Copy

IN THE SIXTH CIRCUIT COU	RT FOR DAVIDSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE
	2007 JUN 26 AM 10: 51
IN RE DOLLAR GENERAL) Master Docket No. 07MD1 CORE CLEVE) (Consolidated Action)
) Judge Thomas Brothers

PROPOSED ORDER

This matter came before the Court for hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion for Temporary Injunction.

Plaintiffs filed their Motion for Temporary Injunction on Saturday, June 9, 2007. Defendants filed their responses to the Motion for Temporary Injunction on Tuesday, June 12, 2007 and this matter was heard by the Court on Wednesday, June 13, 2007. Having reviewed the motion, brief and papers filed by the Plaintiffs, as well as the responses, briefs, affidavits and papers filed by the Defendants and the entire file in this case, the Court finds the Plaintiff's Motion for Temporary Injunction is not well taken. The Plaintiffs are entitled to injunctive relief at this stage of the case only if Plaintiffs have "clearly shown by verified complaint, affidavit or other evidence that the movant's rights are being or will be violated by an adverse party and the movant will suffer immediate and irreparable injury, loss or damage pending a final judgment in the action, or that the acts or omissions of the adverse party will tend to render such final judgment ineffectual". Tenn. R. Civ. P. 65.04(2). Under Tennessee law, Plaintiffs have the burden of establishing (1) the threat of irreparable harm to plaintiff if the injunction is not granted; (2) the balance between this harm and the injury that granting the injunction would inflict on the defendant; (3) the probability that plaintiff will succeed on the merits; and (4) the

Copy

impact of granting or not granting the injunction on the public interest. See Denver Area Meat

Cutters & Employees Pension Plan v. Clayton, 120 S.W.3d 841, 857 (Tenn. Ct. App. 2003).

First, the Court finds that Plaintiffs have failed to demonstrate irreparable harm.

Plaintiffs have failed to demonstrate that the remedy of damages is insufficient and in this case

Plaintiffs retain their claim for damages. Second, as for a demonstration of a likelihood of

success on the merits, Plaintiffs have not clearly shown a likelihood of success. They have failed

to meet the standards set forth in Rule 65.04(2). Third, in balancing the harm of granting the

injunction against the harm of not granting the injunction, the Court finds it is a significant factor

that granting an injunction at this point in time could result in the loss of millions of dollars by

the shareholders for the time value of money, finds that a delay could add risk to the proposed

transaction and could result in additional expense to the proposed buyer. Fourth, the Plaintiffs

have not demonstrated that it is necessary to issue the Temporary Injunction in order to protect

any public interest.

The Court, therefore, ORDERS that Plaintiffs' Motion for Temporary Injunction to

enjoin the scheduled June 21st vote of the Dollar General shareholders on the proposed

transaction be and hereby is DENIED.

DATED

HONORABLE JUDGE THOMAS BROTHERS

2

Submitted for Entry:

William Savett / D primision Mac Theodore N. Mirvis William D. Savitt WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ

51 West 52nd Street New York, NY 10019 (212) 403-1000

Wallace W. Dietz (#9949) Matthew M. Curley (#18613) BASS, BERRY & SIMS PLC 315 Deaderick Street Nashville, Tennessee 37238 (615) 742-6200 (telephone) (615) 742-2776 (fax)

Attorneys for David A. Perdue, David L. Beré, Dennis C. Bottorff, Barbara L. Bowles, Reginald D. Dickson, E. Gordon Gee, Barbara M. Knuckles, J. Neal Purcell, James D. Robbins, Richard E. Thornburgh, David M. Wilds, and Dollar General Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid on this 18th day of June 2007 upon the following:

Via Federal Express and E-mail

Steven A. Riley
James N. Bowen
Milton S. McGee, III
BOWEN RILEY WARNOCK &
JACOBSON, PLC
1906 West End Avenue
Nashville, TN 37203
sriley@bowenriley.com
jimbowen@bownriley.com
tmcgee@bowenriley.com

Via Federal Express and E-mail

Michael J. Chepiga
Peter E. Kazanoff
SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP
425 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10017
mchepiga@stblaw.com
pkazanaoff@stblaw.com

Via Federal Express and E-mail

George E. Barrett
Douglas S. Johnston, Jr.
BARRETT, JOHNSTON & PARSLEY
217 Second Avenue, North
Nashville, TN 37201-1601
gbarrett@barrettjohnston.com
djohnston@barrettjohnston.com

Via Federal Express and E-mail

James G. Stranch, III
J. Gerard Stranch, IV
BRANSTETTER STRANCH
& JENNINGS PLLC
227 2nd Avenue North, 4th Floor
Nashville, TN 37201
jgs@branstetterlaw.com
gstranch@branstetterlaw.com

Via Federal Express and E-mail

Darren J. Robbins
Randall J. Baron
A. Rick Atwood, Jr.
LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER
RUDMAN & ROBBINS, LLP
655 West Broadway, Suite 1900
San Diego, CA 92101
darrenr@lerachlaw.com
randyb@lerachlaw.com

Via Federal Express and E-mail

Gerald H. Silk
Salvatore J. Graziano
Mark Lebovitch
BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER &
GROSSMANN, LLP
1285 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019
jerry@blbglaw.com
sgraziano@blbglaw.com
markl@blbglaw.com

Matth Cf