

# THE SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON

JANE and JOHN DOES 1-6,	)	No. 99901-5
	)	
Appellants/Cross-Respondents,	)	<b>ORDER</b>
	)	
v.	)	Court of Appeals
	)	No. 82430-9-I
SEATTLE POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al.,	)	
	)	
Respondents.	)	
	)	
	)	
	)	
_____	)	

This case came before the Court on direct interlocutory review of the superior court decisions denying a preliminary injunction and allowing the appellants to litigate using pseudonyms. Following oral argument on November 9, 2021, the Court unanimously voted in favor of the following result:

Now, therefore, it is hereby  
**ORDERED:**

That review is hereby dismissed and the matter is remanded to the trial court for further proceedings. Review of the preliminary injunction ruling is moot in light of changed circumstances, and interlocutory review of the ruling allowing the use of pseudonyms is not warranted by the interests of justice. The cross-appellant/respondent’s request to change the case title to disallow the use of pseudonyms is denied without prejudice to such a motion being filed in the trial court following remand.

To facilitate the orderly administration of justice, the court hereby extends the trial court's temporary restraining order prohibiting the disclosure of certain information in response to Public Records Act requests until 30 days after the mandate issues in this case to allow the trial court to consider any motion to extend the temporary restraining order pending further proceedings in that court.

DATED at Olympia, Washington this 17<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2021.

For the Court

  
CHIEF JUSTICE