

1 KING, HOLMES, PATERNO & SORIANO, LLP  
HOWARD E. KING, ESQ., STATE BAR NO. 77012  
2 STEPHEN D. ROTHSCHILD, ESQ., STATE BAR NO. 132514  
SROTHSCHILD@KHPSLAW.COM  
3 1900 AVENUE OF THE STARS, TWENTY-FIFTH FLOOR  
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067-4506  
4 TELEPHONE: (310) 282-8989  
FACSIMILE: (310) 282-8903

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff Jeffrey Scott  
6  
7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION  
10

11 JEFFREY SCOTT, an individual,  
12 Plaintiff,  
13 vs.

14 THE WALT DISNEY COMPANY, a  
Delaware corporation; and DOES 1  
15 through 10, inclusive,  
16 Defendants.  
17

CASE NO.

Complaint for

- 1) Copyright Infringement
- 2) Breach of Contract
- 3) Idea Misappropriation
- 4) Fraud

REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL

18 Plaintiff Jeffrey Scott (“Scott”) hereby alleges as follows:

19 1. This case is to remedy defendant The Walt Disney Company’s  
20 (“Disney”) unapologetic misappropriation of a veteran television writer’s award-  
21 winning contributions to one of its most treasured animated properties, the *Muppet*  
22 *Babies* television show, and of valuable new ideas he submitted for Disney’s  
23 *Muppet Babies* reboot, which first aired in March 2018.

24 2. Scott is among animation’s most acclaimed screenwriters, with decades  
25 of experience developing, writing and story-editing children’s shows, primarily for  
26 network TV, including not only *Muppet Babies*, but also *Super Friends*, *Spider-*  
27 *Man*, *Teenage Mutant Ninja Turtles*, *Pac Man*, *Hulk Hogan’s Rock ‘n’ Wrestling*,  
28 *Sonic the Hedgehog*, *Zorro*, *James Bond Jr.*, *Dragon Tales*, and many others. He

1 also is the author of a widely respected text on animation screenwriting, among  
2 other accomplishments.

3         3. Scott created the original *Muppet Babies* production bible, which he  
4 owns and in which he has a registered copyright, in the early 1980s. The production  
5 bible created and defined the foundational elements of the show. In exchange for  
6 the bible, Marvel Productions, Ltd., from which Disney acquired the *Muppet Babies*  
7 property, promised Scott the right to write all episodes of the show and a royalty for  
8 each episode produced, whether or not he wrote it, and to afford him a “Developed  
9 for Television By” credit on each episode produced.

10         4. Disney has now saturated the reboot with the elements Scott created or  
11 co-created without giving Scott any credit or compensation for its wholesale use of  
12 his work.

13         5. After the bible, Scott wrote the scripts for all but four of the episodes  
14 produced during the first three years of *Muppet Babies*’ original run, for which he  
15 received three Emmy awards and a Humanitas Prize. When Scott left the Series in  
16 1986 to pursue other opportunities, Jim Henson wrote to him, “Thank you for all  
17 you’ve done for MUPPET BABIES. I think it’s a terrific series – because of you.”

18         6. Scott never conveyed ownership of the bible (or his scripts) to Marvel  
19 Productions Ltd. (“Marvel”), which produced the original series, or to Disney after  
20 it acquired Marvel.

21         7. In making the reboot, Disney not only misappropriated Scott’s bible  
22 and scripts, it also misappropriated ideas that Scott presented to it for the reboot. In  
23 2014, Scott suggested to Disney that it produce new episodes of the *Muppet Babies*  
24 —something which Disney either was not then considering, or, if it was, Disney  
25 executives did not disclose to Scott.

26         8. In 2015, Disney began the early stages of developing the new *Muppet*  
27 *Babies* show. In early 2016, Disney executives met with Scott at their request, and  
28 he presented detailed ideas for the show’s updated structure, look and characters,

1 and for new episodes, with the understanding on both sides that, if Disney used  
2 Scott's ideas and produced new episodes, it would pay for Scott's ideas and honor  
3 the commitments that Disney's predecessor, Marvel, and Jim Henson, made to him.  
4 A Disney executive then emailed Scott asking him to send Disney his ideas in  
5 writing, which Scott did.

6 9. Disney never compensated Scott for the ideas he presented in 2016 and  
7 never gave him an opportunity to work on the *Muppet Babies* reboot.

8 10. Disney has released two seasons of new *Muppet Babies* episodes, for a  
9 total of 41 episodes, and is releasing a third season this year. The new episodes  
10 incorporate the elements in Scott's bible and the concepts he presented in 2016, and  
11 plagiarize dialogue, plot points, images and many other elements from Scott's  
12 original scripts. Yet, Disney continues to refuse to acknowledge Scott's essential,  
13 protected contributions and to pay for them.

