Case 1:21-cr-00115-CRC Document 10-1 Filed 03/11/21 Page 1 of 28

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Norfolk Division
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
6	v.) CRIMINAL ACTION NO.
7	EDUARDO NICOLAS ALVEAR) 2:21mj48
8	GONZALEZ,)
9	Defendant.))
10	
11	
12	TRANSCRIPT OF ZOOM PROCEEDINGS
13	Norfolk, Virginia
14	February 12, 2021
15	BEFORE: THE HONORABLE LAWRENCE R. LEONARD
16	United States Magistrate Judge
17	
18	APPEARANCES:
19	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
20	By: Andrew Bosse Assistant United States Attorney
21	Counsel for the United States
22	FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE By: Rodolfo Cejas Assistant Federal Public Defender Counsel for the Defendant
23	
24	
25	

Case 1:21-cr-00115-CRC Document 10-1 Filed 03/11/21 Page 2 of 28

(Hearing commenced at 2:12 p.m.) 1 * 2 3 (Recording begins at 2:21 p.m.) MR. BOSSE: ... and from there he's been continuing 4 5 to broadcast his conspiracy theory videos and live streams to his network of followers. In one of the live streams, 6 7 Gonzalez notes that the other man in the apartment, JM, is 8 going to change his shirt, the shirt which had the name of 9 his company on it, and Gonzalez says that he's doing this to 10 protect the home. 11 There is no information the government has that he 12 intends to return to California or has a fixed address 13 there. The two more recent addresses on file for him are 14 connected to his estranged wife. 15 I want to talk about what happened earlier this 16 week on February 8th as law enforcement is narrowing down 17 where he is and is trying to find out if he is still at the 18 apartment on Laskin. They use a ruse to try to determine 19 They had an officer from the Virginia Beach Police that. 20 Department in uniform knock on the apartment door. The ruse 21 was that there had been a 911 hang-up call traced back to 2.2 that area and so an officer was checking the apartments to 23 make sure everything was okay. 24 The officer knocks on the door of the apartment and 25 hears whispering. The door is then answered by the person

1 associated with the apartment, JM. The officer asks JM if 2 he is the only person there. JM says he's the only person 3 there, meaning that he lies to the officer to help his 4 friend, the defendant, hide.

5 This same person, JM, appears on several of the 6 defendant's YouTube live streams, which appear to be 7 broadcast from that apartment, and in several of them, he 8 appears to be smoking marijuana with the defendant. That 9 same night, this Monday night, Mr. Gonzalez is again live 10 streaming to his fellow conspiracy theorists, and he's 11 speaking in one portion of a live stream video to a man with 12 a foreign accent.

13 Part of the title of this video references "smoking 14 in the Capitol." He called the video, "Smoking with 15 brotunda." Here is what Gonzalez says. He says -- and I'm 16 going to use profanity, but I think it's necessary --"Fucking cop comes over this morning. Like, you see why I 17 18 fucking hid in the closet praying to God. It was so scary, 19 bro. You know I do have an escape route, by the way. I 20 have a lick one. There is a lioness," and that's the term 21 he uses for one of his female followers. "There is a 22 lioness who lives here up the street." The other man on the 23 videos talks about, "Oh, you've got a bolt hole," and later 24 explains a "bolt hole" means somewhere to dash to. And 25 Gonzalez says, "I've got a bolt hole, dude. That's right.

It's like bugging out, bug out." The other man says, "It's 1 2 always good to have a plan B." And Gonzalez says, "Yeah. 3 Yeah, it's always good, and I'm so happy that she reached out and said that because now I feel a little like, fwew, I 4 5 could actually probably do something in case that were real." And he then says, "We are here now. We are alive 6 7 and safe. I think the whole riot is going to be safe, like, we'll be good, absolutely." And then he says, "I'm out of 8 9 weed."

