

1 JEFFREY BOSSERT CLARK  
2 Acting Assistant Attorney General  
3 SCOTT G. STEWART  
4 Deputy Assistant Attorney General  
5 WILLIAM C. PEACHEY  
6 Director  
7 Office of Immigration Litigation  
8 WILLIAM C. SILVIS  
9 Assistant Director  
10 Office of Immigration Litigation  
11 SARAH B. FABIAN  
12 Senior Litigation Counsel  
13 NICOLE N. MURLEY  
14 Senior Litigation Counsel  
15 Office of Immigration Litigation  
16 U.S. Department of Justice  
17 Box 868, Ben Franklin Station  
18 Washington, D.C. 20044  
19 Telephone: (202) 532-4824  
20 Fax: (202) 616-8962  
21  
22 ADAM L. BRAVERMAN  
23 United States Attorney  
24 SAMUEL W. BETTWY  
25 Assistant U.S. Attorney  
26 California Bar No. 94918  
27 Office of the U.S. Attorney  
28 880 Front Street, Room 6293  
San Diego, CA 92101-8893  
619-546-7125  
619-546-7751 (fax)  
*Attorneys for Federal Respondents-  
Defendants*

Lee Gelernt\*  
Judy Rabinovitz\*  
Anand Balakrishnan\*  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES  
UNION FOUNDATION  
125 Broad St., 18th Floor  
New York, NY 10004  
T: (212) 549-2660  
F: (212) 549-2654  
*lgelernt@aclu.org*  
*jrabinovitz@aclu.org*  
*abalakrishnan@aclu.org*

Bardis Vakili (SBN 247783)  
ACLU FOUNDATION OF  
SAN DIEGO & IMPERIAL  
COUNTIES  
P.O. Box 87131  
San Diego, CA 92138-7131  
T: (619) 398-4485  
F: (619) 232-0036  
*bvakili@aclusandiego.org*

Stephen B. Kang (SBN 292280)  
Spencer E. Amdur (SBN 320069)  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES  
UNION FOUNDATION  
39 Drumm Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
T: (415) 343-1198  
F: (415) 395-0950  
*skang@aclu.org*  
*samdur@aclu.org*

*Attorneys for Petitioners-  
Plaintiffs*  
*\*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3 MS. L, et al.,

Case No. 18cv428 DMS MDD

4  
5 Petitioners-Plaintiffs,

**JOINT STATUS REPORT**

6 vs.

7 U.S. IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS  
8 ENFORCEMENT, et al.,

9 Respondents-Defendants.  
10

11  
12 The Court ordered the parties to file a joint status report (JSR) by 3:00 pm on  
13 December 2, 2020, in anticipation of the status conference scheduled at 1:30 pm on  
14 December 4, 2020. The parties submit this joint status report in accordance with the  
15 Court's instruction.  
16

17 ///

18  
19 ///

20  
21 ///

1 **I. DEFENDANTS' POSITIONS**

2 **A. Update Regarding Government's Implementation of Settlement**  
 3 **Agreement**

4 <b>SETTLEMENT PROCESS</b>	5 <b>DESCRIPTION</b>	6 <b>NUMBER</b>
7 <b>Election Forms<sup>1</sup></b>	8 Total number of executed election forms received by the Government	9 <b>444 (260 Parents/184 Children)<sup>2</sup></b>
	10 • Number who elect to receive settlement procedures	11 <b>282 (157 Parents/125 Children)</b>
	12 • Number who waive settlement procedures	13 <b>162 (103 Parents/59 Children)<sup>3</sup></b>
14 <b>Interviews</b>	15 Total number of class members who received interviews	16 <b>173<sup>4</sup></b>
	17 • Parents who received interviews	18 <b>91</b>
	19 • Children who received interviews	20 <b>82</b>
21 <b>Decisions</b>	22 Total number of CFI/RFI decisions issued for parents by USCIS	23 <b>71<sup>5</sup></b>

24 <sup>1</sup> The number of election forms reported here is the number received by the Government as of November 18, 2020.

