1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 ASSOCIATION OF DEPUTY DISTRICT 11 ATTORNEYS FOR LOS ANGELES 12 COUNTY, 13 Plaintiff and Petitioner, 14 VS. GEORGE GASCÓN, in his official capacity 15 as District Attorney for the County of Los Angeles; LOS ANGELES COUNTY 16 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, 17 Defendants and Respondents. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

DEC 3 C 2020

herri R Carter, Executive Officer/Cler

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

20STCP04250 Case No.

PROPOSED| TEMPORARY RESTRANING ORDER AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

1722081.2

Having considered Plaintiff and Petitioner Association of Deputy District Attorneys for Los Angeles County's Ex Parte Application for a Temporary Restraining Order and an Order to Show Cause ("Application"), any opposition thereto, and arguments of counsel at the hearing on the Application, and with good cause appearing, the Court ORDERS as follows:

Petitioners withdraws its application for a temporary Restraining Order and an Order to Show Cause ("Application"), any opposition thereto, and arguments of counsel at the hearing on the Application, and with good cause appearing, the Court ORDERS as follows:

Petitioners withdraws its application for a Temporary Restraining Order and an Order to Show Cause ("Application"), any opposition thereto, and arguments of counsel at the hearing on the Application, and with good cause appearing, the Court ORDERS as follows:

Petitioners withdraws its application for a Temporary Restraining Order and an Order to Show Cause ("Application"), any opposition thereto, and arguments of counsel at the hearing on the Application and with good cause appearing, the Court ORDERS as follows:

Petitioners with the properties of the properti

- 1. The Court **ORDERS** Respondents George Gascón and the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue restraining and enjoining Respondents George Gascón and the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office from enforcing the following portions of Special Directives 20-08, 20-08.1, 20-08.2, and 20-14 (collectively "Special Directives") for the full duration of this action:
 - a. Any portion of the Special Directives that prohibits the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office, or any of its Deputy District Attorneys or prosecutors, from pleading and proving prior strikes under California's Three Strikes Sentencing Initiative (Penal Code §§ 667(b)–(i), 1170.12);
 - b. Any portion of the Special Directives that requires the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office, or any of its Deputy District Attorneys or prosecutors, to move to dismiss from any pending criminal action any of the following:
 - i. Any prior-strike enhancements (Penal Code section 667(d), 667(e), 1170.12(a) and 1170.12(c)), including any second strikes and any strikes arising from a juvenile adjudication;
 - ii. Any Prop 8 or "5-year prior" enhancements (Penal Code section 667(a)(1)) and "three-year prior" enhancements (Penal Code section 667.5(a));
 - iii. STEP Act enhancements ("gang enhancements") (Penal Code section 186.22 et seq.);
 - iv. Special circumstances allegations resulting in an LWOP sentence;
 - v. Violations of bail or O.R. release (Penal Code section 12022.1); and
 - vi. Firearm allegations pursuant to Penal Code section 12022.53;
 - c. Any portion of the Special Directives that requires the Los Angeles County

District Attorney's Office, or any of its Deputy District Attorneys or prosecutors, to make a post-conviction motion to dismiss from any pending criminal action special circumstances allegations under Penal Code sections 190.1 to 190.5; and

- d. Any portion of the Special Directives that requires the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office, or any of its Deputy District Attorneys or prosecutors, to move for leave to amend the charging document in any pending criminal action for the purpose of removing any allegations that they would otherwise be restrained and enjoined from moving to dismiss under Paragraphs 1(b) and 1(c);
- 2.. Respondents shall show cause as follows:
 - Respondents shall file, and shall serve on Petitioner's counsel of record by a. e-mail, a written opposition to the Application on or before 1-15-21
 - Petitioner may file, and shall serve on Respondents' counsel of record by eb. mail, a written reply in support of the Application on or before 1-26-21
 - The Court shall hold a hearing on the Order to Show Cause on c. (-ebensy 2, 2021 at 1:30 am./p.m., in Department _85 of this Court;
- 3. Within the timeframe set forth in Code of Civil Procedure section 527(d)(2), Petitioner shall serve its Petition for Writ of Mandate and/or Prohibition, its Application and all supporting documents, and this Order, on Respondents as follows: (a) if counsel for Respondents appeared at the hearing on the Application, Petitioner shall effect such service by personal service or by e-mail on counsel for Respondents; or (b) if counsel for Respondents did not appear at the hearing on the Application, Petitioner shall effect such service by personal service on Respondents' agent for service of process or other person authorized to receive service of process on their behalf. Petitioner shall file a proof of service as soon as practicable after service under this paragraph is complete, but in no event later than the date and time set for the hearing on the Order to Show Cause set forth in Paragraph 2(c).

27

TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

- 4. The Court concludes as follows:
- a. Petitioner Association of Deputy District Attorneys for Los Angeles County has demonstrated a likelihood that the portions of Special Directives 20-08, 20-08.1, 20-08.2, and 20-14 (collectively "Special Directives"), issued by Respondents George Gascón and the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office, that Petitioner challenges in this action, are unlawful and contravene both Respondents' and Petitioner's mandatory duties;
- b. There is a likelihood that the members of Petitioner, including Los Angeles County's Deputy District Attorneys, will suffer irreparable harm if Respondents are permitted to enforce the Special Directives during the pendency of this action;
- 5. Effective immediately, Respondents George Gascón and the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office are **RESTRAINED AND ENJOINED** from enforcing the following portions of the Special Directives:
 - a. Any portion of the Special Directives that prohibits the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office, or any of its Deputy District Attorneys or prosecutors, from pleading and proving prior strikes under California's Three Strikes Sentencing Initiative (Penal Code §§ 667(b)–(i)/1170.12);
 - b. Any portion of the Special Directives that requires the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office, or any of its Deputy District Attorneys or prosecutors, to move to dismiss from any pending criminal action any of the following:
 - i. Any prior-strike enhancements (Penal Code section 667(d), 667(e),
 1170.12(a) and 1170.12(c)), including any second strikes and any strikes arising
 from a juvenile adjudication;
 - ii. Any Prop 8 or "5-year prior" enhancements (Penal Code section 667(a)(1)) and "three-year prior" enhancements (Penal Code section 667.5(a));
 - iii. STEP Act enhancements ("gang enhancements") (Penal Code section 186.22 et seq.);

1722081.2

-5-

PROOF OF SERVICE

Ass'n of Assistant District Attorneys for Los Angeles County v. George Gascon, et al.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is 801 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 2000, Los Angeles, CA 90017.

On December 29, 2020, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as **[PROPOSED] TEMPORARY RESTRANING ORDER AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE** on the interested parties in this action as follows:

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

BY E-MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: On December 29, 2020, I caused a copy of the document(s) to be sent from e-mail address dcarroll@bgrfirm.com to the persons at the e-mail addresses listed in the Service List. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on December 29, 2020, at Los Angeles, California.

David J. Carroll

SERVICE LIST

Robert Dugdale
Kendall Brill & Kelly LLP

10100 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 1725
Los Angeles, California 90067
Tel: (310) 556-2700
Fax: (310) 556-2705
E-mail: rdugdale@kbkfirm.com

Attorneys for Defendants and Respondents George Gascon and the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office

1722081.2