			Electronically Filed 8/20/2019 4:25 PM
4			Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
1			Thumb. Lum
2			
3			CASE NO: A-19-800547-0
4	CIVII I		Department 2
5	MITCHELL POSIN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 002840		
6	LAW OFFICES OF MITCHELL POSIN, CHTD.		
7	410 S. Rampart Blvd., Ste. 390		
8	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145		
9	(702) 382-2222 Telephone (702) - Facsimile		
	mposin@gmail.com		
10	DISTRICT COURT		
11	CLARK COU	INTY, N	NEVADA
12	GLEN GAROFANO, an individual;	)	CASE NO.:
13	D1_:4:CC	)	DEPT.
14	Plaintiff,	)	
15	v.	)	
16	DODEDICK D. GERMANEL 1. DOD	)	
17	RODERICK D. STEWART, aka ROD STEWART, an individual; CAESAR'S	)	
	ENTERTAINMENT CORPORATION,	)	
18	a Nevada Corportion, DOES I	)	
19	through V, inclusive, ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES VI through X, inclusive	)	
20	Livilius vi unough A, melusive	)	
21	Defendants.	)	
22		)	
23	COMPLAINT		
24	(verified)		
25	(Jury Trial Requested)		
26	COMES NOW Plaintiff, GLEN GAROFANO, by and through his attorney,		
27	MITCHELL POSIN, ESQ., of the Law Offices of MITCHELL POSIN, CHTD, and		
28	complaining against the Defendants, and each of them, alleges, as follows.		
۷۵	complaining against the Defendants, and ea	ach of th	nem, alleges, as follows.

#### GENERAL ALLEGATIONS.

- 1. Plaintiff, GLEN GAROFANO, is and, at all times relevant and material hereto, was a resident of Clark County, Nevada.
- 2. Defendant, RODERICK D. STEWART, aka ROD STEWART, hereinafter "STEWART," is and, at all times relevant and material hereto, an entertainer, and was physically present in Clark County, Nevada, at the time of the incident complained of herein.
- 3. Defendant CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT CORPORATION, hereinafter "CAESARS," a Nevada corporation, is and, at all times relevant and material hereto, was, a Nevada corporation that operates numerous properties, including The Colosseum at Caesar's Palace, located in Clark County Nevada, hereinafter "The Colosseum;"
- 4. That the true names or capacities, whether corporate, associate, individual or otherwise, of Defendants, DOES I through V, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff who, therefore, sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the Defendants designated herein as a DOE is legally responsible in some manner for the events and happenings herein referred to and proximately caused injury and damages thereby to Plaintiff as hereinafter alleged. Plaintiff will seek leave of the Court to amend this Complaint to insert the true names and capacities of DOES I through V when the same have been ascertained and to join such Defendants in this action.
- 5. That the true names or capacities of Defendants, ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES VI through X, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff who, therefore, sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Defendants designated herein as ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES VI through X, and each of them, are predecessors-in-interest,

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

successors-in-interest, and/or agencies otherwise in a joint venture with, and/or serving as an alter ego of, any and/or all Defendants named herein; and/or are entities responsible for the supervision of the individually named Defendants at the time of the events and circumstances alleged herein; and/or are entities employed by and/or otherwise directing the individual Defendants in the scope and course of their responsibilities at the time of the events and circumstances alleged herein; and/or are entities otherwise contributing in any way to the acts complained of and the damages alleged to have been suffered by the Plaintiff herein. Plaintiff is informed and, on that basis believe and thereon allege, that each of the Defendants designated as a ROE BUSINESS ENTITY is in some manner negligently, vicariously, and/or statutorily responsible for the events and happenings referred to and caused damages to Plaintiff as herein alleged. Each reference hereinafter to any specific Defendant is intended to include any such DOE or ROE Defendant. Plaintiff will seek leave of the Court to amend this Complaint to insert the true names of such Defendants when the same have been ascertained.

- 6. Each of the Defendants, including those herein designated as Does and Roe Business Entities, are the agents, successors, assigns, supervisors, subordinates or advisors of each other Defendant, and in doing the things herein alleged, were acting within the course and scope of their agency.
- That on or about August 27, 2017, STEWART was performing a concert 7. for the general public at The Colosseum, hereinafter "the Concert."
- 8. That Plaintiff attended the Concert, and was seated at Seat Number 305 at Row CC, when STEWART threw or kicked a soccer ball into the crowd, directly toward Plaintiff. As the other concert spectators reacted to the approaching ball, Plaintiff was knocked over, causing him to sustain injuries, as hereinafter alleged.

