and  
13:36:52 20 little counties. And I took depositions around the state and I  
13:36:54 21 filed things around the state, and I've been to a lot of these  
13:37:00 22 counties. And I know the way it works, even though I have been  
13:37:03 23 doing some form of the bench since 1998. But it hadn't changed  
13:37:10 24 that much, except we've got the intrusion of electronic filing.  
13:37:17 25 And that's all we are dealing with.

13:37:19 1 So don't make this harder than it needs to be. I  
13:37:21 2 don't need a lot of data. I want enough data to support a  
13:37:26 3 record where everybody who doesn't know anything about this  
13:37:29 4 case, who hasn't spent the time on it you've spent on it or  
13:37:33 5 you've spent on it or was in this courtroom today or in any of  
13:37:36 6 our other hearings, can pick up something I write and read it  
13:37:40 7 and know what we were talking about and know what the issue was  
13:37:43 8 and how this court ruled on it. That's all I'm looking for.  
13:37:48 9 And we can do this with some expeditiousness.

13:37:54 10 And what I want to get it down to is where we get all  
13:38:01 11 of this done in a day, where I can give you a day to come in  
13:38:06 12 here, we'll pull it -- put it all on. And I will tell the  
13:38:09 13 State it could all end with your motion to dismiss. That could  
13:38:14 14 happen. Or it could end because I go back through everything  
13:38:19 15 I've already gotten, and I convert your motion to dismiss to a  
13:38:23 16 motion for summary judgment and say it was supported by that  
13:38:26 17 and you win, or anything else that gets filed after discovery.  
13:38:32 18 Or I can say I accepted all of these documents into evidence  
13:38:37 19 and then I heard additional testimony, and this is the way I  
13:38:41 20 rule on the merits.

13:38:42 21 I submit to everybody you would be better off with a  
13:38:46 22 merits ruling. Because then if it goes to the Circuit, the  
13:38:49 23 Circuit doesn't get balled up in burdens of proof and what the  
13:38:54 24 court considers. I sing this song all the time, and lawyers  
13:38:58 25 always ignore me. But you're always better off with a merits

13:39:02 1 ruling than you are on a motion to dismiss or a summary  
13:39:04 2 judgment, just because then you've got a ruling that is a  
13:39:09 3 absolute dispositive ruling but doesn't have any little  
13:39:15 4 problems with the procedures and, you know, what the court had  
13:39:19 5 to consider and what weight I had to give it.

13:39:22 6 But I want to get it done in a day, and I want to  
13:39:26 7 rule on it. But I need additional evidence, and part of it is  
13:39:29 8 on this technological deal.

13:39:34 9 I realize it's not off to a good start, but it wasn't  
13:39:37 10 off to a good start to begin with.

13:39:40 11 MR. DOW: It's all good. Thank you, Your Honor. I  
13:39:47 12 bet we end up --

13:39:48 13 THE COURT: It's good for you because you've got  
13:39:49 14 people down there paying you. The people to my left and me are  
13:39:52 15 all getting paid the same thing no matter how hard we work on  
13:39:55 16 this. You get overtime when you go back tonight and work into  
13:39:58 17 the dark? Well, neither do I. I spent all of last week trying  
13:40:02 18 a criminal case and got a hung jury, so that did me no good  
13:40:06 19 whatsoever. I'm going to have to try it again. I'm not going  
13:40:09 20 to get paid twice to try that case.

13:40:12 21 So what are we looking at time-wise. Be reasonable.  
13:40:17 22 Don't tell me what you think you want me to hear. From the  
13:40:21 23 plaintiff's point of view, I know this is urgent, but urgency  
13:40:27 24 gets defined by what the rest of my calendar looks like.

13:40:32 25 So let's be realistic and not come back here again,

13:40:36 1 where we all have a complete record. And I also am aware that  
13:40:48 2 both the Attorney General and Mr. Dow has more than one case.  
13:40:52 3 So I think we need to -- we'll look at your dockets, too.

13:41:05 4 MR. DOW: Your Honor, my wife and I are going to  
13:41:09 5 Scotland for our 40th wedding anniversary on September 14.

13:41:14 6 THE COURT: You-all have been married 40 years?

13:41:16 7 MR. DOW: Yesterday.

13:41:16 8 THE COURT: Children. Congratulations.

13:41:19 9 MR. DOW: Thank you.

