1	Case 3:18-cr-02025-H Document 1 File	ed 04/18/18 PageID.1 Page 1 of 6
1		18 APR 18 PM 2: 57
2		CHINTO THE STATE
3		Southern District of Californ
4		3Y 95011
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	June 2017 Grand Jury 18 CR 2025 H	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	Title 18, U.S.C., Sec. 371 - Conspiracy; Title 18, U.S.C.,
14	ARIEL BOITEUX,	Sec. 875(d) - Foreign Transmission of an Extortionate Threat;
15	Defendant.	Title 18, U.S.C., Sec. 981(a)(1)(C), and Title 28,
16		U.S.C., Sec. 2461(c) - Criminal Forfeiture
17		
18	The grand jury charges:	
19	Count 1	
20	18 U.S.C. § 371	
21	1. Beginning at least in or about July 2015, and continuing	
22	through November 2017, in an offense begun outside of the jurisdiction	
23	of any particular State and district of the United States, and committed	
24	within the Southern District of California and elsewhere, defendant	
25	ARIEL BOITEUX, who will be first brought to the Southern District of	
26	California, knowingly and intentionally conspired and agreed with	
27	persons known and unknown to the grand jury, to transmit in interstate	
28	and foreign commerce communications containing threats to injure the	
	AJGA:nlv:San Diego 4/17/18	

1 reputations of the addressees and of another, with the intent to extort 2 from a person money and other things of value; in violation of Title 18, 3 United States Code, Section 875(d).

PURPOSE OF THE CONSPIRACY

4

10

2. It was the purpose of the conspiracy for the defendant and his co-conspirators to profit by obtaining sensitive photographs and recordings of victims and demanding payment from the victims in exchange for not publicly disclosing the photographs and recordings, and by selling the photographs and recordings to third parties.

MANNERS AND MEANS

11 3. In furtherance of this conspiracy, and to accomplish its 12 objects, the following manners and means were used, among others:

a. The defendant and his co-conspirators, using the business name Amarres Inmediatos, offered to conduct rituals that, among other things, would purportedly help foster romantic relationships for the rituals' participants.

b. The defendant and his co-conspirators established
websites for Amarres Inmediatos, including "amarres-inmediatos.com,"
which contained information about the services provided, purported
testimonies from past clients, and contact information.

c. The defendant and his co-conspirators advertised Amarres Inmediatos on online marketplaces such as MercadoLibre, and on social media platforms such as Facebook and Instagram.

d. For prospective clients who contacted Amarres Inmediatos, the defendant and his co-conspirators would offer, for a fee, to conduct the advertised rituals remotely.

e. The defendant and his co-conspirators would provide these individuals with a list of items to obtain for the ritual, which

2

1 typically included candles, alcohol, food items, and photographs. Once 2 individuals purchased the requested items, the defendant and his co-3 conspirators would explain how to arrange the items and perform the 4 rituals. The defendant and his co-conspirators would further instruct 5 the individuals to take photographs and video recordings of the rituals.

f. During the rituals, the defendant and his co-conspirators
would instruct the individuals to, among other things, drink alcohol,
recite sexually explicit statements, and perform sexual acts. The
defendant and his co-conspirators would then instruct the individuals
to send photographs and recordings of the rituals to the defendant and
his co-conspirators via electronic communications.

12 g. After obtaining the photographs and recordings, the 13 defendant and his co-conspirators would threaten to publicize the 14 photographs and recordings unless the individuals paid money.

15 h. The defendant and his co-conspirators would post 16 photographs and recordings of the individuals on publicly available 17 websites and social media platforms and would threaten to allow the 18 photographs and recordings to remain publicly available unless the 19 individuals paid the amount of money demanded.

i. The defendant and his co-conspirators would instruct the
 21 individuals to wire money through Western Union.

j. The defendant and his co-conspirators would tell individuals that businesses that publish tabloid magazines, among other businesses, would purchase the sensitive photographs and recordings if the individuals refused to pay the amount demanded.

