COPA

IN THE SIXTH CIRCUIT COURT FOR DAVIDSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE

IN RE DOLLAR GENERAL

OCT 1 6 2008

Master Docket No. 07MD1

RICHARDYR ROUKER, Clerk By Deputy

(Consolidated Action)

Judge Brothers

DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT OF DAVID PERDUE TAKEN AUGUST 25, 2008 AND THE EXHIBITS THERETO

FILED UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER OF THE COURT ENTERED IN MASTER DOCKET NO. 07MD1

In The Matter Of:

Dollar General Corporation Shareholder Litigation

David Perdue August 25, 2008

Vowell & Jennings, Inc.
214 Second Avenue North
Suite 207
Nashville, Tennessee 37201
615-256-1935



Original File 8 25 08 Perdue (DB) v1 Min-U-Script® with Word Index

August 25, 200		
e 1 Page		
1 APPEARANCES		
2 For the Plaintiffs		
3 DAVID T WISCONDECKER		
Coughlin, Stora, Geller, Sudman & Robbins 4 655 West Broadway		
Suite 1900		
5 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: 619 138 4507 6 8-mil Dwinsbroecker@cogrr.com		
7 and		
1995 - 5755		
B DOUGLAS S JOHNSTON, JR Barrett, Johnston & Paraley		
3 217 Second Avenue Horth Hashville, Temmense 17201 1D Telephone: 615.244 2202 E-meil Djohnston@barrettjohnston.com		
10 Telephone: 615.244 2202 E-mail Djohnston@barrettjohnston.com		
For the Defendants and Witness Perdus		
MALLACE M. DISTA		
13 NATTHEW CURLEY Bans, Berry & Sime		
14 AmSouth Center 315 Deederick Street		
15 Suite 2700		
Bashville, Tennessee 37238-3001 16 Telephone 515 742 8276 8-8811, Wdietsebassberry com		
17 E-mail: Mcurley@bassberry com		
16 For the Defendant KKR:		
10 STEVEN A RELEY		
Riley, Marnock & Jacobson 20 1906 West End Avenue		
Hashvillo, Tennesses 17203 31 Telephone 615.320 3700		
E-mail Sriley@rwjplc com		
and 21		
24		
25		
**		
Page 4		
1 INDEX		
PAGE		
) Questions by Mr Wissbroecker 7		
5		
•		
1		
* INDEX OF EXHIBITS		
10 No. 24		
11 No. 4A		
12 Mg. 6A 52		
13 No 8A		
14 Mo 10A 95		
No. 11A 111 15 No. 12A 125		
No. 134 15 No. 14A		
No. 15A 159		
17 No 15A 165 No 17A177		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
23 24		

	August 25, 2008
Page 5	Page 5
The videotape deposition of DAVID PERDUH was taken by counsel for the Plaintiff at the diffices of Bace, Berry & Sime, 315 Deaderick Street, Suite 2700, Mashville, Termessee on August 25, 2008, for all purposes under the Tennessee Malen of Civil Procedure The formalities an to notice, caption, certificate, et detera, are not waived. All objections, except as to the form of the questions, are reserved to the hearing If is agreed that Carissa L Boose, being a Motary Public and Court Reporter, may swear the witness, and that the reading and signing of the completed deposition by the witness are not waived. symmetric and the completed deposition of the symmetry and the completed deposition of the completed deposition by the symmetry are not waived.	THE VIDEOGRAPHER Here begins Volume 1, Videotape No 1 in the video deposition of David Perdue in regarding Dollar General Corporation, shareholder litigation in the Sixth Court for Davidson County, Tennessee, Twentieth Judicial District at Nashville. The Case No is 07-C-736. Today's date is August Sth, 2008. Time on the video monitor is 10.44. The videographer today is Andrew Langsdon. This video deposition is taking place at 315. Deadenck Street, Nashville, Tennessee Counsel, please identify yourselves for the record and state whom you represent MR WISSBROECKER David Wissbroecker, Coughlin, Stoia, Geller, Rudman, Robbins representing Plaintiffs in the matter MR JOHNSTON: Doug Johnston representing the Plaintiffs MR DIETZ This is Wally Dietz with my partner Matt Curley. We're with the firm Bass, Berry & Sims. We represent the individual Defendants in this case and specifically represent Mr Perdue MR RILEY And I'm Steve Riley and MR RILEY And I'm Steve Riley and Wisher Country We're with Peter Kazanoff. We represent Dollar
Page 7	Page 8

- General and KKR
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER The court
- reporter today is Carissa Boone of Vowell &
- Would the reporter please swear in
- the witness?
- DAVID PERDUE.
- having been first duly swom, was examined, and
- testified as follows
- EXAMINATION
- QUESTIONS BY MR WISSBROECKER
- 12 Q Mr. Perdue, my name is David Wissbroecker
- 13 representing the Plaintiffs in the matter My
- 14 first question is generally whether you -- or
- 15 not you've been deposed before. But we know
- 16 that you have because that was earlier on in
- 17 this litigation
- Right.
- So we're going to do the best we can not
- 26 to get into those same issues that you've
- 21 already had to -- had to discuss, however, there
- 22 was a number of -- of documents that were
- 23 produced after that deposition, and some other
- 24 information's come out that made us eager to --
- 25 to speak to you again about some of these

- 1 matters So I'll try to -- try to limit myself, and I'm sure your counsel will - will - will
- 3 try to limit me also on the the subject
- matters that we talk about.
- Now, let's talk about some of rules, kind
- of the ground rules that you may or may not
- 7 remember from the last one The court reporter
- has just swom you in, so that means your
- testimony is under penalty of penjury as if in
- as court Do you understand that?
- 11 A Ido.
- 12 Q Now, your counsel may make objections and
- 13 vanous other attorneys in this room may as well
- 14 make objections, but unless you are instructed
- 15 [sic] to answer on the grounds of
- 14 attorney/client privilege, I'm going to except
- 17 you to go ahead and give me answer. Now, if the
- 18 question that I ask has been too confusing or if
- 19 you'd like me to rephrase it so you can
- 20 understand if a little bit better, I'd -- I'd be
- 21 happy to do that for you
- 22 A. Uh-huh
- Q But unless -- unless it's attorney/client
- 24 privilege, I'm probably just going to ignore
- 25 these guys unless you -- you tell me that --

Page 12

Page 9

- 1 that -- that you need some further
- 2 clanfication
- a And also, we need to make sure in order
- · to get a clean record, aside from the squeaky
- s chairs which will probably injure the record a
- Ittle bit, but you need to wait for -- for me
- to finish asking my question before you answer,
- and I will -- I will endeavor to -- to wait for
- your answer before asking the question And if
- 10 I jump over your answer, I'm sure your counsel
- 11 will object to that as well
- 12 And the other -- the other thing we need
- is to make sure is that there's no nods or uh-huhs
- And we have a videographer here, but the
- is transcript needs to be clean. So yes, no, you
- 16 know, instead of saying uh-huh and nodding your
- 17 head Do you understand that?
- 14 A Uh-huh, I do
- MR RILEY David, could we have an
- 20 agreement that objection by one of us will serve
- 21 as an objection for all of us?
- MR WISSBROECKER Well, there's
- 23 four of you guys, so I was hoping for kind of a
- 24 barber shop quartet effect, but we'll go with
- 25 the objection

- MR KAZANOFF We'll go with the
 - 1 objection
 - BY MR WISSBROECKER
 - Q All right Mr Perdue, it's -- it's been
 - s a while but I'm sure you -- you probably have
 - some -- some recollection of a lot of details,
 - but I'm just going to go over some of the
 - general, kind of time frame for the acquisition
 - of Dollar General by KKR just so we can -- we
 - can kind of lay a foundation for what we're
 - going to talk about today
 - 12 Now, do you do you recall when the
 - board of directors of Dollar General entered
 - into a merger agreement with KKR?
 - It was March of 2007, to the best of my
 - 14 recollection
 - 17 Q And do you what do you remember the
 - * exact date at all?
 - 15 A No, I don't
 - 20 Q. You know, I'm going to go ahead and give
 - 21 you what we'll mark as Perdue 1
 - MR RILEY Is that -- how did we 23
 - 21 number the previous deposition?
 - 24 MR WISSBROECKER We can start at
 - If you guys want to start -- I don't recall

Page 11

- what the last number is. You can give me a 2 second and find out
- MR KAZANOFF You want to just call
- it Perdue 1A or something —
- MR WISSBROECKER Sure.
- MR KAZANOFF if that works for
- + everyone
- MR WISSBROECKER That works for
- , me
- MR. KAZANOFF Is that all right 10
- with everyone?
- MR. DIETZ That's fine Yeah, just
- to distinguish from the first record
- MR KAZANOFF, Because I think you
- is did use Perdue
- MR WISSBROECKER. And in some of
- 17 these -- all right Well, one of these
- 14 documents at least will be a repeat
- BY MR WISSBROECKER 19
- 20 C I'm going to hand the witness what we'll
- 21 call Perdue 1A And the court reporter's going
- 22 to label that for you
- 23 A Okay
- 24 Q And this is just the definitive proxy of
- 15 Dollar General (tendenng)

- (Exhibit No. 1A was marked)
 - BY MR WISSBROECKER
 - 2 Q And Mr Perdue, I'm grving you just so --
 - for ease of reference for you -- I know it's
 - been a while -- to make sure that, you know, if
 - I'm asking about specific dates you can kind of
 - get your head around --
 - A Okay
 - , Q - where we are --
 - Thank you
 - 11 Q in a timeline You know, and although
 - 12 -- although we disagree that the -- that the
 - 13 proxy accurately reflects the acquisition of KKR
 - by Dollar General, we'll go ahead and let you
 - 15 look at it
 - 16 A Uh-huh
 - Now, so if you want to -- if you want to
 - kind of thumb through the background and merger
 - section which I believe begins on internal page
 - 20 -- Internal Page No 15 And I believe if you
 - 21 go over to Page 17 it talks about the date that
 - 22 the -- the board agreed to enter into a merger
 - 23 agreement with KKR. You can read as little or
 - 24 as much of it as you want. I'm just kind of
 - 25 looking for a basic reference as far as dates

Win-U-Script®

Page 13

1 90

2 A Paragraph 4 on Page 17, it says that we

- i entered into an agreement on March 11th, 2007
- Q Does that sound -- sound right to you?
- s A Yeah, it does to me
- « Q And at the point -- from that point
- 7 forward, what was your -- what -- what were your
- responsibilities in connection with the
- acquisition of -- of Dollar General by KKR?
- MR. DIETZ Objection to the form
- 31. It calls for a legal conclusion, but you may
- 12 answer
- 13 THE WITNESS Can you clarify that?
- 14 I had two responsibilities I had -- one was
- is operating the business. And two was to assist
- In providing whatever information that KKR
- 17 needed in its financial endeavors to close the
- 10 transaction
- BY MR WISSBROECKER
- 20 Q. And by "financial endeavors," what do -
- 21 what do you mean by that?
- 22 A Financing, raising equity, those two
- 13 exercises
- 24 Q So you -- part of your responsibilities
- 25 were to assist KKR in raising financing and

- 1 equity in support of the transaction?
 - a A I would I would -- I'm sorry, but I
 - wouldn't say assist. I had no expenence in
 - doing that When they needed information about
 - s what we were going to do or whatever, I was to
 - provide the people within the firm that could
 - give them that information
 - Q Now, did you -- do you participate in --
 - in presentations to equity investors, potential
 - 18 equity investors or -- or financial investors?
 - 11 A I recall one presentation in New York I
 - think it was the equity investors. I was not
 - involved in any of the debt presentations
 - 14 Q So you were involved in just the one
 - 15 presentation that you recall?
 - 16 A. Yes
 - 17 Q Do you recall what date that was?
 - u A No. I don't
 - 19 Q Now, what was your -- your former
 - 20 position at Dollar General?
 - 21 A The former position? The only position I
 - 22 ever had there was chairman, CEO
 - 23 Q And you are no longer the -- the CEO of
 - 24 Dollar General, is that correct?
 - 25 A No, I was still CEO after March. Between

Page 15

- March and the closing date in July, I was still
 CEO and chairman.
- 3 Q As of today, you are not the CEO of
- Dollar General?
- s A. I am not
- Can you wait till I finish my question?
- 7 A Lapologize Sorry
- Q That's fine. You knew exactly where I
- y was going, so that was an easy one
- 11 A Sorry
- 11 Q Do you recall exactly when you were told
- 12 that you were not going to be the CEO of Dollar
- 13 General any more?
- 14 A. I don't recall the definitive date, no,
- s but I think it was very it was very late in
- 16 the process
- 17 Q Let's see if you can maybe pin down some
- is time.
- MR WISSBROECKER We'll mark this
- 26 as Perdue 2A
- 23 (Exhibit No 2A was marked)
- BY MR WISSBROECKER
- 23 Q Mr Perdue, this is an e-mail dated April
- 24 23rd, 2007 It's from Mike Calbert to Adnan
- 25 Jones and Raj Agrawal

- 1 A Uh-huh
- 2 Q Subject line's "Perdue "
- A Right
- 4 Q Have you seen this document before?
- A No, I haven't
- · Q Let me ask you before before we get
- any further What documents did you review in
- preparation for your deposition today?
- A Well, we went through a number of new
- documents that had been provided, e-mails and so
- 11 forth I reviewed parts of my transcript from
- 12 my earlier deposition. That was really it
- 13 Q Did you review transcripts of any other
- 14 depositions?
- 15 A No
- 16 Q You said there was some documents. Those
- 17 were just e-mail documents. Is that all you
- 16 looked at?
- 19 A I think we might have looked at some of
- 20 the record on my deposition, some of my
- 21 festimony, but I don't recall any other
- 22 documents
- 23 Q And do you recall which e-mails you
- 24 looked at?
- 25 A Well, there were a few And these are

	ũ	n	

- 1 not all inclusive at all, but the ones that I
- 2 think are -- that we looked at Friday was --
- here were a few from Mike Calvert to George
- · Roberts, internal e-mails at KKR
- s Q And do you recall the approximate dates
- of those e-mails?
- 7 A No
- Q Would it have been October/November 2006
- » or later on in the process?
- 10 MR DIETZ Objection
- THE WITNESS Can I answer that?
- 12 MR DIETZ If you can
- 13 THE WITNESS You know, generally, I
- would say it was in the third quarter ranging
- is maybe in the fourth quarter. But mostly third
- 16 quarter of '06
- BY MR WISSBROECKER:
- 18 Q Now, do you recall seeing any any
- 19 correspondence or e-mail correspondence from
- 20 Mike Calbert, or did you look at any during your
- zz review session between -- that -- that were
- 22 dated in '07?
- MR DIETZ. Let me assert an
- 24 instruction here. I want to advise the witness
- 25 to be extremely careful not to discuss anything

- 1 that was discussed between counsel in any of our
 - a meetings at any point in time in this
 - s litigation, including on the eve of this
 - deposition To the extent you can -- you can
 - s recall specifically what documents you have
 - reviewed in preparation for your testimony, it's
 - fine for you to identify those documents
 - THE WITNESS Could you repeat the
 - question? I'm sorry
 - 10 BY MR WISSBROECKER
 - 11 Q Sure These documents that you reviewed
 - 12 In preparation for your deposition today, you
 - 13 said there were some e-mail communications from
 - 14 Mr Calbert to Mr Roberts Were any of those
 - 15 e-mails dated in 2007?
 - 16 A I -- I don't recall
 - 17 Q If you want to take a look at that e-
 - as mail -
 - 19 A Uh-huh
 - 20 Q we'll talk about it a little bit
 - 21 A Okay (Pause)
 - 22 Q Have you had a chance to review --
 - 23 A. I have
 - 24 Q the e-mail? And let me preface any
 - 25 questions in this general area by letting you

Page 19

- know that it's not our intention to ask any
- questions that might be personally embarrassing
- 1 or anything along those lines. We're just
- trying to fix what was going on in the process.
- s and that's -- that's our -- that's our -- our
- goal here
- 7 Now, do you recall the timing this is
- a dated April 23rd Do you see that up there?
- A Ido
- 16 Q Now, thinking back to what was going on
- 11 in the process, you said you'd you'd been
- 12 involved in some of the getting information to
- 13 some of the equity and financial people. Do you
- 14 recall any specific event that took place around
- 15 this -- this time in connection with that?
- 14 A Around the April 23rd time? No. I do
- 17 not
- : Q Now, do you remember anything at all, any
- sevents at all that were occurring right about
- 10 this time?
- MR. RILEY Object to the form
- 23 BY MR WISSBROECKER
- 23 Q In connection with your role as a CEO of
- 24 Dollar General?
- 25 A I remember the equity presentation that

- we had prepared for, and three or four of us
- a went to New York and made that presentation I
- remember there was a lot of work being done by
- · our CFO and others, along with KKR, with regard
- s to their debt work that they were doing, seeking
- financing for the transaction. And then, of
- 7 course, we were operating the business.
- beginning to implement some of the things that
- we had been discussing
- 10 Q Now, do you know if that equity
- 11 presentation took place before or after
- 12 April 237
- A I don't remember
- 14 Q Now, this e-mail discusses having a not-
- 15 going-forward-together conversation with you
- 16 Do you recall when that discussion took place?
- 17 A No, not specifically, no
- Q And did you know at the time that that
- . I'm sorry, well, not at the time. When that
- 20 discussion was had with you, did you know that
- 21 Mr. Bere and the lead director Mr. Wilds knew
- 22 already that -- that KKR was going to have the
- 23 discussion with you?
- 34 MR. DIETZ Objection
- 25 THE WITNESS: I think in that

Page 21

conversation Mike mentioned to me that he had

had a conversation with David Wilds.

BY MR WISSBROECKER

Q And what -- what did he tell you about

s that conversation?

A Nothing Just that he had notified David

Wilds that KKR had reached that decision.

Q And what else did -- did Mr Calbert tell

you during this not-going-forward-together

10 conversation?

Well, it was a very bnef conversation

12 It was just that they had decided that -- that

not to go forward together with me as a CEO

14 of their operation

15 Q And before Mr Calbert had this

s conversation with you, had he given any

17 indication that you were not going to remain.

18 part of the management team at Dollar General?

19 A No

20 Q Now, this is April 23rd, so we don't know

21 - and we'll maybe get a document so we can pin

22 down exactly if this look place before or after

21 is that equity presentation in - in New York

24 And we can show that to you so we can determine

25 that What was Mr Calbert telling you about

your role going forward with the company at this

2 point -

3 A Until --

Q — until conversation?

A Up until the conversation -- and I don't

know the date of it -- up until the conversation

when we had the not-going-forward-together

conversation, we had had no conversation about

my go-forward role

10 Q And -- and that is at any time during

11 your -- in any conversation you'd had with

12 Mr Calbert at all?

A At any time since the first time I met

14 Mr Roberts all the way through until we closed

is the deal, I had no conversation with Mike about

16 any long-term -- my long-term relationship with

17 KKR and Dollar General

18 Q At this point in time, did you -- were

38 you hopeful that you would have a role with the

28 company going forward?

21 MR DIETZ. I'm going to object at

22 this point. Let me state for the record that

23 this territory was covered in his pervious

24 deposition. He has given his sworn testimony.

25 about -- about this matter, and obviously to the

Page 23

Page 24

extent there are new documents that were not

2 available in June of 2007 when his deposition

was taken, it's fine to ask him about that, but

I think we're going down a path that's already

s been covered, and I request that counsel move

s into new territory

THE WITNESS Oh, can I make one

» comment?

MR. DIETZ. Yes

THE WITNESS I was committed to the

11 tumaround at Dollar General. I came here for

12 the long-term When I came here in 2003, I did

and anticipate anything like this. But I was

14 very knowledgeable of what tends to happen to

15 CEOs during these private equity buyouts. So I

16 had my own opinion about what might or might not

17 happen. I had no information from KKR or Mike

18 Calbert about that

BY MR WISSBROECKER 19

10 Q What -- what was your opinion of what

21 might happen?

22 A Well, in most cases, the CEO is asked to

23 leave

24 Q Is asked to leave?

25 A Is asked to leave, yeah 1 Q And what - did you have any specific

a examples in mind that - that -

A No

+ Q. -- led you to that opinion?

s A

So are - are you saying that you

expected that you would not be a part of the

s deal --

A No, I had no expectation. We had no

10 conversation about that.

11 Q Can you wart until I finish my question?

12 A. Lapologize Sorry.

13 Q Now, you said that you were hopeful that

14 - well, you were committed to the turnaround at

15 Dollar General Did that involve pushing

14 forward the Alpha -- Project Alpha?