I want to talk a little bit about the live streams. Actually, I can skip this part. I've mentioned that some of them show him using drugs. He's open about using/smoking marijuana on these live streams from here in Virginia, which is illegal, and he's proud of what he did. One of the live streams he sets as his background a photograph of the Capitol building.

17 Getting back to this week, on Tuesday, the 9th, FBI 18 and others executed a search warrant at the residence where 19 he's staying. In addition to the electronic devices they 20 are looking for, they find a rifle that's claimed by JM, was 21 not seized. They also found marijuana and a number of 22 steroids, of Anabolic steroids, two vials of a substance 23 called Gonadotropin, a vial of a substance called 24 Trenbolone, a vial of a substance called SP Super Trim, and 25 multiple other vials.

JODY A. STEWART, Official Court Reporter

There are other pills that are found there that are often used in conjunction with taking steroids, like blood pressure medication, pills to stop estrogen production. The defendant didn't affirmatively state that the drugs were his, but he said he understood that he could potentially be charged for them. And that's the point, Your Honor, which he is arrested on the warrant from the District of Columbia.

I'll say that the defendant was cooperative with the FBI at the arrest. He spoke to them after being read the *Miranda* warnings, and he admitted that that was, in fact, him inside the Capitol. He said he was a documentary filmmaker and was trying to live stream from inside the Capitol. Claims he didn't realize it was wrong to invade the Capitol during this riot.

He explained that he went up and down some hallways, and then at one point said that he asked a police officer how to get out. He said he did not want to go back to L.A. because of this whole thing going on, referencing the Capitol events, we believe, but said he liked this area and that the man JM had offered to let him stay here.

At his post-arrest interview, the defendant admitted that he believed in some conspiracy theories but denied that he was closely affiliated with the conspiracy theory known as QAnon. A lot of that is inaccurate, which I'm going to get to, and it's important. The defendant is

JODY A. STEWART, Official Court Reporter

not just a dabbler in conspiracy theories, including QAnon.
 He seems to be a true believer and promotes these baseless
 theories to what was at one time a significant online
 audience that he claims a good number in the tens of
 thousands.

Also, the idea that he just stumbled into the Capitol, if that's the argument, is incorrect for the reasons I've narrated above. His own video evidence shows that that is not the case. Also, he saved photos and footage in a computer folder called "Capitol storming." He talks about how he barged into the Capitol. While narrating that, he says, "Never back down."

On his Twitter feed we have postings like, "Revolt, revolt, revolt," and "Civil War time," and, "It's about time for a revolution." And these are from the Twitter postings associated with, "A Good Lion Entertainment" or "A Good Lion Media," which is his, quote, unquote, production company.

THE DEFENDANT: False.

18

MR. BOSSE: And I see the defendant is nodding his head no, and I'm happy to have him explain that, but I'm going to continue on.

There is another post on this Twitter statement that says, "We charged the Capitol to make a statement. We own the Government. Our forces will be needed again out there."

JODY A. STEWART, Official Court Reporter

Case 1:21-cr-00115-CRC Document 10-1 Filed 03/11/21 Page 7 of 28

THE DEFENDANT: Is needed. 1 2 THE COURT: Let me interrupt you for a second, Mr. Bosse, and direct these comments to Mr. Gonzalez. 3 4 Mr. Gonzalez, you have an attorney here who will 5 speak for you, and when it's his time to talk, he'll do so. 6 If you wish at any point to meet privately in a breakout 7 room with your lawyer, when there's an appropriate time to 8 do so, you can do that, but please do not interject in this 9 proceeding. 10 THE DEFENDANT: Sorry, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Bosse. 12 MR. BOSSE: Thank you, Your Honor. There is a 13 Twitter statement that says, "We charged the Capitol to make 14 a statement. We own the government," and then says, "Our 15 forces will be needed again out there," is a statement that 16 he was supporting patriots by taking the Capitol and smoking 17 people out, referring to giving them marijuana. 18 There's a posting which he appears to be responding 19 to something that lawyer Rudy Giuliani said where the 20 defendant says, "And next time we will go harder, stronger " -- sorry, "We will go stronger, harder, and we will break 21 22 more shit." 23 There is talk about the glory of this uprising and 24 references to his involvement in a siege. There are 25 re-tweets of a statement about reported election fraud that