14 **THE PARTIES**

15 11. Scott is and at all times material herein has been an individual residing  
16 in the County of Los Angeles, California.

17 12. Disney is and at all times material herein has been a corporation  
18 organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal  
19 place of business in the County of Los Angeles, California.

20 13. Scott does not know the true names and capacities, whether individual,  
21 corporate, associate, or otherwise, of the defendants named herein as DOES 1  
22 through 10, inclusive. Scott sues them by use of fictitious names. Scott is informed  
23 and believes that Doe defendants 1 through 10 are affiliated in some manner with  
24 Disney and have direct, contributory, or vicarious responsibility for the wrongful  
25 acts alleged herein. Scott will amend this complaint appropriately once the true  
26 names and capacities of Doe defendants 1 through 10 are learned. As used below,  
27 the term "Disney" shall collectively refer to the named defendant Disney together  
28 with the defendants identified as DOES 1 through 10.

1 14. On information and belief, at all times herein mentioned, each of the  
2 defendants was the agent of each of the remaining defendants, and in doing the  
3 things alleged herein, was acting within the scope of such agency. On information  
4 and belief, the conduct of each of the defendants as alleged herein was ratified by  
5 each of the other defendants, and the benefits thereof were accepted by each of the  
6 other defendants.

7 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

8 15. This action arises under the United States Copyright Act of 1976. The  
9 complaint states a claim for infringement of a federally registered copyright under  
10 the Copyright Act (17 U.S.C. §§ 106 and 501).

11 16. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to  
12 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338. This Court has pendent and supplemental jurisdiction  
13 over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338(b) and 1367(a).

14 17. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Disney because Disney's  
15 principal place of business is in California and in this district, and because Disney  
16 committed one or more of the infringing acts complained of herein in California and  
17 in this district.

18 18. Venue in this Court is proper at least under the provisions of 28 U.S.C.  
19 § 1391.

20 **FACTS**

21 19. In or about October 1983 Marvel obtained Scott's services to create a  
22 series production bible and write scripts for a new Muppet-based television show,  
23 depicting the Muppet characters as youngsters. Although Marvel proposed draft  
24 written agreements, Scott never accepted them and they were never fully executed,  
25 and Scott was not an employee of Marvel or of the Jim Henson Company, which co-  
26 produced the show. Pursuant to the parties' agreement, which was partially oral and  
27 partially reflected in portions of the unsigned agreements, Marvel initially paid Scott  
28 \$12,000 per script he wrote, which increased to \$13,500 per script in 1986, and gave

1 him the right to write the script of each new episode produced, and agreed to pay  
2 him a \$3,500 royalty for each new episode produced, in perpetuity. Marvel also  
3 agreed to give Scott a “Developed for Television by” credit on each episode.  
4 Marvel kept those promises; Disney has not.

5 20. Scott created and wrote the *Muppet Babies* production bible and wrote  
6 all but four of the episodes (which he agreed to allow others to write) produced  
7 during the show’s first three seasons, and then chose to pursue other opportunities.  
8 Marvel continued to pay Scott his \$3,500 per show royalty for the duration of the  
9 show’s first run.

10 21. Scott is the registered owner of the copyright in the *Muppet Babies*  
11 production bible. *The Muppet Babies* Production Bible created the show’s nursery  
12 setting, the child versions of the characters, the mix of entertainment and education,  
13 and the blueprint for its stories. Scott created, developed and refined character  
14 traits, running gags associated with each character, and the characters’ relationships  
15 with each other. Scott also developed an entirely new character, Nanny, creating  
16 personality and other attributes, including her distinctive colored socks, which were  
17 and remain integral to the series. Scott’s bible defined the nursery environment in  
18 which each episode takes place; and created show structures incorporating fantasies  
19 and adventures that spring from the characters’ imaginations and start in the nursery,  
20 often taking them to new environments in their imaginations; the use of live action  
21 footage and photographs; how the show uses music; and many other elements. A  
22 copy of the bible is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by  
23 reference. A copy of Scott’s copyright registration of the bible, Registration No.  
24 TXu 2-163-328, is attached hereto as Exhibit 2 and incorporated herein by  
25 reference.

26 22. In November 2014, Scott proposed to Lisa Henson, the president of the  
27 Jim Henson Company, that Disney produce new *Muppet Babies* shows, and asked  
28 her who at Disney to talk to about his idea.

1           23. Ms. Henson put Scott in contact with Debbie McClellan (“McClellan”),  
2 a then vice-president at Disney’s The Muppets Studio. McClellan told Scott that  
3 Disney was planning other Muppets projects and to re-release the *Muppet Babies*  
4 series, and expressed interest in producing new episodes of *Muppet Babies*.