17 A Well, much before that I mean, that was

us the latest big step. We had EZstore, Green Dot,

19 I mean, a number of initiatives during my tenure

20 there. And I saw a lot of potential in the

23 company, and -- you know, but we had some heavy

22 lifting to do, and we had done a good bit of

23 heavy lifting

24 Q And so you -- you said you were committed

25 to the turnaround Did you think that that

Page 25

1 could only take place if Dollar General went

- 2 private, or did you anticipate that that could
- s take place with Dollar General's public company?
- A Oh, I I had no doubt that it could
- take place as a public company or a private
- « company
- O So even if the company went private, you
- anticipated that the lumaround could occur in
- Dollar General, is that correct?
- 10 A If I were involved, yes, to some degree
- 11 yes
- 22 Q And so you were committed to the
- 13 turnaround even if the company went private?
- 14 A Absolutely
- MR WISSBROECKER. I'm going to mark
- 16 this as Perdue 3A
- 19 (Exhibit No 3A was marked)
- 18 BY MR WISSBROECKER
- 19 Q Mr Perdue, these are some handwritten
- 10 notes form -- that were produced by Cal Turner
- 21 In this in this litigation And I'm just
- 22 interested in the first two pages, not the cover
- 23 page, but the next two pages If you want to
- 24 take a look through those, and I'll ask you some
- 25 questions about those And let me know when

- 1 you're done Thanks
- 2 A You want me to read these two pages?
- you can read as much as you want or you
- · can skim through I'm just going to ask you
- s some questions about the content
- « A (Pause) Okay
- 7 Q After reading these notes, does it
- · refresh your recollection as to when Mr Calbert
- may have had a conversation with you about not
- 10 going forward, if it was before or after the
- 13 presentation that you made to the equity
- 12 investors in New York?
- is A I don't -- does this say when the equity
- 14 presentation was? I'm not -- I don't see it
- 15 Q Well, if you look at if you look at
- 16 the date up there, it's 4/17, which would be
- 17 prior to the last e-mail, which was March 23rd
- 18 -
- 19 A Right
- 20 Q when the conversation hadn't happened
- 21 yet And then if you look halfway down the
- 22 page, there's an -- there's an arrow that goes
- 23 up But if you look at the Q, that says *DP
- 24 probably presented well *
- 25 MR RILEY Sorry What's the

Page 27

- 1 question, David?
- MR WISSBROECKER. The question was
- 3 whether or not this document refreshes his
- recollection as to whether or not the
- s conversation Mr. Calbert had with him about not
- going forward took place before or after the
- equity presentation in New York City
- MR KAZANOFF: I'm going to have to
- . object to the form of that
- 10 THE WITNESS: My answer is 1 1
- 11 have no recollection of it being before or after
- 12 the -- the not-go-forward conversation Doesn't
- 13 mean it couldn't have happened; I just don't
- recall This I see what's written here, but
- 15 I just don't recall
- BY MR WISSBROECKER-
- 17 Q Now, these are Cal Turner's notes, and I
- 18 would consider some of these comments somewhat
- s disparaging Did you have a conflict with
- 20 Mr Turner at all during this time period?
- 21 MR RILEY Object to the form.
- 22 MR DIETZ Objection.
- THE WITNESS: I think conflict's a
- 24 strong word I think you know, we may have
- 25 had different opinions about strategy and things

- 1 like that, but we kept a very close relationship
- 2 all through this time. His role changed in the 3 company after the first two years. When he was
- an adviser to the company, he became an
- s outsider, and therefore we couldn't share with
- him a lot of information. I don't think he
- . liked that very much, but that was the reality
- BY MR WISSBROECKER
- Q Now, did you know that that that
- 10 Mr Turner was perhaps trying to get KKR to hire
- 11 somebody else as the CEO instead of you at this
- 11 time?
- 13 A. No
 - MR DIETZ Objection.
- 18 BY MR WISSBROECKER
- 14 Q Had you ever had any conversations with
- 17 Mr. Turner or even Mr Wilds, perhaps, that led
- 10 you to believe Mr Turner was was not
- s convinced that you were the right person to go
- 20 forward?
- 21 A No
- 23 Q Let me ask you when -- when you learned
- 23 that Mr Bere was going to be named the interim
- 24 CEO, did that -- did that surprise you, or did
- 25 that seem like a natural fit for the interim CEO

Page 32

a position?

a A I supported it

3 Q And did you first learn - when did you

. -- or, sorry Let me start again

s When did you first learn that Mr Bere

was going to be named the interim CEO?

A I don't recall the specific date. It was

obviously after the not-going-forward

conversation with -- with KKR and before the

10 closing

11 Q Okay So it wasn't something that

12 Mr Calbert told you during that – that same –

14 Q -- not-going-forward conversation?

15 A

16 Q Okay And at this time, I know -- I know

17 we talked a little bit about what - what your

16 expectations were And at this point, you know

19 it's a little bit earlier in time, so did you

still have the expectation that you might be

21 moving forward with the company at this point?

MR DIETZ Objection 22

MR KAZANOFF Objection to the 23

24 form?

THE WITNESS On the - whenever the

Page 29

conversation was on the do-not-go-forward,

obviously, after that I had no hope that, you

know, any of that would be lurned around or they

would reverse their decision, no

BY MR WISSBROECKER

Q Now, when you -- let's -- let's look at

another document, maybe ask another question

I'm going to show you a document which

we'll - we'll -- we'll label 4A, which is the

deposition of Michael Calbert —

11 A Uh-huh

12 Q -- that was taken in July

(Exhibit No 4A was marked) 13

BY MR. WISSBROECKER-

is Q. We're going to refer to this document

14 maybe a couple of times, so keep it handy And

17 obviously I'm not going to ask you to -- to read

the whole thing this time Certainly Mr Riley

who wants to get out of here as soon as possible

20 doesn't want you to read the entire thing at

21 this time

MR RILEY You're right 22

BY MR WISSBROECKER 23

24 Q Now, at this point, I just wanted you to

25 look at Pages 202 to 203. It's kind of easy

Page 31

reference if you look at the bottom, it'll tell

2 you --

A Right

. Q -- which pages are on that particular?

202 to 2037

6 Q Yeah

7 A Okay

Now, obviously, you know, you can read as

much of this as you want, but this is a

to discussion about the equity presentation that

11 you made in New York City. So if you just want

13 to read those two pages, and then I'll ask some

13 questions about it

: A. (Pause) I've read 202 and 203

15 Q Now, does that kind of jog your memory as

16 to -- to whether or not the -- the not-going-

17 forward conversation took place after the equity

10 presentation?

A. No. I -- I just don't recall, I'm sorry

20 Q. If you look down to -- well, it starts at

21 Line 6 on Page 202 And the text reads, "At the

22 time he made this presentation, David Perdue

33 thought he was going to be CEO of Dollar

24 General, didn't he?"

25 And then there's some -- some back and

forth But the next - the next relevant

2 portion is 23 down when Calbert says, "I do

recall at this point in time I had not talked to

him, and we did not -- we did not tell -- we did

not tell the equity investors "

And then at the next question, "You --

you had not talked to David?"

"I had not talked to him I had

not"

MR RILEY Is there a question? 10

MR KAZANOFF Yeah 11

BY MR WISSBROECKER-

13 Q Do you see that exchange?

15 Q Does that make more sense as to timing?

14 Does that give you a better idea?

17 MR KAZANOFF Object to the form of

as that

MR DIETZ Objection 19

20 BY MR WISSBROECKER

21 Q. If it helps, you can go back to Page 201.

22 There's a discussion that prefaces that. It

23 starts with Line 6 on 201, or Line 7 where

24 Mr Harris introduces the Dollar General

25 Corporate Management Presentation, and talks a

Min-U-Script®

Page 33

: little bit about that, that presentation in New

a York

A Yes

· Q Now, is it -- is it surprising to you

s that -- that Mr Calbert would allow you to make

this presentation knowing that he'd already

decided not to go forward with you?

MR DIETZ Objection

MR RILEY. Objection to the form

16 MR KAZANOFF Objection

THE WITNESS I don't know what he

12 had decided at that point

BY MR. WISSBROECKER.

Q Well, I mean, if you look at what he says

15 there on Page 203 --

16 A But I think that flies in the face -

MR RILEY Is there a question on

is the table?

MR KAZANOFF Yeah

20 BY MR. WISSBROECKER.

21 Q It says he hadn't talked to you yet

22 You're right, we haven't -- we haven't covered,

33 you know, exactly when -- when he had made that

24 decision We'll come back to some documents

25 there If you would prefer to have me put those

1 documents in front of you now, I will

3 A Well, I just don't recall the --

MR RILEY What's the question?

MR KAZANOFF Yeah What's the

s question?

MR WISSBROECKER Well, the

question is whether or not he's -- he's

surprised that Mr. Calbert would allow him to

· make a presentation in front of equity investors

10 In New York City knowing that you weren't going

11 to be continuing on as the CEO

12 MR DIETZ Objection

13 MR RILEY And I object to the form

14 of that question

15 MR DIETZ Same objection

* THE WITNESS, I don't -- I don't

17 know what he had decided or hadn't decided and

18 when he decided it. I'm sorry

13 BY MR WISSBROECKER

20 Q That's fine Let's -- let's get to those

21 documents

25 So, you know, if we just look at the same

21 -- same exhibit If you look at Pages 196 to

14 197 If you -- starts down on Page 24 on Page

25 195 and runs over to about Page 9 on 196 But

Page 35

read as much as you want

a A Okay. (Pause)

J Okay I've read 196 and 197

Q Does that give you a better idea of when

Mr Calbert had made that decision?

A No

Q Well, we'll come back to that

A Okay

, Q. If -- would it -- if that was, indeed,

14 true that Mr Calbert had made that decision to

11 - to not go forward with you, would it have

been surprising for you to have known that he

13 let you present that for the equity investors?

MR. KAZANOFF Can I hear that

15 question back?

MR RILEY I just didn't --

17 MR KAZANOFF Can I hear the

14 question back, please?

MR WISSBROECKER Well, let me

10 rephrase it.

BY MR WISSBROECKER

23 Q Would it be surprising to you to learn

23 that Mr Calbert allowed you to present that -

24 the information in the management presentation

25 to the equity investors in April knowing that he

was going to not go forward with you as the CEO?
 MR KAZANOFF Object to the form

MR DIETZ Objection

THE WITNESS: Would it have been

s surprising to me? I have no frame of reference

to be surprised or not surprised. I don't know.

now they operate I don't know what - as long.

as they made it to the equity — potential

equity investors other than what we made in the

10 presentation

BY MR. WISSBROECKER

12 Q Now, do you -- do you recall during that

13 presentation if - if you were presented as the

14 CEO of Dollar General?

15 A I believe I was, yes

16 Q And as -- as someone who -- well, let's

17 - let's -- let's ask a different question

14 Now, you're an investor in various

19 companies, yes or no?

20 A Yes

21 O Now, as someone that is an investor in a

22 company now say, for instance, someone was

asking you to make an investment in Gaylord

24 Entertainment, would you - would you want to

25 know who the relevant decision-makers were, the

Min-U-Script&

Vanell & Jennings, Inc. (615) 256-1935

(9) Page 33 - Page 36

Page 37

- : CEO of that company going forward?
- MR RILEY Object to the form
- THE WITNESS: Can I answer it?
- I think it is important to know
- s that I also think, though, that changes happen
- periodically and people make changes including
- + CEO at the CEO level But, you know, in this
- case, I honestly don't know what was in Mike's
- head. We made the presentation. We presented.
- the facts in good faith. I don't remember any
- si questions about the management team, who's in
- 12 charge, who's not in charge, what's the
- 13 organization going forward, et cetera, et
- 14 cetera
- BY MR WISSBROECKER.
- 14 Q But as as as an investor, if that
- 17 fact had been known and not explained to you.
- then you would have been surprised to learn —
- 19 A I think it -
- MR RILEY Objection to the form 20
- THE WITNESS. I think it's more 21
- 23 important to me to know the underlying facts. I
- in mean, most companies of this size are much --
- 24 It's much more important to know the situation
- 25 of the company rather than anyone -- any one

- i individual, including the CEO. I mean, I think
- 2 that this -- all these equations are much bigger
- than any of the individual executives
- BY MR WISSBROECKER
- s Q Do you remember meeting with John Wood
- from Spencer Stuart in New York in April of
- 7 2007?
- A 1 don't recall that
- MR WISSBROECKER We'll mark this
- 10 Perdue 5A
- (Exhibit No 5A was marked)
- BY MR WISSBROECKER
- 13 Q This is the deposition transcript of John
- 14 S Wood And I'm mainly concerned about Pages
- 15 80 -- 80 through 87, if you want to look at that
- 14 discussion
- 17 A 80 through 87?
- 11 Q Yes, sir
- (Pause) Okay I've read 80 through 87
- 20 Q Now, do you do you recall this meeting
- 21 with John Wood in New York City?
- 21 A Yes I I don't recall the exact date
- 21 he intimates here It's generally in April.
- 24 And we talked about the potential of using them
- 25 on a search for one executive, I don't recall

Page 39

- which executive position, to be candid
- 2 Q Do you know was that was that a
- » high level executive? Was it someone in
- management ---
- s A It wasn't --
- -- upper management?
- A It wasn't one of my direct reports. I
- would remember that
- So it wouldn't have been somebody like
- Bere Buley's position or Challis Lowe's or
- 11 anyone like that?
- 22 A I don't recall it being any of my direct
- in reports
- 14 Q Now, who -- who asked you to go to talk
- is to John Wood?
- 16 A Well, he was the retail guy for Spencer
- 37 Stuart. We had used someone at -- well, we'd
- used several people Thornsberry, Heidrick &
- 19 Struggles, Russell Reynolds And I think I had
- 24 a conversation with your HR people about it, and
- 21 we decided that we would -- we would talk to
- 23 Q. Now, did you have a conversation with
- 34 Mike Calbert about going to talk to John Wood?
- 25 A I don't recall

- i Q Well, what did you talk about with
- 2 Mr Wood?
- A I don't recall the specifics. This jogs
- · my memory that we were talking about a specific
- potential -- we hadn't made a decision yet, but
- that we were talking about a potential search.
- Q Now, did you discuss at all your role
- going forward with KKR?
- A Not that I recall.
- 10 Q Now, would you say that from reading this
- 11 transcript, it appears that John Wood knew that
- 12 you were not going forward as the CEO at this
- 13 point?
- MR DIETZ Objection to the form
- THE WITNESS I wouldn't know I 15
- 16 don't know. I see what's written, but I don't
- 17 know who was involved
- BY MR WISSBROECKER
- 33 Q Would it surprise you to find out that
- 20 John Wood knew that you were not going forward
- 21 as the CEO when you had this conversation?
- 21 MR DIETZ Objection
- THE WITNESS Well, I don't I 23
- and don't know that surprise is the word, but I I
- 25 think clearly in any of these transactions like

Page 41

- 1 this, the top four or five or ten people of the
- 2 company are always evaluated very carefully and
- a maybe even in discussions with people in the
- . industry, in the search industry, are brought in
- s on -- you know, for vanous reasons to discuss
- « what they understand and so forth But I have
- no knowledge of the conversation between Mike and John
- BY MR WISSBROECKER
- 10 Q Now, you've had a previous relationship
- 11 with Mr Wood, is that correct?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q You've never spoken to Mr Wood before
- this time?
- I had spoken to him He had -- he had
- 16 Ined to introduce me to George Roberts back in
- sometime in '06
- Now, did you know that Mr Wood had a
- 19 relationship with Mr Calbert?
- MR RILEY Objection to the form.
- MR DIETZ. Object to the form 21
- THE WITNESS No 22
- MR RILEY David, is this a good
- 24 time for a short break?
- MR WISSBROECKER. We can do that

- THE VIDEOGRAPHER, Wait One
 - second We are now going off the record Time
 - on the video monitor is 11 31
 - (Brief recess was observed)
 - THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going
 - a back onto the record. Time on the video monitor is 11 41.
 - BY MR WISSBROECKER.
 - Q Now Mr Perdue, about this same time when
 - you had this conversation with Mr. Wood in New
 - York, were you having any discussions at all
 - with Mr Calbert or anyone at KKR?
 - A Yes We met with Raj and his team I
 - wouldn't even say meet with them. We were just

 - interacting during this interim period between
 - March and July, the closing. We had an
 - understanding with KKR that no material
 - decisions would be made without notifying them,
 - both in personnel or in senior personnel or
 - 20 In any of the strategic decisions that that
 - 21 could or would be made during that period of
 - 22
 - But we -- yes, we were -- he visited
 - frequently. I wouldn't say weekly, but they
 - as were there a lot during this period of time

Page 43

Page 44

- Were you in e-mail conversations with him
- at all during this time?
- 3 A. I could have been
- You talk to him on the phone?
- 5 A Yeah, I'm sure And when he was there in
- person
- How often do you would you say you
- talked to him if you consider in-person visits,
- phone conversations, e-mail communications?
- It was mostly when he was there I don't
- 11 remember a lot of telephone conversations. I'm
- 13 sure we had them, but it wasn't even weekly I
- is think he was when he came, we would always
- 14 meet And sometimes it was formal meetings
- 15 Sometimes it was very casual, over lunch or
- is something like that. But it was always with
- 17 regard to what -- what was being done at the
- 19 Capstone was there doing some preliminary
- 20 work with regard to, as I recall, some of the
- 21 things that had been in the strategy that we
- 22 were putting forward they were reviewing and
- 21 looking at
- 24 Q Now, was Mr Calbert in discussions with
- 25 anyone else at Dollar General about continuing

- employment during this time period?
- A I know he had one meeting and I don't
- recall the specific date with the senior
- team It was probably in that April/May time
- s frame I know he met with all of my direct
- reports. I'm unclear -- I don't remember if he
- met with anyone else
- Q. Do you know if these meetings occurred
- before or after you had the not-going-forward
- conversation with Mr. Calbert?
- No, I don't remember.
- So they could have could have occurred
- 13 before that conversation, correct?
- 15 Q Now, you're seeing Mr Calbert a lot, you
- 16 know, not maybe every week, but, you know, over
- 17 funch Is there any any time period where
- 18 you were one-on-one with Mr Calbert?
- A I'm sure there were
- MR. KAZANOFF David, just so I
- 21 understand, are we still in the time period from
- 22 signing to closing? Is that the time period
- we're focused on?
- MR WISSBROECKER Yeah, I don't 24
- 25 think that we've adjusted that We're still --

Mm-L-Scriptz

Page 48

Page 45

- THE WITNESS Yeah That's --1
- MR KAZANOFF I just wanted to make 2
- 1 sure
- THE WITNESS That's what I
- understood
- BY MR WISSBROECKER
- O So you -- you may have had one or more or
- probably did have one or more one-on-one
- conversations with Mr Calbert during this time
- to period?
- 11 A I think that's fair, but I think the
- 12 majority of them there were other people in the
- 13 room as well
- 4 Q At least one -
- Oh, yeah 15 A
- 16 Q - conversation?
- Oh, yeah 17 A
- And does this include the not-going-
- s forward conversation, or were there other
- 20 conversations where you were one-on-one with
- 21 Mr Calbert?
- 22 A I don't recall specifically, but I think
- 23 that it would be very reasonable to think that
- we had some one-on-one conversations both before
- as and after.

- - 1 Q So there -- there -- there was maybe one
 - a or more opportunities when Mr Calbert could
 - have had a conversation with you about not going
 - forward before he did?
 - s A Yes
 - Q. And did it -- did it anger you at all or
 - did it upset you when you when he eventually
 - did have his conversation with you that it
 - hadn't occurred before then?
 - A I took a fatalistic view to this. I knew
 - this was a reality. And when I advised the
 - board about trying to -- to run the process. I
 - knew this was a -- a very distinct possibility
 - So I was not surprised at all. And no, I wasn't
 - is angered. We actually had dinner that night, as
 - is I recall
 - 17 Q Now, you said you -- you told the board
 - 18 that this might be a possibility or you when
 - 19 you told the board you thought if might be a
 - 20 possibility, which one?
 - MR DIETZ Objection 21
 - THE WITNESS, I'm I'm sorry. Can 22
 - 23 you repeat that? I apologize
 - BY MR. WISSBROECKER.
 - You said when you discussed the

Page 47

- conversation that I had had the conversation
- with Mike Calbert, and that he confirmed he had
- a had the conversation. We chatted very briefly
- · We both observed, as I recall, that this had
- been a distinct possibility from the beginning.
- You know, it was probably not that big a
- surprise
- Q Now, Mr Wilds was had some
- affiliation with Mr. Turner, Cal Turner, is that
- 16 correct?
- That's correct
- And at this time, you didn't know that --
- 13 that Mr. Turner had actually been -- been one of
- 14 the individuals that was looking having the
- is company go in a different direction as far as
- 16 CEOs, is that correct?
- 17 A No
- MR RILEY Objection to the form.
- MR KAZANOFF. Object to the form 29
- BY MR WISSBROECKER
- 21 Q And did that come up at all in this
- 22 conversation with Mr. Wilds?
- 23 A No, it did not
- Now and I'm I'm trying not to get
- 25 into things we've talked about before, I think

with the board, did you tell the board that you

- i opportunity with -- the transaction with KKR
- a thought there might be a realistic possibility
- that you would not be going forward with the
- s company?
- I don't recall 4 A
- Do you recall what you told the board
- about your -- your -- the chance that you would
- be continuing on as the CEO?
- No (Pause)
- 11 Can I add one thing to that?
- Yeah, sure
- 13 A I'm sorry After I was notified of this
- conversation I probably wouldn't be going
- is forward, I was told in that conversation that
- they had notified or had talked to David Wilds 17 So I did have a conversation with David Wilds
- about it; specifically about, you know, the
- :» transition and -- and what -- what my
- 20 responsibilities were and so forth But I did
- 21 have that conversation with him
- 22 Q Can you tell me what you talked about
- 23 during that conversation?
- 24 A I don't remember the specifics except --
- as and it was a -- I recall it was a very brief

Page 52

Page 49

- 1 we'll be okay You said that it was -- you
- 2 know, this was an outcome that you had kind of
- s thought this might occur the entire time. But
- · at the beginning of the process, the board
- s established some sort of a transaction committee
- or a committee to consider a possible
- transaction with KKR; is that correct?
- MR KAZANOFF Objection to the
- 9 form
- THE WITNESS As I recall, we formed 10
- 11 a Strategic Planning Committee
- BY MR WISSBROECKER 12
- u Q Uh-huh.
- it may it's in the record I think it
- is was in my testimony last time, that we were
- 14 involved in another major transformational
- 17 project or initiative in the company, and there
- were several things that we were doing at that
- 19 time that had strategic long-term implications,
- 20 so we formed a Strategic Planning Committee
- 21 sometime back in the fall of -- of '06
- 22 Q Now, do you remember why, aside from, you
- 23 know, this Project Alpha thing that was going
- on, why was that Strategic Planning Committee

1 you know, that - I remember in that telephone

as developed or pul together at that time?