JODY A. STEWART, Official Court Reporter

says, "Maximum penalties are now on the table." And there's 1 2 posted video of him just feet away, again, from the 3 frontline as the crowd starts to breach the police line. There is a video inside the Rotunda. "I and 4 5 thousands of others literally just stormed the Capitol. You 6 bet I smoked maga out up in there, five joints lit up at 7 once." And there are also re-tweets of QAnon conspiracy 8 content. 9 I want to talk a little bit about these conspiracy 10 theories because in my view they're directly relevant to his 11 motivation here and to whether he poses a risk of flight and 12 is a danger to the community. 13 The QAnon belief system, if you can call it that, 14 involves a conspiracy of government and Hollywood elites 15 who, in the views of the people who hold this, are running 16 some sort of underground child sex trafficking ring and are 17 harvesting a substance called Adrenochrome, I guess 18 supposedly from the glands of the children. 19 And the defendant's videos show that he is very 20 much into this nonsense. He's made purported documentaries 21 about people in the entertainment entry, which he calls 22 Pervywood, and talks about the substance Adrenochrome, 23 claims celebrities are using the substance Adrenochrome. 24 In addition to the conspiracy theories -- by the 25 way, on the videos he also talks about driving drunk and

JODY A. STEWART, Official Court Reporter

getting into a near fatal accident. And he's open about what seem to be pretty regular drug usage, which he broadcasts. He also talks about being fired from the job he had in information technology.

5 And I've spent now a couple of hours of my life 6 this week, that I will never get back, listening to the 7 defendant talk about his various beliefs. There is a belief 8 that we may be living in a simulation. There is talk that 9 we are living on a flat Earth, or what the defendant calls a 10 dome firmaments, and that the Smithsonian Institution is 11 hiding evidence of giants.

He is interested in Hollywood and media celebrities being involved in some kind of satanic child sex trafficking cult that includes the purported harvesting of this substance, and he talks about elites sacrificing children and drinking their blood. Talks about drugging children, filming abuse, and killing children.

According to this conspiracy fantasy, it's so bizarre, it is almost impossible to believe that people believe it, but apparently he does. And there is also mentions of the number 17, which, and I'm a novice, but I understand that is a code for Q, related to QAnon.

Your Honor, that's the substance of my proffer, andI'll reserve argument. Thank you.

25

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Bosse.

Case 1:21-cr-00115-CRC Document 10-1 Filed 03/11/21 Page 10 of 28

Mr. Cejas. 1 2 I'm sorry. My apologies. Thank you, MR. CEJAS: 3 I would note -- I'll respond to the Your Honor. government's proffer during argument. However, what I would 4 5 note, as far as Mr. Alvear Gonzalez is concerned, he's 32 6 years old. He's lived most of his life in California. Ι 7 would just reiterate the information contained in the 8 pretrial services report. 9 He has no prior criminal history whatsoever, no 10 instances where he has been charged with any sort of crime 11 and did not appear. He has been in this area for a brief 12 period of time. I would note that I've spoken to the 13 individual known as JM. That person is willing -- he is 14 willing to serve as a third-party custodian, willing to 15 remove the firearm from his residence. 16 However, understanding that the -- anticipating 17 that the government would make the argument that it did or 18 concerning JM, we've also -- I've also spoken to Mr. Alvear 19 Gonzalez's wife, Erica. She is willing for him to live 20 there with herself and her -- their, I believe, 4-year-old daughter, Luna, who is also there. 21 22 THE COURT: Where, Mr. Cejas? 23 MR. CEJAS: I'm sorry. This is in California, 24 Judge. This is in California. And she does not have any 25 firearms at her address. She has no prior criminal history

whatsoever. She did not attend anything in Washington, D.C. on January 6th, and as far as I know, she's not affiliated with any of the other matters that the government has brought up.

