

1 RENÉ P. VOSS (CA Bar No. 255758)
Natural Resources Law
2 15 Alderney Road
San Anselmo, CA 94960
3 Tel: (415) 446-9027
renepvoss@gmail.com
4 *Attorney for Plaintiff*

5 SALLY J. SULLIVAN (DC Bar No. 1021930)
Trial Attorney
6 U.S. Department of Justice
Environment & Natural Resources Division
7 Natural Resources Section
4 Constitution Square
8 150 M Street NE
Washington, DC 20002
9 Tel: (202) 514-9269
sally.sullivan@usdoj.gov
10 *Attorney for Defendants*

11 *Additional attorney information on signature page*

12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
13 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

14 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
15 INFORMATION CENTER,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 ANN CARLSON, in her official capacity
as the Forest Supervisor of the Mendocino
19 National Forest; and the UNITED STATES
FOREST SERVICE,

20 Defendants.

Case No. 3:19-cv-6643-EMC

**SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND
STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL**

**Administrative Procedure Act Case,
5 U.S.C. § 701 *et seq.***

1 This Settlement Agreement and Stipulation of Dismissal (“Agreement” or “Stipulation”),
2 is entered into by and between Plaintiff Environmental Protection Information Center (“EPIC” or
3 “Plaintiff”), and Defendants Ann Carlson, in her official capacity as the Forest Supervisor for the
4 Mendocino National Forest, and the United States Forest Service (collectively, the “Forest
5 Service” or “Defendants”), (together, the “Parties”), and fully and finally resolves the claims
6 brought by Plaintiff in the above-captioned litigation. The Parties, by and through their
7 undersigned counsel, state as follows:

8 1. WHEREAS, on October 16, 2019, EPIC filed suit alleging that the Forest Service
9 violated the National Environmental Policy Act (“NEPA”) in approving the Ranch Fire Roadside
10 Hazard Tree Project (the “Project”). ECF No. 1.

11 2. WHEREAS, Defendants dispute Plaintiff’s allegations and deny that Plaintiff is
12 entitled to its requested relief. ECF No. 67.

13 3. WHEREAS, EPIC sought both a temporary restraining order and preliminary
14 injunction. ECF Nos. 11, 18.

15 4. WHEREAS, on December 10, 2019, this Court denied EPIC’s motion for
16 preliminary injunction. ECF No. 56.

17 5. WHEREAS, EPIC appealed that order to the Ninth Circuit. ECF No. 61.

18 6. WHEREAS, following briefing from EPIC, the Forest Service, and Intervenor-
19 Appellee, Sierra Pacific Industries (“Intervenor-Appellee”), a Ninth Circuit panel including
20 Judge Fletcher, Judge Lee, and Judge Settle of the Western District of Washington (sitting by
21 designation) heard argument on May 27, 2020.

1 7. WHEREAS, on August 3, 2020, a divided panel issued a published opinion
2 reversing this Court’s order denying EPIC’s request for a preliminary injunction. ECF No. 73.
3 Judge Fletcher, joined by Judge Settle, wrote for the majority and Judge Lee dissented. *See id.*

4 8. WHEREAS, the Forest Service petitioned for panel rehearing on October 1, 2020.
5 Intervenor-Appellee petitioned for panel rehearing and rehearing *en banc* on October 2, 2020.

6 9. WHEREAS, on October 27, 2020, the Ninth Circuit entered an order denying the
7 petitions. Judge Fletcher and Judge Settle voted to deny the petitions for panel rehearing. Judge
8 Lee voted to grant the petitions for panel rehearing. Judge Fletcher and Judge Lee voted to deny
9 the petition for rehearing *en banc*, and Judge Settle so recommended. No judge of the court
10 requested a vote on whether to rehear the matter *en banc*. ECF No. 78.

11 10. WHEREAS, on November 4, 2020, the Ninth Circuit issued the mandate to this
12 Court. ECF No. 79.