- 1 A Well, it was there were several
 - reasons. One is we had a finance committee, we
 - had an audit committee. We felt like the
 - strategic planning issues that were ansing out
 - s of Alpha needed more attention, that was No 1
 - And No 2, we had a meeting with KKR and
 - nyself and David Wilds and Cal Turner in early
 - October, as I recall Then we met with Denny
 - Bottorff We were you know, this had raised
 - to a level of conversation that we felt like we
 - 11 needed to get the whole board involved. Talked

 - 12 to Dean Bottorff who was the chair of the
 - Governance Committee And then on -- it was in
 - early October, I think it was a Sunday night
 - 15 telephone call we had the board together. And
 - 16 in there, the board decided that we needed to
 - 13 have a Strategic Planning Committee to
 - 18 specifically evaluate, you know, our going-
 - se forward options
 - 20 Q Now, was there any discussion about
 - 21 Whether or not a Strategic Planning Committee or
 - 22 some committee might be necessary because there
 - 23 was some potential conflicts that you had in
 - connection with a possible transaction with KKR?
 - 25 A I don't recall that at all being the -

Page 51

- in that telephone board conversation, the
- question was asked, "David, have you made a deal And that -- that date of that meeting
- with KKR?* s And the answer was unequivocally, "No "
- I was asked a question, "Have you talked about your compensation or anything?*
- And the answer was, "No "
- As a matter of fact, I emphatically
- said that I was on the board's side of
- 11 evaluating any strategic opportunities, because
- 12 1 -- I recognize the potential conflict of
- interest. I was committed to the turnaround,
- 14 and I was committed to Dollar General
- 15 Q You didn't tell the board that you would
- 25 be willing to entertain some -- some role in the
- 17 company going forward at that meeting, did you?
- 18 A No Well, we I don't let me try to
- 25 clanfy that question, or the -- the answer
- There was really nothing in that meeting talked
- 21 about There was no transaction There was --
- 22 I mean, it was a it would have been
- as nonsensical to have asked me what my position
- 24 would have been long-term. They did ask had I
- 15 had a relationship with KKR, had we talked about

- a anything, et cetera, and my answer to that has
- already been stated
- was? Do you recall?
- s A It was a Sunday night, so I'm thinking it
- was October the 8th, somewhere in there Is
- 1 that right?
- Q I believe that's correct October 8th?
- (Witness moves head up and down)
- 10 Q. Well, let's look at some of these board
- in minutes that were produced after your last
- 12 deposition. There's some notes that were taken
- 13 of these meetings
- MR WISSBROECKER We'll label this
- 15 Perdue 6A?
- (Exhibit No 6A was marked)
- BY MR WISSBROECKER: 17
- 18 Q. These are handwritten minutes of the
- 19 board -- you know, various board meetings. But
- 29 actually the first one here is is the October
- 21 8th one, which we were just talking about And
- 22 there's -- there's a lot of discussion in here
- 23 about some of the matters that we've just
- 24 generally spoke about
- 25 And of course this discussion that we

Mm-L'-Scripe&

Page 53

- i just talked about that you had with the board
- where you represented that there had been no
- arrangements in place, there's a on page -
- . let me see Well, let's see Well, they're not
- s internally paginated, but if you look at the
- Bates stamps numbers at the bottom, DG151396.
- A Okay Okay
- Q Then if you look over at the next page,
- It says midway down the page, "Perdue." This is
- 10 a discussion about the potential transaction
- 11 with KKR Does that -- what were you telling
- 12 the board there? What does that --
- 13 A Well, I was just -- if I remember
- se correctly, I just said, "Look, this is a" -- I
- 15 was talking about my -- my own personal
- 14 objectivity in this situation
- 17 Q. Uh-huh
- 18 A There was no first of all, I had no
- 19 predisclosed or prearranged understanding with
- 20 KKR at all. Secondly, because of that, there
- 21 was no implication one way or the other. There
- 22 was just no information about the management
- 23 team or me individually So this -- this on
- 24 Page 151397 is consistent with my recollection
- 25 Q You it says, "They would do it without

- 1 Cal Not clear whether they'd do it without
- 2 Perdue * Is that what you -- is that something
- 1 that you told the board?
- A I don't remember I don't think I would
- s have couched it that way I think, you know -
- s I've already relayed what I did -- what I do
- + remember telling them
- Q And if you look over on on 15401, the
- . second kind of entry there starts with Bottorff?
- 10 A. Uh-huh
- 11 Q It says, "Not that simple Does Perdue
- 12 currently have a conflict? Lots of discussion "
- 13 Do you recall that discussion?
- 14 A Parts of it.
- 15 Q What -- what do you recall about that
- 16 discussion?
- 11 A I remember them talking about my role,
- us you know, whether or not I was going to take a
- 15 -- or have a prearranged deal with KKR that
- 20 would clearly just make us, the board, do it one
- 21 way versus what I was telling the board that
- 22 night was that I was clearly still in my role as
- 23 CEO and chairman of the board inside Dollar
- 34 General I would be with them and reacting to
- 25 any outside inquines, et cetera, et cetera. So

Page 55

Page 56

- I was confirming with the board that I was not
- Interested in doing a management buyout or one
- » of those things. We didn't get to that
- terminology This just wasn't at that level
- s But with regard to responding to KKR, you know,
- I think the board understood where I was from an
- 7 independent point of view
- Q And there was a concern that -- you know,
- obviously you explained to the board that there
- 10 was no arrangements existing at the time, but
- us was there a concern that -- that allowing you to
- be a part of that the process directly as, you
- 13 know, kind of leading of the process might
- 14 create some potential conflicts?
- 15 A No, I don't remember that at all I
- 16 think what -- what we said was very
- 17 specifically, as I said earlier, that we had
- 18 this Project Alpha thing, really kind of
- 1. maturing at that point in time. We had not made
- 20 any final decisions on that
- 21 Secondly, is this this inquiry from
- 23 KKR, and this -- as, you know, I've said
- 23 earlier, maybe it was the last deposition, there
- 24 was a lot of activity earlier that year
- 25 regarding the fear of a hostile move or

- something else, and the board was concerned
- about that But with regard to the formation of
- the Strategic Planning Committee, I don't recall
- being excluded from that, because I had a
- s conflict. It was just a board issue that we
- . felt, as we did with all the other committees,
- that they needed to be an independent
- membership
- Q. And this next line that says, "I might
- 20 entertain. " it looks like a quote –
- 11 "automatically raises questions". Do you recall
- 12 making that statement?
- 13 A No, I don't I don't know what that I
- 14 don't know that that's attributable to me, and I
- is don't -- I don't remember what that entailed
- 16 Q Let's talk about where you were in the
- process in February. That was before the merger
- agreement was entered into, correct?
- 19 A February '07?
- 20 Q February '07
- 21 A. Yes.
- 23 Q And at this time were you having any
- 23 direct contact with anyone at KKR?
- 24 A Yes This was during the due difigence
- 25 period I was in contact with TPG, KKR, Bain

Min-L-Scripts

Page 60

Page 57

and Blackstone

2 Q So were you having any direct discussions

3 with Mike Calbert at the time?

· A I'm sure we were We had various

s meetings set up where their team and our team

got together and we talked about the data room

I think the data room had something like 187,000

· documents in it. And there were a lot of

, questions about Alpha. There were a lot of

10 questions about the adjustment to EBITDA and so

11 forth. So we were in constant reaction mode to

12 all four of these private equity firms

23 Q Any other representatives at KKR you had

14 contact with during this time?

15 A. Yeah, their full team, Raj and the other

16 people Other people of -- I think Capstone was

11 involved I'm sorry, Capstone was not involved;

I apologize. That was prior to March, and

13 Capstone, to my knowledge, was not involved yet

20 Q And had anybody told you at this time

21 that -- that there -- there was a chance that

22 you may not be going forward with the company?

23 A No

24 Q Why don't you look at the Calbert

25 transcript that I've handed you earlier !

1 believe it's 4A, Perdue 4A

z A Okay

) Q Page 151

A (Pause) Okay, I've read 151

s Q And actually, let me give you a point of

reference Go up to Page 150

7 A Yeah

· Q This is actually a discussion of an

, e-mail, and the date is around February 12th,

1# February 13th Do you see that?

A Ido

12 Q Now, between mid-February and when --

13 when Mr Calbert had the not-going-forward

14 conversation with you, you had some opportunity

is to -- to meet with Mr. Calbert one-on-one,

16 that's correct, right?

13 A That's correct

18 Q Do you see here at the bottom of 151

19 Where -- where it's -- Mr Calbert indicates

20 that it was a strong contemplation that the

21 company would not be going forward with you?

11 A Yes

23 Q Does it surprise you to learn that --

24 that the -- Mr Calbert was having this

25 contemplating not going forward with you so

Page 59

,

MR KAZANOFF. Let me just make sure

I hear the -- can I hear the question back?

(Parameter last and last are the question back?)

(Requested last question read back)

MR KAZANOFF Objection to —
 object to the form

1 early on in the process?

7 THE WITNESS You know, this is very

personal

BY MR WISSBROECKER:

to Q | Lunderstand

11 A. But it really wasn't that surprising I

12 had to take a hard stance with Mike on a number

13 of things over a fairly long period of time

24 And again, with the normal hentage of the

15 private equity world, I knew that it was a good

16 possibility. So no, it doesn't surprise me that

13 he was thinking about that. I can see where he

10 would have had -- I'm sure one of the things

1» that he would have to be evaluating all through

20 due diligence process is what about the

21 management team So that he was contemplating

22 It at that point, I think he had to be

23 contemplating it. That was part of his job.

24 Q Now, you say you had to take a hard

25 stance with Mike on a number of issues?

A Right

2 Q Did any conflicts ever arise between the

s two of you?

· A No, not really, but there were - there

s were meetings and that sort of thing And to

s allocate our management team so that we could

give everybody the – an equal response to their

questions from the data room, we were not always

, able to accommodate the schedules and the

10 meetings and that sort of thing So I didn't

11 leave that to my CFO I sort of took that

mantra up and all of them, and we - we probably

13 had the same thing with Josh Beckenstein at Bain

14 as well with regard to just how do we coordinate

19 all of this due diligence

14 Q Which -- did you get the sense that Mr

27 Calbert was -- was pushing or asking for things

that maybe you didn't feel comfortable providing

12 him?

20 A No, no I mean, I don't know what else

2x we had in terms of they all had confidentiality

22 agreements We had 187,000 documents. There

23 wasn't much -- there wasn't anything that --

24 that I knew that wasn't in the data room,

25 basically I mean, everything - unless I had

Min-L-Script®

Vowell & Jennings, Inc. (615) 256-1935

(15) Page 57 - Page 68

Page 61

an opinion or something. But all of the

- 2 reports, the financial projections, all of the
- a options that we had laid out were included
- Q So what did this hard stance entail?
- s mean, he got the information, so .
- A Well, he was you know, Mike was very
- aggressive, and and he would want the meeting
- when he wanted it, and sometimes I would have to
- » say, "No " And I can't remember any specifics,
- and that's the sort of thing And I has some of
- 11 the same conversations with Josh Beckenstein as
- 13 Q When did -- when did you first have to
- 14 take a hard stance with Mr Calbert?
- 15 A I don't recall It was sometime during
- 16 the due diligence process
- 17 Q Was it before the board decided to go
- 18 forward with the process?
- 19 A No, it was after Bain and Blackstone and
- 20 TPG and KKR were chosen to do the detailed due
- 21 diligence
- 22 Q And you had -- you had mentioned
- 3) something about equal access. Did you get the
- 24 sense that Mr Calbert was trying to -- to gain
- 25 unequal access to some of this information?

- A No, they -- they were just very
 - 2 aggressive all -- you know, but -- but so was
 - a Bain. I don't mean to intimate that Mike was
 - any more or less aggressive. But the answer to
 - s question earlier was that this wasn't a total,
 - 4 you know, anything you want we'll give you. We
 - n had to work within the constraints of the due
 - diligence process It was very tight with a lot
 - s of people that were looking. You know, four
 - 16 different private equity firms that -- that were
 - 11 really very, very much engaged in the detailed
 - 12 analysis
 - 13 Q Now, you -- you've mentioned a couple of
 - is times that you didn't really have any exception,
 - 15 that in a private equity deal that there would
 - se be a continuing role for management. Now, did
 - 17 you -- what did you base that on?
 - 18 MR KAZANOFF Object to the form of
 - 19 the question
 - THE WITNESS Just my general
 - 21 knowledge of transactions like this that had
 - 22 gone And again, that was a very probably a
 - 21 very small model, but that was that was
 - 24 perception
 - 25 Now, having said that, I remember

Page 63

Page 64

- talking to Mike specifically about each member of the senior team and their pluses and minuses
- and that sort of thing Frankly, I'm gratified
- that they kept most of them And so we had,
- s dunng that due diligence process, dunng that
- January, February and March time frame, we did
- have with Raj and several other of the other
- · people we had those conversations. I had the
- same conversation with, I think it was Michael
- 38 Jay and Josh Beckenstein of the other side
- 11 Michael Jay is with Blackstone
- BY MR. WISSBROECKER.
- 13 Q So you had -- as part of the due
- 24 diligence process, Mike came to you and said,
- "Look, what do you think of these particular" --
- 16 A. How strong is the management team, yeah
- 17 Q And did he did he ask you any
- 10 questions similar of yourself, how you viewed
- 19 your own performance?
- 20 A Not that I recall, no.
- 23 Q Did you suspect that he was asking those
- 22 questions of other people in order to get that
- 23 information?
- 24 A Oh, sure That'd be very normal And
- 25 I'm quite sure that the other guys were as well

- : Q Did you -- did you look at any other
- : transactions that KKR had been involved in to
- kind of get a sense of how they operated and how
- they did things?
- s A No, we really didn't have time And, you
- know, I knew a little bit about Michaels and
- what had happened there with the team
- . Toys"R"Us was, you know, in the news And part
- of my role was trying to keep up with what was
- 10 going on in retail. I knew a little bit about
- 11 that, but that was all
- 12 Q And do you know whether or not the
- 13 management teams in those situations were --
- 14 were were kept on?
- is A Some were kept, some weren't
- 16 Q Do you know whether or not the upper
- 17 level management was?
- 1. A Yeah, the CEOs were not
- 19 Q And so that's -- is that your basis for
- 20 -- for assuming that they --
- 21 A. Two of them. I mean, those were two that
- 22 were in retail. But you had Sears with Allen.
- 23 Lacy a few years before And there were other
- 24 examples where that had happened
- 25 Q And you may have answered this before,

Man-U-Seripië

Page 68

Page 65

1 and if you have, then you can just -- just give

- 2 me a short answer You -- you were hopeful that
- you would remain on as CEO, correct?
- · A. Yes
- s Q Now --
- MR WISSBROECKER We'll mark this
- + one as Perdue 7A.
- THE WITNESS: Okay
- (Exhibit No 7A was marked)
- 10 (Discussion off the record between
- 11 the court reporter and the witness)
- 12 MR KAZANOFF, David, one thing on
- 13 this, which is how we handled this e-mail when
- 14 It was used in Calbert's deposition. I think
- 15 there's on -- there's a reference to an
- ii individual here who may still be in his current.
- 17 position, and so we strive to not have his name
- is appear in the -- and I think we've designated
- 19 this whole transcript as confidential, but we've
- as made every effort to minimize that
- 21 MR WISSBROECKER, That's I
- 22 don't, you know --
- 23 MR KAZANOFF I'd ask you to try to
- 24 do the same thing because just for the record,
- 25 he's a nonparty at this point

- ge 65
 - MR WISSBROECKER Yeah, it's -- and
 - 2 we'll stay away from that It's not a concern
 - a of ours
 - THE WITNESS Okay, I've read this
 - BY MR. WISSBROECKER
 - Q. Now, this is an e-mail from Mike Calbert
 - 10, you know, George Roberts and others --
 - internal KKR guys
 - A. Uh-huh
 - 16 Q It appears to be a kind of a summary
 - 11 of a conversation with Cal Turner Does that --
 - 12 does that sound right to you?
 - 13 A Well, I would say -- the only add I would
 - 14 say is if you take away -- some of this input is
 - 15 coming from Stoney O' Bryant It looks like he
 - spent six hours with Stoney going around looking
 - 27 at stores Stoney is a retired executive from
 - Dollar General that retired during my time there.
 - 19 and was encouraged by me to do so
 - 20 Q So this was a it's a conversation with
 - 21 Cal and Stoney, so one of the other two -- one
 - 22 of those two individuals has taken the positions
 - 23 here, a few takeaways, is that correct?
 - 24 A That's correct
 - 25 MR DIETZ: Objection to form

Page 67

- MR KAZANOFF: Object to the form BY MR. WISSBROECKER.
- 3 Q Now, we talked earlier about -- about an
- e-mail that that suggested that Cal Turner
- s was maybe one of the individuals that was not
- « wanting you to continue on Do you recall that
- 7 conversation?
- A. Ido
- s Q Now, as you -- is this -- is this the
- se first time that as you sit here today, is
- 11 this the first time that you've learned that
- 12 information?
- n A Yes
- Q Now, where was what was Cal Turner's
- 15 role in the process? This is -- this is mid
- December Is this after the company's been —
- after the after the Strategic Planning
- 10 Committee or the board's authorized the sales
- 19 process? Do you recall?
- 20 A. Well, I don't know that there was a sales
- 22 process. You know, in December -- here --
- 12 here's my recollection. The due diligence
- 2) process started in January with KKR and
- 24 Blackstone, TPG and KKR -- I'm sorry, Bain and
- 25 Blackstone, KR and TPG And the Strategic

- 1 Planning Committee was formed in October
 - z sometime. I can't speak to Cal's role during
 - a that period of time. I was not having
 - · interaction with him about this
 - s Q Now, he's -- he's not on the board, is
 - 4 that correct?
 - A That's correct
 - Q But he does have kind of a quasi
 - representative on the board of Mr Wilds, is
 - 10 that correct?
 - MR DIETZ Objection.
 - MR RILEY: Object to the form.
 - THE WITNESS: I never looked at
 - David Wilds as a representative of Cal Turner's.
 - BY MR WISSBROECKER.
 - 14 Q But they do have a relationship, correct?
 - 7 A They have a relationship independent of
 - 18 David's responsibility as the director of the
 - s board
 - 20 Q Now let's look back at the proxy real
 - 21 quick as I actually don't recall myself when the
 - 22 process started Now, if you look at Page 16 -
 - 23 A Of Mike Calbert's?
 - 24 Q. I'm sorry, of the proxy This is 1A
 - 25 A I'm sorry Yeah Page what?

Min-L-Scripes

Page 69 1 Q Page 16 BY MR WISSBROECKER 2 A Yes Okav Q Actualty, Page 26 at the top 1 Q There's a -- there's a couple of THE WITNESS Oh, I see 16 I'm · paragraphs right from the top, the second and · sorry Now I see it I apologize s third paragraph. At the end of the second. MR DIETZ Yeah a paragraph it states, "in early December 2006. THE WITNESS Okay the company entered into confidentiality BY MR WISSBROECKER agreements with private equity firms, including Q So does that refresh your recollection as » KKR." And then --10 A I'm sorry. I don't see that sentence, and 10 A It does, it does 11 I'm on Page 16 Q So that was in early December 2006 when 12 Q Internal Page 16 12 the company started in earnest to do due MR. KAZANOFF: No, it's at the 13 13 diligence? 14 bottom 14 A That's correct So it was really MR DIETZ It's at the bottom of 15 December, January, February and early March 13 14 the page 14 Q So do you find it surprising that - that THE WITNESS On 12 17 Mike Calbert's having conversations with Cal MR DIETZ The internal pagination, 18 18 Tumer at this stage of the process? so keep -- keep going over 19 MR RILEY Object to the form. THE WITNESS Yeah, I didn't see 20 THE WITNESS You know, I think Where do you see the page number? 21 21 bigger issue is he -- he -- he was doing due MR DIETZ Go to Page 17 at the 21 22 diligence with a retired executive that had been 23 top, 27 of 41 23 with Cal for a long period of time. You know.

Page 71

Page 72

data point, another perspective Am I surprised he had a meeting with Cal? No You know, I

just -- it's a large shareholder, ran the

company for a long time, his family is - he's

s part of the founding family, so from that

standpoint, I don't see that as outside his

bounds of inquiry, frankly, personally

THE WITNESS Oh, sorry

MR DIETZ That's fine

BY MR WISSBROECKER

Q Do you find it surpnsing that Cal is --

10 is discussing whether or not you're going to

11 have the role with the company as the CEO at

12 this point?

