

1 Fernando Anzaldua (AZ #031185)
National Labor Relations Board, Region 28
2 2600 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1400
3 Phoenix, Arizona 85004
4 Tel: (602) 416-4757
5 Fax: (602) 640-2178
6 Email: Fernando.Anzaldua@nlrb.gov

7
8 Attorney for Petitioner

9
10 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
11 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

12 **CORNELE A. OVERSTREET,**
13 **Regional Director of the Twenty-Eighth**
14 **Region of the National Labor Relations**
15 **Board, for and on behalf of the**
16 **National Labor Relations Board,**

17 **Petitioner,**

18 **v.**

19 **STARBUCKS CORPORATION,**

20 **Respondent.**

Case No.

**PETITION FOR TEMPORARY
INJUNCTION UNDER SECTION 10(j)
OF THE NATIONAL LABOR
RELATIONS ACT, AS AMENDED [29
U.S.C. § 160(j)]**

(Oral Argument Requested)

21 Cornele A. Overstreet, Regional Director of Region 28 (the Regional Director) of
22 the National Labor Relations Board (the Board), petitions this Court, for and on behalf
23 of the Board, pursuant to Section 10(j) of the National Labor Relations Act, as amended
24 [61 Stat. 149; 73 Stat. 544; 29 U.S.C. § 160(j)] (the Act), for appropriate injunctive
25 relief pending the final disposition of the matters involved herein pending a decision by
26 the Board, on complaints issued by the General Counsel (General Counsel) of the

1 Board, alleging, inter alia, that Respondent has engaged in, and is engaging in, acts and
2 conduct in violation of Section 8(a)(1), (3), and (4) of the Act [29 U.S.C. § 158(a)(1),
3 (3), and (4)]. In support of this petition, Petitioner respectfully shows as follows:

4 1. Petitioner is the Regional Director for Region 28 of the Board, an
5 independent agency of the United States Government created by statute, 29 U.S.C. §§
6 151-169, and charged under Section 10(a) of the Act [29 U.S.C. § 160(a)] with
7 preventing any person from engaging in unfair labor practices affecting commerce
8 within the meaning of Section 8 of the Act [29 U.S.C. § 158], and files this petition for
9 and on behalf of the Board.
10

11 2. Respondent is a corporation with an office and place of business in Phoenix,
12 Arizona (Respondent's facility), and has been engaged in operating public restaurants
13 selling food and beverages.
14

15 3. Jurisdiction of the Court is invoked pursuant to Section 10(j) of the Act [29
16 U.S.C. § 160(j)], which provides, inter alia, that the Board shall have power, upon
17 issuance of a complaint charging that any person has engaged in unfair labor practices, to
18 petition any United States district court within any district wherein the unfair labor
19 practices in question are alleged to have occurred or wherein such person resides or
20 transacts business, for appropriate temporary injunctive relief or restraining order pending
21 final disposition of the matter by the Board.
22

23 4. Workers United a/w Service Employees International Union (the Union)
24 filed the following charges with the Board on the following dates, alleging that
25
26

1 Respondent engaged in, and is engaging in, unfair labor practices within the meaning of
 2 Section 8(a)(1), (3), and (4) of the Act [29 U.S.C. § 158(a)(1), (3), and (4)]:

3 Board Case No.	Version of Charge	Date Filed	Exhibit
4 28-CA-289622	Original	January 26, 2022	PX 1
5 28-CA-289622	First Amended	February 4, 2022	PX 2
6 28-CA-291044	Original	February 22, 2022	PX 3
7 28-CA-289622	Second Amended	February 23, 2022	PX 4
8 28-CA-293522	Original	April 4, 2022	PX 5
9 28-CA-291044	First Amended	April 5, 2022	PX 6
10 28-CA-293522	First Amended	April 15, 2022	PX 7

11
 12
 13 5. The aforesaid charges were referred to Petitioner as Regional Director for
 14 Region 28 of the Board.

15 6. Upon investigation, Petitioner determined that there is a likelihood of
 16 success on the merits, as alleged in the aforesaid charges, that Respondent is engaging
 17 in unfair labor practices in violation of Sections 8(a)(1), (3), and (4) of the Act [29
 18 U.S.C. 158(a)(1), (3), and (4)].

