

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
WESTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
and STATE OF CALIFORNIA,  
  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
  
MONTROSE CHEMICAL CORP.  
OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,  
  
Defendants.


Case No. 2:90-cv-03122 DOC (GJSx)  
  
**ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO  
ENTER CONSENT DECREE [3062]**

1 The Plaintiffs have recently lodged a partial consent decree in this action on  
2 March 12, 2021 ([ECF No. 3054-1](#)) (the “Southern Pathway CD” or “CD”).  
3 Pursuant to [28 C.F.R. § 50.7](#) and [42 U.S.C. § 9622\(d\)\(2\)](#), the Plaintiffs published  
4 notice in the Federal Register on March 18, 2021, and accepted public comments  
5 for a period of 30 days thereafter. [86 Fed. Reg. 14768](#) (Mar. 18, 2021). One  
6 comment was received, which the Plaintiffs have considered, and which is  
7 addressed in the Plaintiffs’ Memorandum in Support of Unopposed Motion to  
8 Enter Proposed Consent Decree, filed on June 3, 2021 ([ECF No. 3062-1](#)).

9 The proposed Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, and consistent with the  
10 governing statute. For the reasons set forth in the Plaintiffs’ Unopposed Motion to  
11 Enter Proposed Consent Decree (“Motion”) ([ECF No. 3062](#)) and supporting  
12 Memorandum and for good cause shown, the Southern Pathway CD is approved  
13 and shall be a final judgment under [Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58](#). The  
14 Motion ([ECF No. 3062](#)) is **GRANTED**.

15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**  
16

17  
18 Dated: September 28, 2021

18 By:   
19 \_\_\_\_\_  
20 Honorable David O. Carter  
21 United States District Judge  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28