I would also note, and maybe I can reserve this for argument, but in the videos that we are aware of, there is nothing showing that Mr. Gonzalez was engaged in any acts of violence. There is nothing to show that -- other than maybe statements he made -- that he was one of the ones on the front lines. He may have been behind them, certainly not ones breaking through the windows.

In the many videos that I'm sure all of us have seen in the past four days, there is nothing there to suggest, nothing, and I reviewed quite a number of those from the impeachment trial, Mr. Gonzalez is not in those videos, and those videos are, obviously, the very worst. He would be easy to spot because, as is indicated in the affidavit, I believe, he was wearing flag pants.

19 So there is no one identified with flag pants 20 engaged in the kinds of activities that we saw in those 21 videos this week. The other thing I would note is that in 22 reviewing the evidence, the United States D.C. office chose 23 to charge him with misdemeanors, which means that that was 24 the most severe conduct that he engaged in. He is not 25 charged with a felony here. They certainly had time to

charge him, indict him, and they chose to charge him with, 1 2 well, misdemeanors, two of which are class B misdemeanors. 3 So I will reserve the rest for argument, Your 4 Honor. 5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Cejas. 6 MR. CEJAS: Thank you. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Cejas, before I get to the 8 argument, I would like to know the proposed, either JM in 9 Virginia Beach as a third-party custodian, or the 10 defendant's ex-wife who you said lives in California. 11 MR. CEJAS: That's correct. 12 THE COURT: Any more specific about that? What 13 city in California does she live in? 14 MR. CEJAS: Your Honor, I'm sorry. She is in 15 Ventura, California, I believe, and I have an address which 16 I could provide to the Court at the appropriate time. 17 THE COURT: All right. Do you know how long she's 18 resided there? 19 MR. CEJAS: She has been there, I believe, for --20 they were there together for approximately five years. She 21 has been at that address, I believe, four years. 22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Cejas. 23 MR. CEJAS: Thank you. 24 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Bosse. 25 MR. BOSSE: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor,

1 I'll note, with respect to Mr. Cejas's statement, is true 2 about the current charges. I'll say that the U.S. 3 Attorney's office is still investigating and is considering a felony charge under 1512, but because it's not lodged yet, 4 5 and at this point would be speculative, I'm not hanging my 6 argument on that. My argument is that while the defendant 7 is currently facing misdemeanor charges, the nature and 8 circumstances of this offense are exceptionally great.

9 The defendant was driven by bizarre conspiracy 10 theories, that he not only holds, that broadcasts to many 11 others to join a mob that stormed and invaded the United 12 States Capitol and temporarily disrupted the certification 13 of our election results. He joined an insurrection against 14 the government that was intended to impede the peaceful 15 transition of power.

He did not just end up in the wrong place at the wrong time. His own videos, postings, and comments make that abundantly clear. He's near the front lines as a violent mob assaults and breaks through a police line protecting the Capitol.

He is then rushing into the Capitol with a mob, and later, as he's narrating it to his followers, he calls it taking our country back, talking about people looking for doors to break in, and then he's using drugs inside the Capitol rotunda and passing them out to others. And then we've got the statements that he makes on Twitter, "Revolts, Civil War, we own the government, our forces will be needed again out there," and talking about the glory of this uprising, which he's proud of.

5 Your Honor, in one of the videos that he posts that 6 he took inside the Rotunda, what he says is, "I and 7 thousands of others literally just stormed the Capitol." It 8 couldn't be more clear the seriousness of what he did, and 9 the weight of the evidence is overwhelmingly strong. He 10 posted videos of himself committing the crimes. He bragged 11 about it while broadcasting them later, which raises one of 12 many concerns here, and that is the absolute impunity that 13 he acted with and continued to act with after the fact.