13:41:19 10 THE COURT: I can't even remember my 40th  
13:41:21 11 anniversary. I'll tell you that.

13:41:23 12 MR. DOW: People always tell me "congratulations,"  
13:41:25 13 and they say "condolences" to her. But, anyway --

13:41:28 14 THE COURT: It's always a miracle to everybody else.

13:41:32 15 MR. DOW: September 14th --

13:41:33 16 THE COURT: Well, if it makes you feel any better,  
13:41:35 17 we're going to Scotland on the 3rd of August.

13:41:39 18 MR. DOW: Okay. So I'm going to be out of pocket  
13:41:43 19 September 14th through the 29th. So I don't know if getting a  
13:41:48 20 one-day trial before the 14th of September or that first week  
13:41:55 21 of October.

13:41:57 22 MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, I'm going to be out of  
13:41:59 23 country the 22nd through the 3rd.

13:42:01 24 THE COURT: Of September?

13:42:03 25 MS. MERIDETH: Yes. September 22nd through the 3rd

13:42:09 1 of October.

13:42:17 2 (Discussion off the record)

13:42:34 3 THE COURT: That is going to get us to October,  
13:42:36 4 because I'm not going to get back from when I'm gone and  
13:42:38 5 squeeze you-all into some little narrow slot where you-all are  
13:42:41 6 wanting to get out of pocket. And I think I have a pretty good  
13:42:47 7 feel on, because I see the Attorney General's people a lot and  
13:42:50 8 I see Mr. Dow and his partners a lot, and I have a pretty good  
13:42:54 9 idea that, you know, you need to been concentrating on your  
13:42:58 10 vacations and taking some time off and not worrying about me.

13:43:08 11 What would we think about October the 11th, which is  
13:43:15 12 a Tuesday?

13:43:18 13 MR. HILTON: I apologize, Your Honor. I'll be in  
13:43:20 14 trial in El Paso that week. I'll be back the following week.

13:43:23 15 THE COURT: Oh, I'm terribly, terribly sorry.

13:43:26 16 All right. As luck would have it, the week of the  
13:43:29 17 17th is a good week with me. You may paint on a clean canvas  
13:43:37 18 which day that week is worthwhile. And I submit to you it  
13:43:40 19 would be better not to do it on Monday, the 17th. Even though  
13:43:48 20 we had this case on a Monday, that was the vacant date. But a  
13:43:51 21 lot of times everybody likes to get to their office and see  
13:43:54 22 what happened to them ahead of time, and Monday is often not  
13:43:57 23 the best time to do something.

13:43:59 24 MR. DOW: We can do any day that week. We'd love it  
13:44:02 25 if we -- if not Monday, but we're available, Your Honor.

13:44:06 1 MS. MERIDETH: That Thursday, the 20th, would be  
13:44:09 2 preferable for us.

13:44:09 3 THE COURT: Work for you?

13:44:11 4 MR. DOW: Yes, Your Honor.

13:44:11 5 THE COURT: Works for me. Now, we're going to do  
13:44:30 6 that 20th at 9:30. Please, please, please do this: The first  
13:44:39 7 thing you do is sit down, and I really want you to agree on  
13:44:43 8 what you're going to do and come up with a plan.

13:44:55 9 And if you have a problem agreeing on that, I want  
13:44:57 10 you to contact Ms. Baffes -- that's Kathryn Baffes, the  
13:44:58 11 chambers attorney who has overall supervision of your file --  
13:45:01 12 and tell her you're having a problem and we need to have a  
13:45:04 13 phone call. I don't want to do this with competing filings.  
13:45:14 14 If you can't work this out, I will get you on the phone, and we  
13:45:17 15 will talk about what your problem is and I will resolve it then  
13:45:20 16 so we can get this done.

13:45:22 17 I stress again I think this is an important case,  
13:45:26 18 both from the State's point of view and from the media's point  
13:45:30 19 of view and from the people of the state of Texas' point of  
13:45:33 20 view. But it needs to be where we can get it done in an  
13:45:37 21 orderly fashion.

13:45:40 22 MR. DOW: Thank you, Your Honor.

13:45:43 23 THE COURT: Now, are there other things -- we talked  
13:45:51 24 about this morning about motions that were no longer necessary  
13:45:56 25 to be heard. Is there anything else that right now I ought to

13:46:05 1 take up, or are we -- or are we in reasonably good shape on  
13:46:09 2 everything else at this moment?