19
 20 7. (a) Upon investigation of the charges described above in paragraph 4,
 21 in which Respondent was given the opportunity to present evidence and legal argument,
 22 Petitioner, on behalf of the Board, pursuant to Section 10(b) of the Act [29 U.S.C. §
 23 160(b)], issued a Complaint and Notice of Hearing in Case 28-CA-289622 on March
 24 15, 2022, alleging that Respondent engaged in, and is engaging in, unfair labor practices
 25 as charged within the meaning of Section 8(a)(1) and (3) of the Act. (PX 8).
 26

1 (b) Upon investigation of the charges described above in paragraph 4,
2 in which Respondent was given the opportunity to present evidence and legal argument,
3 Petitioner, on behalf of the Board, pursuant to Section 10(b) of the Act [29 U.S.C. §
4 160(b)], issued a Complaint and Notice of Hearing in Case 28-CA-291044 on April 13,
5 2022, alleging that Respondent engaged in, and is engaging in, unfair labor practices as
6 charged within the meaning of Section 8(a)(1), (3), and (4) of the Act. (PX 9).

8 (c) Upon investigation of the charges described above in paragraph 4,
9 in which Respondent was given the opportunity to present evidence and legal argument,
10 Petitioner, on behalf of the Board, pursuant to Section 10(b) of the Act [29 U.S.C. §
11 160(b)], issued a Complaint and Notice of Hearing in Case 28-CA-293522 on April 18,
12 2022, alleging that Respondent engaged in, and is engaging in, unfair labor practices as
13 charged within the meaning of Section 8(a)(1), (3), and (4) of the Act. (PX 10)
14 (Collectively, the Complaints).

16 (d) On March 29, 2022, Respondent filed its Answer to the Complaint
17 (Answer) in Case 28-CA-289622, denying the commission of any unfair labor practices.
18 (PX 11).

19 (e) As of the date of filing this Petition, Respondent has not filed its
20 Answer to the Complaint in Cases 28-CA-291044 and 28-CA-293522, which are due on
21 April 27, 2022 and May 2, 2022, respectively. (PX 9 at 5-6; PX 10 at 5).

23 (f) A hearing before an administrative law judge of the Board has been
24 noticed and is scheduled to commence on June 14, 2022, by a means and method to be
25 determined. (PX 8-10).

26

1 8. Pursuant to Rule 10(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, true copies
2 of the aforesaid charges, Complaints, and Answer, which have been marked as Exhibits
3 as set forth above, are incorporated herein as though fully set forth.

4 9. There is reasonable cause to believe that the allegations set forth in the
5 Complaints, as further described below, are true and that Respondent has engaged in,
6 and is engaging in, unfair labor practices within the meaning of Section 8(a)(1), (3), and
7 (4) of the Act [29 U.S.C. § 158(a)(1) and (3)], affecting commerce within the meaning of
8 Section 2(6) and (7) of the Act[29 U.S.C. § 152 (6) and (7)], for which a remedy will be
9 ordered by the Board, but that the Board's order for such remedy will be frustrated
10 without the temporary injunctive relief sought herein. Petitioner asserts that there is a
11 substantial likelihood of success in prevailing in the underlying administrative
12 proceedings and establishing that Respondent has engaged in, and is engaging in, unfair
13 labor practices in violation of Section 8(a)(1), (3), and (4) of the Act by, inter alia, (1)
14 engaging in surveillance of employees' protected activities; (2) creating the impression
15 that employees' protected activities were under surveillance; (3) issuing written
16 discipline to, and suspending, and discharging a prominent Union supporter because of
17 her protected activities and union activities; (4) constructively discharging an employee
18 during a nascent organizing campaign by repeatedly denying her schedule availability
19 requests; (5) rescinding an employee's previously granted accommodations, requiring
20 them to submit medical documentation, and placing them on an unpaid leave of absence
21 in response to their protected concerted activities, union activities, and Board activities;
22 and (6) disciplining and discharging an employee for engaging in protected activities,
23
24
25
26

1 union activities, and Board activities. In support thereof, and of the request for
2 temporary injunctive relief, Petitioner, upon information and belief, shows as follows:

3 10. (a) At all material times, Respondent has been a corporation with an
4 office and place of business in Phoenix, Arizona (Respondent’s facility), and has been
5 engaged in operating public restaurants selling food and beverages.
6

7 (b) In conducting its operations during the 12-month period ending
8 January 26, 2022, Respondent derived gross revenues in excess of \$500,000.