24

23

13 A. I'm disappointed because he's out of

14 touch, but am I surprised? No I think Mike is

15 -- you know, Mike's touching the data points.

14 Q Now, let me ask you about the first -

the first the dark you about the list -

17 the first takeaway, which is -- it reads,

18 "Perdue has created a very political, divisive

15 and autocratic management environment * I'm

20 sorry, this is in the e-mail. The e-mail

a: A Oh, sorry

22 Q 7A

23 A Yes Okay Right

THE VIDEOGRAPHER Counselor, we

25 need to change tape

MR WISSBROECKER, How many more

and I - if I had been him, I probably would

as have done the same thing. It just gets another

a minutes do we have?

THE VIDEOGRAPHER, Two

MR WISSBROECKER Okay Let's

s change the tape

THE VIDEOGRAPHER We're now going

off the record. Time on the video monitor is

12.16

(Brief recess was observed.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER. We're now coming

11 back onto the record with Tape No. 2 Time on

12 the individual monitor is 12-19

BY MR WISSBROECKER.

14 Q Mr Perdue, just before we changed tapes

15 I'd asked you about this first line here under

1s "A few takeaways" It's someone, either Cal or

Stoney saying that, you know, you had created "a

otoney saying mar, you know, you had created a

very political divisive and autocratic

management environment * And I'm sure you don't

20 agree with that, but what do you think that

21 means?

22 MR. RILEY Object to the form

23 MR DIETZ: Object to the form

24 THE WITNESS You know, I'm — I'm

as going to give you my answer. You know, this is

Min-L-Script&

Page 76

Page 73

Cal, and I have no idea what Cal's talking about 1 here

BY MR WISSBROECKER

· O Do you think that he has any basis for

s this, or is this something he's making up, or is

he talking to management?

7 A I really don't --

MR RILEY, Object to the form

THE WITNESS I have no idea

BY MR WISSBROECKER 10

12 Q Did you ever -- were you -- did you ever

12 hear that before about your performance?

13 A

14 Q Did anyone --

15 A Let me - may I have add one thing?

Sure Yeah

It was quite obvious to me, though,

18 because I kept meeting with Cal all through my

s tenure at Dollar General, that Cal -- although

20 he might have been very political or politic

21 about -- or generally saying, it was very

22 obvious to me that he felt differently about the

23 strategy of the company than I did He did not

24 like our move to a clearance strategy, which was

25 a basis -- one of the bases of Alpha He didn't

: like closing older stores He didn't like

2 EZstore, even though it look a lot of pressure

s off the store managers and ultimately reduced

turnover And he certainly didn't like this big

s move to get nd of inventory and the markdowns

So those are -- those are strategic differences

that I know he and I had because we talked about

. him You know, and it wasn't just during this

period of time. This was an ongoing dialogue.

So does it surprise me? No And had we

ever talked about this type of evaluation of it

12 where these words were not necessarily said, I

13 knew that he was not on the same page -- he and

14 I were not necessarily on the same page. But

15 that's fairly normal when you come into this

16 Situation

17 Q Now, did you - did you ever get a sense

18 that -- that that kind of division, you know, as

s for as your approach to the company, that that

-- that had given Cal the notion that -- that

you weren't an appropriate CEO for Dollar

General?

23 A I don't think it - in my mind, anyway,

14 It never was raised to that level. But it

25 doesn't surprise me that it had

Page 75

THE WITNESS: Could you read - I

a pologize Could you just read the first part

of the question back? I think that's - I think

I understand

BY MR WISSBROECKER

It's tough with a bunch of attorneys

That's okay

Trying to have this conversation, and

they keep jumping in?

Well

(Requested previous question read

12 back.)

THE WITNESS: In the initial 11

14 conversations the answer to that is no, because

15 there was no conversation about the management

16 team, and I don't see how Mike could have - or

that KKR could have had an opinion I do recall

18 during the due diligence process, I heard Mike

and Raj comment on the quality of the management

team I also heard Josh Beckenstein make that

comment on more than one occasion as well.

BY MR. WISSBROECKER 33

23 Q Well, in your initial conversation with

24 KKR, why did they tell you that they were

25 Interested in the company?

1 Q Now, going back to -- and we'll get into

2 some more of this later, but going back to your · initial conversations with KKR, was there a

· comment made by Mr Calbert or anyone that you

s talked to that they were impressed with Dollar

general's management team?

That they were impressed?

. Q (Counsel moves head up and down)

I remember in the due diligence --

MR RILEY Excuse me What was the 11 answer to his guestion? I didn't understand 12 your question

BY MR. WISSBROECKER.

14 Q Well, did you understand the question?

MR RILEY, I didn't hear it Well. 16 he asked you to - to clarify it for him, and

19 did you?

MR WISSBROECKER Well, he's 13

19 answering the question, so I think he's - he's

20 clear to go forward at this point

MR RILEY. Well, that's because you 21

22 nodded your head, but you didn't put anything on 23 the record So I object to the question as

24 being unclear on the record as you laid out in

25 your mittal rules

Page 80

Page 77

MR RILEY Object to the form of

2 the question

THE WITNESS In my initial

conversation with KKR, I don't remember them

s making a statement that they were interested in

« KKR

BY MR WISSBROECKER

Q Well, what did they tell you? Why - why

were they -- why were they interested in KKR?

Did they tell you anything?

MR KAZANOFF Objection It's 11

12 turned around Interested in Dollar General

THE WITNESS. Why were they -- why

were they interested in Dollar General? In my

15 first meeting with KKR, I met -- I intended to

16 meet with George Roberts I ended up meeting

17 with George and Mike Calbert who I did not know

at that time And in that conversation, it was

19 really more about what's going on in the

20 environment, what's happening in the sector

21 There was a lot of influx of credit money into

22 the private equity world. There was a lot of

activity 23

We had had inquines at Dollar 24

25 General We had a hedge fund. We had two hedge

funds making noise We had – potentially had

2 heard that two other private equity firms were

gathering data, is the way it was represented to

· us And as part of this due diligence. I had

s met with George Roberts In that conversation.

George Roberts gave us -- gave me a very brief

overview of the sector. They had been watching

It for a -- for a time within the retail sector.

They had done other retail deals They had

never moved in here because evaluations had

never been of an attractive nature to give them

any opportunity to do it. They felt like long-

term prospects of the sector were mixed. They

had mixed views on whether it would be a good

sector to get into or not, and that was pretty

14 much it

It was a very basic conversation

1. about how private equity works. I asked him

1) about hedge funds, et cetera, et cetera. And as

24 I told the board later, you know, I found out

21 that, yes, there are people out there in the

community who have us on their, quote, white

21 boards Not as targets but just people that

24 they are keeping up with

BY MR WISSBROECKER

Page 79

1 and George Roberts and others at KKR The

subject's "Cal" It's dated November 19th. a 2006.

+ A Uh-huh

5 Q Now, from -- we just looked at the proxy

and determined that the due diligence process

really didn't start until early - early

December, is that correct?

Right

10 Q. So these are some conversations that are

11 going on between Cal and the KKR people before

12 that; is that correct?

It was dated November 19th

Now, there is a -- the second paragraph

is down referring to Cal, "He intimated that he

16 thought the board would approach him to come

back into the company (implying they would fire

10 Perdue) "

19 A. Uh-huh

Q Now, was there any discussion at the

23 board level that you recall about continuing on

22 as CEO, about you continuing on as CEO?

23 A

24 Q. So where do you think that Cal may have

25 gotten his information from?

1 Q And was Mr Cal Turner involved in that

2 conversation?

1 A Nn

. 0 Was he not there?

s A

Now, do you think that it was appropriate 6 Q

of for -- for Mr Calbert to be looking to Cal

Turner for advice on whether or not you should

be continuing as the CEO in the company?

In December? 10 A

MR. KAZANOFF Object to the form 11

THE WITNESS, I can give you my 12

13 answer on that You know, if I had been someone

14 looking at the company doing due diligence,

15 which in December they were, I would have

14 reached out to various data points on the people

17 that I was going to use to effect this project

1. And so, yeah, I think it was probably okay for 19 him to be doing that

MR WISSBROECKER Mark this as 20

za Perdue 8A

(Exhibit No 8A was marked) 22

THE WITNESS. (Pause) Okay 33

BY MR. WISSBROECKER-

25 Q Now, this is an e-mail from Mike Calbert

Page 84

MR KAZANOFF. Object to the form 1

- MR DIETZ Objection to the form 2
- MR RILEY Object to the form
- THE WITNESS: I really wouldn't
- s know
- BY MR WISSBROECKER
- Do you believe that Cal was --
- · A Let me say this
- , 0 Sure
- This is a little nonsensical because Cal
- 12 couldn't come back into this company, not as a
- 13 director, not an as officer
- Based on some prior arrangements he had
- 14 with the company?
- Based on some prior arrangements he had
- 16 with the SEC
- 17 Q With the SEC?
- so what -- what -- what do you think his
- 19 motive is here?
- MR DIETZ. Object to the form. 20
- THE WITNESS I really don't know 21
- BY MR WISSBROECKER
- Q So he's -- he's suggesting to KKR that he
- 24 can come back into the company Did Cal know
- 15 that he was not in a position to return to the

- Page 81
- i company?
- 2 A 1-
- MR DIETZ Objection to the form
- THE WITNESS I can't I have no
- s idea what he's where he's going with this
- BY MR WISSBROECKER
- 7 Q Now, the next paragraph starts out, "He
- · said Perdue continues to push his agenda for
- going private " Is that a correct statement?
- MR DIETZ Objection to the form
- THE WITNESS Is it correct that Cal. 11
- 12 said that?
- BY MR WISSBROECKER
- 14 Q Well, do you consider that statement by
- 15 Cal correct?
- MR RILEY Object to the form
- THE WITNESS Well, I had no agenda
- 16 for going private, as I've said before So I
- 39 don't know whether Cal said this to Mike or not
 - BY MR WISSBROECKER
- 23 Q And the next line discusses a "growing
- 22 discontent for his performance * Do you -- can
- you point to a basis for that statement?
- MR RILEY Object to the form 24
- THE WITNESS: No. I can't 25

Page 83

MR DIETZ. Objection.

- THE WITNESS Well, I can't I'm
- s not in control of what Mike and Cal do. You
- know, I was not aware they were having
- s conversations is it uncommon for a private
- equity firm to have a conversation with a large
- shareholder? I don't know
- BY MR WISSBROECKER.
- Q. Now, how many shares did Call own?
- 10 A I -- I don't recall. It was -- ballpark
- 12 collectively with the family, it was in -- it
- 12 was between 15 and 20 percent
- 13 Q So he's -- he's -- he's -- in some ways
- 14 he's an insider from his -- from his prior
- 15 connections with the company?
- MR DIETZ Objection to the form. 14
- MR. RILEY Object to the form. 27
- MR KAZANOFF, Object to the form 18
- BY MR WISSBROECKER: 19
- 20 Q Would you agree with that?
- 23 A No, I wouldn't
- 23 Q But he -- you know, he has got -- David
- 23 Wilds is on the board; is that correct?
- 24 A David Wilds is on the board
- 25 Q And then and then and David Wilds

BY MR WISSBROECKER

- 2 Q Did you -- were you hearing anything
- s internally from your management there was some
- discontent about your performance?
- A No. I have only a couple of incidents —
- or not incidents but situations where I hadn't
- promoted someone, you know, who thought they
- should have been promoted and that sort of
- , thing, and they were at a very senior level But no, there was nothing like this going on
- 11 between -- I was getting no feedback from the
- 12 board that would be consistent with this
- 13 Q Now, was there some concern that you weren't around enough as a CEO?
- 15 A I never heard that: I never heard it from
- is anybody, and it can't be documented. I think I
- 17 know where it came from, but it's it's
- a totally frivolous
- 19 Q. Now, does it -- does it -- and I
- 20 understand you don't agree with a lot of the
- 21 representations in this e-mail, and is it -
- 22 does it seem strange to you that this
- 22 conversation is occurring before the due
- 24 diligence process starts? MR. RILEY. Object to the form

Page 85 does what for Mr -- for Mr Cal Turner? 2 A Well, I'm not quite sure I think David 1 runs the family -- he advises the family trust · on investment management s Q. So there is some connection between Mr. Tumer and Mr Wilds? A I think there is a business connection, ves Q Now, does any of this information here 10 strike you as -- as something that may be coming 11 from a confidential source? MR DIETZ Object to the form 12 THE WITNESS 1 -- I wouldn't know 1.3 14 I don't know BY MR WISSBROECKER. 15 14 Q. But if it -- if it wasn't coming from a 17 confidential source within the company, then it would be completely without any basis, is that us correct? MR DIETZ Objection to the form 20 THE WITNESS Well, yes. I mean, 21

22 I'm not sure that it's not without basis

24 Q But if it did have some basis -- and

25 Obviously you don't agree that it does - but if

BY MR WISSBROECKER

Page 86 1 it did have some basis, it would have to be a corning from someone within the company, is that i correct? MR DIETZ Objection. MR KAZANOFF Object to the form 5 THE WITNESS Well, I -- I don't know Cal has a wide network of people, and he can speculate as well as anybody else I - I just don't know how to answer that I think Call thinks he knows a lot more than he knows at times, so I'm not -- I'm not ready to say, based on this, that he's had a confidential conversation that would lead him to these 14 conclusions Cal makes up his mind about things without a lot of information sometimes BY MR. WISSBROECKER 17 Q Would it concern you if he was divulging 18 confidential company information to KKR at this stage before the due diligence process was ze starting? 21 A. Well, first of all, it would concern me

22 If he had confidential information And

24 -- if that were true

23 secondly, yes, it would concern me if that were

as Q We'll do the lunch soon We're going to

Page 87 s go through one more document. Okay MR WISSBROECKER. This is Perdue 3 . 9A. 5 (Exhibit No 9A was marked.) (Discussion off the record between the court reporter and the witness) THE WITNESS (Pause) Okay I've read the document. BY MR WISSBROECKER. 11 Q Now, the date of this document is - is 13 November 8th, 2006. It's an e-mail from Mike 13 Calbert to George Roberts and Raj Agrawal and 14 Joe Bubel and some people from KKR. It says, 15 "George, I spoke with Cal Turner tonight" 16 So does that -- in your view, does that - does that mean that this e-mail reflects a as conversation that occurred on November 8th of 19 20067 MR RILEY. Object to the form 20 21 MR. DIETZ Objection to the form THE WITNESS Well, if I read this,

23 Mike is reporting to George that he's -- he's

24 claiming he talked to Cal Turner that night | 1

as don't know if they talked or not

Page 88 BY MR WISSBROECKER 2 Q Now, the e-mail discusses a number of things. It says, "The board has formed a committee headed by Denny Bortoff* or Bortoff -s I always get that wrong, and there's a process under way to evaluate the transaction, the board's retained Lehman and Lezard As of November 8th, 2006, does that appear to be some Information that — that may be confidential 10 company information? MR. RILEY Object to the form II. THE WITNESS, Well, I don't - 1 13 don't think so I think -- you know, the fact that we have investment bankers is -- is not 15 confidential That's a public piece of 16 information, at least with regard to Lehman and 17 -- and Lezard I don't see anything unloward se there. But I can't represent whether it was 15 said or not or how he -- how he purported to 20 have had that information 21 BY MR WISSBROECKER 22 Q There was a public announcement about the 23 formation of the committee and its composition? a A. I don't recall 25 Q Now, does Cal attend board meetings?

Page 92

Page 89 1 A No i the board members ever tell you that, that they 2 Q Has he ever? I mean, during the first -3 A Yeah, in the first -- the first -- he had 1 team? · an opportunity to in the first two years as an + A No. s adviser of the company I don't think he ever 5 Q e did, obviously « you » Q So -- so was Cal at the -- at the board 7 A Up until I left meeting October 8th, 2006? A No, he was not 10 Q So if he got this information, it had to 11 A No us be from someone that was there at the meeting, 12 is that correct? 12 information? MR RILEY Object to the form 13 A No. I don't MR DIETZ Object to the form 14 THE WITNESS I really don't know

16 how he got this information BY MR WISSBROECKER 17

to Q Now, you - later on it says, "Cal thinks 19 the board isn't completely comfortable with the 20 motive of Perdue " Do you know what he could

21 have meant by "motive"?

22 A No 23 Q. And it says, "Some of the board members 24 think Perdue may not be in synch [sic] with his

as management team." Did you ever -- did any of

: thought you weren't in sync with the management

Is that as of this time or up until

· Q And did you ever think that you were

s losing some credibility with the board?

11 Q So you don't know where Cal got this

MR WISSBROECKER: I think we can

15 probably take your lunch break

THE VIDEOGRAPHER. One second Hev

17 We are now going off the record. Time on the

video monitor is 12.38.

(Lunch recess was observed)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now coming

21 back onto the record Time on the video monitor

22 15 13 19

23 BY MR WISSBROECKER

24 Q Mr. Perdue, if you'll look again at the

28 Calbert deposition

Page 91

BY MR WISSBROECKER-

2 Q Well, if you look down at Page 113.

Right

Q The discussion at Line 13 to Line 20.

5 "You know as of mid-December 2006, had you or

KKR come to the conclusion or started to think

that David Perdue was not the right CEO for

Dollar General?*

Right

The answer is, "We had not come to that

11 conclusion," but the thought had crossed their

12 minds Do you see that discussion?

13 A Yes, I do

14 Q So would you confirm that - that -

15 that, right, at least some consideration by KKR

16 that you would not be continuing on as the CEO

17 in mid to late December?

MR DIETZ. Object to the form 10

MR RILEY: Object to the form 19

THE WITNESS. This says that I had

21 no information of that at the time.

BY MR WISSBROECKER

23 Q So no one --

24 A. Or since then

25 Q So no one from KKR was expressing any

Which one is that?

2 Q Il's Exhibit 4A

A. 47 Yeah, I have it

Q And I -- I just have a couple more

s questions about this issue, and again I

apologize

That's TA

Of course, I have to get into this area

But I'm interested in 113 to 115.

10 A. Should I read that now?

n Q Yeah

12 A. (Pause) Okay I've read 112, -13, -14

11 and -15

14 Q In this discussion, it's -- Mr Calbert's

is discussing some views that KKR had about you

26 continuing on as CEO And it reflects that, at

17 least m -- as late as -- or as early as

December of 2006 that KKR was having these —

19 these discussions, et cetera, is that correct?

MR KAZANOFF Objection to the

21 form David, I think that goes beyond at least

22 stating what I've just read, but go ahead and

23 ask the question if you want

THE WITNESS Could you -- I'm

25 SOITY, could you repeat that?

Page 96

Page 93

reservations with you as a -- as a potential --

- 2 A No, they weren't They were not
- 3 Q And as of -- and are you learning today
- · for the first time that there was some concern
- s in December of 2006 that you might not be
- e continuing on with the company?
- A Yes, I am
- MR RILEY Object to the form
- MR KAZANOFF Object to the form
- MR. DIETZ Objection 10
- BY MR. WISSBROECKER
- 12 Q Now, if you look on the next page, Line 7
- 13 or Line 8 and 9
- 14 A On 114?
- 15 Q 114
- 16 A Uh-huh, right
- 17 Q. It says that Cal had very strong views
- that -- that David was the wrong CEO for the
- 19 business And just to confirm, had Cal ever
- expressed that to you directly before?
- zz A No But as I said earlier, I had this
- 22 doesn't totally surprise me I didn't -- I
- 23 would never have thought it would be very strong
- 24 views This is -- this is Mike relaying this.

(Exhibit No 10A was marked)

s deposition of Mr. Richard Thornburch

BY MR WISSBROECKER

as But no

· A

1 Perdue, 10A

- - a discussions in this December period with Mike
 - . Calbert at any stage?
 - A Sure, when they started the due diligence
 - s process
 - Q. So would you -- were you communicating
 - with him weekly or daily or how often?

1 Q And were you - were you having

- · A 1 think, in December, weekly is probably
- a fair characterization.
- Q And you would have had the opportunity to
- 11 have this discussion with him had he raised the
- 12 Issue, correct?
- 11 A Yes
- 14 Q Whether or not you were continuing on?
- MR. RILEY Object to the form 15
- THE WITNESS. That's correct
- BY MR WISSBROECKER 12
- 18 Q But that discussion never happened,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A That's correct
- Now and I think this is the last one
- 22 that we'll discuss with this topic. But if you
- look on -- I think I need to give you this
- (tendering)
- MR WISSBROECKER This will be 10A,

Page 95

BY MR WISSBROECKER

- 2 Q Now, you -- we looked at an e-mail from
- Cal Turner right before the break where there
- was some -- Calbert was relating a conversation
- that he had with Cal, and Cal was saying that --
- that he'd heard from certain members of the
- board that -- that maybe you weren't the right
- CEO And the conclusion of that was, well, we
- didn't know where he got that information from
- 18 So what I want to have you do is look at Pages
- 11 82 through 89. It's a conversation or
- 12 discussion of this issue with Mr. Thomburch
- Okay To what, 87? I'm sorry
- Eighty-nine 14 Q
- 15 A (Pause.) Okay. I've read those pages
- 16 Q Now, how well do you know Mr Thomburch?
- Not very well
- How long have you known him?
- Since he joined the board
- 20 Q Have you ever had any conflicts with him?
- 22 A
- 22 Q. Have you ever had any personal
- 23 conversations or one-on-one conversations?
- No
- 25 Q You've never --

y Q And Mr Thomburch - Mr Thomburch is a - a member of the board or was a member of the . board at Dollar General, is that correct?