25 <sup>2</sup> The number of children's election forms is lower than the number of parent election forms because in many instances a parent electing settlement procedures submitted an election form on his or her own behalf or opposing counsel e-mailed requesting settlement implementation for the entire family, but no separate form was submitted on behalf of the child.

26 <sup>3</sup> The number of children's waivers is lower because some parents have submitted waivers only for themselves and some parents who have waived reunification also waived settlement procedures and have therefore not provided a form for the child.

27 <sup>4</sup> Some individuals could not be interviewed because of rare languages; these individuals were placed in Section 240 proceedings. This number includes credible fear and reasonable fear interviews, as well as affirmative asylum interviews.

28 <sup>5</sup> This number is the aggregate of the number of parents whose negative CFI/RFI determinations were reconsidered, number of parents whose negative CFI/RFI determination was unchanged, and individuals who were referred to 240 proceedings without interview because of a rare language. This number excludes 12 cases where a parent already had an NTA from ICE or was already ordered removed by an IJ (which are included in the interview totals).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of parents determined to establish CF or RF upon review by USCIS</li> </ul>	<b>69<sup>6</sup></b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of parents whose CF or RF finding remains negative upon review by USCIS</li> </ul>	<b>2</b>
	Total number of CFI decisions issued for children by USCIS	<b>73<sup>7</sup></b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of children determined to establish CF by USCIS</li> </ul>	<b>73<sup>8</sup></b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of children determined not to establish CF by USCIS</li> </ul>	<b>0</b>
	Total number of affirmative asylum decisions by USCIS	<b>22</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of parents granted asylum by USCIS</li> </ul>	<b>2</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of parents referred to immigration court</li> </ul>	<b>5</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of children granted asylum by USCIS</li> </ul>	<b>3<sup>9</sup></b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of children referred/returned</li> </ul>	<b>12</b>

<sup>6</sup> This number includes parents who received positive CF/RF determinations upon reconsideration, parents who received a Notice to Appear based on their child’s positive CF determination, and parents who were placed in Section 240 proceedings due to a rare language.

<sup>7</sup> This number is the aggregate of the number of children who received a positive CF determination, the number of children who received a negative CF determination, and children who were referred to 240 proceedings without interview because of a rare language.

<sup>8</sup> This number includes children who received a positive CF determination, children who received a Notice to Appear as a dependent on their parent’s positive CF determination, and children who were placed in Section 240 proceedings due to a rare language.

<sup>9</sup> This number includes children granted asylum as a dependent on their parent’s asylum application.

	to immigration court	
<b>Removals</b>	Number of class members who have been returned to their country of origin as a result of waiving the settlement procedures	<b>109 Parents<sup>10</sup></b>

## B. Expanded Class Members

On April 25, 2019, the Court approved Defendants' Plan for identifying members of the expanded class. In advance of the Court's October 25, 2019 deadline, Defendants completed the process of identifying members of the expanded class and produced spreadsheets identifying those individuals to Plaintiffs' counsel and providing the latest available contact for those individuals from the government's databases.

On February 7, 2020, Defendants reached out to Plaintiffs to request that Plaintiffs provide Defendants with an update regarding their efforts to locate and reunify members of the expanded class. Plaintiffs declined to provide much of the information requested by Defendants. Following multiple meet and confer discussions, on July 5, 2020, Plaintiffs provided Defendants with an updated spreadsheet containing some information on members of the expanded class. Plaintiffs also identified members of that class about whom Plaintiffs requested to meet and confer regarding whether the government would agree to return those

---

<sup>10</sup> This number is as of November 18.

1 individuals to the United States. The parties are continuing to discuss this issue to  
2 determine if it can be resolved or whether it will require intervention from the Court.