14 The defendant, based on his belief and these 15 bizarre conspiracies, thinks that he did nothing wrong by 16 joining an insurrection against the government of the United 17 States, and, in fact, is celebrating that. And then to put 18 the last nail in, he admits that it was him to the FBI, 19 which while doing a search warrant, they find the electronic 20 devices they are looking for, and they also find marijuana 21 and what appear to be a number of different kinds of 22 Anabolic steroids.

Looking to the history and characteristics of the defendant, I'm looking at the 3142(g)(3)(A) factors, and with the exception of criminal history and record of

appearance of prior court proceedings, the defendant does 1 2 not have a criminal history. Every single one of these 3 factors weighs greatly against him. Physical condition seems fine. As to his mental condition, he's perfectly 4 5 competent and lucid, but the belief structure that he has 6 embraced makes him both dangerous and a risk of flight. 7 He's fallen under the sway of a web of conspiracy theories that is not just bizarre but dangerous. 8

9 If you believe that so-called elites, government 10 elites, Hollywood celebrities, et cetera, are members of 11 some kind of satanic cult, sex trafficking children on a 12 massive scale, harvesting some substance from them, 13 sacrificing them, drinking their blood, this is sheer 14 wild-eyed nonsense.

But if you believe that, what wouldn't you do? The defendant was not just there sitting in his basement absorbing this material. He is acting on it, amplifying it and re-broadcasting it. And what does he do with it? One thing he might do with that set of beliefs is take part in an insurrection that you believe is going to stop these people and storm the Capitol building, which he did.

Another thing he might do is make threatening statements about what's going to happen next time, which he did. Another thing that he might not have the greatest respect for is the authority of a court of the United States

and its requirement that you appear there to face the consequences of your crimes.

1

2

3 We have already seen, because he has shown us and 4 gloated about it, what this defendant thinks of the 5 authority of the United States government. He didn't just 6 call for a revolution, he tried to help start it himself. 7 Why in the world should we think he will appear to face the 8 consequences of his actions, whether he's here or in D.C. or 9 all the way across the country in Ventura, California, even 10 further from the Court that has jurisdiction over his case. 11 And that's before, Your Honor, we get to the specific 12 evidence of his intent with respect to flight. He cancels 13 his flight back to California, surely, knowing from the 14 news, that other insurrectionists are being detained at the 15 airport. He appears to have cut off his phone, and he's 16 hiding out in Virginia Beach. And I'm not surmising when I 17 say hiding out. He knows that what he did is illegal, and 18 he suspects the police are looking for him. When an officer 19 comes to the door, he hides in the closet while his 20 roommate, JM, who is harboring him there, tells a bald face 21 lie to a uniform police officer to continue hiding him. 22 Then on a broadcast to his followers the same

night, he talks about having a, quote, escape route, one of his female followers that he believes he could escape to also in the local area and says, "I'm so happy that she

JODY A. STEWART, Official Court Reporter

1 reached out because now I can actually probably do
2 something."

3 We don't have to guess what he's going to do when 4 he's out of custody, Your Honor. He's already told us. 5 Looking at the other factors, family ties, none. He's 6 estranged from his parents and siblings. Until right this 7 moment, my understanding was that he was estranged from his 8 ex-wife. I have no idea what that situation or that 9 scenario is like or whether it would be a safe place for 10 him, for his ex-wife, for his daughter, or for the people of 11 Ventura, California.

12 Employment, none that we are aware of other than 13 making and posting wild conspiracy theory documentaries. He 14 was apparently fired from the last job he held. Financial 15 resources, he's been appointed counsel, and so if he was 16 honest on that form, they're not great. The length of 17 residence in the community appears to be a few weeks, 18 staying with a person who has shown by his actions and 19 statement that he's absolutely unfit to be any kind of 20 third-party custodian.