Q And this -- this is the transcript of the

That's correct

Uh-huh.

11 Q. Do you know when he started.

12 approximately?

- A It was the second half of -- it was in
- 14 the third quarter of '06. I think
- 15 Q So would it be fair to say that he was on
- 16 a member of the board during the entire sales.
- 19 process for Dollar General?
- 10 A Well, I think he was in that first
- s meeting in October, that's how I can answer
- 20 that I think he was -- you know, he was there
- 21 then
- MR. KAZANOFF Let me interpose an
- 23 objection to describing it as a sales process.
- MR WISSBROECKER: We also have had
- 25 that same objection

Page 97

- 1 A Well, I take that back In his -- he
- a came in for a day, and we gave him an
- a indoctrination into the business. And we met
- · with our CFO, our head of stores, our head of
- s merchandising, and I probably had a one-on-one
- a bnef one-on-one with him that day
- 7 Q Now, what -- what did you discuss during
- the one-on-one?
- A I don't recall.
- 10 Q Did you discuss a potential of going
- 11 private with KKR?
- 11 A No
- 13 Q Did you discuss the company generally or
- 14 anything specific that you can recall?
- is A it was just background of the company,
- 16 you know, a little bit about what you had done
- 17 in narrowing the stages of the turnaround, the
- 18 other big projects that we had done and that
- 19 sort of thing That was it
- 10 Q Now, do you know if this was before or
- 21 after the October 8th meeting?
- 22 A It would have been before. It was a very
- 23 brief meeting. He was on the way to the
- 24 airport. He did most of the talking, actually,
- 25 as I recall

- 1 Q So this testimony about Mr Thornburch
 - 2 expresses some -- you know, he didn't think that
 - you were the right person for the job either,
 - apparently
 - A (Witness moves head up and down)
 - « Q Now, did he ever tell this to you?
 - 7 A No
 - . Q Did any member of the board ever say that
 - s to you?
 - to A No
 - 12 Q Now, how many do you is Dollar
 - 12 General, was that your first job as a CEO?
 - LO A NO
 - Q. Have you been on public boards before?
 - 15 A. Yes
 - 16 Q. Are you currently a member of a board of
 - 17 directors?
 - 10 A Yes
 - 19 Q Now, in your expenence, have you ever
 - had occasion to, as a member of the board of
 - 21 directors, express an opinion about an upper-
 - 22 level management individual like the CEO of a
 - 23 company?
 - 24 A Have I ever had the opportunity to do
 - s that

Page 99

- Q (Counsel moves head up and down)
- 2 A In general terms, yes
- 2 Q Now, was that negative or was that
- positive commentary?
- s A It was -- as I recall, it was positive
- · Q Now, if you -- if you had negative views
- 7 of a CEO, would you think it appropriate to
- express those directly to the individual --
- A Yes
- 10 Q. -- in some form?
- 11 Now, you said that Mr Thomburch was a
- 12 relatively new member of the board; is that
- 13 correct?
- * A Yeah In fact, I I'm I'm not sure
- 15 when he came on, but it was -- it wasn't long
- 16 before the October meeting It was, like I
- 17 said, time in the third quarter
- 14 Q He seems to have formed an opinion rather
- 19 quickly. I don't know exactly what the timing
- 26 of this conversation was, but he apparently had
- 21 a conversation with the HR of the organization,
- 22 that's discussed on Page 88 of the transcript.
- 23 Do you know who that individual would be, the
- 24 head of HR?
- as A Uh-huh.

- 1 Q Who was that?
- A I don't recall her name
- g Q is that Challes Lowe?
- · A Yes, it is.
- s Q Okay Now, you also said earlier that
- you'd never heard anyone from your your
- 7 immediate directs, at least, being dissatisfied
- with your performance, is that correct?
- A That's correct
- 10 Q Now, does it does it obviously this
- 11 conversation comes as a surprise. Then the
- 12 conversation with the HR director where she
- 13 apparently related some discomfort with your --
- 14 your role as a CEO
- 15 A I wasn't aware of it
- 16 Q. Now, would you think it appropriate that
- 17 a member of the board of directors should be
- us discussing these issues with HR?
- 29 A Well, I think -- I don't know when he did
- 20 it, but during the -- the only time I think he
- 21 would have had an opportunity to do that was in
- 22 his indoctrination period
- 23 Q. Uh-huh
- 24 A And I think that might have been the time
- as that that happened, and I don't see anything

Page 101

- : unloward in that
- 2 Q. Now, would it -- would you have preferred
- s that -- that head of HR had this conversation
- with you as opposed to a member of the board?
- A Well, I would have much preferred Dick
- « would have had that conversation with me. It
- puts the HR person in a very bad position.
- But, you know, if -- if Dick had some
- s concern, some specific certain concerns as he
- 10 lays out here, the proper thing would have been
- 11 to talk to the lead director and maybe me
- 12 directly
- 23 Q Now, by let's see It says that he
- 14 had a conversation -- by Dick you mean Mr
- 15 Thomburgh?
- Dick Thomburgh, yeah 16 A
- Now, it says he had a conversation with
- 18 Mr Bottorff That's referred to on Page 83
- Do you see that -- that discussion?
- 20 A Yes
- 21 Q Now, did Mr. Bottorff ever tell you that
- 22 he was dissatisfied with your work -
- No 21 A
- 24 Q -- as a CEO?
- 25 A No

- i Q If you look at the timing of this, it's
 - a late fall, early winter. Apparently there was a
 - s discussion. And where would that have been in
 - context of the sales process? Just just
 - beginning, correct?
 - MR DIETZ Objection to the form
 - BY MR WISSBROECKER.
 - · Q I'm sorry As -- the going private with
 - KKR?
 - 1. A. Well, I don't -
 - MR KAZANOFF Objection to form 11
 - MR DIETZ Same objection.
 - MR RILEY Same objection 13
 - THE WITNESS: Well, I don't know
 - 15 when this happened
 - BY MR WISSBROECKER.
 - 17 Q Uh-huh
 - 18 A I don't know when this conversation with
 - Bottorff happened, so I can't really kind of
 - ze relate where it was in the history of the fall
 - 21 of '06 at Dollar General
 - 21 Q Now, when did -- when did Mr Bere become
 - 21 the CFO?
 - 24 A Sometime in the same period of time,
 - 25 therd quarter, fourth quarter of '06.

Page 103

Page 104

- MR KAZANOFF, CFO, is that -1
- THE WITNESS, COO 1
- BY MR WISSBROECKER:
- 4 Q COO? Okay
- Yeah
- 6 Q He wasn't the CFO previously?
- 7 A No
- . Q. No?
- That was David Bere was a member of
- 10 the board
- 11 Q. That's Mr Tehle
- 13 A Correct
- 13 Q I'm getting all the Dave's mixed up
- 14 Now, at when -- when Mr Bere was
- 15 named COO, was there any discussion about
- is whether or not he would assume the role of the
- 17 CEO?
- 18 A No, not to my knowledge
- 15 Q. So, no one on the board told you that --
- that he may be a backstop, as as Mr
- 21 Thomburch says here, "Moving him from the board
- 12 to management to give us some options"?
- 33 A Well, I -- no I mean, that was never
- 24 discussed with me. But it's fairly common. One
- 25 of the reasons we had a COO position, I -- I

- actually created that position when I first
- arnved here. We hired one, and we lost him a
- year later Wal-Mart recruited that individual
- away, and we had been searching for one ever
- since then. So this was not a new-created -- a
- newly-created position. It was one that we had
- nad, we had lost, we had been doing a search,
- and that's really the background to David Bere
- s taking that position
- But no one ever told you that that -
- 11 A.
- he was possibly --12 Q
- 13 A I'm sorry
- : Q. being put in that position to become
- 15 the CEO next?
- No, that's correct 16 A
- Now, would you have -- now, let me -- let
- 18 me ask you, what's your relationship with
- 19 Mr Bottorff?
- He's a close personal friend of mine.
- 21 Q How long have you known him?
- 22 A Since I joined Dollar General
- 23 Q And how long is that?
- 24 A March/April of '03
- 25 Q Now, does it surprise you that -- that

Mm-U-Script&

Page 108

Page 105

- Mr Bottorff is having these conversations with
- 2 Mr Thornburch?
- A. That he's having the conversations? No
- I don't -- I don't know -- I can't reflect
- s whether Bottorff made this comment to Thomburch
- or not
- Q Well, Mr Thornburch said it happened
- Assuming it did, would you have expected Mr
- Bottorff to relay that -- that conversation to
- 10 you or make that expression?
- 11 A Well, I'll say it this way --
- MR DIETZ. Objection to the form
- 13 THE WITNESS -- if Bottorff would
- 14 have been upset with me, I would have known it.
- 15 And that was his personality. He had -- we
- 14 hadn't he was chair of the Governance
- 17 Committee, so we had a lot of interaction. We
- 10 had a director under his chairmanship there, so
- 19 yeah
- 20 BY MR. WISSBROECKER
- 21 Q So you think that this -- that Mr
- 22 Thomburgh might -- what he's stating here might
- 23 not have actually have happened?
- 24 A No, I didn't say that I just -- there's
- 25 no way I would know whether or not it happened

- 1 Could Denny have had that opinion? Sure 1
 - 2 just -- it would have been out of character
- y Q You would have expected him to tell you
- if he had that opinion of you?
- s A Would I have expected -- yeah, I would
- have expected it
- Q Well, let's -- let's switch gears for a
- · minute I want to talk to you about your
- Initial discussions with John Wood at Spencer
- so Stuart And this happened do you recall when
- 11 you first met with John Wood at Spencer Stuart?
- 12 A When I met him was in, like we said
- 13 earlier this morning, in the spring/winter of --
- 14 or no, spring of '07
- 15 Q. '07 or '06?
- 16 A '07.
- 17 Q So you did not meet him until '07?
- 18 A No I had a telephone conversation with
- 19 him back in -- sometime in '06
- 20 Q Just a telephone conversation?
- as A Yeah
- 22 Q Do you recall what you -- what the
- 23 substance of that conversation was, what the
- 24 reason for it was?
- 25 A No, I -- I don't I remember one of the

Page 107

- things discussed in there was he wanted to
- z introduce me to a couple people, one of whom was
- -- was George Roberts
- Q Now, what why did he want to introduce
- s you to George Roberts?
- A You know, I don't really know I think
- n he -- it was one of those things that search --
- · people in the search business do They love to
- develop networks and that sort of thing. But
- that was the only thing I remember in that
- 11 conversation.
- 12 Q Now, did he -- did he want to introduce
- 13 you to someone else? You said a couple of
- 14 people
- 15 A Yeah, I don't remember who they were, but
- 16 they were other, you know, potential candidates
- 17 There might have been one person that we might
- 10 have looked at, you know, as a COO I mean, I
- 19 had I had various conversations with people
- in the search business during this period of
- 21 time It was a well-known fact that we were
- 22 looking for a COO We had retained a company to
- 23 do that But I got calls on that periodically
- 2. Q So you think this conversation was in the
- 25 context of your search for a CO O?

- 1 A. I don't recall that
 - 2 Q Did he mention Mike Calbert during this
 - 3 conversation?
 - A Not that I recall
 - s Q And this was a phone call Did you
 - s initiate it or did he initiate it?
 - A. You know, I really don't I don't I
 - don't recall that
 - . Q Well, let me show you the transcript of
 - of the deposition of Mr Wood.
 - 11 A Right
 - MR. KAZANOFF David, what number is
 - 11 that?
 - MR DIETZ: 5A
 - 13 MR KAZANOFF 5A?
 - MR WISSBROECKER 5A
 - BY MR WISSBROECKER:
 - 18 Q Now, if you look starting on -- I guess
 - 19 If you want the whole history of it, you need to
 - start on Page 25, about Line 19.
 - 21 A How far does it go?
 - 22 Q Probably read over to -- to Page 35 for
 - 23 now, and we'll see if we need to have you read
 - 24 anything else
 - 25 A Okay (Pause)

Min-L-Script's

Vowell & Jennings, Inc (615) 256-1935

(27) Page 105 - Page 108

Page 112

Page 109

1 Q You -- actually, you can probably start

- 2 at Page 30 because we'll look at the e-mail
- 3 A I'm on 30
- · Q Okay
- s A (Pause) Okay
- « Q Okay So this -- does this -- does this
- + ...
- A It does
- Q refresh your recollection?
- 10 A I remember Susan Heart now. Susan was
- 11 someone that worked in retail. And again, I
- 12 think that conversation was the one that I was
- 13 referring to earlier when I said, John, we were
- 14 talking to her about maybe doing coming in
- is and helping us with the COO search. It was --
- 14 that was the specific purpose of that meeting.
- 17 And I'm not -- I'm still not clear as to whether
- 1. I onginated that call or that was in a response
- 10 to her initiating it
- 20 Q Now, it looks like from here that it's a
- 21 that it's an in-person meeting
- 22 A Yeah, that's the other thing. As I
- 23 recall it was, so I would like to amend that
- 24 felephone -- it was in New York I'm confusing
- 25 that with the earlier -- the later meeting with

- 1 her There was one in '07
 - 2 Q Now so you're talking to Ms. -- to Ms
 - Heart, and then John Wood down, pops into the
 - · office and says, you know, "We" -- "You might
 - s make a good fit for a CEO somewhere else," was
 - 4 that how the conversation started?
 - 7 A No
 - MR RILEY Object to the form
 - THE WITNESS Susan and I had been
 - 10 taiking about Dollar General --
 - 11 BY MR WISSBROECKER
 - 12 Q Okay
 - A the entire breadth of the
 - 14 conversation, as I recall. And again, this is
 - 15 several years ago. I honestly don't remember.
 - 14 I remember talking to John in that period of
 - 17 time. I thought it was on the phone, but this
 - 14 -- this does refresh my memory And he did come
 - in for a bnef moment in there to give me
 - 20 background as to their qualifications and what
 - 21 they do in that Nothing was said about me
 - 22 being a candidate for anything
 - 2) Q Now, did he discuss whether or not it
 - 24 would be a good idea for you to meet with George
 - 23 Roberts or Mike Calbert or someone else in

Page 111

- private equity?
- A You know, I don't I don't recall that Can I add to that?
- Q Sure.
- s A My memory says that somewhere in '06 he
- « was -- he called me, I didn't call him, and
- wanted to introduce me to George That never
- happened, but I remember him wanting to do that.
- Q Well, let me show you an e-mail
- MR. WISSBROECKER: We'll mark this
- 11 Perdue 11A
- (Exhibit No 11A was marked)
- 13 BY MR WISSBROECKER
- 14 Q And this is one of those long e-mail
- 15 strings, so I think it's probably most
- 14 appropriate to start on the back page and maybe
- 17 read that way, backwards
- A (Pause) I've read the document.
- 19 Q So if you go to the e-mail on the back
- page, which is an e-mail dated April 21st, 2006,
- 21 It's an e-mail from -- from John Wood to you,
- 22 and it references that conversation in New York.
- 23 Does that refresh your recollection as to
- 24 whether there were private equity discussions at
- 25 all during that conversation?

- 1 A No, it doesn't I don't -- I don't
- a remember that I know that he wanted me to meet
- George Roberts, but that's all I recall of it.
- Q Now if you look back at the Wood
- s deposition, that's a discussion Pages 34 through
- 4 37 It references Mr Wood's recollection of
- that conversation.
- A How far do you want to go?
- 9 Q Just to 37
- 10 A. Okay
- 11 Q That's fine
- 13 A (Pause) Okay
- O Does that does that help at all jar
- 14 your memory about what happened in that
- 15 conversation or what was said?
- 1s A You know, it -- it really doesn't I
- 17 mean, I remember the primary conversation about
- 18 Our our situation at Dollar General with the
- 19 COO I remember the offer to meet George
- 20 Roberts I don't remember Mike I honestly
- 21 don't remember Mike Calbert I'm not saving it
- 22 didn't happen, I just don't remember it And
- 13 that's my recollection I don't remember any
- 24 specifics about private equity or anything else
- as At some point in this same time frame, a

Min-U-Scriptz

Page 113

- 1 personal friend of mine had wanted me to meet
- 2 George Roberts just as a -- more of a personal
- 3 favor to him than anything else. His name was
- · David Doorman And there was a -- some kind of
- s an event out in San Francisco at some point in
- time, and he said, you know, "I would like for
- time, and he said, you know, I would like for
- you to meet him * And there were several others
- that he wanted me to -- not in private equity.
- . but other friends of his out there that he
- 10 wanted me to meet
- 11 Q Well, let's -- can we look at the -- back
- 12 to this No. 11, the e-mail string?
- 13 A Uh-huh
- Q. The next e-mail up is on the next page,
- 15 and it's one from you replying to -- to John
- 16 Wood referencing that -- that you do want to
- 17 meet Mike Do you see that --
- 10 A 1 do
- 19 Q e-mail text?
- 20 A I do
- 21 Q And it says that you have e-mailed each
- 22 other Had you e-mailed Mike Calbert at that
- 23 point?
- 24 A I could have, I just don't I don't
- 25 recall I mean, it would sound reasonable that

- 1 I would have
- 2 Q Is that -- is that a document that you
- 1 would have saved if you had e-mailed Mike?
- · A No, probably not
- Q. Wouldn't have it in your archives
- anywhere?
- 7 A Oh, I doubt it. It would have just been
- to set a meeting up, but I again, this didn't
- happen In other words, there was no I was
- 10 I was being courteous to John Wood I didn't
- 11 have a burning desire at that point to talk to
- 12 anybody in private equity. I had an interest in
- 13 David Doorman introducing me to friends and that
- sort of thing, and that's -- that's always a
- is nice thing to do. But as a result of the
- 16 meeting in New York, I don't remember following
- 17 through with Mike in this period of time, and it
- 18 doesn't look like I did
- 19 Q So you mean that may not be an accurate
- statement or you just don't remember if you
- 21 actually e-mailed Mike?
- 22 A Well, I could I'm not I could have
- 23 e-mailed Mike I'm not saying I didn't. That
- would have been very reasonable to have done
- 25 Q But even though you really weren't that

Page 115

Page 116

- 1 interested in the private equity at the time, it
- a was still the intention to try to -- to meet
- with him at some point, is that correct?
- · A Oh, I was trying to be polite to John
- s Wood at the point. I hadn't made a real burning
- decision I said, "If I'm in the neighborhood.
- 7 I'll stop by and see him and we'll talk," et
- cetera, et cetera. I may have mailed --
- e-mailed Mike But again, with all the other
- things going on at my desk, I'm not sure this
- 11 was a high priority
- 12 Q Can you explain the rest of that
- sentence, then, the time you know. "We have
- e-mailed each other but the time has not been
- 15 nght for us to meet"?
- 18 A Well, I think that has as much to -- to
- 17 say about schedules than anything else
- 14 Q But it says, "That'll" -- "that'll change
- 25 after our next board meeting "
- 20 A Well, we had -- if I remember, we had
- 21 year-end going dunng this penod of time If
- 22 that's -- yeah, we had year-end going I was --
- 23 I was covered up in trying to get prepared for
- 24 the presentation to the board, et cetera, et
- 25 cetera. The way I made it here, it sounds like

- 1 is that my time would -- would free up after
- a that board meeting
- 3 Q And that once your time freed up, you
- · would -- you would intend to meet with him at
- s some point?
- A Yeah I would -- I would meet with him.
- > I mean, I wouldn't call this a stall, by any
- means, but I'm -- I'm just saying it's not a --
- not a priority.
- 10 Q Now, the last sentence says, "I will
- 11 explain in more detail later " Did you ever -
- 12 A No
- 13 Q -- explain to Mr Wood --
- A Not to my knowledge I don't remember
- 15 ever talking to him about -- about this again
- 14 Q And that would have been just an
- 17 explanation around timing, or was there
- sa something else?
- A No It was really about -- I didn't want
- to be impolite and just blow off this referral,
- 21 but at the same time, we were I do remember
- 22 being covered up at that period of time every
- 23 year with preparing the year-end for the board
- 24 Q I guess we can skip forward to this June
- 25 5th e-mail that John Wood sends to you It's on

Mm-L-Scripts

Page 123

Page 117

the front page --

- 2 A Uh-huh
- Q saying he's going to see Mike on
- . Thursday, "and I know this will come up
- Anything for me to pass along?" Do you recall
- receiving that e-mail?
- 7 A Ido
- . Q Do --
- Well, I don't remember it I I can
- 10 read it
- 11 Q Sure And was there anything to pass
- 22 along at that point?
- 13 A About our meeting?
- 14 Q Well, that you may have wanted to pass
- 15 along to Mike Calbert
- 14 A No I wasn't initiating meeting Mike
- 11 Calbert This is something that John wanted me
- 14 to do I'm being polite like to Mike -- or to
- 11 John I just haven't been able to get it
- 28 Scheduled Teven say, "You're not being a
- 21 nudge," it's just -- I just don't have -- I
- 22 don't have time to do it yet.
- 23 Q So this is -- do you -- this is more of a
- 24 KKR driven thing at this point? You didn't

ever happen. But I don't recall ever having

recall that It doesn't sound like something I

indicates that -- that KKR's done at least some

13 Q So – so apparently KKR has some interest

19 as being a very common thing that people do who

MR KAZANOFF Object to the form

THE WITNESS: I don't know what

18 they're interested in. I would - I read this

20 are investors in any industry or sector. They

21 know who the big players are. We were the

22 leading -- the largest player in our sector. So

BY MR. WISSBROECKER

as Q And not to get back into some of the

10 - some research on the company, that's just

s about being public to private. I just don't

y would have said to a search person

Q Well, the -- the first part of this

11 pulling up the out-of-finance page

14 In you at this point, wouldn't you say?

MR DIETZ Object to the form

said anything to John Wood that I had an opinion.

