

1 ALDEN F. ABBOTT  
 2 General Counsel  
 3 ELIZABETH JONES SANGER (WI 1080449)  
 4 esanger@ftc.gov; (202) 326-2757  
 5 JAMES A. PRUNTY (OR 84128)  
 6 jprunty@ftc.gov; (202) 326-2438  
 7 EDWIN RODRIGUEZ (DC 446457)  
 8 erodriguez@ftc.gov; (202) 326-3147  
 9 SHIRA D. MODELL (DC 358757)  
 10 smodell@ftc.gov; (202) 326-3116  
 Federal Trade Commission  
 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
 Washington, DC 20580  
 Fax: (202) 326-3259

**FILED**  
 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
 10/10/2018  
 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 BY: \_\_\_\_\_ ER \_\_\_\_\_ DEPUTY

**LODGED**  
 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
 10/3/2018  
 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 BY: \_\_\_\_\_ ER \_\_\_\_\_ DEPUTY

11 STACY PROCTER (Local Counsel) (CA 221078)  
 12 sprocter@ftc.gov  
 13 Federal Trade Commission  
 14 10990 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 400  
 15 Los Angeles, CA 90024  
 16 Tel: (310) 824-4300  
 Fax: (310) 824-4380  
 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

20 \_\_\_\_\_ )  
 21 **FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION** )  
 22 )  
 23 Plaintiff, )  
 24 )  
 25 v. )  
 26 **JASON CARDIFF**, individually )  
 27 and as an owner, officer, )  
 28 director, or member of )  
 REDWOOD SCIENTIFIC )  
 TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a )

**FILED UNDER SEAL**  
 Case No. ED18CV02104-JGB(KKx)  
**COMPLAINT FOR  
 PERMANENT  
 INJUNCTION AND  
 OTHER EQUITABLE  
 RELIEF**

1 California corporation; )  
2 REDWOOD SCIENTIFIC )  
3 TECHNOLOGIES, Inc., a )  
4 Nevada corporation; )  
5 REDWOOD SCIENTIFIC )  
6 TECHNOLOGIES, Inc., a )  
7 Delaware corporation; )  
8 IDENTIFY, LLC, a Wyoming )  
9 limited liability company; )  
10 ADVANCED MEN’S )  
11 INSTITUTE PROLONGZ )  
12 LLC, d/b/a AMI, a California )  
13 limited liability company; and )  
14 RUN AWAY PRODUCTS, )  
15 LLC, a New York limited )  
16 liability company; and )  
17 both general and limited )  
18 partner of )  
19 CAROLS PLACE LIMITED )  
20 PARTNERSHIP, an Arizona )  
21 limited liability partnership; )  
22 )  
23 **EUNJUNG CARDIFF**, a/k/a )  
24 Eunjung Lee, a/k/a Eunjung )  
25 No, individually and as an )  
26 owner, officer, director, or )  
27 member of )  
28 REDWOOD SCIENTIFIC )  
REDWOOD SCIENTIFIC )  
TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a )  
California corporation; )  
REDWOOD SCIENTIFIC )  
TECHNOLOGIES, Inc., a )  
Nevada corporation; )  
REDWOOD SCIENTIFIC )  
TECHNOLOGIES, Inc., a )  
Delaware corporation; )  
IDENTIFY, LLC, a Wyoming )  
limited liability company; )  
ADVANCED MEN’S )  
INSTITUTE PROLONGZ )

1 LLC, d/b/a AMI, a California )  
2 limited liability company; and )  
3 RUN AWAY PRODUCTS, )  
4 LLC, a New York limited )  
5 liability company; and )  
6 both general and limited )  
7 partner of )  
8 CAROLS PLACE LIMITED )  
9 PARTNERSHIP, an Arizona )  
10 limited liability partnership; )  
11 **DANIELLE CADIZ**, a/k/a Danielle )  
12 Walker, individually; )  
13 **REDWOOD SCIENTIFIC** )  
14 **TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**, a )  
15 California corporation, also )  
16 d/b/a Rengalife; )  
17 **REDWOOD SCIENTIFIC** )  
18 **TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**, a )  
19 Nevada corporation; )  
20 **REDWOOD SCIENTIFIC** )  
21 **TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**, a )  
22 Delaware corporation; )  
23 **IDENTIFY, LLC**, a Wyoming )  
24 limited liability company; )  
25 **ADVANCED MEN’S INSTITUTE** )  
26 **PROLONGZ LLC**, d/b/a )  
27 AMI, a California limited )  
28 liability company; )  
**RUN AWAY PRODUCTS, LLC**, a )  
New York limited liability )  
company; and )  
**CAROLS PLACE LIMITED** )

---

1        **PARTNERSHIP**, an Arizona        )  
2        limited liability partnership,        )  
3        Defendants.        )

---

4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission (“FTC”), for its Complaint alleges:

1. The FTC brings this action under Section 13(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act (“FTC Act”), 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), Section 5 of the Restore Online Shoppers’ Confidence Act (“ROSCA”), 15 U.S.C. § 8404, Section 918(c) of the Electronic Fund Transfer Act (“EFTA”), 15 U.S.C. § 1693o(c), and Section 6 of the Telemarketing and Consumer Fraud and Abuse Prevention Act (the “Telemarketing Act”), 15 U.S.C. § 6105, to obtain temporary, preliminary, and permanent injunctive relief, rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, disgorgement of ill-gotten monies, and other equitable relief for Defendants’ acts or practices in violation of Sections 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 52, Section 4 of ROSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 8403, Section 907(a) of EFTA, 15 U.S.C. § 1693e(a), Section 1005.10(b) of EFTA’s implementing Regulation E, 12 C.F.R. § 1005.10, and Section 310.4(b)(1)(v) of the FTC’s Telemarketing Sales Rule (“TSR”), 16 C.F.R. § 310.4(b)(1)(v), in connection with their false and unsubstantiated claims for dissolvable film strips advertised for smoking cessation, weight loss, and male sexual performance; a related autoship continuity program resulting in unauthorized shipments and charges; abusive telemarketing through robocalls; and unsubstantiated earnings claims for a multi-level marketing scheme.

1                                    **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

2            2.        This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§  
3 1331, 1337(a), and 1345; 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 53(b); 15 U.S.C. § 8404(a); and  
4 15 U.S.C. § 1693o(c).

5            3.        Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), (c)(1),  
6 (c)(2), and (d), and 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).

7                                    **PLAINTIFF**

8            4.        The FTC is an independent agency of the United States Government  
9 created by statute. 15 U.S.C. §§ 41-58. The FTC enforces Section 5(a) of the FTC  
10 Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or  
11 affecting commerce, and Section 12, 15 U.S.C. § 52, which prohibits false  
12 advertisements for food, drugs, devices, services, or cosmetics in or affecting  
13 commerce. The FTC also enforces ROSCA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 8401-8405, which  
14 prohibits the sale of goods or services on the Internet through negative option  
15 marketing without meeting certain requirements to protect consumers, including  
16 providing clear and conspicuous disclosure of all material terms of the transaction  
17 prior to obtaining the consumer’s billing information, and obtaining the  
18 consumer’s express informed consent before charging the consumer; EFTA, 15  
19 U.S.C. §§ 1693-1693r, and its implementing Regulation E, 12 C.F.R. Part 1005,  
20 which regulate the rights, liabilities, and responsibilities of participants in  
21 electronic fund transfer systems; and the TSR, 16 C.F.R. Part 310, which prohibits  
22 deceptive and abusive telemarketing acts or practices.

