

1 MICHAEL A. KELLY, State Bar No. 71460

MKelly@WalkupLawOffice.com

2 RICHARD H. SCHOENBERGER, State Bar No. 122190

RSchoenberger@WalkupLawOffice.com

3 MATTHEW D. DAVIS, State Bar No. 141986

MDavis@WalkupLawOffice.com

4 JADE SMITH-WILLIAMS, State Bar No. 318915

JSmithWilliams@WalkupLawOffice.com

5 WALKUP, MELODIA, KELLY & SCHOENBERGER

650 California Street

San Francisco, CA 94108

Telephone: 415-889-2919

Facsimile: 415-391-6965

8 ALAN A. GREENBERG, State Bar No. 150827

AGreenberg@GGTrialLaw.com

9 WAYNE R. GROSS, State Bar No. 138828

WGross@GGTrialLaw.com

10 DEBORAH S. MALLGRAVE, State Bar No. 198603

DMallgrave@GGTrialLaw.com

11 GREENBERG GROSS LLP

601 South Figueroa Street, 30th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90017

Telephone: 213-334-7000

Facsimile: 213-334-7001

14 SHANIN SPECTER, Pennsylvania State Bar No. 40928

shanin.specter@klinespecter.com

15 PHILIP M. PASQUARELLO,

philip.pasquarello@klinespecter.com

16 KLINE & SPECTER, P.C.

1525 Locust Street

Philadelphia, PA 19102

Telephone: 215-772-1000

18 Attorneys for All Plaintiffs

19 JOHN K. DIPAOLO, State Bar No. 321942

dipaolojohn@uchastings.edu

20 General Counsel

21 Secretary to the Board of Directors

Hastings College of the Law

22 200 McAllister Street

San Francisco, CA 94102

23 Telephone: 415-565-4787

Facsimile: 415-565-4825

24 Attorney for Plaintiff

25 HASTINGS COLLEGE OF THE LAW

DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar No. 139669
City Attorney
MEREDITH B. OSBORN, State Bar No. 250467
Chief Trial Deputy
JEREMY M. GOLDMAN, State Bar No. 218888
Jeremy.Goldman@sfcityattty.org
TARA M. STEELEY, State Bar No. 231775
Tara.Steeley@sfcityattty.org
RYAN STEVENS, State Bar No. 306409
Ryan.Stevens@sfcityattty.org
Deputy City Attorneys
Fox Plaza
1390 Market Street, Sixth Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102-5408
Telephone: 415-554-3975
Facsimile: 415-554-3837

Attorneys for Defendant
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

HASTINGS COLLEGE OF THE LAW, a
public trust and institution of higher
education duly organized under the
laws and the Constitution of the
State of California;
FALLON VICTORIA, an individual;
RENE DENIS, an individual;
TENDERLOIN MERCHANTS AND
PROPERTY ASSOCIATION, a
business association;
RANDY HUGHES, an individual; and
KRISTEN VILLALOBOS, an individual,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, a municipal entity,

Defendant.

Case No. 4:20-cv-03033-JST

**JOINT REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF
STIPULATED INJUNCTION**

1 The parties jointly request that this Court enter a stipulated injunction in the
2 form attached hereto as Exhibit A.

3 WALKUP, MELODIA, KELLY & SCHOENBERGER
4 GREENBERG GROSS LLP
KLINE & SPECTER, P.C.
5
6

7 Dated: June 11, 2020

By: /S/ Matthew D. Davis
Michael A. Kelly
Richard H. Schoenberger
Matthew D. Davis
Jade Smith-Williams
John K. DiPaolo
Alan A. Greenberg
Wayne R. Gross
Deborah S. Mallgrave
Shanin Specter
Philip M. Pasquarello
Attorneys for Plaintiffs HASTINGS
COLLEGE OF THE LAW; FALLON
VICTORIA; RENE DENIS; TENDERLOIN
MERCHANTS AND BUSINESS OWNERS
ASSOCIATION; RANDY HUGHES; and
KRISTEN VILLALOBOS

16
17
18
19 OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY
20

21 Dated: June 11, 2020

By: /S/ Ryan Stevens
Dennis J. Herrera
Meredith B. Osborn
Jeremy M. Goldman
Tara M. Steele
Ryan Stevens
Attorneys for Defendant CITY AND
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HASTINGS COLLEGE OF THE
LAW, a public trust and
institution of higher education
duly organized under the laws
and the Constitution of the
State of California;
FALLON VICTORIA, an
individual;
RENE DENIS, an individual;
TENDERLOIN MERCHANTS
AND PROPERTY
ASSOCIATION, a business
association;
RANDY HUGHES, an individual;
and
KRISTEN VILLALOBOS, an
individual,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, a municipal
entity,

Defendant.

Case No. 4:20-cv-03033-JST

STIPULATED INJUNCTION

SECTION I. The parties share the goal of improving living conditions in the Tenderloin neighborhood, and of making the streets and sidewalks clear and safe for the use of persons in the Tenderloin, including residents, the unhoused, visitors, employees, employers, shoppers, and persons with disabilities. The parties wish to help businesses and all persons in the Tenderloin thrive and enjoy the safety and opportunity that are expected in any San Francisco Neighborhood. The problems

1 facing the Tenderloin are substantial and are not easily solved and have been
2 exacerbated by the COVID-19 crisis. As the parties recognize that the COVID-19
3 crisis creates additional challenges to improving the Tenderloin neighborhood, this
4 injunction is intended to address the current situation. Ultimately the City's goal is
5 to be able to provide sufficient access to shelters and navigation centers so that no
6 resident of San Francisco must resort to sleeping in a tent on the street or sidewalk.
7 The City is committed to making all reasonable efforts to achieve this goal.