5           24. In early 2016, Scott met with Disney executives Joe D’Ambrosia  
6 (“D’Ambrosia”) and Alyssa Cooper Sapire (“Sapire”), and gave them his ideas for a  
7 *Muppet Babies* reboot. In addition to written ideas that Scott had already provided  
8 to them at their request, the Disney executives asked Scott to send them some of his  
9 *Muppet Babies* scripts, which he did. Scott’s ideas, which he also gave to Disney in  
10 a “pitch” document he sent on March 4, 2016, a true and correct copy of which is  
11 attached hereto as Exhibit 3 and incorporated herein by reference, and which Disney  
12 used in the new episodes, included making the nursery a big warm room in a  
13 charming old house, furnished with art supplies, books, a table, a play area, and  
14 other things; adding an outdoor play area with play equipment and other elements;  
15 making the nanny character younger; structuring episodes around the Muppet  
16 Babies encountering problems in the real world and using their imaginations to  
17 explore, amplify, and resolve them; and others. At the early 2016 meeting, Scott  
18 also suggested having the Nanny character’s socks change in different episodes.  
19 Disney used that idea too.

20           25. Scott discovered that Disney had interpolated most of the elements of  
21 his bible, and the ideas he presented to the Disney executives in 2016, when he saw  
22 episodes of the *Muppet Babies* reboot, which first aired on or about March 23, 2018.  
23 Thereafter, as new episodes aired, he discovered that Disney had interpolated even  
24 more elements of his bible, and elements of the scripts that he wrote, in new  
25 episodes, without any compensation or credit to him. On January 31, 2020, the  
26 parties entered into a tolling agreement tolling all statutes of limitations applicable  
27 to Scott’s claims herein. The tolling agreement terminated on September 4, 2020.

28           26. Disney continues to interpolate Scott’s bible, elements of the episodes

1 he wrote, and the ideas he submitted in 2016 in new episodes of *Muppet Babies*, and  
2 continues to fail and refuse to compensate him and to accord him the “Developed  
3 for Television by” credit that Marvel promised him.

4 **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

5 (For Copyright Infringement)

6 27. Scott incorporates the foregoing paragraphs by reference, as though  
7 fully set forth.

8 28. 17 U.S.C. § 501 prohibits, *inter alia*, anyone from copying original  
9 expression from a copyrighted work without the owner’s permission.

10 29. Scott is the sole owner of the copyright in the bible that is fixed in a  
11 tangible medium of expression.

12 30. From and after March 23, 2018, and continuing to the present, Disney  
13 has infringed Scott’s exclusive rights under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 106  
14 and 501, by interpolating the bible into the *Muppet Babies* reboot and producing,  
15 reproducing, distributing, and publicly displaying the reboot, without Scott’s  
16 authorization or consent.

17 31. On information and belief, Disney’s infringement has been undertaken  
18 knowingly and with intent to financially gain from Scott’s copyrighted work, or  
19 Disney acted with reckless disregard for, or willful blindness to, Scott’s rights.

20 32. Disney’s conduct has damaged and will continue to damage Scott.  
21 Scott’s damages include, but are not limited to, the loss of the value of the bible’s  
22 use in the reboot, Disney’s profits from its unauthorized use of the bible, and the  
23 value of Disney’s failure and refusal to accord Scott credit for its use of his original  
24 work.

25 33. As a result of its conduct as alleged above, Disney has been unjustly  
26 enriched and has wrongfully profited.

27 34. Scott is entitled to actual damages and any profits of Disney that are  
28 attributable to the infringement and not taken into account in computing actual

1 damages, in an amount to be proved at trial and all other relief allowed under the  
2 Copyright Act, all in an amount to be proved at trial herein.

3 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

4 (For Breach of Contract)

5 35. Scott incorporates the foregoing paragraphs by reference, as though  
6 fully set forth.

7 36. In or about Fall 1983, Scott and Marvel, Disney's predecessor-in-  
8 interest, entered into an agreement, partly oral and partly in writing, whereby Scott  
9 agreed to write scripts for the *Muppet Babies* television show, in exchange for which  
10 Marvel agreed (1) to pay Scott \$12,000 per script, (2) to pay Scott a royalty of  
11 \$3,500 for every *Muppet Babies* episode produced, (3) to afford Scott a "Developed  
12 for Television Credit" on each episode, and (4) that Scott had the right to write  
13 every *Muppet Babies* script produced thereafter (the "Agreement").

14 37. In or about 1986, the parties agreed to increase Scott's per script fee to  
15 \$13,500.

16 38. Scott has performed all of his obligations under the Agreement except  
17 those that Marvel and Disney waived or made it impossible to perform.