23            5.        The FTC is authorized to initiate federal district court proceedings, by  
24 its own attorneys, to enjoin violations of the FTC Act, ROSCA, EFTA, Regulation  
25 E, and the TSR, and to secure such equitable relief as may be appropriate in each  
26 case, including rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of  
27  
28

1 monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies. 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and  
2 56(a)(2)(A); 15 U.S.C. § 8404(a); and 15 U.S.C. § 1693o(c).

### 3 **SUMMARY OF THE CASE**

4 6. Individual Defendants Jason Cardiff, Eunjung Cardiff, and Danielle  
5 Cadiz have for years operated a fraudulent multi-pronged scheme that has bilked  
6 consumers out of millions of dollars through baseless advertising claims for  
7 products that purport to alleviate serious health conditions, while also enrolling  
8 consumers in unwanted autoship programs that have resulted in millions of dollars  
9 in unauthorized charges.

10 7. Defendants' evolving business operation injures consumers in  
11 multiple ways. First, Defendants' marketing of their dissolvable film strips relies  
12 on false or unsubstantiated claims for TBX-FREE (stop smoking aid), Eupepsia  
13 Thin (appetite suppressant), and Prolongz (men's sexual performance), including  
14 claims that those products were proven to work. Defendants also make false  
15 claims that their film strips are made in the United States and are sold with money-  
16 back guarantees. Then, Defendants enroll consumers – without prior notice and  
17 authorization and, in some cases, notwithstanding consumers' or Defendants' clear  
18 statements to the contrary – in autoship continuity plans that bill consumers  
19 monthly for unwanted products. Defendants also make it difficult for consumers to  
20 cancel those plans and get their money back. More recently, Defendants have  
21 turned to abusive telemarketing practices by delivering prerecorded marketing  
22 messages to millions of consumers. Finally, Defendants recently launched a multi-  
23 level marketing scheme for which they make false or unsubstantiated earnings  
24 claims.

25 8. Individual Defendants have operated the scheme through a web of  
26 interrelated companies, using Corporate Defendants as their alter egos. Corporate  
27 Defendants form a common enterprise, and include three corporations with the  
28

1 same name – Redwood Scientific Technologies, Inc., a California Corporation;  
2 Redwood Scientific Technologies, Inc. a Nevada Corporation; Redwood Scientific  
3 Technologies, Inc., a Delaware Corporation – and at least 4 other entities, Identify,  
4 LLC; Advanced Men’s Institute Prolongz LLC; Run Away Products, LLC; and  
5 Carols Place Limited Partnership.

6 **CORPORATE DEFENDANTS**

7 9. Corporate Defendant Redwood Scientific Technologies, Inc.  
8 (“Redwood California”), also doing business as Rengalife, is a California  
9 corporation with its principal place of business at 820 North Mountain Ave., Suite  
10 100, Upland, CA 91786. It has undergone name changes. Redwood California  
11 was initially Advanced Men’s Institute Prolongz LLC, which subsequently became  
12 Redwood Scientific Technologies LLC, and then finally the corporation Redwood  
13 California. Redwood California transacts or has transacted business in this district  
14 and throughout the United States. At times material to this Complaint, acting alone  
15 or in concert with others, Redwood California has advertised, marketed,  
16 distributed, or sold dissolvable film strips, including TBX-FREE, Eupepsia Thin,  
17 and Prolongz, to consumers throughout the United States.

18 10. Corporate Defendant Redwood Scientific Technologies, Inc.  
19 (“Redwood Nevada”) was, until December 2017, a Nevada corporation whose  
20 registered agent was located at 202 N. Carson Street, Carson City, NV 89701.  
21 Redwood Nevada was the parent of Redwood California, and it transacted business  
22 in this district and throughout the United States.

23 11. Corporate Defendant Redwood Scientific Technologies, Inc.  
24 (“Redwood Delaware”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of  
25 business at 820 North Mountain Ave., Suite 100, Upland, CA 91786. Redwood  
26 Delaware was established in December 2017 as part of a merger between  
27 Greenway Design Group, Inc. and Redwood Nevada, thus becoming the parent of  
28

1 Redwood California. Through its subsidiaries, including Redwood California,  
2 Redwood Delaware has advertised, marketed, distributed, or sold dissolvable film  
3 strips, including TBX-FREE, Eupepsia Thin, and Prolongz, to consumers  
4 throughout the United States.

5 12. Corporate Defendant Identify, LLC (“Identify”) is a Wyoming limited  
6 liability company. Identify has reserved multiple trade names in Wyoming,  
7 including TBX-FREE, Redwood Scientific Technologies, Advanced Men’s  
8 Institute, and Runaway Products. At times material to this Complaint, acting alone  
9 or in concert with others, Identify has advertised, marketed, distributed, or sold  
10 dissolvable film strips, including TBX-FREE, Eupepsia Thin, and Prolongz, to  
11 consumers throughout the United States. Currently, Identify is the primary seller  
12 of TBX-FREE, Eupepsia Thin, and Prolongz, and receives revenue from the sales  
13 of those products. Identify’s bank accounts receive funds for activities conducted  
14 by other Corporate Defendants.

15 13. Corporate Defendant Advanced Men’s Institute Prolongz LLC  
16 (“AMI”) was a California limited liability company formed in 2014 by Individual  
17 Defendant Eunjung Cardiff. The managing member of AMI is Corporate  
18 Defendant Run Away Products, LLC. At times material to this Complaint, acting  
19 alone or in concert with others, AMI has advertised, marketed, distributed, or sold  
20 Prolongz to consumers throughout the United States.

21 14. Corporate Defendant Run Away Products, LLC (“Run Away”) is a  
22 New York limited liability company formed on March 10, 2009 by Individual  
23 Defendant Eunjung Cardiff. Run Away has at various times placed advertising for  
24 Prolongz, and been responsible for meeting payroll for Corporate Defendant  
25 Redwood California’s employees.

26 15. Corporate Defendant Carols Place Limited Partnership is an Arizona  
27 asset management limited partnership formed on January 23, 2017. Carols Place  
28



1 Limited Partnership holds 69% of the Common Shares of Redwood Delaware for  
2 the benefit of Individual Defendants Jason and Eunjung Cardiff. The two partners  
3 of Carols Place Limited Partnership are Carols Place Trust and Extension First,  
4 LLC, a Wyoming company formed on January 13, 2017 and owned by Individual  
5 Defendants Jason and Eunjung Cardiff. Carols Place Trust is a bridge trust formed  
6 on January 17, 2017 under Nevada law. Carols Place Trust owns the Cardiffs'  
7 home. The only two settlors and trustees of Carols Place Trust are Individual  
8 Defendants Jason Cardiff and Eunjung Cardiff. Carols Place Limited Partnership  
9 has received funds from Corporate Defendant Identify.

10 **INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS**

11 **INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANT JASON CARDIFF**

12 16. Individual Defendant Jason Cardiff is the Founder, President, Chief  
13 Executive Officer, and a member of the Board of Directors of Corporate Defendant  
14 Redwood California, and the President, Chief Executive Officer, Secretary,  
15 Treasurer, and a Director of Corporate Defendant Redwood Delaware. Mr. Cardiff  
16 owns more than 150 million shares of Corporate Defendant Redwood Delaware  
17 common stock and controls all 2.5 million shares of Redwood Delaware's Series A  
18 Super Voting Preferred Shares, accounting for 96.6 percent of Redwood  
19 Delaware's voting securities. He was the President of Corporate Defendant  
20 Redwood Nevada before its December 2017 dissolution. Mr. Cardiff is also the  
21 managing member and has held himself out as an owner of Corporate Defendant  
22 Identify; he has held himself out as the owner of Corporate Defendant AMI; Mr.  
23 Cardiff is also a member of Corporate Defendant Run Away. Mr. Cardiff is a  
24 signatory on bank accounts of Corporate Defendants, as well as a trustee for Carols  
25 Place Trust.