9 (c) During the period of time described above in paragraph 10(b),
10 Respondent, in conducting its operations described above in paragraph 10(a), purchased
11 and received at Respondent’s facility goods valued in excess of \$5,000 directly from
12 points outside the State of Arizona.
13

14 (d) At all material times, Respondent has been an employer engaged in
15 commerce within the meaning of Section 2(2), (6), and (7) of the Act. [29 U.S.C. §
16 152(2), (6), and (7)].

17 (e) At all material times, the Union has been a labor organization
18 within the meaning of Section 2(5) of the Act [29 U.S.C. § 152(5)].

19 (f) At all material times, the following individuals held the position set
20 forth opposite their respective names and have been supervisors of Respondent within
21 the meaning of Section 2(11) of the Act [29 U.S.C. § 152(11)] and/or agents of
22 Respondent within the meaning of Section 2(13) of the Act [29 U.S.C. § 152(13)]:
23

Name	Job Title
Nichell Olson	Store Manager

1	Megan Diller	District Manager
2	Scott Rabe	Ethics and Compliance
3		Investigator
4		

5

6 (g) From about January 6, 2022, to about April 4, 2022, Respondent's

7 employee Laila Dalton (Dalton) engaged in concerted activities with other employees

8 for the purposes of mutual aid and protection and concertedly complained to

9 Respondent regarding the wages, hours, and working conditions of Respondent's

10 employees, by raising concerns with others and with Respondent about understaffing,

11 hours, supervisors' treatment of employees, and other terms and conditions of

12 employment.

13

14 (h) About January 21, 2022, Respondent, by Megan Diller, at

15 Respondent's facility

16 (1) engaged in surveillance of employees engaged in union and

17 protected concerted activities; and

18 (2) created an impression among its employees that their union

19 and protected concerted activities were under surveillance by Respondent.

20

21 (i) About January 21, 2022, Respondent began enforcing a previously

22 unenforced rule prohibiting employees from communicating with supervisors by text

23 message.

24 (j) About January 25, 2022, Respondent:

25 (1) issued a written warning to Dalton;

26

1 (2) relied on a previously tolerated attendance incident on
2 November 22, 2021, in the written warning described above in paragraph 10(j)(1);

3 (3) relied on a previously excused and tolerated absence on
4 November 28, 2021, in the written warning described above in paragraph 10(j)(1);

5 (4) relied on a previously tolerated tardiness incident on
6 January 5, 2022, in the written warning described above in paragraph 10(j)(1);

7 (5) relied on a previously tolerated unauthorized shift on
8 January 9, 2022, in the written warning described above in paragraph 10(j)(1);

9 (6) relied on a previously tolerated medical absence on January
10 13, 2022, in the written warning described above in paragraph 10(j)(1);

11 (7) relied on a previously tolerated medical absence on January
12 16, 2022, in the written warning described above in paragraph 10(j)(1);

13 (8) relied on a previously tolerated instance of Dalton texting a
14 supervisor to report an absence on November 28, 2021, in the written warning described
15 above in paragraph 10(j)(1);

16 (9) relied on a previously tolerated instance of Dalton texting a
17 supervisor about work-related concerns on January 6, 2022, in the written warning
18 described above in paragraph 10(j)(1);

19 (10) relied on a previously tolerated instance of Dalton
20 documenting concerns in the Daily Record Book on January 8, 2022, in the written
21 warning described above in paragraph 10(j)(1);

1 (11) relied on a previously tolerated instance of Dalton
2 unsuccessfully attempting to find coverage on January 6, 2022, in the written warning
3 described above in paragraph 10(j)(1);

4 (12) relied on an incident in which Dalton clocked out later than
5 instructed due to lack of coverage on January 19, 2022, in the written warning described
6 above in paragraph 10(j)(1);

7 (13) relied on an incident in which Dalton clocked out later than
8 instructed due to lack of coverage on January 22, 2022, in the written warning described
9 above in paragraph 10(j)(1);

10 (i) suspended Dalton; and

11 (ii) closed Respondent's facility earlier than scheduled.