13 11. WHEREAS, on November 10, 2020, the parties filed a Joint Status Report with
14 the Court describing the status of Project activities and the Parties’ discussions regarding a
15 potential out-of-court resolution. ECF No. 80.

16 12. WHEREAS, on November 18, 2020, Plaintiff filed an unopposed motion in the
17 Ninth Circuit to transfer consideration of attorneys’ fees on appeal to this Court, and on
18 December 3, 2020, the panel issued an order transferring consideration of attorneys’ fees on
19 appeal to this Court. ECF No. 81.

20 13. WHEREAS, the Parties have reached a resolution, which will fully and finally
21 resolve this litigation, including Plaintiff’s claim to attorneys’ fees and costs, and which they
22 believe to be fair and adequate, and, on that basis, submit this Stipulation.

23 **NOW THEREFORE**, it is stipulated by and between the Parties as follows:
24

1 1. The Forest Service will not proceed with the following previously-approved
2 commercial timber sales: Bartlett Roadside Fire Salvage; Deer Valley Roadside Fire Salvage;
3 M3 Roadside Fire Salvage; M5-Pacific Roadside Fire Salvage; M10 West Roadside Fire
4 Salvage; or Pine Mountain Roadside Fire Salvage.

5 2. The Forest Service may proceed with operations under the M10-Letts
6 Stewardship Agreement, which involves three funding-contingent phases of primarily non-
7 commercial, non-sawtimber activities. Phase 1 is currently in progress, and the stewardship
8 recipient, the California Mule Deer Foundation, will continue removing cull decks of material
9 that has deteriorated to the point it is no longer suitable for traditional sawtimber product.
10 Phases 2 and 3, which both involve primarily non-commercial hazard tree abatement and
11 associated hazardous fuels reduction in the M10-Letts project area, are contingent on the
12 availability of funds and are not currently in progress.

13 3. The Forest Service may continue to remove hazard trees for non-sawtimber,
14 primarily non-commercial purposes, and dispose of excess downed fuels in accordance with
15 Forest Plan standards, in the entire Project Area. Such removal will follow the Forest's operative
16 hazard tree identification criteria contained in the Hazard Tree Guidelines for Forest Service
17 Facilities and Roads in the Pacific Southwest Region (Angwin et al. 2012), AR000449-
18 AR000488, and may consist of:

- 19 a. dropping and treating fuel loading on site of larger hazard trees (greater than 14"
20 dbh); and
- 21 b. removing smaller hazard trees (less than 14" dbh) to avoid excess fuel loadings.

22 4. Trees felled or removed consistent with paragraph 3 may be disposed of through
23 any of the following means: pile and burn; masticate or chip; and disposal through service
24

1 contracts. Small diameter material (less than 14" dbh) may also be removed as biomass or other
2 forest-related products such as chip board, fuelwood, and fence posts, but not as saw logs.

3 5. The Forest Service may remove any cull logs¹ that were decked as of the date of
4 the filing of this Stipulation.

5 6. Subject to paragraph 7 below, the Parties agree to settle Plaintiff's claim to
6 attorneys' fees and costs in this litigation for a total payment of \$191,000.00 pursuant to the
7 Equal Access to Justice Act, 5 U.S.C. § 2412 *et seq.* or any other statute, in full and complete
8 satisfaction of any and all claims, demands, rights, and causes of action Plaintiff may have for
9 the recovery of attorneys' fees or litigation costs in this matter.

10 7. Defendants' payment, as identified in paragraph 6 above, shall be accomplished
11 by electronic funds transfer to Plaintiff's counsel's IOLTA account on behalf of Plaintiff.
12 Counsel for Plaintiff will provide counsel for Defendants the appropriate account number and
13 other information needed to facilitate payment. Defendants shall submit the necessary
14 paperwork for the payment within forty five (45) days after (a) Plaintiff provides the information
15 necessary to facilitate payment, or (b) the Court issues the Order described in paragraph 10
16 below, whichever is later.