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

9. That STEWART has intentionally thrown and kicked multiple soccer balls into the crowd as his promotional act at his concerts for many years. These actions have caused injuries to concertgoers since a date no later than July 5, 1989 when STEWART injured Patricia Boughton with a soccer ball by throwing or kicking a ball into the concert audience, allegedly causing permanent disfigurement to Patricia Boughton, which injury resulted in a lawsuit against STEWART.

- 10 That STEWART had previous knowledge that his action of throwing and/or kicking soccer balls into the concert audience had injured concert attendees in the past, since a date no later than approximately 1991, when he was served with Ms. Boughten's lawsuit.
- 11. That despite STEWART'S knowledge of the danger of STEWART'S actions in throwing or kicking soccer balls into the concert audience, STEWART continued to throw and/or kick soccer balls into the concert audience in a manner that caused injuries to audience members, and did so again at the Concert.
- That CAESARS had previous knowledge that STEWART'S action of 12. throwing and kicking soccer balls into the concert audience had injured concert attendees in the past, since a date no later than November 20, 2014, when they were served with a Summons and Complaint in Eighth Judicial District Court case no. A-14-707316-C, for injuries caused by a soccer ball kicked by STEWART into the audience at a concert at the Colloseum.
- That despite CAESARS' knowledge of the danger of STEWART'S 13. actions, CAESARS continued to allow STEWART to throw and/or kick soccer balls into the concert audience in a manner that caused injuries to audience members.
- 14. As a direct and the aforesaid negligence and carelessness of the Defendants, the Plaintiff sustained injuries.

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (NEGLIGENCE)

- 11. Plaintiff repeats, restates and incorporates herein by reference as though fully set forth at length, each and every allegation set forth in the General Allegations section, above.
- STEWART's conduct at his concert, as described in Paragraph 8, above, 12. was negligent, careless and reckless.
- 13. STEWART owed a duty to all persons attending his concert, including the Plaintiff, to conduct himself in such a manner as to avoid the foreseeable risk of harm or injury to such persons.
- 14. In throwing and kicking soccer balls into the concert audience, STEWART breached this duty, which breach was the proximate cause of the injuries sustained by Plaintiff.
- 15. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid negligence and carelessness of the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff suffered injury to his back, groin, legs, and other body parts. Plaintiff thereby experienced great pain to his body, and anxiety and suffering of mind, sustaining injuries and damages for a sum in excess of \$15,000.00.
- As a further direct and proximate result of the aforesaid negligence and carelessness of the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has incurred damages, both general and special, including medical expenses as a result of the necessary treatment of his injuries, and will continue to incur damages for future medical treatment necessitated by the injuries he has suffered at the concert.
- 17. As a further direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence and carelessness of the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff, was required to, and

did, employ physicians, surgeons, and other health care providers to examine, treat, and care for him, and did incur medical and incidental expenses thereby. The exact amount of such expenses is presently unknown, but Plaintiff alleges that he has suffered special damages in excess of \$15,000.00.

- 18. As a further direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence and carelessness of the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has been prevented, in part, from his usual recreational, social, and work activities and will be prevented, in part, from doing so in the future, all to his damage for a sum in excess of \$15,000.00, according to the Plaintiff's proof at trial.
- 19. It has been necessary for Plaintiff to retain service of counsel to prosecute this action, and he is entitled to a reasonable attorney's fee and costs of suit incurred.

# SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (GROSS NEGLIGENCE)

- 20. Plaintiff repeats, restates, and incorporates herein by reference as though fully set forth at length, each and every allegation set forth in the General Allegations section, above.
- 21. On or about August 27, 2017, STEWART intentionally threw and/or kicked one or more soccer balls into the concert audience, knowing that said action had caused injuries to multiple members of concert audiences in the past. Despite this knowledge, STEWART continued his practice, in direct disregard to the safety and well-being of members of the concert audience, including the Plaintiff. Such an act, committed once he was on notice of the danger it represented, amounted to indifference to his present legal duty, and to utter forgetfulness of legal obligations so far as other persons may be affected.

- 21. On or about August 27, 2017, CAESARS allowed STEWART to continue his custom and practice of throwing and/or kicking soccer balls into the concert audience, despite their knowledge that throwing and/or kicking soccer balls into the concert audience had caused injuries to multiple members of concert audiences in the past. CAESARS' failure, at a time when CAESARS was on notice of the danger it represented, amounted to indifference to their present legal duty, and to utter forgetfulness of their legal obligations so far as other persons may be affected.
- 22. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid gross negligence and carelessness of the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has incurred damages, both general and special, including medical expenses as a result of the necessary treatment of his injuries, and will continue to incur damages for future medical treatment necessitated by the injuries he has suffered at the concert.
- 24. It has been necessary for the Plaintiff to retain service of counsel to prosecute this action, and he is entitled to a reasonable attorney's fee and costs of suit incurred.

# THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (WANTON MISCONDUCT)

- 25. Plaintiff repeats, restates, and incorporates herein by reference as though fully set forth at length, each and every allegation set forth in the General Allegations section, above.
- 26. On or about August 27, 2017, STEWART intentionally threw and/or kicked one or more soccer balls into the concert audience, knowing that said action had caused injuries to multiple members of concert audiences in the past. Despite this knowledge, STEWART continued his practice, in direct disregard to the safety and well-being of members of the concert audience, including the Plaintiff. Such an act,

committed once he was on notice of the danger it represented, amounted to despicable conduct that subjects a person to cruel and unjust hardship with conscious disregard of the rights of the person, pursuant to NRS 42.001(4).

- 27. On or about August 27, 2017, CAESARS allowed STEWART to continue his custom and practice of throwing and/or kicking soccer balls into the concert audience, despite their knowledge that throwing and/or kicking soccer balls into the concert audience had caused injuries to multiple members of concert audiences in the past. CAESARS' failure, at a time when CAESARS was on notice of the danger it represented, amounted to despicable conduct that subjects a person to cruel and unjust hardship with conscious disregard of the rights of the person, pursuant to NRS 42.001(4).
- 28. On or about August 27, 2017, STEWART intentionally threw and/or kicked one or more soccer balls into the concert audience, knowing that said action had caused injuries to multiple members of concert audiences in the past. Despite this knowledge, STEWART continued his practice, in direct disregard to the safety and well-being of members of the concert audience, including the Plaintiff. Such an act, committed once he was on notice of the danger it represented, amounted to despicable conduct which is engaged in with a conscious disregard of the rights or safety of others, pursuant to NRS 42.001(3).
- 29. On or about August 27, 2017, CAESARS allowed STEWART to continue his custom and practice of throwing and/or kicking soccer balls into the concert audience, despite their knowledge that throwing and/or kicking soccer balls into the concert audience had caused injuries to multiple members of concert audiences in the past. CAESARS' failure, at a time when CAESARS was on notice of

the danger it represented, amounted to despicable conduct which is engaged in with a conscious disregard of the rights or safety of others, pursuant to NRS 42.001(3).

- 30. Wanton misconduct involves an intention to perform an act that the actor knows, or should know, will very probably cause harm. STEWART intentionally threw multiple soccer balls into the crowd, knowing that said action had caused injuries to multiple patrons in the past. Despite this knowledge, STEWART continued his practice, in direct disregard to patrons safety and well-being, including the Plaintiff. Such an act, committed once he was on notice of the danger it represented, amounted to wanton misconduct.
- 31. On or about August 27, 2017, CAESARS allowed STEWART to continue his custom and practice of throwing and/or kicking soccer balls into the concert audience, despite their knowledge that throwing and/or kicking soccer balls into the concert audience had caused injuries to multiple members of concert audiences in the past. CAESARS' failure, at a time when CAESARS was on notice of the danger it represented, amounted to wanton misconduct.
- 32. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid wanton misconduct, oppression and malice of the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has incurred damages, both general and special, including medical expenses as a result of the necessary treatment of his injuries, and will continue to incur damages for future medical treatment necessitated by the injuries he has suffered at the concert.
- 33. It has been necessary for the Plaintiff to retain service of counsel to prosecute this action, and he is entitled to a reasonable attorney's fee and costs of suit incurred.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, expressly reserving his right to amend this Complaint at the time of trial to include all items of damage not yet ascertained, demands judgment against the Defendants, and each of them, jointly and severally, as follows:

- 1. For Plaintiff, general, special and compensatory damages, according to Plaintiff's proof at trial, for a sum in excess of \$15,000.00;
  - 2. For Plaintiff, punitive and exemplary damages pursuant to NRS 42.005;
  - 2. For Plaintiff, attorney's fees and costs of suit incurred; and
- 3. For such other and further relief as to the Court seems just and proper in the premises.

Dated this 7 day of August, 2019.

Submitted by:

LAW OFFICES OF MITCHELL POSIN, CHTD.

\_\_/s/ Mitchell Posin\_ MITCHELL POSIN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 002840 LAW OFFICES OF MITCHELL POSIN, CHTD. 410 S. Rampart B Blvd., Ste. 390 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-2222 Telephone (702) - Facsimile mposin@gmail.com

#### VERIFICATION

Under penalties of perjury, the undersigned declares that he is the Plaintiff named in the foregoing COMPLAINT, and knows the contents thereof; that the pleading is true of his own knowledge, except as to those matters stated on information and belief, and that as to such matters he believes them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED this /// day/of August, 2019

GLEN GAROFANO, Plaintiff