12 (k) About January 22, 2022, Respondent stopped granting the
13 scheduling availability requests of its employee Alyssa Sanchez (Sanchez).
14

15 (l) By the conduct described above in paragraph 10(q), Respondent
16 caused the termination of its employee Sanchez.
17

18 (m) About February 16, 2022, Respondent began an investigation into
19 alleged conduct of its employee Laila Dalton (Dalton) on January 27, 2022, and
20 January 31, 2022.
21

22 (n) About March 11, 2022, respondent issued a written warning to its
23 employee Dalton.
24
25
26

1 (o) About February 25, 2022, Respondent required its employee Tyler
2 Gillette (they/them) (Gillette) to submit medical documentation regarding their
3 previously granted accommodations.

4 (p) About March 9, 2022, Respondent rescinded its employee
5 Gillette's previously granted accommodations.
6

7 (q) About March 10, 2022, Respondent placed its employee Gillette on
8 an indefinite and unpaid Leave of Absence.

9 (r) About April 4, 2022, Respondent issued a Final Written Warning
10 to its employee Dalton.

11 (s) About April 4, 2022, Respondent discharged its employee Dalton.

12 (t) Respondent engaged in the conduct described above because the
13 named employees of Respondent engaged in protected concerted activities, and to
14 discourage employees from engaging in these or other concerted activities.
15

16 (u) Respondent engaged in the conduct described above because the
17 named employees of Respondent formed, joined, or assisted the Union and engaged in
18 concerted activities, and to discourage employees from engaging in these activities.

19 (v) Respondent engaged in the conduct described above because the
20 named employees of Respondent gave testimony to the Board in the form of affidavits,
21 was named in charges in Cases 28-CA-289622 and 28-CA-291044, and/or cooperated in
22 Board investigations in Cases 28-CA-289622 and 28-CA-291044.
23

24 (w) By the conduct described above in paragraph 10, Respondent has
25 been interfering with, restraining, and coercing employees in the exercise of the rights
26

1 guaranteed in Section 7 of the Act in violation of Section 8(a)(1) of the Act. [29 U.S.C.
2 § 158(a)(1)]

3 (x) By the conduct described above in paragraph 10, Respondent has
4 been discriminating in regard to the hire or tenure or terms or conditions of employment
5 of its employees, thereby discouraging membership in a labor organization in violation
6 of Section 8(a)(1) and (3) of the Act. [29 U.S.C. § 158(a)(1) and (3)]

7
8 (y) By the conduct described above in paragraph 10, Respondent has
9 been discriminating against employees for filing charges or giving testimony under the
10 Act in violation of Section 8(a)(1) and (4) of the Act.

11 (z) The unfair labor practices of Respondent described above affect
12 commerce within the meaning of Section 2(6) and (7) of the Act. [29 U.S.C. § 152 (6) and
13 (7)].

14
15 11. Respondent's unfair labor practices, described above in paragraph 10, have
16 irreparably harmed, and are continuing to harm, employees of Respondent in the
17 exercise of rights guaranteed them by Section 7 of the Act [29 U.S.C. § 157]. More
18 specifically, Respondent's unfair labor practices have caused the following harm:

19 (a) Respondent's employees suffered significant economic harm
20 through the loss of work hours, and loss of pay and livelihood as a result of their
21 unlawful discharge due to their concerted and Union activities;

22
23 (b) Respondent's unfair labor practices have deprived its employees of
24 the right to freely choose whether they wish to be represented by the Union, thereby
25 depriving employees of the benefits of representation by a union of their choosing; and
26

1 (c) Respondent's unfair labor practices have created an atmosphere
2 wherein employees fear retaliation and discharge by Respondent on an ongoing and
3 daily basis if they engage in concerted and Union activities or if they continue to show
4 support for the Union.

5
6 12. Upon information and belief, unless injunctive relief is immediately
7 obtained, it can fairly be anticipated that employees will permanently and irreversibly
8 lose the benefits of the Board's processes and the exercise of statutory rights for the
9 entire period required for Board adjudication, a harm which cannot be remedied in due
10 course by the Board.

11
12 13. There is no adequate remedy at law for the irreparable harm being caused
13 by Respondent's unfair labor practices, as described above in paragraph 10. Granting
14 the temporary injunctive relief requested by Petitioner will cause no undue hardship to
15 Respondent.

16
17 14. In balancing the equities in this matter, if injunctive relief as requested is
18 not granted, the harm to the employees involved herein, to the public interest, and to the
19 purposes of the Act, would clearly outweigh any harm that the grant of such injunctive
20 relief will work on Respondent.