3       Having declined to provide the government with much of the information the  
4 government requested regarding the Steering Committee's efforts to contact  
5 members of the expanded class, Plaintiffs also never indicated to Defendants any  
6 need for additional information or resources to assist their efforts. Nonetheless, since  
7 the last status conference on October 22, 2020, Defendants have held multiple meet  
8 and confer discussions with Plaintiffs regarding additional information that would  
9 assist with those efforts, and have provided spreadsheets containing updated  
10 information from at least four different government databases, and expect to provide  
11 additional information in the near future. Defendants are continuing to search for  
12 additional updated contact information and will provide it to Plaintiffs on a rolling  
13 basis.  
14  
15  
16  
17

18       **C. Government Processes, Procedures, and Tracking, for Separations Since**  
19       **June 26, 2018.**

20       Data Requested by Plaintiffs. Defendants continue to provide Plaintiffs  
21 updated reports containing information regarding parents and children separated  
22 since the Court's June 26, 2018 Order on a monthly basis. Given the relatively low  
23 number of separations, and the fact that Defendants have been complying with the  
24 Court's preliminary injunction for more than two years, Defendants propose that  
25 going forward they will update Plaintiffs on a quarterly, rather than monthly, basis.  
26  
27  
28

1           Processes and Procedures.

2           In the May 27, 2020 Joint Status Report, Defendants provided the court with  
3 updated reporting regarding the various steps it has taken to implement Paragraph 5  
4 of the preliminary injunction, ECF No. 83 at 24, ¶ 5, and improve the processes and  
5 procedures for information sharing between the agencies related to family  
6 separations. Following the July 10, 2020 status conference, the Court ordered that  
7  
8 “Defendants shall provide a declaration from the Assistant Commissioner of OIT or  
9 other appropriate designee setting out the status of the development of the UIP and  
10 estimated completion date. The declarant should also address the Court’s concerns  
11 about how the UIP will enable parents in criminal custody access to their children’s  
12 location information and how the UIP will assist in reunification efforts when a  
13 parent is transferred from criminal custody to immigration custody.” ECF No. 543  
14 at 2. Defendants provided that information in the August 19, 2020, Joint Status  
15 Report, including a declaration from Robert J. Costello, Executive Director, Office  
16 of Information Technology, Enterprise Services, U.S. Customs and Border  
17 Protection, U.S. Department of Homeland Security. ECF No. 547. On October 20,  
18 2020, Defendants provided another declaration from Mr. Costello on the progress of  
19 the UIP. ECF No. 556-1. In accordance with the Court’s most recent Order  
20 Following Status Conference, ECF No. 558 at ¶ 3, Defendants attach hereto another  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 supplemental declaration from Mr. Costello regarding the continued progress of the  
2 UIP.

3           The Court also ordered that the parties “shall continue to meet and confer on  
4 the information gap between the Department of Justice (Bureau of Prisons and U.S.  
5 Marshal) and the Department of Health and Human Services (ORR).” *Id.* ¶ 1. The  
6 parties held a call regarding this issue on December 1, 2020. The government also  
7 has an internal call regarding these issues scheduled for December 3, 2020. The  
8 government will continue to address this issue internally and with Plaintiffs, and  
9 expect to provide a further update to the Court on this issue in the next Joint Status  
10 Report.  
11

## 12 **II. MS. L. PLAINTIFFS’ POSITION**

### 13 **A. Steering Committee Outreach to Sponsors and Parents of Children of** 14 **Expanded Class Members**

15           The government has provided eleven lists identifying 1,134 children of  
16 expanded class members. The government has also identified 64 “recategorized”  
17 deported parents from the original class, who the Steering Committee has sought to  
18 contact as part of its efforts to reach members of the expanded class.<sup>11</sup> The  
19

---

20  
21  
22  
23 <sup>11</sup> In its portion of the December 12, 2018 Joint Status Report, the government disclosed the  
24 existence of what we call the “Recategorized Original Class”, *i.e.*, members of the original class  
25 who were not identified as part of the government’s initial disclosures in the summer of  
26 2018. The government only provided contact information for this group in February 2019, after  
27 the Steering Committee’s efforts to contact original class members had concluded – and so, as a  
28 logistical matter, the Steering Committee has conducted outreach to the 64 parents in this group  
who were deported following separation from their children, as part of the Steering Committee’s  
efforts to contact *expanded* class members.