is have -

- A No I think it's really John just trying
 - : to make an introduction And I even relayed
 - s here what I just said earlier, and that is that,
 - you know, I may have an opportunity to meet
 - George Roberts
 - · Q And this was the introduction from Mr
 - Doorman?
 - That's correct
 - Q And did you ever meet with George at this
 - 10 point in June?
 - 11 A. No
 - 12 Q Now, if you look at the very top e-mail.
 - some information about Dollar General. And the
 - last line is -- this is actually an e-mail from
 - 15 Mike Calbert to George Roberts. And it says,
 - 16 "John Wood at Spencer Stuart thinks David wants
 - 13 to do a public to private " Where would John
 - Wood have gotten that information from?
 - 39 A I don't have an idea
 - 20 Q You didn't express to him at any time
 - 21 that you were interested in doing a take-private
 - 22 transaction --
 - 23 A No.
 - 24 Q -- with KKR7
 - 25 A No Search firms have an incentive to --

Page 119

stuff we've talked about before, but at this

- : I mean, they get a lot of work when management turns over in these acquisitions, if one were to 2 time there was -- you had been having some
 -) peripheral conversation with other private
 - equity firms, is that correct?
 - s A I don't believe in June we had I think
 - it came after this Now, we had had -- and I
 - may be wrong on this -- but I had a conversation
 - in New York with Lee Cooperman with Omega And
 - . It was in this spring time frame. It may have
 - to been before June 5 And we had some dialogue
 - 11 with a couple people in the investment banking
 - 12 world David Frank would be one So I -- you
 - 13 know, I don't remember having spoken with
 - 14 anybody in the private equity world
 - 15 Q Just investment bankers that --
 - 16 A Yeah --
 - were facilitating? 17 Q
 - 18 A primarily investment bankers, and the
 - hedge fund that I mentioned who was out he
 - 20 was a 3 percent investor in Dollar General at
 - 21 that point
 - 22 Q So when is the first time that you
 - 21 actually discussed anything with a private
 - 24 equity firm?
 - MR DIETZ: Counsel, I believe we've

Vim-L-Script2

23 the doesn't surprise me

Yeah

12 A

15

16

24

Vowell & Jennings, Inc. (615) 256-1935

(30) Page 117 - Page 120

Page 121

- s gone over this in his prior -- prior deposition
- If you've got a new document to ask him about
- There was extensive questioning from your
- cocounsel about the discussions that that
- s moved into the fall and then became part of the
- € process
- MR WISSBROECKER I understand.
- . Counselor At this point, I'm just trying to --
- » it's been -- it's a long time since that
- to deposition, so i'm just trying to help Mr
- 12 Perdue along with some of the with some of
- 12 the timeline I'm not asking for any different
- 12 testimony
- MR DIETZ Well, if if you have
- a question or two to lay the foundation for a
- se new line of questioning based on a new document,
- 17 that's fine But if it's not another document,
- I'm going to object to the line of questions.
- BY MR WISSBROECKER
- 20 Q Okay Can you please answer?
- 21 A To my recollection, I made contact with
- 23 and I had been contacted by Jim Katzman who was
- 23 In the investment banking side of Goldman Sachs
- 24 I subsequently met with Adrian Jones and Jim
- as Katzman in New York I met with George Roberts,

- 1 and Mike Calbert happened to be in the meeting
- 2 And I met later or on that maybe on that
- same top, actually, with David Bonnerman, and a
- · young lady that runs his retail side
- s Q. So would it be fair to say that there was
- a certain amount of interest in Dollar General
- private equity firms at this time?
- A Well, I don't know how to quantify it or
- . to try to put a quantification on it. What we
- were doing, as I said in the in the last
- deposition, we were trying to get some
- 12 information at a very high level in a very
- s general manner about how people were viewing our
- sector, were they looking at the sector, were
- 15 they looking at Dollar General, and so forth
- My impression was that they had a very
- 17 Superficial understanding of Dollar General, a
- 18 little more specific understanding of the
- 19 sector But the conversation I had with George
- 20 Roberts in August, I think it was August of '06,
- 21 It was at a very high level, very general
- 22 George did most of the talking about the private
- 2) equity world and, you know, what was going on at
- 14 that point in time And that was that was
- 25 ft, to my recollection

Page 123

- . Q. Now, as you started to have this
- a conversation with the private equity firms, did
- 3 you have an expectation that if a transaction
- were to occur with one of them, that you would
- s have the opportunity to continue on as CEO with
- the company as a -- as a private company?
- A. Well, I'll answer the question But also
- . during this period of time for the record, and
- it was in my deposition as well, we also met
- 10 with private equity guys to get their
- 11 perspective on it And I had already talked to
- 12 one -- you know, I had already had a personal
- 13 meeting with Omega in the form of a meeting with
- Lee Cooperman
- 15 You know, I had no -- we weren't talking
- 16 about a transaction at that point. The issue of
- 17 me staying with the company or not being with
- 18 the company was not even an issue in my mind. I
- 1) was running an every-day company. We were
- 20 trying to get some information about the
- 21 environment, and that it was
- 22 Q At this time, were you aware that in
- 23 private equity transactions, certain
- 24 transactions management continues on?
- as A. No, it was not my understanding that

- 1 that's the case
- 2 Q Well, what was your understanding?
- A Well, my understanding was very limited
- · I had never been in a private equity
- s transaction. The ones that were in the press,
- a particularly the ones that had happened that
- year or the recent year, you know, I was aware
- of the changes that were made in their
- s management team. And as I said earlier, you
- know, my understanding was that in -- in the
- n majority of cases, there were significant
- 12 changes made in the management team, as as
- 11 you would expect
- 14 Q Were you hopeful that if there was a
- 15 transaction with private equity that you would
- 14 have the opportunity to continue on as CEO?
- 19 MR KAZANOFF: Objection Asked and
- answered
- THE WITNESS: At the August meeting
- 20 with George Roberts?
- 21 BY MR WISSBROECKER:
- 22 Q (Counsel moves head up and down)
- 23 A. Well, I I don't remember thinking
- 24 about that, to be quite honest. It wasn't an
- 25 issue The conversation never got to that level

Page 128

Page 125

of specificity that made me even think about it

MR WISSBROECKER, Mark this as 12B

3 or 12A Are we doing A or B?

THE COURT REPORTER, 12A.

(Exhibit No 12A was marked)

MR WISSBROECKER I'm just trying

to hurry through this so Pete can catch his

flight

MR KAZANOFF Oh, I'll be here

Thank you, though 10

THE WITNESS (Pause) Okay I've 11

12 read the document

BY MR WISSBROECKER:

This is an e-mail from Mike Calbert to

15 George Roberts and others at KKR Subject line

16 is Dollar General It's dated August 17th,

17 2006, and reflects a - a summary of a phone

18 call from Mr Perdue that -- that Mike had

19 received that morning 8/17/2006, this would be

20 after the meeting that you had in person with

11 George?

Yeah, I think -- I think that's

23 appropriate. I think that -- while I don't

24 remember the specific date that I met with TTP

and — and Goldman and KKR, but it's reasonable

to think that it was prior to 8/17

2 Q So at this point you'd had the meeting

with KKR and also with -- with the other private

· equity firms that you've mentioned

And then the next line is, you know, "He

wants to wait until his board meeting week after

next 8/29 before he engages in due diligence *

Is that accurate?

MR DIETZ Objection to the form

THE WITNESS No I don't know what 10

11 Mike's talking about I know that Mike had, in

12 our original conversation out there at a very

high level, wanted to - or it was in a

subsequent phone call. I believe it was in a

subsequent phone call. Actually wanted to get a

little more information that was a little more

13 specific to Dollar General If I remember

18 correctly, I was a little concerned about, you

19 know, they can get a lot of this stuff in the

20 public record If they want anything else, we

21 might have to do something a little more

22 specific like a confidentiality agreement. I

23 don't remember talking about the board meeting

24 or anything else before he engages in due

25 diligence

Page 127

know, I was really talking about due diligence a period before when I said I had to take a strong

stance with Mike and Josh for that matter during

· the due diligence process, since I was sort of

the point guy that had to manage the process

BY MR WISSBROECKER:

Q Now, earlier you – you had talked about.

you know, really kind of cursory conversations

and you really hadn't thought one way or the

10 other about -- about which way to go with

ii private equity, and then you meet with KKR and

12 all of a sudden they're pushing you into, you

13 know, asking for the confidential company

14 information Did it feel like things were

as moving just a little bit too fast at that point?

16 A I -- I think in retrospect that's a fair

assessment, and I think that the actions that we

took after that bear that out. That was not

19 what we intended to do You can look at it in

- in -- we were -- in retrospect, I think we

21 were a little naive to have that level of

22 conversation But it was really aggravated by

23 the point in the time. There was -- there was a

34 feeding frenzy in private equity, there was a

25 lot of credit, a lot of debt being thrown at

BY MR WISSBROECKER. 2 Q But it -- I mean, there was a suggestion

at this meeting that they wanted to get at some confidential company information, correct?

A Either in the meeting or I think it was

 in a – honestly, I think it was in a subsequent 7 phone call But I do recall that they wanted

 that; they had requested that And I responded with, aside from this, decided – or responded

10 that we probably needed to have a CA in place if 11 they were to ever go on Now, subsequent to

12 that, we backed up from that fairly quickly. I

23 didn't think that it was appropriate to start

14 down that road with them. Mike was being fairly 15 aggressive and was taking this a little beyond

15 the conversation that we had had in - in August

17 out in their offices

18 Q So what — you had mentioned earlier you

19 took a hard stance at various times throughout 26 the process. Was this one of those points where

23 he seemed to be aggressive or taking an

22 aggressive stance with you? MR KAZANOFF. Object to the form 23

THE WITNESS: Well, it could be, but 25 that's not what I referred to earlier. You

Page 132

Page 129

them, a lot of financing, a lot of institutions

- were putting equity with them. Had we had that
- 3 conversation a year or two before then, it might
- · not have reacted -- they might not have reacted
- 3 quite this way But I think it's a fair
- characterization to say that in August I was not
- I had made a decision that I didn't feel
- comfortable doing a confidentiality agreement
- with them And it happened shortly after this
- 10 this series of conversations
- 11 And by the way, we -- we -- we were also
- 12 having conversations with particularly excuse
- 13 me -- Goldman And had one or two that I
- 14 recall, I don't remember the specifics of it.
- is one with along with Bonderman and one with
- 14 his his retail personnel. Not so much about
- 17 we want to take a look or we want information or
- 18 anything else, but we were maintaining a
- 1» follow-up to the -- to the meetings that we had
- ze had
- 21 Q So you said you -- you didn't feel
- 22 comfortable Was it -- did you feel like --
- 23 like this was just a little too much too soon,
- 24 YOU --
- 25 A Yeah, we had -

- L Q -- or you were of kind pushed into it?
 - a A. Yeah No, I think we had a very genuine
 - a interest in understanding what was going on in
 - . the marketplace. As it turns out, you know, we
 - s got a response, but it was not what I
 - anticipated or -- or even the board anticipated,
 - and it was -- it was a little more than I had
 - expected, so we just -- we just slowed down. We
 - just stopped, you know, any kind of effort where
 - or any kind of request for more information,
 - 11 et cetera. That's as -- as simple as I can put
 - 11 et cetera. That's as -- as simple as i can put
 - 12 11
 - O Is that what you mean by -- when you say
 - "we're getting in too deep" before the meeting?
 - 15 A. Well, it could be -
 - 16 MR KAZANOFF Object to the form
 - 17 MR DIETS Objection
 - 18 MR RILEY Object to the form of
 - 19 the question
 - THE WITNESS Yeah 1-1- those
 - as aren't my words, so I don't know what he meant
 - 22 by "too deep " Bul, you know, I do like I
 - 23 said, just said, I I was not ready -- I felt
 - ze like they were pushing us into an area that I
 - 25 wasn't comfortable

Page 131

BY MR WISSBROECKER

- 2 Q But if -- if you did say that, it would
- refer to being uncomfortable and kind of the
- rush of the process, would that be accurate?
- s A Well, I think my testimony's pretty
- clear. I don't know what too deep is. I think.
- moving too fast is a is a fairly accurate
- characterization of what I felt
- Q And so did you -- did you -- did you
- 10 inform Mr Calbert that there would be the
- 11 opportunity to do due diligence after the next
- 12 meeting, or did you make a representation either
- 13 way?
- A I don't remember doing that, and it would
- 15 have -- it would have been out of character to
- 16 do that, and evidenced by the fact that we
- in didn't sign a confidentiality agreement with
- ie them bears that out
- O So there was no expectation on your part
- that due diligence would commence after 8/29?
- II A No
- 22 Q That expectation, apparently, on Mr --
- 23 Mr Calbert's part?
- 24 A Right
- 25 Q Now, there's a reference here to to

- 1 Cal Turner
 - a A Uh-huh
 -) Q. And it says, You wanted us to know -- Mr
 - Perdue wanted us to know that he now thinks he
 - s played down the importance of bringing Turner on
 - side. You want to think through out to deal
 - with Cal and brought up having GRR, which I
 - believe refers to --
 - A KR.
 - 10 Q. George Roberts Can you tell me about
 - 11 that dynamic?
 - 13 A I don't remember that at all in this
 - so conversation I don't know I'm not saying
 - 14 that we didn't talk about Cal, but there was —
 - 15 it wasn't characterized this way as -- as bring
 - 16 Turner on side There was nothing there was
 - 17 no transaction being discussed. Being on side
 - to what, I I don't have any way of knowing
 - what Mike was referring to here
 - 20 Q But as as a large shareholder, there
 - 21 was going to be a transaction that would have to
 - 22 be supported at some point by Mr Turner, is
 - 23 that correct?
 - 24 A Well, I think that's reasonable, but we
 - 25 hadn't gotten that level of conversation, in my

Min-L-Script&

Page 133

1 memory

- 2 Q Could this, perhaps, have reflected a
- s conversation that -- that Mr Turner had outside
- your presence after the earlier meeting?
- MR DIETZ Objection to the form
- THE WITNESS. Well, I wouldn't have
- any knowledge of that It would be possible.
- but I wouldn't have any knowledge of it
- BY MR WISSBROECKER
- 10 Q Now, in the earlier meeting, there was a
- 11 point in time where Mr Turner was -- was with
- 12 Mr Roberts and you were -- and in -- in the
- 13 same meeting, is that correct? There was a
- plane flight from -- from New York to Denver,
- 15 Mr Turner got dropped off at the ski resort on
- 14 their way out to San Francisco?
- 17 A Yeah, that's a later --
- 18 MR DIETZ. Object to the form
- 19 THE WITNESS: That's a later --
- 20 that's at a later date
- 21 BY MR WISSBROECKER
- 22 Q So at this point, Cal Tumer had or had
- as not met with KKR?
- 24 A I I don't know if he had met with him
- as or not. But the meeting that you're talking

-
 - about, the flight at -- which I'm aware, that
 - a meeting I'm aware of had not taken place prior
 - 3 to October the 5th
 - O And that would have been your second
 - meeting with KKR?
 - A That's correct
 - 7 Q Now, what -- what do you --
 - MR. WISSBROECKER* This will be
 - Perdue 13A
 - 10 (Exhibit No 13A was marked)
 - 11 THE WITNESS (Pause) Okay I've
 - 13 read it
 - BY MR WISSBROECKER
 - 14 Q Now, this is an e-mail to Mike Calbert
 - 15 and George Roberts and others at KKR dated
 - September 20th, 2006, reflecting a summary of
 - 17 conversation that Mike had with you. Do you
 - 18 recall that conversation?
 - A Not specifically And it's a it's a
 - o just to correct the record, it's an e-mail
 - 21 from Mike Calbert to George Roberts.
 - 22 Q Now, in this e-mail it says that you had
 - 2) just left a meeting with your most influential
 - 24 board member Who -- who would that be?
 - 35 A You know, I -- I don't know who he's

Page 135

- 1 talking about This is not -- this is not the
- a way I would characterize or use a
- 3 characterization to describe any of my board
- members It's just language I don't -- I
- s wouldn't have used. In fact, sitting here
- s today, I don't know who my most influential
- p board member was
- Q Although it's not technically the lead
- director, so it's somebody else besides Mr
- 10 Wild, correct?
- 12 A Well, that's what Mike is saying, and I
- 13 again, I didn't write this. I don't know to
- 23 whom he's referring
- 14 Q. Okay Do you do you recall having a
- is conversation with a board member about engaging
- 14 exclusively with KKR about this time?
- 13 A. No
- 1. Q So this is not an accurate reflection of
- :» your conversation?
- 20 A Well, I don't remember the conversation
- 21 specifically, but again, this is not in
- 22 character with the way I recall the events
- 23 happening back then And there was no -- no
- 24 talk of an exclusive, that I recall, any
- 25 exclusive arrangement with KKR I mean, they --

- this is a characterization of words. These
- aren't my words, so I have no way of knowing
- what Mike meant by that
- Q Now, let me ask you Timing-wise, is
- s this before or after your second in-person
- meeting with KKR?
- A This is September 20th is before the
- second meeting with KKR
- Q And when -- when was that, just for the
- in record, the second meeting?
- 11 A October the 5th
- 12 Q So between the first meeting you had with
- 13 KKR in August and the second in-person meeting
- 14 you had with KKR, you obviously had a couple of
- is phone conversations, at least two, with Mr
- 14 Calbert, is that correct?
- 17 A I'm not sure You know, I know it's very
- te reasonable to say that we had conversations,
- because I remember the the one in August
- after meeting about the CA, I remember having a
- zi conversation about that. There were -- there
- 22 might have been another one or two
- 23 conversations I think it's reasonable to
- 24 reflect that
- 25 Q Okay So between those two dates, what

Page 140

Page 137

- -- did you have a conversation with any board 2 members?
- 3 A I feel -- well, I don't recall.
- specifically It it would be very reasonable
- s to think that the lead director and I were
- talking about several things leading up to a
- board meeting. We always talked about agenda.
- We talk about who would be involved in the
- meeting, talked about where dinner would be the
- night before, you know, those things
- Anyone else besides the lead director?
- 12 A No
- 13 Q So this e-mail is inaccurate?
- 14 A Well, I -- you know, I don't know what --
- 15 first of all, I don't know what Mike is
- referring to 1 don't -- I didn't write it
- 17 It's not what was in my head about, you know,
- certainly the Cal Turner conversation. It looks.
- like a misrepresentation of some sort
- 20 Can I amend this?
- as Q Sure
- 22 A Just one -- I think it -- the last
- 23 sentence or the third -- yeah, the last sentence
- of the first paragraph, though, is consistent
- 25 with a similar issue as the CA, was that it -

- you know, I'm not saying it happened, but it
 - 2 it would have been in character for Mike to be.
 - probing And so from that standpoint, you know.
 - I can see Mike doing it I don't know if he had
 - s had a conversation with Cal He certainly
 - didn't talk to me about it, that I recall
 - 7 Q So you have no idea where he got this
 - idea of exclusive engagement with KKR?
 - A No, I don't And again, I think that's
 - -- you know, again, I'm a fairly polite person
 - 11 In those conversations, I don't want to alienate
 - anybody because that's not consistent with my
 - responsibility with the company. On the other
 - hand, I just don't know -- I can't give you my
 - input on his choice of words there
 - THE VIDEOGRAPHER Counselor, you
 - 17 five minutes left on tape
 - MR WISSBROECKER Okay
 - 19 BY MR WISSBROECKER
 - 20 Q Now, if you look a the next sentence, it
 - 21 says, "The director encouraged David" -- we
 - 22 don't know who the director is -- but encouraged
 - you to review -- preview the idea with Call
 - 24 Turner We know who that is And one of the
 - as director -- former CSFB director or banker, do

Page 139

- you know who that would have been?
- 2 A The only former the only former CSFB
- banker was Dick Thornburgh
- Q And it says, "David plans on having both
- s conversations over the next few days." Did you
- ever have that conversation with Dick
- 7 Thomburgh?
- Not that I recall
- MR WISSBROECKER We can go ahead
- 10 and take a break for a few minutes just so he
- can change the tape and come back
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER We are now going 17
- ss off the record. Time on the video monitor is
- 14 14 10
- 26 (Brief recess was observed)
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER We are now coming
- 17 onto the record, Tape No 3 Time on the video
- is monitor is 14 28
- BY MR WISSBROECKER 29
- 20 Q Mr. Perdue, if you look back at 4A, which
- 21 Is the deposition of Mr Calbert, Pages 49
- 23 through 50 There's a discussion there about
- 23 the e-mail that we just talked about, about
- 24 Calbert's recollection of that e-mail
- 25 A How far do you want me to go?