The defendant appears to have two community ties here, one of whom was actively harboring him, hiding him from the police and lying to protect him, and the other who is supposedly his escape route. His past conduct, I won't reiterate, is outlined in what I said before. History

1 relating to drug abuse appears extensive, and he is wide 2 open about it. The only point in his favor is a lack of a 3 criminal record, but he's been open about committing crimes; 4 drug use, drunk driving, and taking part in an insurrection 5 against this government.

6 Almost every factor points heavily against him. 7 The defendant wants to evade, capture -- wanted to evade 8 capture. Now that he's been captured, if he's set free, 9 there is no reason to think that he is not going to go 10 underground and try to do the same thing again. There is 11 nothing that the Court could put in place that's going to 12 stop him from fleeing and from failing to appear. He's 13 already indicated that he's trying to avoid the consequences 14 of his actions, and now he's facing jail time. And for the 15 same reasons, Your Honor, he is a danger to the community. 16 He acted with impunity, and he's proud of what he did, and 17 his motivations are passing bizarre.

He's absolutely a danger to any community that he's in, and even if the Court disagreed that we've made the necessary showing on that, the government's position is the evidence is as clear as can be that he's a flight risk because he said that he's a flight risk, and given what we know about him, there is no set of conditions that are going to contain that risk.

25

Your Honor, thank you for allowing me to make a

longer proffer and argument than is typical. That's all I
 have.

3 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Bosse. All right. Mr. Cejas, I'll hear your argument. 4 5 MR. CEJAS: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, I 6 think -- and I'll address the two issues, danger to the 7 community and then risk of flight. As far as danger to the 8 community is concerned, essentially we have a one-time 9 event, and certainly the events of January 6th at the 10 Capitol are unprecedented, so I'm not going to try to 11 minimize those in any case. I think what's important, 12 however, is that the agency, the United States, which viewed 13 his activities, which had his videos, whose had everything 14 there, chose to charge him with a misdemeanor.

In looking at the guidelines just briefly, he would be facing a range around zero to six months. As I said before, in many of the disturbing videos that we saw, he -and I'm referring to during the impeachment trial and the other videos Mr. Bosse references, there is nothing showing that he is engaged in acts of violence. There is nothing showing that he was engaged in threatening behavior.

Is the evidence strong? Yes. I'm not going to suggest otherwise, considering it's all on video. But certainly not danger to the community as I believe that is anticipated by Congress and by the President in enacting

JODY A. STEWART, Official Court Reporter

1 this section of the Bail Reform Act.

The government makes much of the conspiracy theories. Certainly, conspiracy theories, they are concerning, but the fact that someone believes something is not a crime. The fact that they tout them is not a crime. It doesn't show that they are a greater danger necessarily.

7 Many of the things -- and I took a look at the 8 website, Good Lion website, there are some interesting 9 videos there. Much of what Mr. Bosse said in his argument, 10 particularly that we are going to take our country back, is 11 a quote from the President right before the rally occurred. 12 You know, we are going to raise hell, we are going to do all 13 of these things, is a quote from the President right before 14 these things occurred. Any information, statements 15 regarding the Beach State, regarding many of the conspiracy 16 theories that Mr. Bosse references, have been quoted 17 repeatedly by the former President of the United States.

So I would suggest that if they are not -- they do not necessarily suggest that that individual is dangerous, and certainly that's arguable, but be that as it may, there is nothing to suggest that they pose a danger or make Mr. Alvear Gonzalez more dangerous in any way.

Unfortunately, if people want to believe that the earth is flat, that's not a crime. There are some people who don't believe that anyone went to the moon. That's not

1 a crime. There are people who believe -- I've got clients 2 who tell me that the sun goes into the water, that's why it 3 turns orange. That's not a crime. It may be strange; it 4 may be a variety of things. It's not a crime.

5 As far as risk of flight is concerned, I think the 6 Court can establish conditions or a combination of 7 conditions to ensure his appearance in court. One, the 8 Court can require that he stay with his wife. Two, the 9 Court can require electronic monitoring. I'm certain that 10 Mr. Alvear Gonzalez has never been in jail before. 11 Certainly, he would understand that if he were -- if he were 12 to fail to appear in court, he would face a potential 13 penalty significantly greater than what he may face now.

