

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JUL 30 2019

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

DUSTIN BARTEL, On Behalf Of Themselves
And Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

TOKYO ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY,
INC.; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 19-55442

D.C. No. 3:18-cv-00537-JLS-MSB
Southern District of California,
San Diego

ORDER

Before: SCHROEDER, CANBY, and CHRISTEN, Circuit Judges.

The opposed motion to dismiss this appeal for lack of jurisdiction (Docket Entry No. 7) is granted. *See Imperial Cty., In & For State of Cal. v. U.S. (Small Bus. Admin.)*, 348 F.2d 904, 905 (9th Cir. 1965) (“The mere fact that a judgment previously entered has been reentered or revised in an immaterial way does not toll the time within which review must be sought.” (citation and quotation marks omitted)); *see also United States v. Doe*, 374 F.3d 851, 853-54 (9th Cir. 2004) (“Where a district court enters an amended judgment that revises legal rights or obligations, the period for filing an appeal begins anew.”).

DISMISSED.