13:46:16 3 MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, we did file a motion to  
13:46:18 4 strike Plaintiff's exhibit list, but I think at this point it's  
13:46:21 5 moot, and we'll probably all be filing new exhibit lists before  
13:46:26 6 then.

13:46:26 7 THE COURT: That will be fine. Everybody can file  
13:46:29 8 new exhibit lists.

13:46:30 9 Now, let me tell you what I'm prepared to do, so I  
13:46:33 10 want you to look at this, too. I've got a lot of binders with  
13:46:39 11 a lot of exhibits of things you-all have previously filed,  
13:46:42 12 whether you've objected to them or not. What I want you to do  
13:46:44 13 is look at one another's filings, what you've done now, because  
13:46:53 14 the goal would be that you agree to those to be considered when  
13:46:56 15 we have our hearing as opposed to making one another prove them  
13:47:01 16 up with a witness or do this or that. And if you need to have  
13:47:03 17 affidavits that shed light on that, that's fine. But I don't  
13:47:08 18 want to really set aside a whole lot of time to go through  
13:47:11 19 what's admissible and what's not.

13:47:13 20 As we approach the October date -- and if we're doing  
13:47:20 21 it on Thursday, the 20th, it does not mean as we approach the  
13:47:25 22 18th or the 19th. But as we approach October 1st, if you've  
13:47:31 23 got any problems with that, call us and let me take it up then.  
13:47:37 24 Because I would like to walk in here on the 20th, take what  
13:47:44 25 evidence we have to take, admit everything we're going to admit

13:47:48 1 into the record, and then I hear arguments from you and we get  
13:47:51 2 this resolved. I don't want to come in and we spend an hour  
13:47:56 3 dealing with what your objections to one another's evidence is.  
13:48:00 4 I want to get that done ahead of time.

13:48:02 5 Now, you can have an objection -- you can agree that  
13:48:07 6 something is admissible, and that doesn't mean you disagree --  
13:48:11 7 I mean, that you agree with the content of it. But I want to  
13:48:15 8 get everything in to where you can argue this case and attack  
13:48:20 9 one another's positions and support your own positions. That's  
13:48:24 10 what I'm trying to get to.

13:48:29 11 Anything else while I have you?

13:48:31 12 MS. MERIDETH: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

13:48:33 13 MR. DOW: Your Honor, this might also be helpful. We  
13:48:37 14 did file joint stipulated facts.

13:48:40 15 THE COURT: Yes.

13:48:40 16 MR. DOW: At Docket 64. So maybe we can work on --

13:48:45 17 THE COURT: Yeah. And I thank you for that. We  
13:48:47 18 didn't ever get to the good part of what you filed. And if you  
13:48:52 19 want to leave those intact and file additional stipulated  
13:48:56 20 facts --

13:48:56 21 MR. DOW: Okay.

13:48:57 22 THE COURT: -- that's fine. Or if you think it fits  
13:48:59 23 together better if you just do one set of stipulated facts,  
13:49:03 24 because you might want to rearrange them or something. However  
13:49:06 25 you want to do that is fine with me.

13:49:09 1 MR. DOW: Thank you, Your Honor.

13:49:10 2 THE COURT: Yeah. What else?

13:49:18 3 MR. DOW: Have a great time in Scotland.

13:49:20 4 (Discussion off the record)

13:50:05 5 THE COURT: All right. Well, thank you-all.

13:50:07 6 Although it might not be apparent to those who observed today,

13:50:11 7 I think we covered a lot of ground today, I've got a whole lot

13:50:14 8 better feel for this case, and we'll go from there.

13:50:16 9 So court's in recess. Have a nice day.

13:50:20 10 (End of transcript)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT )

2 WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS )

3 I, Arlinda Rodriguez, Official Court Reporter, United  
4 States District Court, Western District of Texas, do certify  
5 that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of  
6 proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

7 I certify that the transcript fees and format comply with  
8 those prescribed by the Court and Judicial Conference of the  
9 United States.

10 WITNESS MY OFFICIAL HAND this the 1st day of August 2022.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

/S/ Arlinda Rodriguez  
Arlinda Rodriguez, Texas CSR 7753  
Expiration Date: 10/31/2023  
Official Court Reporter  
United States District Court  
Austin Division  
501 West 5th Street, Suite 4152  
Austin, Texas 78701  
(512) 391-8791