21
22 15. Upon information and belief, it may fairly be anticipated that unless
23 Respondent's conduct of the unfair labor practices described in paragraph 10 above is
24 immediately enjoined and restrained, Respondent will continue to engage in those acts
25 and conduct, or similar acts and conduct constituting unfair labor practices, during the
26 proceedings before the Board and during any subsequent proceedings before a United

1 States Court of Appeals, with the predictable result of continued interference with the
2 rights of employees to engage in activities protected by Section 7 of the Act, with the
3 result that employees will be deprived of their Section 7 rights under the Act, inter alia,
4 to form, join, or assist a labor organization or to refrain from any and all such activities,
5 employees will be denied their statutory right to freely express their choice as to
6 representation or to be represented for collective-bargaining purposes by the Union, and
7 the Union will be unable to ever obtain a fair election for certification as the collective-
8 bargaining representative of the Respondent's employees, all to the detriment of the
9 policies of the Act, the public interest, the interest of the employees involved, and the
10 interest of the Union.
11

12 16. Upon information and belief, to avoid the serious consequences set forth
13 above, it is essential, just, proper, and appropriate for the purposes of effectuating the
14 policies of the Act and the public interest, and avoiding substantial, irreparable, and
15 immediate injury to such policies and interest, and in accordance with the purposes of
16 Section 10(j) of the Act that, pending final disposition of the matters involved pending
17 before the Board, Respondent be enjoined and restrained from the commission of the
18 acts and conduct alleged above, similar acts and conduct or repetitions thereof, and be
19 ordered to take the affirmative action set forth below in paragraph 2:
20
21

22 WHEREFORE, Petitioner prays:

23 1. That the Court issue an order directing Respondent to appear before this
24 Court, at a time and place fixed by the Court, and show cause, if any, why an injunction
25 should not issue directing, enjoining, and restraining Respondent, its officers, agents,
26

1 servants, representatives, successors, and assigns, and all persons acting in concert with
2 them, pending the final disposition of the matters herein pending before the Board, to
3 cease and desist from:

4 (a) disciplining, suspending, imposing leaves of absence upon,
5 discharging and/or constructively discharging its employees because they engaged in
6 protected concerted activities, union activities and support, or Board activities;

7 (b) denying employees' schedule availability requests because they
8 engaged in protected concerted activities, union activities and support, or Board
9 activities;

10 (c) imposing conditions on employees' previously granted
11 accommodations because they engaged in protected concerted activities, union activities
12 and support, or Board activities;

13 (d) Surveilling or creating the impression of surveillance of
14 employees' protected concerted activities, union activities, or Board activities; and

15 (e) in any other manner interfering with, restraining, or coercing
16 employees in the exercise of the rights guaranteed them under Section 7 of the National
17 Labor Relations Act [29 U.S.C. § 157].
18

19
20 2. That the Court require Respondent to take the following affirmative
21 actions:
22

23 (a) Within five (5) days of the District Court's Order, offer, in writing,
24 to discriminatees Alyssa Sanchez (Sanchez), Alana "Tyler" Gillette (Gillette), and Laila
25 Dalton (Dalton), interim reinstatement or the equivalent return from leave of absence to
26

1 their former positions, or if those positions no longer exist to substantially equivalent
2 positions of employment, displacing, if necessary, any employees who may have been
3 hired, reassigned or transferred to replace them, without prejudice to their seniority or
4 any other rights or privileges previously enjoyed, including schedule flexibilities or
5 unconditional accommodations;
6

7 (b) Within five (5) days of the District Court's Order, resume
8 approving Sanchez's schedule availability requests in the same manner as before
9 January 2022, and notify Sanchez in writing this has been done;

10 (c) Within five (5) days of the District Court's Order, immediately
11 rescind the conditions placed on Gillet's accommodations, resume permitting Gillette
12 the unconditional accommodations in the same manner as had been previously granted,
13 resume scheduling Gillette for work, and notify Gillette in writing this has been done;

14 (d) Within five (5) days of the District Court's Order, immediately
15 rescind the unlawful Corrective Action Form, suspension, documented coaching, final
16 written warning and separation notice (the Disciplines) issued to Dalton, remove from
17 its files, any and all records of the Disciplines issued to Dalton, do not rely on the
18 Disciplines in assessing any future disciplinary actions, and notify Dalton in writing
19 when this has been done and that the Disciplines will not be used against her in any
20 way;
21