1 Steering Committee’s recent efforts have been targeted at locating the parents of  
2 this group of 1,198 (1,134 plus 64) children.<sup>12</sup>

3 Of these 1,198 children, the Steering Committee has reached the parents (or  
4 their attorneys) of 570 children. This represents an increase of 41 children’s  
5 parents since our last status report. We describe below the status of our continuing  
6 efforts to reach the parents of the remaining 628 children.<sup>13</sup>

7 The 628 children fall into two groups. First, there are approximately 295  
8 children whose parents are believed to have been removed from the United States  
9 following separation from their children, and our efforts to locate them in their  
10 country of origin are ongoing. Second, there is a group of approximately 333  
11 children whose parents are believed to be in the United States, and efforts to locate  
12 them in the United States are also ongoing.

13 Notably, of the 628 children whose parents we have not yet been in contact  
14 with, we have, for 168 of those children, been in touch with another family  
15 member.

16 Steering Committee Efforts to Locate Parents

17 For all parents with whom the Steering Committee has not yet established  
18 contact, the Steering Committee continues to engage in a multi-faceted approach in  
19 an attempt to locate and establish communication with the separated parent.

20 First, as previously reported, the Steering Committee attempts to reach all  
21 parents, sponsors and attorneys by telephone. Where those efforts are not  
22 successful, the Steering Committee has engaged in time-consuming and arduous

---

23 <sup>12</sup> Because some of the parents of these children entered with more than one  
24 child, there are 1,082 parents in this combined group.

25 <sup>13</sup> To avoid public confusion, we also explain the 666 number that has been  
26 reported in the media. That figure was the number of children whose parents had  
27 not been located as of November 5, 2020, which was a few weeks after the last  
28 JSR. The parents (or their attorneys) of 3 children were contacted after the last JSR  
but before November 5, 2020, with parents of an additional 38 children having  
been contacted after November 5, 2020 (for a total of 41 since our last JSR).

1 on-the-ground searches for parents in their respective countries of origin.  
2 Following a suspension due to the COVID-19 pandemic, limited physical on-the-  
3 ground searches for separated parents have resumed where possible to do so while  
4 protecting the health of personnel working with the Steering Committee and  
5 members of vulnerable communities in separated parents' home countries. The  
6 Steering Committee intends to continue physical on-the-ground searches while it  
7 remains safe to do so, and will continue to update the Court on its progress,  
8 particularly if such searches must be limited or suspended again due to travel  
9 restrictions or health risks.

10 Also, as previously reported, the Steering Committee has established toll-  
11 free telephone numbers in the United States, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico and El  
12 Salvador to receive inbound phone calls from potential members of the expanded  
13 class. The Steering Committee has distributed this number both by email and U.S.  
14 Mail to a number of non-governmental organizations and other community  
15 organizations in the United States, who may be able to help us locate parents  
16 because they work in the communities these parents are likely to have contact with.  
17 In addition, the Steering Committee sent letters in Spanish and English to  
18 approximately 1,600 addresses provided by the government for the potential class  
19 members that the Steering Committee has not yet reached. These letters explain  
20 our role in this action and invite parents to contact the Steering Committee to call  
21 these toll-free numbers. The Steering Committee continues to monitor voicemail  
22 boxes reachable via these toll-free numbers, and plans to renew its mailing effort  
23 with the new address information received for children and their attorneys from the  
24 government on November 25, 2020.

25 Additionally, as previously reported, the Steering Committee has undertaken  
26 broad-based media outreach efforts to publicize the toll-free phone numbers  
27 created by the Steering Committee in Spanish language media. The Steering  
28

1 Committee continues to work to identify opportunities to broadly disseminate the  
2 toll-free numbers through various media to maximize visibility to potential *Ms. L.*  
3 class members, including most recently by seeking to collaborate on such media  
4 outreach initiatives with other non-profit organizations. The Steering Committee  
5 will continue to update the court on these efforts.

