1	NICOLA T. HANNA		
2	United States Attorney DAVID M. HARRIS, AUSA		
3	Chief, Civil Division DAVID K. BARRETT, AUSA		
5	Chief, Civil Fraud Section		
4	LISA A. PALOMBO, AUSA (SBN 16911) Room 7516, Federal Building	9)	
5	300 North Los Angeles Street		
6	Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-4042		
	Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-4042 Facsimile: (213) 894-7189		
7	E-mail: Lisa.Palombo@usdoj.gov GUSTAV W. EYLER		
8	Acting Director Consumer Protection Bran RICHARD GOLDBERG	ch	
9	Senior Counsel for Complex Litigation		
10	U.S. Department of Justice Consumer Protection Branch		
11	450 Fifth Street, NW, Suite 6002S Washington, D.C., 20001 Telephone: (202) 307-2532 Facsimile: (202) 514-8742		
12	Telephone: (202) 307-2532		
13	Email: Richard.Goldberg@usdoj.gov  Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
14			
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
16	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
17	WESTERN DIVISION		
18	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No.	
19	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION	
20	V.	INJUNCTION	
21	DEEPAK GUPTA, individually,		
22	Defendant.		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1 2

Plaintiff, the United States of America ("United States"), through its undersigned counsel, hereby sues Defendant Deepak Gupta ("Defendant") and alleges as follows:

3

### I. **INTRODUCTION**

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

15

14

16

17

18 19

20

22

23

21

24

25

26

27

- 1. Starting as early as December 2016 and continuing to the present, Defendant has assisted and facilitated a predatory wire fraud scheme that primarily victimizes senior citizens of the United States. Defendant operates a phony or otherwise misrepresented technical-support business that fraudulently induces U.S. consumers to pay for false or unnecessary technical-support services related to computers and computer equipment.
- 2. The United States seeks to prevent continuing and substantial injury to consumers victimized by this fraudulent scheme by bringing this action for a permanent injunction and other equitable relief under 18 U.S.C. § 1345 to enjoin the ongoing commission of wire fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343.

#### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 18 U.S.C. § 1345 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345.
  - 4. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(3).

### **PARTIES** III.

- 5. Plaintiff is the United States.
- 6. Defendant is a resident of Phoenix, Arizona. Defendant transacts or has transacted business with consumers in the Central District of California. On information and belief, Defendant knowingly has used a bank account to facilitate a fraudulent technical-support scheme.

#### IV. **DEFENDANT'S ONGOING FRAUDULENT SCHEME**

7. Since at least as early as December 2016, Defendant has assisted and facilitated a large-scale technical-support scheme by accepting consumer payments and forwarding proceeds to perpetrators of the scheme. The scheme operates under the name GNI LLC (GNI), and sometimes does business as "IT Care Guys."

- 1
   2
   3
   4

scheme perpetrators.

///

- 8. Telemarketers in India working for the scheme contact consumers by using popup computer advertisements disguised as security alerts to direct the consumers to immediately call a telephone number, which the telemarketers answer. Other times, consumers contact the telemarketers after performing an internet search for a computer repair business.
- 9. Regardless of the initial method of contacting a consumer, the schemes proceed similarly once a telemarketer working for the scheme has the consumer on the phone. Emphasizing the need for immediate action and often claiming to work for or be affiliated with well-known technology companies, the telemarketer falsely claims that the consumer's computer is at risk and that the telemarketer can assist the consumer but first needs remote access to the consumer's computer. Once remotely connected, the telemarketer purports to confirm the existence of a serious computer virus or other threat to the consumer's computer, sometimes claiming that a hacker will soon be able to access the consumer's personal information, including financial account numbers, social security numbers, and passwords. Imparting a sense of urgency, the telemarketer then claims that he will install expensive and high-quality network security software to resolve the threat in exchange for a substantial sum of money.
- 10. Since at least December 2016, numerous consumers have been victimized by the fraudulent technical-support scheme facilitated by Defendant. Defendant plays a critical role in accepting fraudulently-induced payments initiated by the telemarketers. Defendant deposits the payments and then forwards the funds from consumers to the

# V. DEFENDANT'S KNOWLEDGE OF FRAUD

11. Upon information and belief, the United States alleges that Defendant has knowledge that his conduct facilitates the fraudulent scheme involving the purported offer of technical-support services in exchange for consumer payments.

# 

# VI. HARM TO CONSUMERS

- 12. Consumers suffer financial losses from the wire fraud scheme facilitated by Defendant. Consumers victimized by the scheme reside throughout the United States, including in the Central District of California.
  - 13. The scheme disproportionately affects elderly consumers.
- 14. Absent injunctive relief by this Court, Defendant's conduct will continue to cause injury to consumers.

# VII. THE COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF (18 U.S.C. § 1345 – Injunctive Relief)

- 15. The United States re-alleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 14 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 16. By reason of the conduct described herein, Defendant violated, is violating, and is about to violate 18 U.S.C. § 1343 by executing a scheme and artifice to defraud for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent representations with the intent to defraud, and, in so doing, using interstate or foreign wire communications.
- 17. Upon a showing that Defendant is committing or about to commit wire fraud, the United States is entitled, under 18 U.S.C. § 1345, to seek a permanent injunction restraining all future fraudulent conduct and any other action that this Court deems just to prevent a continuing and substantial injury to consumers.
- 18. As a result of the foregoing, the Court should enjoin Defendant's conduct under 18 U.S.C. § 1345.

## VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the United States requests judgment against Defendant, as follows:

a. A permanent injunction, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1345, ordering that Defendant is restrained from engaging, participating, or assisting in any technical-support business or money transmitting business, and

1	b. Such further relief, including but not limited to equitable relief under the	
2	Court's inherent powers, as the Court deems just.	
3		
4	DATED: March 4, 2019	Respectfully submitted,
5		FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:
6		NICOLA T. HANNA United States Attorney
7		United States Attorney DAVID M. HARRIS, AUSA Chief, Civil Division
8 9		DAVID K. BARRETT, AUSA Chief, Civil Fraud Section LISA A. PALOMBO, AUSA
10		GUSTAV W. EYLER
11		Acting Director Consumer Protection Branch U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division
12		C.S. Department of vasice, Civil Division
13		IG I
14		/S/
15		RICHARD GOLDBERG Senior Counsel for Complex Litigation United States Department of Justice
16		Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America
17		·
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
· / /		
24		
25		