26 17. Individual Defendant Jason Cardiff participated in the creation and  
27 dissemination of advertising for TBX-FREE, Euepsia Thin, and Prolongz. Mr.  
28

1 Cardiff has appeared in videos promoting TBX-FREE and Eupepsia Thin, in which  
2 he touts the products' efficacy. Mr. Cardiff also appeared in multiple videos  
3 promoting Defendant Redwood California's new multi-level marketing program  
4 (Rengalife), in which he claimed that Rengalife members are likely to earn  
5 substantial income. Mr. Cardiff negotiated with a telemarketing company to  
6 deliver millions of prerecorded messages, commonly known as robocalls, to  
7 consumers promoting Defendants' products, and his voice is used by Defendants in  
8 those calls. In addition, Mr. Cardiff appears to have been routinely copied on  
9 internal and external company emails, making him generally aware of company  
10 operations.

11 18. Individual Defendant Jason Cardiff at all times material to this  
12 Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, has formulated, directed,  
13 controlled, had the authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices of  
14 Corporate Defendants, including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint.  
15 Mr. Cardiff resides in this district and, in connection with the matters alleged  
16 herein, transacts or has transacted business in this district and throughout the  
17 United States.

18 **INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANT EUNJUNG CARDIFF**

19 19. Individual Defendant Eunjung Cardiff, a/k/a Eunjung Lee, a/k/a  
20 Eunjung No, is the Secretary, Director of Marketing, and a member of the Board of  
21 Directors of Corporate Defendant Redwood California, as well as its registered  
22 agent. She is the Chief Operating Officer, Chief Marketing Officer, and a Director  
23 of Corporate Defendant Redwood Delaware, and she owns 550,800 shares of that  
24 company's Common Stock. She was the Secretary of Corporate Defendant  
25 Redwood Nevada before its December 2017 dissolution. Ms. Cardiff organized  
26 Corporate Defendant AMI; is the managing member of Corporate Defendant Run  
27  
28

1 Away; and has signed financial documents identifying herself as an owner of  
2 Corporate Defendant Identify.

3 20. Individual Defendant Eunjung Cardiff is identified as part of the  
4 Management Team on the website of Corporate Defendant Redwood California,  
5 and her profile says that:

6 At Redwood Scientific Technologies, Inc., [Ms. Cardiff] serves as the  
7 Marketing Director, in which she oversees management’s activities.  
8 Eunjung also supervises the company’s research and development  
9 activities – the bread and butter of Redwood. As all management  
10 personnel reports [sic] to Eunjung, she has the best insights into the  
11 company’s marketing strategy. Eunjung’s focus on ensuring that the  
12 company “meets” its financial targets, especially “acquisition cost per  
13 new customer,” guarantee[s] [t]he the company’s success and  
14 profitability. She also works [closely] with Jason to constitute, layout,  
15 and execute the company’s success strategy.

16 21. Individual Defendant Eunjung Cardiff, who is married to Individual  
17 Defendant Jason Cardiff, participated in the dissemination of advertising for TBX-  
18 FREE, Eupepsia Thin, and Prolongz. She was the principal person responsible for  
19 buying media for the three products. She participated in responding to requests for  
20 advertising claim substantiation during the television advertising clearance process  
21 for TBX-FREE. Ms. Cardiff also monitored the call centers receiving calls from  
22 consumers to purchase TBX-FREE, Eupepsia Thin, and Prolongz. Ms. Cardiff  
23 also assisted Individual Defendant Jason Cardiff in filming some of the Facebook  
24 Live videos promoting Rengalife. Ms. Cardiff’s voice also is used by Defendants  
25 in robocalls to consumers, and she paid for robocall expenses. She has applied for  
26 multiple merchant accounts on behalf of several Corporate Defendants and she is a  
27 signatory on several of their checking accounts, as well as a trustee for Carols  
28

1 Place Trust.

2 22. Individual Defendant Eunjung Cardiff, at all times material to this  
3 Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, has formulated, directed,  
4 controlled, had the authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices of  
5 Corporate Defendants, including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint.  
6 Ms. Cardiff resides in this district and, in connection with the matters alleged  
7 herein, transacts or has transacted business in this district and throughout the  
8 United States.

9 **INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANT DANIELLE CADIZ**

10 23. Individual Defendant Danielle Cadiz, a/k/a Danielle Walker, has  
11 served as Corporate Defendant Redwood California's Director of Operations since  
12 December 2016 and as its Business Manager since January 2015. Ms. Cadiz's  
13 LinkedIn.com profile describes her responsibilities at Corporate Defendant  
14 Redwood California as "leading day to day business operations, office  
15 management, [Accounts Payable/Accounts Receivable], cash flow, Human  
16 Resources and strategic planning." Ms. Cadiz executes virtually all of the  
17 activities of Corporate Defendants and has actual and implied authority to make  
18 decisions on behalf of Corporate Defendants.

19 24. In the course of her official responsibilities, Individual Defendant  
20 Danielle Cadiz receives information about consumer complaints. She also has  
21 communicated with the foreign manufacturers of the Defendants' film strip  
22 products and appeared in a video promoting the film strip technology, entitled  
23 "The End of the Pill," where she was identified as Corporate Defendant Redwood  
24 California's "Operations Manager." Ms. Cadiz, along with Individual Defendant  
25 Jason Cardiff, negotiated with a telemarketing company to deliver millions of  
26 robocalls to consumers promoting several of Defendants' products. Ms. Cadiz  
27  
28

1 signed the contract for services with the telemarketing company and monitored the  
2 company's performance under the contract.

3 25. Individual Defendant Danielle Cadiz, at all times material to this  
4 Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, has formulated, directed,  
5 controlled, had the authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices of  
6 Corporate Defendants, including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint.  
7 Ms. Cadiz resides in this district and, in connection with the matters alleged herein,  
8 transacts or has transacted business in this district and throughout the United  
9 States.

10 **COMMON ENTERPRISE**

11 26. Corporate Defendants have operated as a common enterprise while  
12 engaging in the illegal acts and practices alleged in the Complaint. Individual  
13 Defendants Jason Cardiff, Eunjung Cardiff, and Danielle Cadiz have conducted the  
14 alleged deceptive practices described herein through the interrelated network of  
15 Corporate Defendants, which share a business purpose, business functions, and  
16 employees; are commonly controlled by Individual Defendants; and have  
17 commingled funds. Defendants have been able to delay detection of chargeback  
18 activity from credit card companies and merchant card banks by using multiple  
19 company names, bank accounts, and merchant accounts virtually interchangeably  
20 since 2014. Because Corporate Defendants have operated as a common enterprise,  
21 each of them is jointly and severally liable for the acts and practices alleged below.

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ALTER EGO**

1  
2 27. There is such a unity of interest between Corporate Defendants and  
3 Individual Defendants Jason Cardiff and Eunjung Cardiff that they are alter egos.  
4 Corporate Defendants are all dominated and controlled by Individual Defendants  
5 Jason Cardiff and Eunjung Cardiff and were used to further the deceptive scheme  
6 described herein. Individual Defendants Jason and Eunjung Cardiff use personal  
7 credit cards to pay for expenses of Corporate Defendants and hold their stock in  
8 Redwood Nevada and Redwood Delaware in the name of Corporate Defendant  
9 Carols Place Limited Partnership. Corporate Defendant Carols Place Limited  
10 Partnership is comprised of Carols Place Trust and Extension First, LLC, which  
11 are both owned by the Cardiffs; Carols Place Trust also owns the Cardiffs’ home.

12 28. Failure to disregard the Corporate Defendants’ corporate forms would  
13 sanction a fraud and injustice by shielding and safeguarding them from liability for  
14 their roles in a deceptive scheme that has caused millions of dollars in consumer  
15 injury, and would unjustly enrich them by permitting them to keep moneys  
16 obtained from consumers through fraud.