17 8. Counsel for Plaintiff acknowledge that they are receiving payment on behalf of
18 Plaintiff and that they will distribute the appropriate settlement proceeds to Plaintiff. Plaintiff
19 agrees to this procedure. Counsel for Plaintiff shall confirm payment within ten (10) days of
20 receipt. Plaintiff also acknowledges that under 31 U.S.C. §§ 3711, 3716, 26 U.S.C. § 6402(d),
21

22
23
24 ¹ Cull logs are damaged trees, of any size, that are not merchantable as saw logs. The wood has
now deteriorated to the point that it has no commercial value. Cull decks are piles of cull logs.

1 31 C.F.R. §§ 285.5, 901.3, and other authorities, the United States will offset against the award
2 of attorneys' fees and costs Plaintiffs' delinquent debts to the United States, if any. *See Astrue v.*
3 *Ratliff*, 560 U.S. 586 (2010).

4 9. Plaintiff and their attorneys agree to hold harmless Defendants in any litigation,
5 further suit, or claim arising from the payment of the agreed upon \$191,000.00 settlement
6 amount.

7 10. The Parties stipulate and agree and hereby request that the Court enter an order
8 approving this Agreement and dismissing this action in its entirety with prejudice pursuant to
9 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2).

10 11. This Agreement does not constitute, and shall not be construed as, an admission
11 or concession on the part of any Party with respect to any fact, claim, or defense in this action.
12 This Agreement shall have no precedential value. Plaintiff and Defendants agree that this
13 Agreement will not be used as evidence or otherwise in any pending or future civil or
14 administrative action by or against Defendants, or the United States, or any agency or
15 instrumentality of the United States. Defendants do not waive any defenses they may have
16 concerning the claims settled under this Agreement.

17 12. Nothing in this Agreement prohibits Defendants from undertaking new projects or
18 agency actions within the original Project Area.

19 13. Nothing in this Agreement prohibits Plaintiff from filing future lawsuits against
20 Defendants to challenge any future final agency action undertaken by Defendants.

21 14. The Agreement contains all of the agreements between Plaintiff and Defendants,
22 and is intended to be the complete and final agreement between them. Plaintiff and Defendants
23 agree that any prior or contemporaneous representations or understanding not explicitly
24

1 contained in this written Agreement, whether written or oral, are of no further legal or equitable
2 force or effect.

3 15. The undersigned representatives of each Party certify that they are fully
4 authorized by the Parties they represent to agree to the Court's entry of the terms and conditions
5 of this Agreement and do hereby agree to the terms herein.

6 16. This Agreement becomes effective on the date the Court issues the Order
7 referenced in paragraph 10 above.

8 DATED: April 5, 2021

/s/ René P. Voss
René P. Voss (CA Bar No. 255758)
Natural Resources Law
15 Alderney Road
San Anselmo, CA 94960
(415) 446-9027
renepvoss@gmail.com

Matt Kenna (CO Bar No. 22159)
Public Interest Environmental Law
679 E. 2nd Ave., Suite 11B
Durango, CO 81301
(970) 749-9149
matt@kenna.net
Pro Hac Vice

Attorneys for Plaintiff

17
18 JEAN E. WILLIAMS
Acting Assistant Attorney General
U.S. Department of Justice
19 Environment & Natural Resources Division

20 */s/ Sally J. Sullivan*
SALLY J. SULLIVAN (DC Bar No.
21 1021930)
Trial Attorney
22 JOHN P. TUSTIN (TX Bar No. 24056458)
Senior Attorney
23 U.S. Department of Justice
Environment & Natural Resources Division
24

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Natural Resources Section
4 Constitution Square
150 M Street NE
Washington, DC 20002
Tel: (202) 514-9269 (Sullivan)
(202) 305-3022 (Tustin)
Fax: (202) 305-0506
sally.sullivan@usdoj.gov
john.tustin@usdoj.gov

Of Counsel:
Ritu Ahuja
Attorney
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture

Attorneys for Defendants