26 k. The defendant and his co-conspirators would sell the 27 sensitive recordings to individuals purportedly involved in the 28 commercial production and distribution of sexually explicit material.

3

OVERT ACTS

1 In furtherance of this conspiracy, and to carry out its 4. 2 objects, the defendant ARIEL BOITEUX and his co-conspirators committed 3 or caused to be committed, in the Southern District of California and 4 elsewhere, the following overt acts, among others: 5 On or about July 28, 2015, a co-conspirator obtained a. 6 hosting services for the website "amarres-inmediatos.com." 7 On or about February 24, 2017, defendant ARIEL BOITEUX b. 8 sent a message to Victim 1 through WhatsApp threatening to post 9 recordings of Victim 1 on YouTube. 10 On or about March 21, 2017, defendant ARIEL BOITEUX sent c. 11 a YouTube link to Victim 2 and claimed that he uploaded a video recording 12 to YouTube of Victim 2 engaging in a ritual. 13 On or about October 9, 2017, defendant ARIEL BOITEUX d. 14 caused \$800 to be wired to a co-conspirator from a Western Union agent 15 location in San Diego, California. 16 On or about October 9, 2017, defendant ARIEL BOITEUX and 17 e. a co-conspirator retrieved the \$800 wired from San Diego, California at 18 Cambios Chaco in Ciudad del Este, Paraguay. 19 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371. 20 Count 2 21 18 U.S.C. § 875(d) 22 On or about March 21, 2017, in an offense begun outside of the 5. 23 jurisdiction of any particular State and district of the United States, 24 defendant ARIEL BOITEUX, who will be first brought to the Southern 25 District of California, with the intent to extort money and things of 26 value from Victim 2, knowingly transmitted in interstate and foreign 27 commerce to the United States, communications containing a threat to 28

1 injure the reputation of Victim 2, that is, defendant ARIEL BOITEUX
2 threatened to publicize sexually explicit recordings of Victim 2 if
3 Victim 2 refused to pay a specified amount of money; in violation of
4 Title 18, United States Code, Section 875(d).

Count 3

5

6

17

18 U.S.C. § 875(d)

On or about March 23, 2017, in an offense begun outside of the 7 6. jurisdiction of any particular State and district of the United States, 8 defendant ARIEL BOITEUX, who will be first brought to the Southern 9 District of California, with the intent to extort money and things of 10 value from Victim 1, knowingly transmitted in interstate and foreign 11 commerce to the United States, communications containing a threat to 12 injure the reputation of Victim 1, that is, defendant ARIEL BOITEUX 13 threatened to publicize sensitive recordings of Victim 1 if Victim 1 14 refused to pay a specified amount of money; in violation of Title 18, 15 United States Code, Section 875(d). 16

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

18 7. Upon conviction of one or more of the offenses alleged in this 19 Indictment as set forth in Counts 1 through 3 and pursuant to Title 18, 20 United States Code, Section 981(a) (1) (C), Title 28, United States Code, 21 Section 2461(c), and Rule 32.2, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, 22 defendant ARIEL BOITEUX shall forfeit to the United States any property, 23 real or personal, which constitutes or was derived from proceeds 24 traceable to such violations.

8. If any of the above-described forfeited property, as a result of any act or omission of defendant ARIEL BOITEUX, cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third person; has been placed beyond the jurisdiction

5

1	of the Court; has been substantially diminished in value; or has been	
2	commingled with other property which cannot be subdivided without	
3	difficulty, it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 21,	
4	United States Code, Section 853(p), made applicable herein by Title 28,	
5	United States Code, Section 2461(c), to seek forfeiture of any other	
6	property of the defendant up to the value of the property described	
7	above subject to forfeiture.	
8	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C), and	
9	Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).	
10	DATED: April 18, 2018.	
11	A TRUE BILL:	
12	10)	
13	Foreperson	
14	United States Attorney	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	6	