14 I think it's important to note that although the 15 police did a knock and talk the day before, it was a ruse. 16 They did not tell the individual there that they were 17 looking for Mr. Alvear Gonzalez in any case. Even in the 18 video that he created the next day, there is no indication 19 that he ever attempted to flee. He did not go anywhere, and 20 then when the FBI showed up, it's my understanding that he 21 was extremely cooperative. He gave them -- I believe they 22 had a password for his phone. They secured his phone. No, 23 they had a search warrant for his phone. He provided the 24 password, he provided the external hard drive, and he gave 25 statements thereafter.

Whether or not he believed it was a crime or not, 1 2 again, people can believe what they want. That doesn't 3 matter as far as it relates to risk of flight or danger to 4 the community. I believe that the Court can establish 5 conditions or a combination of conditions. I confess that 6 perhaps Mr. JM is not the best candidate given the 7 circumstances. Although I did speak to him, he is willing 8 to turn Mr. Alvear Gonzalez's passport over to pretrial services. He's willing to remove any firearms from the 9 10 home, if the Court did consider him. But, in any case, even 11 if the Court permitted him to go back and to stay with his 12 wife, the passport can be turned in here. 13 So I understand that these events of January 6 were 14 unprecedented. I understand the concerns about the 15 conspiracy theories. I would suggest that some of those are 16 protected by the First Amendment in this fight. The nature 17 of them, I do not believe that they, in and of themselves, 18 create a danger to the community. I would even note that we 19 have a congresswoman who was elected as a member of The 20 QAnon crew, so, from Georgia, again, most recently. 21 So it may not be whatever, but it's not evidence 22 that he will not show up in court when he is required to. 23 Thank you. 24 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Cejas. 25 The Court evaluates every case when the government

JODY A. STEWART, Official Court Reporter

1 moves for detention independently and individually, and the 2 principle behind that is never more apparent than in the 3 case brought before the Court today.

The defendant has been charged with two Class A misdemeanors and two Class B misdemeanors. Those offenses should not be minimized because of the nature of the charge brought. In other words, just because they are Class A or Class B misdemeanors does not mean that the nature and circumstances of the offense can't be extraordinarily serious.

11 The Court's not going to weigh in on evaluating the 12 momentousness of the January 6th attack on the Capitol. 13 That's for others to decide. But the Court has to take that 14 into consideration in determining whether there are 15 conditions or a combination of conditions that can be 16 fashioned that would assure this defendant's appearance in 17 court and to protect any other person in the community.

The nature and circumstances of these offenses are extraordinarily serious. The weight of the evidence appears to be substantially strong in no small part by the defendant's own doing by virtue of his social media outreach, his live streaming, his recording for posterity the events in which he engaged.

With respect to the defendant's personal historyand characteristics, the defendant has no criminal history.

He has no ties, though, to this community or to the District of Columbia where his case is pending. His physical condition appears to be fine. His mental condition appears to be healthy, albeit apparently affected in some respects by a series of rather strange beliefs. But as Mr. Cejas points out, believing in strange things is not a crime.

7 There is a First Amendment right to believe what 8 one wants to believe, and ordinarily that doesn't come into 9 play in determining whether or not someone poses a risk of 10 flight or a danger to the community unless those beliefs 11 turn to actions, and the actions here, as been emphasized by 12 the government, include a very blatant storming of the 13 Capitol and deliberate and expressed intentions to evade 14 accountability for that, to evade capture, to seek an escape 15 route.

Brazen doesn't begin to describe the notion of announcing over the Internet not only what you've done on January the 6th but what you call for to happen afterwards and how you plan to escape and to evade law enforcement and responsibility.