6 The Steering Committee has also recently received additional contact  
7 information for a number of these families from Seneca Family of Agencies  
8 (“Seneca”), a non-profit organization that has contracted with the Department of  
9 Health and Human Services to connect certain *Ms. L.* class members in the United  
10 States with mental health providers, pursuant to a preliminary injunction in *Ms.*  
11 *J.P., et al. v. William P. Barr, et al.* (2:18-cv-06081-JAK-SK, C.D. Cal.). The  
12 Steering Committee is re-attempting telephonic communication with all families  
13 for whom new contact information is available via Seneca, in addition to the  
14 efforts underway using new information provided by the government as described  
15 above.

16 Finally, the Defendants recently provided the Steering Committee with  
17 additional information from another government database that had not previously  
18 been disclosed (the Executive Office for Immigration Review). Among other  
19 things, the information includes phone numbers that had not previously been  
20 disclosed. Because the information was provided on the Wednesday before  
21 Thanksgiving, the Committee has not yet had time to determine the full scope or  
22 usefulness of this new information.

23 **B. Information Sharing**

24 The parties met and conferred on December 1 on remaining information-  
25 sharing issues, and expect continued discussions.  
26  
27  
28

1 **C. Return of Additional Deported Parents**

2 The parties are discussing avenues for relief for deported parents, and will  
3 continue to meet and confer, and report back to the Court.

4  
5 **III. MMM-Dora Plaintiffs' Report Regarding Settlement Implementation**

6 The parties continue to work together to implement the settlement agreement  
7 approved on November 15, 2018. Class counsel are providing the Government with  
8 signed waiver forms as they are received from class members, and class counsel are  
9 continuing to work on outreach efforts to class members who may qualify for relief  
10 under the settlement. The parties continue to meet and confer on issues related to  
11 settlement implementation as they arise.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 DATED: December 2, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

2  
3 /s/ Lee Gelernt

Lee Gelernt\*

4 Judy Rabinovitz\*

5 Anand Balakrishnan\*

6 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION  
FOUNDATION

7 125 Broad St., 18th Floor

8 New York, NY 10004

T: (212) 549-2660

9 F: (212) 549-2654

10 *lgelernt@aclu.org*

*jrabinovitz@aclu.org*

*abalakrishnan@aclu.org*

11  
12 Bardis Vakili (SBN 247783)

13 ACLU FOUNDATION OF SAN DIEGO

& IMPERIAL COUNTIES

14 P.O. Box 87131

15 San Diego, CA 92138-7131

T: (619) 398-4485

16 F: (619) 232-0036

17 *bvakili@aclusandiego.org*

18 Stephen B. Kang (SBN 292280)

19 Spencer E. Amdur (SBN 320069)

20 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION  
FOUNDATION

21 39 Drumm Street

22 San Francisco, CA 94111

T: (415) 343-1198

23 F: (415) 395-0950

24 *skang@aclu.org*

*samdur@aclu.org*

25 *Attorneys for Petitioners-Plaintiffs*

26 *\*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

JEFFREY BOSSERT CLARK  
Acting Assistant Attorney General  
SCOTT G. STEWART  
Deputy Assistant Attorney General  
WILLIAM C. PEACHEY  
Director  
WILLIAM C. SILVIS  
Assistant Director

/s/ Sarah B. Fabian  
SARAH B. FABIAN  
Senior Litigation Counsel  
NICOLE N. MURLEY  
Senior Litigation Counsel  
Office of Immigration Litigation  
Civil Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 868, Ben Franklin Station  
Washington, DC 20044  
(202) 532-4824  
(202) 616-8962 (facsimile)  
Sarah.B.Fabian@usdoj.gov

ADAM L. BRAVERMAN  
United States Attorney  
SAMUEL W. BETTWY  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

*Attorneys for Respondents-Defendants*