18 39. Disney has breached the agreement by (1) failing and refusing to pay  
19 Scott the \$3,500 royalty due him for every episode of *Muppet Babies* produced for  
20 the reboot, and (2) failing and refusing to offer Scott the opportunity to write the  
21 scripts for the *Muppet Babies* reboot, (3) failing to pay Scott his \$13,500 fee for  
22 those episodes, and (4) failing to give Scott his "Developed for Television by"  
23 credit.

24 40. As a direct and proximate result of Disney's breaches of the  
25 Agreement, Scott has been damaged in the amount of \$17,000 per episode of the  
26 reboot produced. Scott is informed and believes that Disney has produced and  
27 released more than 41 episodes to date, and continues to produce additional episodes  
28 without according Scott the opportunity to write them or paying the royalties to



1 which he is entitled under the Agreement, and without according Scott the  
2 Developed for Television credit to which he is entitled, so that Scott’s damages to  
3 date exceed \$697,000, plus interest at the legal rate, for shows produced to date,  
4 additional damages to be proved at trial, plus interest, for shows produced between  
5 the filing of this complaint and trial, and additional damages to be proved at trial,  
6 plus interest, for the loss of the “Developed for Television by” credit to which Scott  
7 is entitled.

8 **THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

9 (Breach of Implied Contract)

10 41. Scott incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as though  
11 fully set forth.

12 42. When Scott submitted his ideas for a *Muppet Babies* reboot to Disney  
13 in 2016, he and Disney entered into an implied in fact contract whereby Disney  
14 agreed to pay Scott for the appropriation and use of his ideas.

15 43. Scott submitted his ideas to Disney at Disney’s request.

16 44. Scott received representations and warranties, implied and express, that  
17 Disney would not exploit his ideas without compensating him for them, including  
18 monetary compensation and a screen credit reflecting his contributions to the  
19 *Muppet Babies* reboot.

20 45. Scott has performed all of his obligations under the Agreement except  
21 those that Disney waived or made it impossible to perform.

22 46. Disney breached its obligations to Scott under the implied agreement  
23 by interpolating his ideas into the reboot without compensating him or giving him a  
24 screen credit.

25 47. As a proximate result of Disney’s breach of its implied in fact contract  
26 to compensate and credit Scott, Scott has been damaged in an amount according to  
27 proof at time of trial, plus interest at the legal rate.

28 ///

**FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

(For Fraud)

1  
2  
3 48. Scott incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as though  
4 fully set forth.

5 49. Scott is informed and believes that, when Disney executives  
6 D'Ambrosia and Sapire solicited Scott's ideas for a *Muppet Babies* reboot, they and  
7 their superiors at Disney knew, and concealed from Scott, that Disney had  
8 assembled or was assembling a production team for the reboot, and that they and  
9 their superiors had no intention of offering Scott an opportunity to work on the  
10 reboot or to pay him for his ideas, which they intended to use without complying  
11 with their obligations under the parties' implied agreement.

12 50. Scott, when the Disney executives falsely represented that it would pay  
13 and credit him for his ideas for the reboot and consider offering him an opportunity  
14 to work on the reboot in good faith, was ignorant of the falsity of Disney's  
15 misrepresentations and believed them to be true. In reliance on the  
16 misrepresentations, plaintiff was induced to and did provide his ideas for the reboot  
17 to Disney.

18 51. The aforementioned conduct of Disney constituted intentional  
19 misrepresentations, deceptions, and concealments of material fact known to Disney with  
20 the intention on Disney's part to thereby deprive Scott of property or legal rights or  
21 otherwise to cause injury, and was despicable conduct that subjected Scott to cruel  
22 and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of Scott's rights, so as to justify an award  
23 of exemplary and punitive damages.

24 WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for judgment, as follows:

- 25 1. For damages according to proof, plus interest at the legal rate;  
26 2. For an accounting of Disney's revenues and profits from the *Muppet*  
27 *Babies* reboot;  
28 3. For an order that Disney accord Scott an "Developed for Television by"

1 credit on each episode of the *Muppet Babies* reboot;

2 4. For punitive damages;

3 5. For Scott's costs incurred herein; and

4 6. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

5

6 DATED: October 22, 2020 KING, HOLMES, PATERNO &  
7 SORIANO, LLP

8

9

10 By:           /s/ Stephen D. Rothschild            
11 HOWARD E. KING  
12 STEPHEN D. ROTHSCHILD  
13 Attorneys for Plaintiff Jeffrey Scott

14

15

**REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL**

16

Plaintiff respectfully requests a trial by jury for the claims asserted herein.

17

18 DATED: October 22, 2020 KING, HOLMES, PATERNO &  
19 SORIANO, LLP

20

21

22 By:           /s/ Stephen D. Rothschild            
23 HOWARD E. KING  
24 STEPHEN D. ROTHSCHILD  
25 Attorneys for Plaintiff Jeffrey Scott

26

27

28