- Q. You know, actually, 49's fine.
- Okay
- Now, if you look at Lines 13 through 21,
- there's -- Mr Calbert's talking about what his
- recollection is of -- of that conversation And
- it says that you were excited, and there
- appeared to be some support for pursuing this as
- a one of the alternatives of the company. Did you
- inform -- did you tell that to Mr. Calbert, or
- 10 is that a misrepresentation?
- 11 A. Well, I don't I don't recall what was
- 22 -- I don't recall the phone call, and so I can't
- u speak to what Mike remembers from that I don't
- and again, this when you're having a
- 15 polite conversation, you know, I'm not in -- in
- the formal argument here, so I can't speak to
- 17 his characterization. I don't recall supporting this, whatever "this" is. I mean, there was no
- 15 transaction at his point. There was no
- 26 conversation beyond what he originally wanted to
- 21 do This is September the 20th, and it was in
- 22 that period of time that he wanted to get a
- 23 little more nonpublic information.
- 24 Q So this was in the context of him being
- 25 somewhat aggressive about trying to get that

Min-L-Script &

Page 144

Page 141

information from you?

a A I think that's a fair representation

MR WISSBROECKER We'll mark this

· as Bere 14A

MR DIETZ Perdue?

MR WISSBROECKER Perdue, sorry

It's all these Davids

THE WITNESS Yeah, really

MR. WISSBROECKER. That's probably

10 because it was previously marked as Bere

THE WITNESS (Pause) Okay I've

12 read it

(Exhibit No 14A was marked) 13

BY MR WISSBROECKER

15 Q Now, this is a October 6th e-mail from

18 Mike Calbert to George Robert and Raj Agrawal

17 And it appears to be after the meeting that you

10 had with KKR, is that correct?

19 A That's correct

So the meeting on 10/5, and this is an

21 e-mail on 10/6 And you called Mike Calbert the

22 next day, is that correct?

I returned his phone call, if I -- as I 23 A

24 recal

25 Q And you had, at this point, been in

discussions with David Wild and Denny Bottorff

2 with the -- the meeting with KKR?

MR DIETZ Objection to the form

THE WITNESS. Yes On October 5th.

we met George Roberts. Mike Calbert met with

myself, David Wilds and Cal Turner. On October

6th, if I remember, there was a second meeting.

between David Wilds and myself on October 5th

· And on October 6th, David Wilds and myself and

Denny Bottorff had a -- had a meeting

BY MR WISSBROECKER: 11

12 Q And what did you discuss during that

13 meeting?

14 A. Well, we -- in the meeting on Thursday

15 afternoon after our meeting with KKR, David

Wilds and I decided that what they had disclosed

in that meeting rose to a new level of interest

to a point where we needed to present this

information to the board so we can decide how to

20 react to it. The question was when we said yes

21 or no or how we react to what they wanted to do

22 On the meeting with Denny Bottorff, that

23 meeting was held because he was the chair of

24 Governance Committee, and we wanted to make sure

25 that we were doing this from a governance point

Page 143

of view in the proper manner, in which we agreed

that the best thing to do would be to pull the

full board together as soon as possible

· Q. You said based on what -- what KKR had

s disclosed you thought this rose to a new level

of interest. What exactly had they disclosed to 7 you?

Well, in that meeting -- first of all, I

thought they were going to come talk about high

10 level private equity with Cal, and that's pretty

11 much what happened But at the end of that,

11 they represented that -- and this was stronger

11 than what they had represented before — in

14 terms of getting a few pieces of information

15 that I thought needed a confidentiality

is agreement. This rose to a new level that said

we would like to -- as I recall And this I'm

10 paraphrasing -- we would like to take a deeper

so look to see if, in fact, a valuation could be

20 determined

21 Q So that clued to you that - that they

22 were a little bit more interested than you had

23 thought before or just impressed upon you even

24 more their desire to get at confidential company

25 information?

1 A. Yes This rose to a new level because this was the first time in my memory that they

were talking about moving to a -- a valuation.

If you will, or any — any potential offer

s structure

Q And then your concern was that you were

going to get pushed too far along in the process

before bringing it to the board, so you needed

s to go and talk to the board about it?

10 A. Well, I wouldn't characterize it that

11 way. I could just say basically that this -

13 this conversation had gone on As I said, we

13 might have been - in retrospect, we might have

te been a little naive in going onto the market

s But I think our timing was miserable. I mean, 16 we were just -- they were so -- there was such a

17 frenzy out there in their -- in their world that

in we really weren't aware of We were afraid of

some of that because we heard some of the

20 rumors, but we just didn't know about that And

21 I think this rose to a level that it hadn't --

22 the conversation had not been before And that

23 - that was, they were more specific about

24 wanting information for the purpose of coming up

25 with a potential valuation

Mm-1 -Scripts

Vowell & Jennings, Inc. (615) 256-1935

(36) Page 141 - Page 144

Page 145

i Q And you mentioned the word "naive." Did

- 2 you, at this point, feel like maybe this had -
- this had gotten a little further along than you
- had wanted it to at this point?
- A. Well, I had no intention when we
- approached the private equity world or the
- 7 investment banking world to -- to ignite
- anything at all, that was not our intent. The
- request for the first level of information was
- 10 Sort of innocuous That wasn't too concerning.
- although I was I was uncomfortable without a
- 12 CA. This rose to a level of formality that I
- 13 felt like and David Wilds and I agreed It
- wasn't just me David Wilds and I agreed that
- 15 we needed to get the board together. The proper
- 14 thing to do, we felt, was to bring the chairman
- 17 of governance in, which was Denny Bottorff, and
- so we did that
- 19 But I was very concerned that now because
- 24 of that specific request we were in a different
- 21 different phase, if you will, or a different
- 22 area that, A, I had very little experience in,
- 28 and B, it sounded to me a lot more expenence
- 24 than, "Well, we just want to get a little more
- information about you specifically * We already

- know a little bit about this sector. This was
- 2 this rose to a new level
- 2 Q Was this maybe another example of -- of
- of kind of the aggressiveness that was -- was
- in part of the process at this point?
- MR KAZANOFF Objection to form
 - THE WITNESS Well, I I don't
- know what their their motivation was. Like I.
- said, I just felt like their conversation on
- that Thursday, and David Wilds agreed with me,
- that it it rose to a new level. You know, I
- 12 had informed the board of my earlier
- conversations with the private equity firms and
- investment bankers David Wilds and I had
- 15 talked about it, and but this, again, was a
- little more conversation, a little more
- 17 specific, and a little more direct. And the
- 18 word "valuation," I think, is a key word that
- 15 sort of Inggered that, "Hey, we need to put a
- ze process in motion to -- to respond to them *
- 21 And I didn't know what that response would be
- 22 It could be simply, you know, We're not for
- 23 sale We're independent, et cetera, et cetera
- BY MR WISSBROECKER
- 25 Q Now, I guess I'm -- I'm just trying to

Page 147

- separate out what -- what you've said here.
- 2 Now, putting to one side -- you know, putting to
- 1 one side whatever whatever their motivation
- might be, because you don't know, and then
- s accepting the representation which you've made
- several times is that, you know, you really had
- to intention of going this way. Now, having
- caught yourself -- found yourself in this
- position, did -- did you feel that that was as a
- 18 result of maybe aggressive behavior from KKR, or
- 12 maybe pushing you along quicker than you had
- 12 expected the process to occur?
- MR. KAZANOFF: Can I hear the 13
- 14 guestion back?
- (Requested last question read back) 15
- MR KAZANOFF: Objection to the 16
- 17 form.
- MR DIETZ: Objection
- THE WITNESS: My response is that I 19
- 26 really didn't have any anticipation of -- of
- 31 speed. There was no speed going to an end,
- 22 that's the first I want to make clear It's all
- 23 about the verbiage. But, you know, when we went
- 24 to the private equity and the investment
- 25 bankers, there was no hidden intent to go

- anywhere Our intent was to defend ourselves,
- 2 frankly, and primarily from the hedge fund
- a environment that was so active at that point in
- time in early and mid-'06.
- I wouldn't -- I wouldn't
- characterize it over aggressive for a private
- equity firm. I was also having conversations
- with Bonderman at TPG and and Josh
- Beckenstein at -- at Bain I mean, they -- no,
- I'm sorry, wrong names. Adnan Jones at Goldman
- and David Bonderman at TPG during this time
- Now, they hadn't come to us and
- 13 said, "We want to make a specific inquiry." KKR
- did And when that happened, I just we all
- felt that the right thing to do would be, Let's
- get the board together Let's deade first how
- to react to their request, because I I sure
- 10 couldn't do it And David Wilds and I both.
- 13 neither of us felt comfortable responding
- 20 without the full board's opinion
 - BY MR WISSBROECKER.
- 22 Q Was it a little faster then you expected
- 23 It to happened?
- A Well, I never expected it to happen at
- 25 all, so I guess what I was trying to do was come

Page 152

Page 149

- back and clarify. I had no expectation because.
- 2 I wasn't expecting to get the first request for
- a information, let alone this request
- Q Now, this e-mail also says that —
- 5 represents you as saying that "Denny is in favor
- of the transaction" -- "in favor for the
- transaction " I believe that's supposed to be
- · an "of " Does that -- does that refresh your
- recollection or does that -- is that what you
- recall having told Calbert?
- No I don't recall us discussing that, 11 A
- 12 candidly
- Was Denny in favor of the transaction? 13 Q
- At this point, I didn't know. There was
- 15 no transaction discussed. We didn't discuss in
- the in the governance issue meeting with
- Denny, I don't remember talking about the
- 18 potential for a specific transaction or
- 18 anybody's opinion about that, or even about
- 20 where I was in this In that meeting, I recall
- 21 us talking about process And if you know Denny
- 22 Bottorff, he's an exbanker That's what his --
- 23 he runs his life around process He's one of
- 24 the best at that, and that's what he did is he
- 25 belabored, if you will, what we needed to do to

- 1 be -- to do the right thing
- 2 Q So this is -- this is a misrepresentation
- s of what you said?
- A Well, I -- I don't know.
- MR DIETZ Object to the form
- THE WITNESS That's a strong word.
- I can't speak to how Mike interpreted a
- conversation But I just don't remember us
- having a -- a poll, if you will, at that
- governance conversation
- BY MR WISSBROECKER
- 12 Q But you didn't tell him anything that
- would have led him to believe that Denny was in
- 14 favor of this transaction?
- 15 A Not that I recall, no
- 16 Q So this is a -- sort of putting words in
- 17 your mouth as far as that issue goes?
- 18 A Well, I -- you know, again, this is not
- 19 my e-mail I don't know what Mike is hearing or
- 20 thinking in his verbiage, so I'm I'm really
- 23 not the one to comment on that I just don't
- 23 Q But from your recollection, nothing that
- 24 you -- you said would have led him to believe
- 15 that that's what you intended?

Page 151

- that point in time prior to the board meeting on
- Sunday night, I had no idea where Denny Bottorff
- was on this because this being a potential

A What I'm testifying to is that I -- at

- s transaction, we had not talked about a potential
- transaction
- And when you say the board meeting on
- Sunday night, you're referring to the October
- . 8 --
- 10 A. That's correct
- 11 Q board meeting?
- Now, did you talk with Mike Calbert about
- 11 the need to get Dick Thornburgh on side?
- A No, I don't recall that at all And that
- 15 -- that's out of character for me Denny --
- 16 Dick was a brand-new director. He was, you
- 17 know, Denny's friend, life-long friends, I
- guess. This would have been out of character
- for me I just didn't know and it was so
- 20 early in the process, there was no discussion
- 21 about where anybody was in that -- in that
- 22 meeting on the 6th.
- 23 Q Now, was Dick Thornburgh aware as of 10/6
- 24 that you had been in discussions with KKR or
- 25 there had been some sort of a proposal or -- not

- 1 meeting -- informal looking for a valuation?
- To my knowledge, no
- 2 Q So there would be no basis for -- for the
- assumption that Dick Thornburgh appears to not
- be excited about the transaction?
- A I just don't know I mean, I you
- know, logic says that I don't know that he even
- knew about it on the 6th of October 1 just
- don't know
- 10 Q So did you do you recall mentioning
- 11 Dick Thomburgh's name at all during this
- 12 conversation with Mike Calbert?
- 11 A No
- 14 Q Now, did you and Mike discuss your pitch
- 15 to the board?
- Well, I don't know what Mike means by
- "pitch." I I can I can hear Mike now. I
- as don't remember the specifics of the
- 19 conversation, but Mike was very much about
- 20 private equity story, and these are the
- 21 advantages I don't remember what "pitch" would
- 21 mean That's not my term, so I didn't I
- 23 didn't hear it that way. But I can see Mike,
- 24 you know, coaching me, if you will, from his
- 25 standpoint with regard to "Well, we've given you

Page 153

- i this request. You know, here are the potential
- 2 benefits," or "Here's the story" or whatever 1
- mean, I just don't remember the specifics of it
- · But I could see Mike doing that
- 5 Q What coaching did he give you?
- 6 A Well, in I don't remember
- specifically, but in the conversation with Cal
- that day, it was it was a conversation about
- s how private equity operated, you know, the
- no hostile nature wasn't as as hostile as
- 11 everybody may have thought. Which is one of the
- 12 reasons we went out to start with was to find
- out -- when we heard this stuff about Apollo, we
- heard it about service, what's going on You
- know, those sorts of general comments
- The positive merit of the transaction,
- 17 what would you -- what did Mike ask you to tell
- the board positively about the transaction?
- 19 A 1—I don't recall I'm not even saying
- 20 that he I said that, but I don't know what he
- 21 would have been telling me specifically about it
- 22 other than this is how private equity operates
- What did you tell the board about the 21 Q
- 24 transaction?
- MR RILEY Object to the form 75

- THE WITNESS: Basically, I I
 - 2 answered questions that night about several
 - 3 things But in terms of the transaction, that
 - was mostly done by David Wilds, as I recall, in
 - terms of reporting the conversation we had on
 - the 5th and then the subsequent we had with
 - Denny Bottorff on the 6th So I didn't really
 - present any story other than what David Wilds
 - was saying, that we have a request here from a
 - private equity firm to get detailed inside
 - information with the intent of coming up with a
 - preliminary quote -- preliminary valuation
 - BY MR WISSBROECKER
 - So did you -- did you tell the board
 - anything that Calbert had specifically told you
 - 16 to tell the board or asked you to tell the
 - 17 board?
 - sa A No.
 - MR DIETZ Object to the form.
 - BY MR WISSBROECKER
 - 21 Q So when it says, "David and I went
 - 22 through his pitch to the board again focusing on
 - 2) the positive ments of the transaction," if that
 - 24 look place, you did not carry out that --
 - 25 A No.

Page 155

- 1 Q that charge?
- 2 A No
- 3 Q Okay So if we look at this -- this
- e-mail and just kind of take a step back, you're
- you're disputing a lot of the representations
- that were made, whether or not its an inaccurate
- recall on Mike's part or maybe he misunderstood
- · what you said Would you -- would you -- would
- you say that it appears that -- that Mike has a
- 10 more favorable view of your view of the
- 11 transaction at this point?
- 12 A. Well, f I can't speak to his his
- 11 View
- 14 Q Right
- You know, I think this -- you know, I
- 16 don't know what Mike was -- was doing here, and
- 17 It's not consistent with my memory of where I
- was in this at this point in time. And it's
- 29 not consistent, some of the things that are
- 26 attributed to me in terms of verbrage or
- 21 whatever just are are inconsistent with -
- 22 with my demeanor and my, you know, the way I
- 23 conduct myself You know, I can see some things
- 2+ being fairly innocent with regard to pitch and
- 23 things like that. So I I think this is an

- aggressive guy reporting into his boss. And.
 - 2 beyond that, I I don't know how to conjecture
 - this These aren't my words I just don't know.
 - how to respond
 - MR DIETZ I'm going to assert an
 - objection to the question
 - BY MR WISSBROECKER:
 - Q. So the sum total of your responses to
 - this e-mail is that it's just not accurate; is
 - 10 that correct?
 - MR DIETZ: Objection
 - MR. RILEY. Object to the form 12
 - THE WITNESS You know, I don't know
 - what it's probably accurate of what Mike said
 - it's an e-mail, so Mike might have said this
 - 16 I just don't recall anything close to what this
 - 17 Is is saying, and therefore, I just can't.
 - 14 respond to, you know, the specifics of it, other
 - than some of these things are out of character
 - 20 with -- with who I am
 - BY MR WISSBROECKER
 - 22 Q Now, you said KKR is pushing for due
 - 33 diligence, being aggressive about that When --
 - 24 when did they get any confidential company
 - 25 information?

Page 157

- 1 A It wasn't until the Strategic Planning
- 2 Committee and the board selected Bain,
- » Blackstone, TPG and KKR to do the due diligence
- · And I'm thinking, based on the earlier
- s documents, that was in December
- Q So they didn't get anything from you, is
- 1 that correct?
- . A No, that's correct
- Q So if they got any confidential
- iii information, it had to come from somebody else?
- 11 A They didn't get it from me
- 12 Q. Now, what's -- what's -- what's your view
- 13 of Mike Calbert? What is your personal opinion
- 14 of Mike Calbert at this point?
- 15 A Mike and I are personal friends. I know
- 14 that's surpnsing given the history here, but
- 17 this is not just about Mike There's a --
- there's bigger story here in terms of just —
- 19 just the normal thing that happens when a
- 20 private equity buys a large firm I think Mike
- 20 private equity buys a range tirm I thirtik Mike
- 11 is a very capable young guy He's a he's a
- 22 nice young guy, and he's ambitious, and, you
- 23 know, he's -- he's looking out for the best
- 24 interest of his investors
- 25 Q Are you -- are you concerned that -- that

- i he was, maybe, less than forthright with you
- 2 throughout this process?
- A You know, I honestly haven't thought
- a about it this way. I think -- you know, the way
- s I look at it is very candidly. I think Mike was
- s doing the best that the thought for his
- investors and for his company and his career
- Q Would you have expected as a friend to
- have to have told you that they weren't going
- to to keep you on as CEO?
- 11 A Well, you know, disappoint and surprise
- 12 are different emotions. I think Mike ran the
- process from the due diligence point of view.
- 14 and even in the management review's point of
- 15 view, about as well as he could have been done,
- beneath their twee deservated
- 14 honestly I think I was disappointed
- 17 personally that, you know, I may not have been
- in given a chance to -- to rebut, if you will, some
- 19 of the input that had come in But again, it
- was no big surprise to me because it was my
- 22 understanding going in that this happens quite
- 22 often
- 23 Q. So at this point, were you supportive of
- a of a transaction with KKR?
- 25 A Well, there wasn't a transaction at this

Page 159

- 1 point -
- 2 Q Well, proposed transaction
- A being discussed. You know, what I --
- my opinion was frankly, I didn't know You
- s know, we talked about it at the board that
- Sunday night on the 8th, and what we talked
- about was out fiduciary responsibility to our
- shareholders. If someone were willing to come
- · in and pay a high price, is this something that
- 10 we should investigate? So that was my demeanor.
- 11 Q So you weren't going off to try to get
- 12 support from other board members -
- 13 A Not that I recall
- 14 Q in the transaction?
- 15 A You know, what I was concerned about was
- 16 the process, trying to make sure we got the
- 19 right process in place.
- MR. WISSBROECKER. This will be
- 19 Perdue 15A
- 20 (Exhibit No 15A was marked)
- 21 MR. RILEY 15A?
- 22 MR WISSBROECKER That's correct
- 23 THE WITNESS (Pause) Okay. I've
- 24 read it.
- 25 BY MR WISSBROECKER

- 1 Q. This is an e-mail from Mike Calbert to
- George Roberts and others at KKR dated October
- 3 9th, 2006 Now, this is -- this happened after
- + the board meeting on 10/8/2006 Actually, it's
- s a -- it's a report from -- from Mike to George
- about a conversation that he supposedly had with
- 7 you It says, "I caught up with David this
- · morning," and then there's some explanation of
- what happened at the board meeting Did you
- 10 -have this conversation with Mike Calbert?
- 11 A I very well could have I don't recall
- whether I had a conversation with him that day
- 13 or not, and I don't recall the specifics that
- 14 he's outlined in here. I will tell you that
- 15 it's inconsistent that I would have given him
- any input about any director, frankly, because
- 17 first of all, I don't personally remember Dick
- Thornburgh saying anything that night 1
- 19 remember Jim Robbins making the comment that he
- 20 he thought the time was not right to consider
- 21 something like this But, you know, I don't --
- 23 I don't recall anything that Dick Thornburgh
- said in that meeting And and I certainly
- 24 don't remember, you know, David Wilds and -
- as and/or Denny either one having an opinion about

Page 164

Pa		ю	

- 1 a transaction stated in this -- in this meeting
- 2 Q And you had said just a few minutes ago
- that you did not give KKR any confidential.
- · company information prior to the confidentiality
- agreement being introduced?
- A. That's correct
- So this information about -- about the
- private goings-on at the board meeting, you
- wouldn't have divulged this information to Mike
- to Calbert?
- 11 A Well, as I've explained to you the
- 12 answer's no I -- I didn't -- I mean, I don't
- 13 remember Dick Thornburgh saying anything in
- 14 there, or any opinion from David Wilds or Denny
- 15 about -- you know, again, this is not a
- 16 transaction we were discussing. We were
- 17 discussing the process, really, mostly that
- night about whether to entertain anything or
- 19 not And the conclusion was, you know, we're --
- 20 you know, we -- we have a proposal and we'll
- 21 Take it under advisement but no decision is
- 22 being taken right now about that

the charge for the transaction?