**COMMERCE**

17  
18 29. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendants have maintained a  
19 substantial course of trade in or affecting commerce, as “commerce” is defined in  
20 Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

**DEFENDANTS’ BUSINESS ACTIVITIES**

21  
22 30. Defendants have manufactured, labeled, advertised, marketed,  
23 promoted, offered for sale, sold, and distributed to consumers dissolvable film strip  
24 products, including strips sold under the brand names TBX-FREE, Eupepsia Thin,  
25 and Prolongz.  
26  
27  
28



1 other smoking cessation aids. These materials contain the following statements  
2 and depictions, among others:

3 A. Excerpts from tbxfree.com website (captured 03/16/2017):

4 Revolutionary New Stop Smoking Product

5 More effective than the Patch and Gum

6 #1 Choice by Smokers


7 \*\*\*

8 **88% Success Rate**

9 \*\*\*

10 In clinical studies cited in The New England Journal of  
11 Medicine, the active ingredient in TBX-FREE™ has an 88%  
12 cure care [sic] compared to the patch and gum combined.

13 \*\*\*



17 \*\*\*

18 **PROVEN IN RESEARCH STUDIES TO HELP**  
19 **SMOKERS QUIT THEIR ADDICTION!**

20 \*\*\*

21

22 **The Breakthrough**  
23 **Stop Smoking Aid**  
24 **That Calms Cigarette Cravings!**  
25 **Proven in research studies to**  
26 **help smokers quit their addiction!**

27 B. TBX-FREE Facebook Live post (posted 02/24/2017):

28



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

PRESENTER [DEFENDANT JASON CARDIFF]: . . . So I'm going to show you what we've done . We've developed the most successful stop-smoking product on the market, period, plain and simple. I challenge anybody that tells me they have a more successful stop-smoking product. It doesn't exist.

\*\*\*

If you've tried to stop smoking, if you want to stop smoking, if you want to quit, if you want to make that change, this is the product for you. And what you get and how it works and the magic is it has no nicotine in it, first off. But it does have an active ingredient in it.

\*\*\*

And how this works is what's really important. . . . [T]his little strip here, you put it on your tongue, and it releases a chemical to the brain, which is naturally found in nature, and it makes you feel like you've had a cigarette.

Now, that's the most important part. We've replaced the feeling of smoking with a non-nicotine-based product. And in five short days -- five days -- you get all the nicotine out of your system. You now have the nicotine out of your system and you have the chance to become and live a smoke-free life. And that is how the product works. That is why it has an 88 percent success rate, and we can look here, we have an -- we have a proven track record of over an 88 percent success rate from some of the greatest medical institutions and universities in the United States and the U.K. And that's why it works so well -- no nicotine in your system.

1 \*\*\*

2 What does one month supply, an entire month, of TBX-  
3 Free -- it comes with two of these packets, two of them, okay?  
4 A whole month supply, it's 120 strips, which if you follow the  
5 direction should be more than you ever need.

6 I don't really understand. You know you've tried to stop.  
7 You've tried to stop five, six, seven, ten times. This product  
8 will do it for you. You can quit smoking with TBX-Free.  
9 Here's the best part, right? We offer a lifetime, money-back  
10 guarantee. . . . Lifetime, money-back guarantee for any reason,  
11 okay? If the product doesn't work for you or you become a  
12 smoker again, not only will we either give you your money  
13 back or we'll send you another box, whatever you want. We  
14 want the highest quality customer experience possible. You  
15 know the patches and gums won't give you any guarantee  
16 because they know they don't work. . . . So lifetime, money-  
17 back guarantee. You have nothing to lose, everything to gain,  
18 the number, right here. . . . You get a one-month supply for  
19 [\$]49.95, and you don't pay shipping. Just think about it. Just  
20 what have you got to lose? It's what you spend on cigarettes in  
21 a week. Can you imagine? You're -- all of a sudden you're  
22 smoke-free?

23 C. Excerpts from TBX-FREE infomercial (captured 03/27/ 2018):

24 SPOKESMAN: This amazing strip delivers the same  
25 calming effect but with no tar, no tobacco, no nicotine, no  
26 ashes, no odor, huh, and my favorite, Taylor, no secondhand  
27 smoke.  
28

1 \*\*\*

2 SPOKESWOMAN: To be able to break the chain of  
3 addiction with smokers, we have developed a product that gives  
4 you the freedom to not be addicted to any type of nicotine  
5 product. TBX-FREE is unique among stop-smoking products  
6 because of the delivery technology and it contains no nicotine.  
7 This nicotine-free system allows you to successfully stop  
8 smoking and at the same time you do not become addicted to  
9 TBX-FREE – like you might with the nicotine gum or patch.

10 NARRATOR: Have you ever wanted to quit smoking  
11 but didn't think you could? Now, you can. Imagine living a  
12 smoke-free, nicotine-free life. Because of TBX-FREE,  
13 thousands of people are breaking the addiction of cigarettes.  
14 People around the world have discovered this amazing  
15 breakthrough and, now, through this TV offer -- Redwood  
16 Scientific Technologies is giving you an opportunity of a  
17 lifetime. TBX-FREE is a fast-acting, dissolvable strip that is  
18 setting cigarette smokers -- free for life. With TBX-FREE, you  
19 control the habit, the habit doesn't control you.

20 \*\*\*

21 SPOKESWOMAN: TBX-FREE is a fast strip delivery  
22 technology. You just place it on your tongue and it dissolves  
23 instantly and your nicotine craving disappears. And the  
24 moment it hits your system, you have the same satisfaction as if  
25 you had just finished a cigarette.

26 \*\*\*



\*\*\*

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: I smoked about a pack a day for ten years. I tried the patch first and I would still smoke. You know, I was wearing the patch, I would still smoke cigarettes. When I was chewing the gum, I would still smoke cigarettes. And then I tried the e-cig -- e-cigarettes and even those, still nicotine, you know, I kind of said, you know, I need to try something that's, you know, not nicotine. And I was really surprised how TBX works.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: TBX-FREE is the only thing that works. I've tried the gum, the patch, nothing works. And, plus, no side effects. What could be better?

D. TBX-FREE Facebook Live post (posted 01/09/2017):

PRESENTER [DEFENDANT JASON CARDIFF]: We have an 88% effective rate in long-term cure in long-term

1 smokers. A long-term smoker is someone who's been smoking  
2 more than 5 years, more than a pack or around a pack a day on  
3 average.

4 Our clinical data on TBX-FREE have done [sic] by some  
5 of the greatest medical and scientific institutions anywhere that  
6 we know of, including, not limited to the New England Journal  
7 of Medicine, which ranks our product ten times more effective  
8 than nicotine-replacement therapy to stop smoking. That's  
9 who's giving us this data.

10 E. TBX-FREE Facebook Live post (posted 02/07/2017):

11 PRESENTER [DEFENDANT JASON CARDIFF]: I  
12 want to share with you what is TBX-Free, how does it work,  
13 what is the secret to learn how to stop smoking cigarettes fast. I  
14 mean really fast, within a week, within ten days.

15 We have long-term smokers that have learned this secret,  
16 that have been smoking for 30-plus years, two packs a day or  
17 more, and they no longer smoke cigarettes. If you've tried the  
18 patch and the gum, maybe you've tried hypnosis, maybe you've  
19 tried Chantix, and you're a long-term smoker, I need your  
20 attention, just a little bit of your attention.

21 \*\*\*

22 Now, you should never need more than one month. After  
23 five days of use, all the nicotine will be cleansed out of your  
24 system... And people say, well, does it work? Well, we have an  
25 88 percent success rate. We have a lifetime money-back  
26 guarantee.