22 (e) Within five (5) days of the District Court's Order, remove from its
23 files any and all records of the discharges of Sanchez and Dalton, do not rely on the
24 discharges in assessing any future disciplinary actions, and within three (3) days
25
26

1 thereafter, notify them in writing that this was done and that the discharges will not be
2 used against them in any way;

3 (f) Within seven (7) days of the District Court's Order, post physical
4 copies of the District Court's Order at the Respondent's Scottsdale and Mayo locations,
5 as well as translations of the District Court's Order in other languages as necessary to
6 ensure effective communication to Respondent's employees as determined by the
7 Regional Director for Region 28 of the Board (Regional Director), said translations to
8 be provided by Respondent at Respondent's expense and approved by the Regional
9 Director, on the bulletin board, in all breakrooms, and in all other places where
10 Respondent normally posts notices to its employees at Respondent's Scottsdale and
11 Mayo locations; maintain these postings during the pendency of the Board's
12 administrative proceedings free from all obstructions and defacements; grant all
13 employees free and unrestricted access to said postings; and grant agents of the Board
14 reasonable access to its Scottsdale and Mayo locations to monitor compliance with this
15 posting requirement;

16 (g) Within seven (7) days of the District Court's Order, distribute
17 electronic copies of the District Court's Order, as well as translations in other languages
18 as necessary to ensure effective communication to Respondent's employees as
19 determined by the Regional Director, said translations of the District Court's Order to
20 be provided by Respondent at Respondent's expense and approved by the Regional
21 Director, to all employees employed by Respondent in the United States and its
22
23
24
25
26

1 Territories via the Partner Hub, and all other intranet or internet sites or applications
2 Respondent uses to communicate with employees;

3 (h) Within seven (7) days of the District Court's Order, hold one or
4 more mandatory meetings, on working time and at times when Respondent customarily
5 holds employee meetings, and scheduled to ensure the widest possible attendance
6 consistent with Respondent's social distancing requirements and health and safety
7 measures in light of the COVID-19 pandemic, at Respondent's Scottsdale and Mayo
8 locations, during which the District Court's Order will be read in English to all
9 employees, supervisors, managers, and agents, including Store Manager Nichell Olson
10 (Olson), together with each other and not in meetings separated by job classification(s),
11 by District Manager Megan Diller (Diller) or, at the Employer's option, by an agent of
12 the Board in English in the presence of Diller, Olson, employees, supervisors,
13 managers, and agents. If more than one meeting is held, Diller an Olson shall attend
14 each such meeting with employees, supervisors, managers, and agents. Respondent shall
15 also afford the Union, through the Regional Director, reasonable notice and opportunity
16 to have a representative present when the District Court's Order is read to employees.
17 Interpreters shall be made available at Respondent's expense for any individual whose
18 language of fluency is other than English. Respondent shall announce the meeting(s) for
19 the Order reading in the same manner it would customarily announce a meeting to
20 employees; the meeting(s) shall be for the above-stated purpose only. Individuals unable
21 to attend the meeting to which they have been assigned will be able to attend a
22 subsequent meeting during which the same reading shall take place under the same
23
24
25
26

1 conditions. Respondent shall allow all employees to attend these meetings without
2 penalty or adverse employment consequences, either financial or otherwise;

3 (i) Within seven (7) days of the District Court's Order, distribute
4 electronic copies of a video recording (including audio), of a high-level Respondent
5 official at Respondent's Corporate or Nationwide level (an individual in a position such
6 as CEO or Vice President of Human Resources) reading the District Court's Order in
7 the presence of a Board agent or, at Respondent's option, a Board agent reading the
8 District Court's Order in the presence of a high-level Respondent official at
9 Respondent's Corporate or Nationwide level (an individual in a position such as CEO or
10 Vice President of Human Resources), on Respondent's Partner Hub and all other
11 intranet or internet sites or applications that Respondent uses to communicate with
12 employees, such that the video can be accessed by employees at all of its stores in the
13 United States and its Territories;

14 (j) Within twenty (20) days of the issuance of the District Court's
15 Decision and Order, file with the District Court, with a copy submitted to the Regional
16 Director, a sworn affidavit from a responsible Respondent official, setting forth with
17 specificity the manner in which Respondent has complied with the terms of the Court's
18 Order, including how and where the documents have been posted, and the date(s),
19 time(s), and location(s) that the District Court's Order was read to employees and by
20 whom, as required by the District Court's Order.
21
22
23
24
25
26