- 23 Q So all this information in here is -- is
- inaccurate, or at least the first paragraph?
- 25 A. Look, again, this is not my writing. I

- didn't write this I'm not representing to
 - George anything in here I can't speak to how
 - Mike, you know, got this information. But it's
- not a fair representation of what what I
- would have shared with him.
- · Q Where do you think that he would have
- gotten this information if not from you?
- A Oh, I don't --
- MR DIETZ Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS, I don't know I just
- 11 -- I don't recall, you know, any of the details
- 12 in that conversation I don't I just don't
- 13 have any idea where this could have come from
- BY MR WISSBROECKER
- 1s Q Now, was there any concern expressed in
- 14 this meeting that you had spoken to KKR without.
- 13 the board's expressed permission?
- II A Not that I recall
- 13 Q So do you remember Mr. Thomburgh
- 20 discussing that once the company announces it's
- 21 restructuring, the stock market will reward the
- 22 company with this pop in stock markets?
- 23 A No, I don't remember that at all
- 24 Q And you've already said David Wilds and
- 25 Danny Bottorff, you don't remember them leading

- Page 163
- 2 A Well, first of all, there was no charge.
- s to my recollection, of that board meeting
- There was no move that supported or didn't
- s support a transaction, that I recall. It was a
- conversation mostly about process and how we'd
- respond to the inquiry that had been brought in
- Was there any view expressed in that
- meeting that you and Mr. Wilds were conflicted?
- 10 A It could well have been I I think
- 11 there was a question put to me about, you know,
- 12 had I had a do I have a prior arrangement
- 13 with KKR I responded negatively. And then
- 14 that's really the gist of my memory of that
- 15 Q And while this e-mail represents that you
- 16 were out -- off having one-on-one meetings with
- 17 directors to make your case, did you do that?
- 16 A No, not that I recall And I don't -- at
- 19 that point in time, there wasn't a case But I
- 20 don't recall going off on any one-on-one
- 21 meetings with -- with directors
- 22 Q So that's a misrepresentation of what was
- as actually occurring?
- 24 A. Well, you know, I don't know. We meet in
- 25 board meetings all the time. We have other

- conversations about committees I mean, I I
- just -- I don't know how to respond to that
- It's -- it's -- it's possible that, you know,
- that I was talking to various people about
- s various things. Remember, we're -- we're trying
- to get this project finalized and moving
- forward, and we're trying to get the decisions
- made so we can open up his markdown sales in the
- holiday season which is rapidly closing in on us
- to here I mean, this is in October. This stuff
- 11 needs to be out on the street in November And
- us we're -- we're feverishly trying to implement
- 13 that during this period of time, too
- 14 So, you know, I can't rationalize where
- 15 that came from I'm just saying that I didn't
- have any one-on-one meetings about, you know,
- selling a case to the directors
- Now, do you know who Andy Taussig is? We 10 Q
- 19 probably --
- 20 A I do
- covered this -21 Q
- 22 A Sorry
- 23 Q probably in the last deposition
- 24 Sorry
- 25 A Yes, I know who he is

Page 168

Page 165

- 1 Q Did you call and put a gag on him?
- 2 A Not to my mernory, and if you know -- if
- 1 you know Andy Taussig, that's not something that
- · -- that I would do
- s Q Was there a concern that Mr Taussig was
- a talking to other private equity firms about a
- potential take-private of Dollar General?
- · A No The only concern I had was David
- , Frank David Frank works for CSFB, and during
- 19 that general period of time -- and I'm not
- ii saying it was in this telephone conversation,
- 12 but I do remember us having a conversation not
- 13 with Mike Calbert, but a general concern that
- 14 David Frank was trying to drum up interest from
- Apollo and Cerberus
- 16 Q And all nght Well, let's let's
- 11 take a few-minute break
- II A. Okay
- 25 Q If you don't mind?
- 20 A Sure.
- 21 Q So I can look at my notes
- 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER One second We
- 23 are now going off the record. The time on the

along We had a price list. We had a special

2 -- or I'm sorry, a Strategic Planning Committee

doing A large part of that was evaluating

and that sort of thing So I don't recall, you

point of view, I just don't recall me

s that was in charge of whatever process we were

Alpha, trying to get Alpha implementation going.

n know, representing that I was moving the board

I think that would be an inaccurate -- from my

characterize — ever characterizing moving the

11 board along on anything At this point in time

13 that. The board - you just don't move boards

General board meetings or the meetings of the

27 Strategic Planning Committee or the Special

21 Q So were they -- were the events that took

25 example, Lehman was relained as our investment

22 place in those meetings, were they publicly

24 A. Some were You know, if it was -- for

10 Committee here, I think it's referred to as,

19 were those open to the public?

26 A. No to my knowledge

And let me ask you, would - the Dollar

12 or even -- even two or three years prior to

- 24 video monitor is 15 00
- 25 (Bnef recess was observed)

- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now coming
 - 2 back onto the record. Time on the video monitor 3 is 15:14.
 - BY MR WISSBROECKER
 - s Q Mr Perdue, I'm going to show you what
 - we'll mark as Perdue 16A
 - (Exhibit No 16A was marked)
 - THE WITNESS (Pause) Okay I've
 - read it Sorry
 - 16 BY MR WISSBROECKER:
 - 13 Q Okay Mr Perdue, this is an e-mail from
 - 12 Mike Calbert to George Roberts and others at
 - 13 KKR, subject DG, dated November 9th, 2006 And
 - 14 it reflects -- purportedly reflects a
 - 15 conversation that Mike Calbert had with you
 - is right after right after, apparently, you got
 - 17 back from from seeing your cousin who was
 - 18 just elected governor of Georgia II says you
 - 19 were upbeat about the progress you were making
 - 20 moving the board along. Is that something that
 - 21 you told Mr Calbert?
 - 12 A You know, I don't remember You know,
 - 23 I'm I'm quite sure we could have had a
 - 24 conversation, but I don't recall the
 - as characterization that I was moving the board

Page 167

- 107
 - 1 banker. You know, there was I think they put
 - a public announcement out on that, actually So
 - s there there were times when there were things
 - · of a public nature discussed in the board
 - s meetings. Of course, there were times -- things.
 - were not of a public nature discussed in board
 meetings.
 - Q Would the special committee or special
 - s transaction committee --
 - 24 A. Special or Strategic Planning
 - 11 Committee Sorry
 - 12 Q Would their deliberations have been
 - 13 publicly reported, like the play-by-play of
 - their consideration of potential buyers? Would
 - 15 that have been publicly reported?
 - 16 A NO
 - MR RILEY Object to the form of
 - 10 the question
 - BY MR WISSBROECKER:
 - 20 Q Now, so -- if, indeed, someone was giving
 - 21 Mr. Calbert information about the progress the
 - 22 board was making or its Strategic Planning
 - 23 Committee making in its deliberations about the
 - 24 potential transaction of KKR, that -- that would
 - 25 have been confidential company information.

Mm-L-Script&

23 reported?

14 along

15 Q

Page 172

Page 169

1 correct?

MR DIETZ Objection

THE WITNESS Well, I don't know

· what would have been said by -- you know, about

s it, so I don't know what would have been

confidential or not 1-1 don't recall this

specific conversation. I was not in many of the

strategic planning meetings. I was involved in

some of the presentations to them. But in terms

of their deliberations, I was not, you know.

privy to that So it's -- it's inconsistent to

think that I would be a source of primary

13 information about the Strategic Planning

14 Committee.

BY MR WISSBROECKER 15

16 Q Now, did you tell Mr Calbert that you

17 thought the lead director – that's David Wilds.

18 right? Or, I'm sorry, the special committee

Who would that have been at the time?

MR RILEY Object to the form 20

MR DIETZ. Objection 21

THE WITNESS The lead - the 22

23 chairman of the Strategic Planning Committee was

Denny Bottorff

BY MR WISSBROECKER 25

1 Q So did you tell Mike Calbert in this —

2 on this -- in this conversation that you thought

Denny Bottorff -- Bottorff was supportive of

going private and believes it is the right

s solution for the company?

A You know, I don't recall that, and it's

inconsistent with my memory of that period of

time because Denny is very – as I said earlier,

in earlier testimony, Denny is the most process-

onented person we have, and I don't -- I didn't

11 hear him take a position in this until the very,

12 very late days of February/March when we were

11 moving to -- when we got a bid, basically He

14 was not someone to talk about his position or

15 his opinion regarding any potential transaction

14 Q So this information that -- that

17 Calbert's reporting to Roberts and others is

inaccurate as – I mean, you didn't tell him

19 this, right?

26 A I can only tell you what I remember, and

21 I don't remember ever talking to Mike about

these topics. You know, I gave him updates with

regard to what we were talking about He --

24 they had wanted -- their request. The only

is update I was giving was basically the board is

Page 171

got it or whatever I'm just representing that

I -- this is out of character First of all,

this information, I wouldn't have had I didn't

know where Denny was at this point in time on.

November 9th, and I certainly didn't know in

January or February where Denny was That's

totally inconsistent. And, you know, these

representations are just inconsistent with what

-- where my knowledge base was at this point in

10 time

BY MR WISSBROECKER. 11

12 Q So you consider this e-mail inaccurate as

so compared to what actually happened during that

conversation?

Well, it conflicts with my memory of --

of what was going on at that point in time

17 Q And do you -- do you think it -- you're

18 just not remembering accurately, or are you —

19 you certain that this is not what you told Mr.

- Mr Calbert?

21 A Well, specifically about progress I'm

22 making moving this board along, that -- that --

23 that verbrage is not consistent with my normal

24 vernacular. I just -- I don't think I've ever

25 Used the phrase "moving this board along on" any

1 taking it under consideration. We're

a independent. We have a strategic plan. We're

a working right now on the execution of that

strategic plan And we had been publish – they

s had been -- in the last quarterly update for the

analyst at the Wall Street, we had disclosed a

lot of what we were doing or considering about

Alpha There was a good bit of – as I recall,

 a good bit of conversation about the strategic 10 plan, about what we were thinking about doing

11 and so forth.

12 Q. But you weren't publicly disclosing your

deliberations about potential transactions with

14 private equity firms, correct?

15 A. Not that I recall

25 Q. And since you weren't someone that was

37 disclosing confidential information to KKR at

18 this point, this couldn't have been a

19 conversation that you actually had with Mr

26 Calbert at this point?

MR RILEY Object to the form 21

MR DIETZ. Object to the form. 22

THE WITNESS: I certainly don't 24 recall it Now, I'm not -- I can't get into

25 what Mike was saying or representing or where he

Page 173

I lopic, much less this topic with KKR

- 2 You know, "We're focused on understanding
- a their restructuring charge," well, I'm not sure
- we were even talking about a restructuring
- s charge at that point in time. So there are some.
- inconsistencies in here, as I said, about Denny
- as well. So all I can tell you is it's not
- consistent with my memory of, you know, what was
- . where we were at that point in time, what was
- no poing on
- 11 Q. Did you ever get the sense that -- that
- Mr. Calbert was unrealistic in his expectations
- 13 of what he hoped you to achieve for him on the 14 board?
- MR. KAZANOFF, Object to the form.
- 15 THE WITNESS Well, I never saw it
- 17 quite that way. It wasn't that I was -- that he
- was expecting me to do anything with the board.
- He never communicated that I certainly didn't
- 20 understand it that way. And the time here is
- 21 evidence that, you know, for some six weeks or
- 22 four weeks, anyway, after our November -- our
- 23 October the 8th meeting with the board, that we
- haven't really gotten to any kind of conclusion
- 25 in the Strategic Planning Committee

- Now. I think we told them at one
 - point that we were taking it under advisement
 - and it would be some time before any type of
 - response other than, We're independent, and we
 - have a strategic plan and we're executing that
 - strategic plan. So that's my memory of kind of
 - what this time frame as being. I don't recall
 - Mike ever saying, "David I need you to do this," or "You do that," or anything like that
 - BY MR WISSBROECKER 10
 - 11 Q After reading some of these e-mails, do
 - 12 you get the sense that that maybe Mr. Calbert
 - 11 was -- was -- was trying to use you to
 - 14 manipulate the process with the board?
 - MR RILEY Objection to the form
 - 16 MR DIETZ Objection to the form
 - 17 THE WITNESS. Well, I don't know
 - what he was doing. I honestly can't speak to
 - 19 that I just don't -- you know, he's writing
 - these memos to George Roberts, not the board
 - 22 He's in the private equity business. He's in
 - the business of getting things done, so it's
 - pretty obvious that this guy's in the push mode
 - We are in the process mode at Dollar General at
 - 25 this point in time in November when the is

Page 175

- : Strategic Planning Committee doing two things, a one, trying to evaluate -- from what I recall,
- evaluate the impact of Alpha on our business in
- our long-term value And No 2, trying to
- s decide how we were going to respond to this request from KKR
- BY MR WISSBROECKER
- · Q But it -- what's fairly clear is that you
- have a different perspective of of what was
- 19 going on than Mr Calbert?
- 11 A. I think that's fair Sorry to interrupt
- ta again
- 13 Q. And when when did you was there a
- period when you kind of went silent with Mr —
- 15 Mr Calbert as far as your communications? 1
- 16 mean, between, let's say October 6th when the
- 17 board met -- you had met just a couple of days
- 18 before, but then afterwards there's a special
- 19 committee formed, and then the due diligence
- 20 process doesn't technically start until later on
- 11 down the road Now, does that -- how often were
- 22 you communicating with Mr Calbert during that
- 23 penod of time?
- MR RILEY. Object to the form 24
- MR DIETZ. Object to the form 25

- THE WITNESS: Well, I don't recall
- 2 specifically, but I -- I remember -- I think it
- would be reasonable to have had a couple of
- conversations just to, as I recall, him calling
- and want an update on, you know, When can we
- expect a response out of you, that kind of
- 7 conversation Because we we kind of let them
- long, or left them hanging, if you will, after
- that October the 5th meeting And it -- it put
- ne in a pretty awkward position given that our
- 11 response was, you know, "We have a strategic
- 12 plan. We're trying to evaluate the impact on
- 13 the business on that right now " It's just a
- 14 tough time to respond to a request for any kind
- 15 of due diligence
- BY MR WISSBROECKER.
- 13 Q. So if KKR was during this time period
- 16 If KKR was getting more information about the
- 19 company, it wasn't coming from you; is that
- 20 correct?
- 21 A Well, I can't I don't know how to
- 22 respond specifically to what information, but I
- 23 I wasn't a source of any information to them
- 24 during that period of time other than, you know,
- 25 what is going on with the Project Alpha and

Page 177

- 1 this, you know, restructuring not
- restructuring, but the implementation of Alpha
- 2 And duning this time period, Mr Calbert
- never told you that you may not be continuing as
- s CEO; is that correct?
- A That's correct And it just wasn't a
- 7 topic
- Q. I'm going to show you a rather lengthy
- document, but fortunately I'm just going to
- 10 focus on one page
- 11 Now, do you recall off the top of your
- 12 head if you had a meeting with KKR people on the
- 11 12th of February 2007?
- A Without looking at a calendar, I wouldn't
- 25 -- I wouldn't be surpnsed that we did
- 15 MR WISSBROECKER I guess we'll
- 17 mark Perdue 17A
- (Exhibit No 17A was marked.)
- 19 BY MR WISSBROECKER.
- 20 Q Now, I'm just going to ask you to look at
- 21 a couple of pages beginning on KKR013480, if you
- 22 look at the --
- 23 A Uh-huh
- 24 Q Bates numbers The Bates numbers are
- 25 at the bottom

- 1 A 13480?
 - 2 Q Yeah
 - A Okav
 - Q And this is -- this is a set of
 - handwritten notes form Raj Agrawal
 - A I can't really read some of this, I'm
 - , sorry
 - Q Well, let's I'm going to refer you to
 - some some –
 - 10 A Okay
 - 11 Q specific points
 - 12 It says see at the top of the page it
 - 1) says "2/12/07, David Perdue"?
 - 14 A Right
 - 15 Q And there's topics. 2006 normalization.
 - 14 the corporate headquarter lease, inventory
 - 17 turns, shrink improvement. These are --
 - . A Right
 - 19 Q These are due diligence issues —
 - 20 A Right
 - 21 Q -- that would be discussing --
 - 22 A Right
 - 23 Q -- with KKR, correct?
 - 24 A Yes, that's correct
 - as Q Okay So as we move down the page,

Page 179

- i here's a bullet point that says, "Overall
- strategy * It says, *Dollar formal concerns,
- David: 5 & Dime how to prevent, price and
- · convenience;" a lot of different issues
- 5 And then if you look at -- at the next
- « page, there's a second bullet point down and
- 7 second line in that, "Up to 15,000-18,000 stores
- potential * Do you see that line?
- A Ido
- 10 Q Now, would that -- would that be based on
- 11 some internal Dollar General document?
- 12 A Not that I recall I mean, we had
- 13 analyst reports, and there were several
- 14 estimates of the ultimate size of this sector
- 15 At this point in time, we had a little over
- 14 8,000 stores Family Dollar probably had 5,000
- 11 And we had had analyst reports back in as early
- u as '03, during my first year, that estimated the
- 19 size of the market that our share of that
- 20 Size market could be 15- to 20,000
- 21 Later, we had, you know, more specific
- 22 things done that we saw done by people like IRI,
- 2) which is a market sort of a market research
- 24 house and that sort of thing But I I don't
- 25 remember the specifics of it, but the general

- tone that was the universe could absorb another
- 2 you know, that we could probably get up to
- 3 15- to 18,000 stores; that the sector was that
- + large
- s There was some downside to that, and that
- is this. That Tesco was coming into the market
- 2 at that time point in time. Tesco is a very
- large, one of the one of the largest in
- Europe -- sort of what I could call commodity
- 10 providers We were primarily commodity
- 11 providers, such as everyday things that women
- use in their house. Tesco was a grocer and
- 23 commodify provider, one of the best out there
- 14 And we had heard as early as late '05, actually,
- 15 as I recall, that they were potentially coming
- is into this market. Now, they didn't come in
- 17 until '07 But in the '06 time period, we as
- we talked about them in our strategic planning
- 15 conversations, that was always something that we
- so brought up to sort of temper this -- this
- 21 ultimate potential. We didn't know how big this
- 22 sector was in terms of our potential number of
- 23 stores But we felt like that we had a good way
- 24 to go before we saturated the markets that we
- as were in. We were on in, at this time, probably

	August 25, 2008
1 30 states, maybe 32 states. So there were 50 2 other states I mean, there were 18 other 3 states that we potentially could go to 4 Q. So this is a conversation you had or 5 during the due diligence process you explained 6 to them exactly what you've explained to me just 7 now? 8 A. I'm I'm not sure that I'm the one 9 explaining this. It says David Perdue, but I'm 10 not sure that I'm the only one in the meeting. 11 Q. Do you recall that being discussed? 12 A. I I don't recall, but it would be I 13 think this would be unusual that I would be the 14 only one in the room with this level of 15 specificity going on 16 Q. Now, was there another study that was 17 done by a company called Clantas? Do you 18 remember that? 19 A. I I I generally remember that, yes 20 Q. Was that in line with this pnor study? 21 A. Yeah. I mean, all these things were 22 fairly consistent with that, as I recall 23 Q. And Tesco is a is a dollar store, or 24 is it a high-end retail store? 25 A. Well, it's a grocery store, and a lot of	
Page 183	Page 184

Page	163 Page 18
A. Reliant Energy Corporation in Madison,	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
Wisconsin for utility And Jo-Ann Corporation	I certify that the witness in the
ın Cleveland, Ohio	I forgoing deposition
Q. I think that's all we have, unless you	4 DAVID PERDUE,
guys have some questions	5 was by me duly sworn to testify in the within-
MR RILEY No, nothing from us	* entitled cause, that the said deposition was
 MR. DIETZ No questions 	7 taken at the time and place therein named; that
 MR. WISSBROECKER. Thank you, 	6 the testimony of said witness was reported by
Mr Perdue	9 mm, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of
 THE WITNESS: Thank you 1 	10 the State of Tonnessee authorized to administer
appreciate it	11 oaths end affirmations, and said testimony.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER One minute. This	12 Pages 1 through 54 was thereafter transcribed
concludes the video deposition of David Perdue,	13 into typewriting
Volume 2 The number of tapes used was three	14 I further certify that I am not of
We are now going off the record. Time on the	16 counsel or attorney for either or any of the
video monitor is 15:33.	16 parties to said deposition, nor in any way
FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT	17 interested in the outcome of the cause meand in
	is send deposition
•	19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have because set
• T1	20 my hand the 25th of August, 2048
	21
	22
	23 Carissa L Boone, Notary Public State of Tennance At Large
	24
	25

COPY.

Dollar General Corporation Shareholder Litigation

David Perdue August 25, 2008

-				August 25, 2008
1			Page 185	
1.0		ERRATA		
1.		I, DAVID PERDUE, having read the		
,	hereby ce	I, DAVID PERDUE, having read the deposition. Peges 1 through 183 Tilly said testimony 15 a true a trenscript, with the following of	, do	
5	(af any):	trenscript, with the following of	hanges	
	PAGE LINE	SHOULD RAVE BEEN		
2				
9				
10				
11	. — — .		¥-	
12				
13			1	
14	- $-$		1	
15				
16			4	
17	_		1	
18				
19	_			
21		David Perdue	1	
21				
21	Notary Pul	OTTE		
24	(1000000 A. 1000		95	
25				
350			2	
			5	
			F .	
			6.	
			P-1	
		20		
			11/2	
				1
			4	
			U.	
			: 1	1
			1	"]
			1	1
				1
			-	1
				1
				1