27 \*\*\*

28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

But first you have to stop smoking. You have to stop smoking, and you're addicted to something we believe internally as a group and a company, cigarettes are the most -- one of the most harmful killers of America -- of Americans. And -- and, you know, we're an American-based company. We have an American-based product. We're here, located in Southern California. We have an all-U.S.-based team here at our laboratories, and we're here for you.

38. Jason Cardiff is the "Presenter" appearing in the TBX-FREE Facebook Live posts quoted above.

### **EUPEPSIA THIN ADVERTISING**

39. Defendants have advertised Eupepsia Thin through a national television infomercial campaign and on the Internet, including websites and Facebook Live videos. The core message of this advertising has been that Eupepsia Thin causes or enables substantial weight loss without users having to diet, increase their exercise, or make other lifestyle changes. These materials contain the following statements and depictions, among others:

A. Excerpts from bethinrx.com website (captured 02/05/2018):



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

LIVE THE  
**EUPEPSIA**  
LIFESTYLE!

- NO DIETS
- NO GIVING UP FOOD
- NATURAL AND EFFECTIVE

\*\*\*

FDA Registered.  
Natural, homeopathic and wholesome.  
Proven active ingredient is an effective appetite suppressant.

\*\*\*



\*\*\*

- Eupepsia Thin™ contains a clinically proven super ingredient called Paullinia cupana H.B.K.
- Eupepsia Thin™'s incredible active ingredient sends the brain the sensation of fullness.
- Once Eupepsia Thin™ mouth strip dissolve [sic] on your tongue, the active ingredient hits your blood stream sending the feeling of fullness which lasts for hours which in turn controls caloric intake.

\*\*\*

Studies show the new product, Eupepsia Thin, gives people a chance to live a more active lifestyle and keep weight off.

\*\*\*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Safe & Effective Weight-Loss

Current calorie reduction and meal plans have less than 5% success rate while the new product, Eupepsia Thin™, has a substantially higher success rate. Until now there was no better way to shed the weight other than going through a huge lifestyle change.

\*\*\*

Lose up to 15 pounds

your first month with **Eupepsia Thin** oral strips without diets or changing your food or lifestyle choices.

\*\*\*

**What is the price of Eupepsia Thin™?**

If you would like to **lose 8-20 lbs** – our one month supply at **69.95** will work for you.

If you would like to **lose 20-50 lbs** – our three month supply at **169.95** will work for you.

If you would like to **lose 50-70 lbs** – our six month supply at **239.95** will work for you.

If you would like to **lose 70-100 lbs** – our one year supply at **456.95** will work for you.

\*\*\*

**Is Eupepsia Thin™ 100% natural?**

Unlike most diets and supplements on the market, Eupepsia Thin™ is all natural and FDA registered, without fillers or dangerous ingredients, eliminating side effects or withdrawals.

B. Excerpts from Eupepsia Thin infomercial (captured 07/24/2017):

ON SCREEN: ARE YOU READY TO LOSE  
BEFORE and AFTER PHOTOS

10 lbs

20 lbs



1 100 lbs

2 WITHOUT GIVING UP YOUR FAVORITE FOODS!

3 NARRATOR: Are you ready to lose 10, 20, even 100 pounds  
4 without giving up your favorite foods or adding any exercise?

5 \*\*\*

6 NARRATOR: The ingredients in Eupepsia will begin to  
7 activate in your system in less than 20 seconds. In minutes,  
8 you'll feel your appetite suppress, giving you control over how  
9 much you eat.

10 \*\*\*

11 NARRATOR: This is exactly what you've been waiting for to  
12 help you shed those unwanted pounds.

13 ON SCREEN: Before photo

14 Danny Lost 45 lbs!

15 From 230 lbs to 185 lbs!

16 DANNY: Forty-five pounds. I went from 230 back to 185.

17 ON SCREEN: Before photo

18 Tricia Lost 20 lbs!

19 From 135 lbs to 115 lbs!

20 TRICIA: My current weight today is 115 pounds and I feel  
21 absolutely amazing. I am able to, you know, just eat whatever I  
22 want and still drink wine as well.

23 \*\*\*

24 ON SCREEN: YOU HAVE NOTHING TO LOSE.

25 But The Weight!

26 NARRATOR: With Eupepsia, you have nothing to lose but the  
27 weight.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

SPOKESWOMAN 2: . . . This one-of-a-kind product will help you lose weight without stepping one foot in the gym or giving up any of your favorite foods.

ON SCREEN: NO COUNTING CALORIES OR TRACKING FOOD!

SPOKESWOMAN 2: No counting calories or tracking food and no expensive gym memberships to pay.

\*\*\*

SPOKESMAN 1: We are so confident that Eupepsia will work for you, it comes with a lifetime guarantee.



\*\*\*

ON SCREEN: Before photo 240 lbs

Karen Lost 90 lbs!

Now 150 lbs!

KAREN: I'm half the size I used to be. I can get in half of these jeans. That's quite an accomplishment.

\*\*\*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

ON SCREEN: Before photo

Todd Lost 132 lbs!

From 360 lbs to 228 lbs!

TODD: I tell you what, ever since I got the Eupepsia product, I have been amazed. I lost -- actually, I weighed 360 pounds. I lost 132 pounds on it. Very easy to do. And, now, I'm at 228 pounds.

And I tell you what, I've never felt better. I feel so good. My body is extremely different now. I don't fight to breathe. My kidney level is back to normal. I have no diabetes whatsoever. I used to take five insulin shots a day. I no longer have to take nothing for diabetes. My kidneys are at a good function and I just -- I tell you what, Eupepsia has been awesome.

C. Eupepsia Thin package labeling:

- **Oral Strip**  
**EASY WEIGHT LOSS**

---

**Safe & Effective**

Still eat your favorite foods  
No change in exercise required

- Made in USA
- Clinically proven to help suppress appetite between meals.

40. At least some of the people who provided testimonials in the Eupepsia Thin infomercial were actors who had been paid to appear in the infomercial and

1 who had not used Eupepsia Thin to lose the weight they discussed in their  
2 testimonials.

3 **PROLONGZ ADVERTISING**

4 41. Defendants have advertised Prolongz through a national television  
5 infomercial campaign and on the Internet. The core message of this advertising  
6 has been that Prolongz boosts men’s sexual performance, including by lengthening  
7 the duration of sex and preventing premature ejaculation. These materials contain  
8 the following statements and depictions, among others:

9 A. Excerpts from 2014 Prolongz infomercial:

10 ON SCREEN:



16 NARRATOR: Through this special offer, Advanced Men’s  
17 Institute is giving men the opportunity of a lifetime.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

ON SCREEN: [blinking lights and font]



NARRATOR: Do you want longer lasting sex? Do you want more time being intimate with your partner?

NARRATOR: Do you want to satisfy your partner like never before? Now it's possible.

ON SCREEN:



NARRATOR: Thanks to a new first of a kind sexual performance product called Prolongz.

1 B. Excerpts from 2015 prolongz.com website (captured May 16,  
2 2018):


3 **30 DAY MONEY BACK GUARANTEE!**

4 **Prolongz is guaranteed to increase your ejaculatory control levels and**  
5 **overall sexual performance. ...**

6 \*\*\*



7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14 **LEVEL THE PLAYING FIELD**  
**WITH PROLONGZ**




Extremely effective:

ALL NATURAL - ACTIVE INGREDIENTS

**STRIP DELIVERY TECHNOLOGY**

PROLONGZ USES ORAL STRIP DELIVERY TECHNOLOGY TO COMBINE A HIGH DOSAGE BLAST OF GINSENG EXTRACT & DAMIANA EXTRACT - WHICH CHANGES PROTEINS IN THE BRAIN THAT INCREASE CONTROL OVER MALE EJACULATION.