8 SECTION II. During the COVID-19 emergency¹, the City will reduce the
9 number of tents and other encamping materials and related personal property on
10 sidewalks and streets in the Tenderloin by offering alternatives to people living in
11 those tents including the following:

12 First, the City will offer shelter-in-place hotel rooms to people
13 facing heightened health risks from COVID-19. The City estimates that
14 approximately thirty-percent of people currently living in tents in the
15 Tenderloin will be eligible for an SIP hotel room. To ensure that rooms
16 are available for all eligible people currently in the Tenderloin, the City
17 will prioritize access to hotel rooms for unsheltered persons currently
18 living in the Tenderloin.

19 Second, the City will establish safe sleeping villages outside the
20 Tenderloin to which people can relocate. Safe sleeping villages are
21 staffed areas that offer access to social services, restrooms, garbage
22 service, power, water, and hand sanitizer. The City will ensure that
23 safe sleeping villages comply with and are maintained consistent with
24 guidelines from the San Francisco Department of Public Health.

25 Third, the City will make available some off-street sites in the
26

27 ¹ The end of the COVID-19 emergency is defined for purposes of this injunction as the
28 date the Mayor lifts the San Francisco emergency order.

1 Tenderloin (such as parking lots) to which tents can be moved so they
2 are no longer on sidewalks or streets or blocking sidewalks or entrances
3 to businesses and homes. The City agrees that this option will only be
4 available for a maximum of 50-70 tents because of existing structures in
5 the Tenderloin. These off-street sites will be permanently removed
6 within three months after the end of the COVID-19 emergency, defined
7 as the time the Mayor lifts the emergency declaration.

8 Because the implementation of this stipulated injunction may have the effect
9 of encouraging additional people to come to the Tenderloin in the hope of securing a
10 hotel room or placement at a safe sleeping site, during this process the City will
11 discourage additional people from erecting tents in the neighborhood. The City
12 intends to continue to assist unsheltered persons in other areas of the City.

13 The parties recognize that it will take time to make additional sites available
14 in and out of the Tenderloin. The City is hopeful that most people offered an
15 alternative location will be willing to accept it, but if necessary to comply with this
16 stipulated injunction the City will employ enforcement measures for those who do not
17 accept an offer of shelter or safe sleeping sites to prevent re-encampment.

18 The City agrees that it shall cause seventy percent (70%) of the number of
19 tents as counted on June 5, 2020 to be removed along with all other encamping
20 materials and related personal property, and their occupants relocated to a hotel
21 room, safe sleeping site, off-street sites, or other placement by July 20, 2020. The
22 City will take action to prevent re-encampment. After July 20, 2020, the City will
23 make all reasonable efforts to achieve the shared goal of permanently reducing the
24 number of tents, along with all other encamping materials and related personal
25 property, to zero.

26 All parties shall respect the legal rights of the unhoused of the Tenderloin in
27 all manners, including in relation to relocating and removing the unhoused, the
28 tents, the other encamping materials and other personal property.

1 SECTION III. The City will continue to offer COVID-19 testing in the
2 Tenderloin. The facility will offer free testing to all persons in the Tenderloin. The
3 City shall reach out to unhoused people to offer such testing. A mobile testing facility
4 may eventually have to be relocated to other areas of the City, but the City will also
5 work to establish a long-term testing site in the Tenderloin for the duration of the
6 COVID-19 emergency.

7 SECTION IV. During the time when the City is working toward removing at
8 least 70% of the tents from the Tenderloin as described above, it will advise
9 unsheltered persons in the Tenderloin of the following requirements:

- 10 • Tents and structures cannot block a doorway, exit, fire escape or come
11 within 5 feet of a fire hydrant.
- 12 • Tents and structures cannot make sidewalks impassable or impede traffic.

13 While the City does not believe it can feasibly enforce these requirements
14 immediately and universally throughout the Tenderloin, it will increase its
15 enforcement efforts as the total number of tents is reduced and tents can more
16 readily be relocated. In addition, the City will discourage persons from erecting tents
17 within 6 feet of a doorway to a business, residence or transit stop.

18 SECTION V. Narcotic sales and trafficking law violations shall be enforced by
19 the SFPD consistently across the City.

20 SECTION VI. The parties recognize that the current crisis is unprecedented.
21 The Parties agree that if either party believes the other party to be in breach of the
22 stipulated injunction, the parties will meet and confer within one business day of a
23 dispute being raised. If the parties are unable to reach a resolution, the dispute will
24 be submitted to Magistrate Judge Corley who will hold a settlement conference
25 within 2 business days of receiving notice of a dispute. If Magistrate Judge Corley is
26 unable to negotiate a resolution, the dispute will be submitted to Judge Tigar. Judge
27 Tigar will remain able to consult with Judge Corley under the parameters agreed to
28 by the parties at the first Case Management Conference.