The last factor is the nature of the risk posed to the community by the defendant's release, and the Court is not weighing whether or not someone who believes in QAnon poses a risk of danger to the community just based on those beliefs. The question for the Court is whether or not those

JODY A. STEWART, Official Court Reporter

beliefs may tend to lead to action, action that could cause a risk of harm to the community.

1

2

According to the government, the defendant has expressed numerous intentions to advocate for a violent revolution. I don't know that there is any other way to describe that. And so when I look at the conditions that are available to the Court, electronic monitoring involves an ankle bracelet. It's been the Court's experience in the past that those can be cut off.

The Court has to weigh not whether there is any guarantee that the defendant will appear but whether the Court can be reasonably assured that he will appear. In other words, can the Court be reasonably assured that the defendant would adhere to the conditions placed on him by the Court, including the potential for electronic monitoring?

The defendant has pointed first to this individual JM in Virginia Beach as a third-party custodian, and I'm going to find immediately that JM is not an appropriate third-party custodian based on the representations by the government about his efforts to not only lie to the police officer the night before the defendant's arrest but to aid and abet the defendant's hideout to law enforcement.

24 With respect to the defendant's ex-wife, the Court 25 has no information about this person other than she lives in

JODY A. STEWART, Official Court Reporter

Ventura, California, and that together with the defendant they share a 4-year-old child. This person has not been interviewed or vetted by probation, and so the Court simply cannot consider whether or not the defendant's ex-wife would be a suitable third-party custodian.

6 So as I look at the available conditions available 7 to the Court, I, frankly, am at a loss as to what conditions 8 I could impose that would assure this defendant's appearance 9 based on so many of the comments and announcements he's made 10 to the public at large and based on his conduct both on the 11 day of January 6th and on the days thereafter.

12 The government's burden is to prove the defendant 13 is a risk of flight by a preponderance of the evidence, and 14 I'm going to find the government has established that. The 15 government's burden is to prove that the defendant is a risk 16 of danger to the community by a preponderance -- excuse me, 17 by clear and convincing evidence, and I'm going to find that 18 the government has established that as well.

This is an unusual case presenting unusual facts for the Court to consider, but weighing all of the evidence and argument that has been made here this afternoon, I'm going to find that there are no conditions or combination of conditions that I can impose that will assure the defendant's appearance, will reasonably assure that appearance, and reasonably protect any other person or the

community. So I'm going to issue a written order of 1 2 detention. 3 Now, Mr. Bosse, does the defendant have a schedule in the court in the District of Columbia? 4 5 MR. BOSSE: I don't believe he has an appearance 6 date scheduled yet. I'm checking right now with the 7 attorney on the case, but I believe the answer is no. I'll 8 correct that if I find out. Here it is. Not until he is 9 transported. By the time that he is transported and makes 10 his initial appearance there, the ensuing dates will be set 11 out. 12 THE COURT: All right. Then, I will go ahead and 13 sign a commitment order transferring the defendant to the 14 District of Columbia, and his next court appearance will be 15 scheduled once he arrives there. 16 All right. Mr. Bosse or Mr. Cejas, is there 17 anything further for the Court to address regarding this 18 case? 19 THE DEFENDANT: May I speak to Mr. Cejas? 20 MR. CEJAS: Yeah. If we can have a breakout room, 21 Judge. 22 THE COURT: Certainly. Lisa, if you would place 23 Mr. Cejas and Mr. Gonzalez in a breakout room so that they 24 can discuss matters privately, I'd appreciate it. 25 All right. If that's all, thank you, counsel.

JODY A. STEWART, Official Court Reporter

Case 1:21-cr-00115-CRC Document 10-1 Filed 03/11/21 Page 28 of 28

1	Thank you, Mr. Gonzalez. The Court will stand in
2	recess.
3	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor.
4	(Hearing adjourned at 3:01 p.m.)
5	CERTIFICATION
6	
7	I certify that the foregoing is a correct
8	transcript, to the best of my ability, of the court's audio
9	recording of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
10	
11	XX
12	Jody A. Stewart
13	X2-23-2021x
14	Date
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	