The proprietary blend of ingredients in Prolongz reacts with the chemicals in the brain that control the major parts of sexual excitement. Current products on the market are topical anesthetics which don't treat the problem; they only treat the symptom. Prolongz is a FDA registered Over-The-Counter Homeopathic Drug, the strips dissolve in 20 Seconds; combining a high % dosage blast of Damiana & Ginseng.

**FAST-ACTING & EASY-TO-USE**

**NO PRESCRIPTION NEEDED**

**LONGER LASTING SEX**

**INCREASED EJACULATION CONTROL TIME**

**Drug Facts**

**Active Ingredients** (in each strip) **Purpose**  
Damiana Extract 1X (10 mg) .....Stimulant  
Ginseng Extract 1X (10 mg) .....Stimulant

Click here to view the complete Drug Facts Panel.  
Prolongz™ is a FDA registered OTC homeopathic drug, which helps in the prevention of Premature Ejaculation (PE). It is a first of its kind product which uses Oral (sublingual) dissolvable Strip delivery technology for the treatment of PE.

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23 The proprietary blend of ingredients in Prolongz reacts with the chemicals in the brain that control the major parts of sexual excitement. Current products on the market are topical anesthetics which don't treat the problem; they only treat the symptom. Prolongz is a FDA registered Over-The-Counter Homeopathic Drug, the strips dissolve in 20 Seconds; combining a high % dosage blast of Damiana & Ginseng.

24  
25  
26  
27  
28 Click here to view the complete Drug Facts Panel.  
Prolongz™ is a FDA registered OTC homeopathic drug, which helps in the prevention of Premature Ejaculation (PE). It is a first of its kind product which uses Oral (sublingual) dissolvable Strip delivery technology for the treatment of PE.

C. Excerpts from 2016 prolongz.com website (captured May 16, 2018):

**PROLONGZ™**  
Advanced Men's Institute

AUSTRALIA'S BEST KEPT SECRET!  
NOW AVAILABLE IN THE UNITED STATES!

✓ SUBLINGUAL DELIVERY  
✓ INCREASED EJACULATION CONTROL  
✓ MEDICALLY RECOMMENDED  
✓ FAST-ACTING & EASY-TO-USE

TELL US WHERE TO SEND YOUR ORDER

FIRST NAME LAST NAME  
YOUR ADDRESS YOUR CITY  
ALABAMA ZIP CODE  
PHONE EMAIL ADDRESS

SEND MY SUPPLY

**LONGER LASTING SEX!**

CALL TO TRY:  
**844-247-1183**

**100% SATISFACTION**  
**GUARANTEED**  
OR YOUR MONEY BACK

Prolongz™ is a FDA registered OTC homeopathic drug, which helps in the prevention of Premature Ejaculation (PE). It is a first of its kind product which uses Oral (sublingual) dissolvable Strip delivery technology for the treatment of PE.

**ALL** Contains a very potent natural combination of herbs, vitamins, antioxidants, and mushroom extracts.  
**NATURAL** Proven to effectively increase the length in Sex for over 97% of Thousands of Men who have tried Prolongz™.  
**INGREDIENTS** Longer lasting sex is achievable. Prolongz™ will make you firmer and last longer.

**NATURAL**

Proven to effectively increase the length in Sex for over 97% of Thousands of Men who have tried Prolongz™.

**INGREDIENTS**

Longer lasting sex is achievable. Prolongz™ will make you firmer and last longer.



1                   **DEFENDANTS’ DECEPTIVE SALES AND BILLING PRACTICES IN**  
2                   **CONNECTION WITH TBX-FREE, EUPEPSIA THIN, AND PROLONGZ**

3                   42. Defendants’ advertising, including websites, infomercials, and social  
4 media, lists both website links and toll-free numbers that consumers can use to  
5 place orders for TBX-FREE, Eupepsia Thin, and Prolongz (“the Products”).  
6 Consumers then purchase the Products through inbound sales calls and website  
7 orders.

8                                   **Defendants’ Failure to Disclose Autoship Programs**  
9   **at the Time of the Initial Sale**

10                   43. When consumers order the Products and provide their payment  
11 information, Defendants have routinely enrolled them in a continuing autoship  
12 program, which is commonly known as a continuity plan, without disclosing, or  
13 disclosing adequately, to those consumers either the enrollment, or the terms and  
14 conditions of the autoship program. Defendants’ autoship program then makes  
15 additional shipments to consumers at regular intervals and Defendants charge the  
16 credit or debit cards that consumers provided for their initial purchase for the  
17 subsequent shipments. Thus, if a consumer placed an order for a supply of any of  
18 the Products, the Defendants have often repeatedly, and on a continuing basis,  
19 charged the consumer for additional product without further authorization. In  
20 numerous instances, Defendants have not disclosed, or have not disclosed  
21 adequately, these material terms to consumers before consumers provide their  
22 credit or debit card information, and therefore have not obtained consumers’  
23 express informed consent to the autoship program and the additional charges.

24                   44. In the case of website orders for TBX-FREE, Defendants’ websites  
25 have not disclosed, or have not disclosed adequately, the negative option feature of  
26 their autoship program, whereby they interpret consumers’ silence or failure to  
27 contact them as consumers’ tacit acceptance of enrollment and of the receipt of  
28



1 additional shipments and charges. In numerous instances, when consumers have  
2 proceeded to the shopping cart, provided their billing information, and completed  
3 the purchase, there has been no adequate disclosure about Defendants' autoship  
4 program or that any additional charges would be placed on a consumer's credit or  
5 debit card.

6 45. For telephone orders for the Products, in numerous instances,  
7 Defendants' call center representatives similarly have not disclosed that  
8 Defendants will enroll consumers automatically into a continuing autoship  
9 program that will result in additional shipments and charges to consumers' credit  
10 or debit cards. In many cases, consumers have specifically asked not to be  
11 enrolled in an autoship program but Defendants did so anyway. In many cases,  
12 Defendants' call center employees assured consumers they will not be enrolled in  
13 an autoship program but Defendants did so anyway.

14 46. At the time of the initial sale, Defendants do not disclose, or do not  
15 adequately disclose, their terms and conditions for cancellation and refunds for  
16 autoshipments before obtaining consumers' billing information. Instead,  
17 consumers are later advised by Defendants' customer service representatives that  
18 they must request cancellation, obtain a return merchandise authorization ("RMA")  
19 number, and mail products back to Defendants at their own expense.

20 47. In cases in which consumers pay for their initial purchase using debit  
21 cards, Defendants have debited consumers' bank accounts for the additional  
22 periodic shipments. Defendants did not obtain written authorization signed or  
23 similarly authenticated from consumers authorizing the recurring electronic fund  
24 transfers from their accounts, and did not subsequently provide consumers with a  
25 copy of such a written authorization.

1                   **Consumers’ Post-Sale Experiences – Unauthorized Charges**

2           48. Defendants ship their Products to consumers on a periodic basis and  
3 charge their credit or debit cards without consumers’ consent for any charge other  
4 than the initial purchase.

5           49. Consumers must contact Defendants to reject additional shipments  
6 and charges and to cancel their undisclosed and unauthorized enrollment in the  
7 autoship program.

8           50. Defendants’ mechanisms for stopping recurring shipments and  
9 charges are often not effective. Consumers are often not able to reach Defendants  
10 when they call to stop recurring shipments and charges. On many occasions when  
11 consumers do cancel their enrollment in the autoship program, Defendants  
12 continue sending additional shipments and placing additional charges on  
13 consumers’ credit and debit cards.

14           51. Defendants do not honor their money-back guarantees. Consumers  
15 who seek a refund are often told that the charges are not refundable.

16                   **DEFENDANTS’ ILLEGAL TELEMARKETING CAMPAIGN**

17           52. In February 2018, Defendants caused prerecorded messages,  
18 commonly known as robocalls, to be delivered to consumers. Defendants planned  
19 robocall campaigns for multiple products.

20           53. Defendants’ initial contract with the telemarketing company provided  
21 for “ringless voicemails” to be delivered to 1,500,000 consumers.

22           54. Defendants disseminated robocalls to targeted groups of consumers,  
23 such as men over the age of 40 in the state of California, and delivered prerecorded  
24 messages, some of which featured the voice of Individual Defendant Jason Cardiff.

25           55. Defendants also conducted a robocall campaign for TBX-FREE using  
26 messages featuring the voice of Individual Defendant Eunjung Cardiff.

1           56. Hundreds of consumers have complained about receiving unsolicited  
2 prerecorded messages for Defendants' products.

3                           **DECEPTIVE RENGALIFE EARNINGS CLAIMS**

4           57. In March 2018, Defendants launched Rengalife, a multi-level  
5 marketing program.

6           58. Rengalife members advance up the line from Executive to Director,  
7 and then to Vice President and Senior Vice President, based on the number of  
8 recruits in their downline networks, all of whom are making monthly purchases of  
9 at least \$199.80 of Redwood Scientific dissolvable film strips via autoship.  
10 Members earn "commissions" on the monthly purchases of Redwood products  
11 made by recruits who are one, two, and three levels below them in their network,  
12 including a 30 percent "commission" on purchases by those team members one  
13 level down whom they recruited directly.

14           59. Defendants promoted Rengalife through a variety of media, including,  
15 but not limited to, online videos featuring Defendant Jason Cardiff. Defendants'  
16 advertising made strong earnings claims for the Rengalife program, including the  
17 following statements, among others:

18           A. Rengalife.com/network-marketing:

19                           **"Finally your dreams can become real!**

20                           It all begins by signing up as a Rengalife member.

21                           By becoming part of the Rengalife family, you will be on the  
22 path to creating your ideal life. Whether you are looking for a  
23 few extra dollars or pursuing an opportunity to replace a full  
24 time income, Rengalife has the way."

25           B. "Get Started Today" Facebook Live video (posted 4/4/2018):

26                           PRESENTER [JASON CARDIFF]: So you pick a package,  
27 and if I told you that you could make \$2,000 a month, \$5,000 a  
28

1 month, \$100,000 a month, we have somebody on pace to make  
2 . . . \$100,000 a year. We've only been live a week and a half.  
3 If I told you you had to make a little tiny investment in product  
4 for yourself to get to the executive level, if I showed you how  
5 to spend \$199.80 and you could make [\$]5,000 a month, \$6,000  
6 a month, is that a good investment? And you would say, yes, it  
7 is, it's a very good investment.

8 60. Defendants represented that Directors are guaranteed a "Minimum  
9 monthly Paid commission [of] \$600," Vice Presidents \$2,600 per month, and  
10 Senior Vice Presidents \$12,600 per month.

11 61. Individual Defendant Jason Cardiff put these guarantees in terms of  
12 annual earnings:

13 Rengalife "New Levels" Vimeo video (captured May 25, 2018):

14 PRESENTER [JASON CARDIFF]: . . . That takes you from  
15 executive to director. That also locks in your annual salary at a  
16 minimum -- a very minimum of \$7,200 a year once you go  
17 from that level of executive to director.

18 \*\*\*

19 And at a vice president level, not only do you start to enjoy  
20 some of the super first class bucket list travel, but you also lock  
21 in your annual income at a minimum of over \$30,000.

22 \*\*\*

23 The next level is a senior vice president level. . . . This means  
24 . . . you've locked in your income at \$144,000 a year. You're  
25 also receiving the three weeks of first class travel.

26

27

28

1           62. Rengalife also promises one-time bonuses as members advance  
2 through the ranks. New Directors receive a \$500 bonus, new Vice Presidents  
3 \$1,200, and new Senior Vice Presidents \$10,000.

4           63. A Rengalife member must have ten people in his or her network to  
5 become a Director, 110 to become a Vice President, and 1,100 to become a Senior  
6 Vice President.

7           64. Defendants did not have actual earnings data for Rengalife members  
8 at the time they made their earnings claims, nor did they have any basis for  
9 earnings claims based on the sale of Redwood film strips, because those products  
10 have never been sold without deceptive and unfair practices.

11           65. The Rengalife program is structured such that, if members follow  
12 Defendants’ instructions for advancing through the program by recruiting new  
13 members, the vast majority of participants will lose money, not earn substantial  
14 income.

15                           **VIOLATIONS OF THE FTC ACT**

16           66. Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), prohibits “unfair or  
17 deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce.”

18           67. Misrepresentations or deceptive omissions of material fact constitute  
19 deceptive acts or practices prohibited by Section 5(a) of the FTC Act.

20           68. Section 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 52, prohibits the  
21 dissemination of any false advertisement in or affecting commerce for the purpose  
22 of inducing, or which is likely to induce, the purchase of food, drugs, devices,  
23 services, or cosmetics. For the purposes of Section 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §  
24 52, TBX-FREE, Eupepsia Thin, and Prolongz are “drugs” as defined in Section  
25 15(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 55(b).

26  
27  
28

**UNSUBSTANTIATED EFFICACY CLAIMS AND FALSE CLAIMS**  
**THAT TBX-FREE, EUPEPSIA THIN, AND PROLONGZ ARE**  
**CLINICALLY PROVEN TO BE EFFECTIVE**

**COUNT I**

**FALSE OR UNSUBSTANTIATED EFFICACY CLAIMS FOR TBX-FREE**

69. Through the means described in Paragraph 37, Defendants have represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that:

- A. TBX-FREE is an effective smoking cessation product;
- B. TBX-FREE is more effective than either nicotine patches or nicotine gum in enabling cigarette smokers to stop smoking;
- C. TBX-FREE enables many cigarette smokers to quit in seven to ten days;
- D. TBX-FREE has an 88 percent success rate, including among people who have smoked cigarettes for more than five years; and
- E. Smokers should not need to purchase more than one month of TBX-FREE.

70. The representations set forth in Paragraph 69 are false or misleading or were not substantiated at the time the representations were made.

71. Therefore, the making of the representations as set forth in Paragraph 69 of this Complaint constitutes a deceptive act or practice and the making of false advertisements, in or affecting commerce, in violation of Sections 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 52.

**COUNT II**

**FALSE PROOF CLAIMS FOR TBX-FREE**

1  
2  
3 72. Through the means described in Paragraph 37, Defendants have  
4 represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that:

- 5 A. Clinical studies have been conducted on TBX-FREE, and have  
6 shown that TBX-FREE is an effective smoking cessation  
7 product;
- 8 B. TBX-FREE has been proven in clinical studies to be more  
9 effective than nicotine patches or nicotine gum in enabling  
10 smokers to stop smoking;
- 11 C. Clinical studies of TBX-FREE conducted on 10,600 people  
12 have shown that TBX-FREE has an “88% success rate”;
- 13 D. The New England Journal of Medicine (“NEJM”), Harvard  
14 Health Publications, and Johns Hopkins University have  
15 published clinical studies proving that TBX-FREE is an  
16 effective smoking cessation product; and
- 17 E. NEJM’s clinical studies showed that TBX-FREE is ten times  
18 more effective for smoking cessation than nicotine replacement  
19 therapy.

20 73. The representations set forth in Paragraph 72 are false.

21 74. Therefore, the making of the representations as set forth in Paragraph  
22 72 of this Complaint constitutes a deceptive act or practice and the making of false  
23 advertisements, in or affecting commerce, in violation of Sections 5(a) and 12 of  
24 the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 52.

**COUNT III**  
**FALSE OR UNSUBSTANTIATED EFFICACY CLAIMS**  
**FOR EUPEPSIA THIN**

1  
2  
3  
4 75. Through the means described in Paragraph 39, Defendants have  
5 represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that:

- 6 A. Eupepsia Thin is an effective appetite suppressant and weight  
7 loss aid;
- 8 B. Eupepsia Thin starts working in less than 20 seconds, and  
9 suppresses a user’s appetite within minutes;
- 10 C. Eupepsia Thin enables users to lose 10, 20, or even 100 pounds  
11 without dieting, giving up their favorite foods, or increasing  
12 their exercise;
- 13 D. Eupepsia Thin users can lose 15 pounds their first month  
14 without dieting or changing their food or lifestyle;
- 15 E. Eupepsia Thin users can lose as much as 20 pounds in one  
16 month and as much as 50 pounds in three months;
- 17 F. Eupepsia Thin is more effective at causing weight loss than  
18 conventional calorie reduction and meal plans; and
- 19 G. Eupepsia Thin enables consumers to avoid gaining back weight  
20 they lose, without any lifestyle changes.

21 76. The representations set forth in Paragraph 75 are false or misleading  
22 or were not substantiated at the time the representations were made.

23 77. Therefore, the making of the representations as set forth in Paragraph  
24 75 of this Complaint constitutes a deceptive act or practice and the making of false  
25 advertisements, in or affecting commerce, in violation of Sections 5(a) and 12 of  
26 the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 52.  
27  
28



**COUNT IV**

**FALSE PROOF CLAIMS FOR EUPEPSIA THIN**

78. Through the means described in Paragraph 39, Defendants have represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that clinical studies have been conducted on Eupepsia Thin and those studies show that it is an effective appetite suppressant and weight loss aid.

79. The representations set forth in Paragraph 78 are false.

80. Therefore, the making of the representations as set forth in Paragraph 78 of this Complaint constitutes a deceptive act or practice and the making of false advertisements, in or affecting commerce, in violation of Sections 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 52.

**COUNT V**

**FALSE OR UNSUBSTANTIATED EFFICACY**

**CLAIMS FOR PROLONGZ**

81. Through the means described in Paragraph 41, Defendants have represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that:

- A. Prolongz substantially increases ejaculation control and the duration of sex; and
- B. Prolongz treats or prevents premature ejaculation.

82. The representations set forth in Paragraph 81 are false or misleading or were not substantiated at the time the representations were made.

83. Therefore, the making of the representations as set forth in Paragraph 81 of this Complaint constitutes a deceptive act or practice and the making of false advertisements, in or affecting commerce, in violation of Sections 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 52.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**COUNT VI**

**FALSE PROOF CLAIMS FOR PROLONGZ**

84. Through the means described in Paragraph 41, Defendants have represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that Prolongz is clinically proven to increase ejaculation control and the duration of sex for more than 97% of users.

85. The representations set forth in Paragraph 84 are false.

86. Therefore, the making of the representations as set forth in Paragraph 84 of this Complaint constitutes a deceptive act or practice and the making of false advertisements, in or affecting commerce, in violation of Sections 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 52.

**ADDITIONAL VIOLATIONS OF THE FTC ACT**

**COUNT VII**

**FALSE CLAIMS THAT EUPEPSIA THIN IS MADE IN THE UNITED STATES**

87. Through the means described in Paragraph 39, Defendants have represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that Eupepsia Thin is made in the United States.

88. The representation set forth in Paragraph 87 is false.

89. Therefore, the making of the representation as set forth in Paragraph 87 of this Complaint constitutes a deceptive act or practice and the making of false advertisements, in or affecting commerce, in violation of Sections 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 52.

**COUNT VIII**

**FALSE CLAIMS THAT TBX-FREE, EUPEPSIA THIN, AND PROLONGZ ARE SOLD WITH MONEY-BACK GUARANTEES**

90. Through the means described in Paragraphs 37, 39, and 41, Defendants have represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that consumers who are not satisfied with the Products will get their money back.

91. The representations set forth in Paragraph 90 are false. Many consumers who request their money back from Defendants are denied refunds.

92. Therefore, the making of the representations as set forth in Paragraph 90 of this Complaint constitutes a deceptive act or practice and the making of false advertisements, in or affecting commerce, in violation of Sections 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 52.

**COUNT IX**

**DECEPTIVE TESTIMONIALS**

93. Through the means described in Paragraph 39, Defendants have represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that the product users depicted in the Eupepsia Thin infomercial were actual persons who had successfully used Eupepsia Thin to lose substantial amounts of weight.

94. In truth and in fact, at least some of the testimonialists who appeared in the infomercial were actors who had been recruited and paid for brief on-screen appearances, and had not used Eupepsia Thin to lose the weight they discussed in the advertising.

95. Therefore, the making of the representation as set forth in Paragraph 93 of this Complaint constitutes a deceptive act or practice and the making of false advertisements, in or affecting commerce, in violation of Sections 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 52.

**COUNT X**

**FAILURE TO DISCLOSE ADEQUATELY AUTOMATIC ENROLLMENTS  
IN CONTINUITY PLANS**

1  
2  
3  
4 96. In numerous instances in connection with the advertising, marketing,  
5 promotion, offering for sale, or sale of the Products, Defendants have represented,  
6 directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that consumers who provide  
7 their billing information are placing a product order.

8 97. In numerous instances in which Defendants made the representation  
9 set forth in Paragraph 96, Defendants failed to disclose, or disclose adequately, that  
10 consumers who provided their billing information for a product order would be  
11 enrolled automatically in a continuity plan for future autshipments of the Products  
12 that would be charged to their credit or debit cards. This additional information  
13 would be material to consumers in their decision to purchase Defendants' products.

14 98. Defendants' failure to disclose, or disclose adequately, the material  
15 information described in Paragraph 97, in light of the representation set forth in  
16 Paragraph 96, constitutes a deceptive act or practice in or affecting commerce in  
17 violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

**COUNT XI**

**MISREPRESENTATIONS – CONTINUING AUTOSHIP PROGRAM**

18  
19  
20 99. In numerous instances in connection with the advertising, marketing,  
21 promotion, offering for sale, or sale of the Products, Defendants have represented,  
22 directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that consumers will not be  
23 enrolled in an autship program and that their credit or debit card will be charged  
24 only for a one-time purchase of the Products.

25 100. The representations set forth in Paragraph 99 are false. In numerous  
26 instances where Defendants have told consumers they will not be enrolled in an  
27 autship program, Defendants have enrolled consumers in an autship program,  
28

1 charging consumers' credit or debit cards for additional shipments they did not  
2 agree to purchase.

3 101. Therefore, the making of the representations as set forth in Paragraph  
4 99 of this Complaint constitutes a deceptive act or practice and the making of false  
5 advertisements, in or affecting commerce, in violation of Sections 5(a) and 12 of  
6 the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 52.

7 **COUNT XII**

8 **UNFAIRLY CHARGING CONSUMERS WITHOUT AUTHORIZATION**

9 102. In numerous instances, in connection with the advertising, marketing,  
10 promotion, offering for sale, or sale of the Products, Defendants have caused  
11 charges to be submitted for payment to the credit and debit cards of consumers  
12 without the express informed consent of those consumers.

13 103. Defendants' acts or practices as set forth in Paragraph 102 cause or  
14 are likely to cause substantial injury to consumers that those consumers cannot  
15 reasonably avoid themselves and that is not outweighed by countervailing benefits  
16 to consumers or competition.

17 104. Therefore, Defendants' acts or practices as set forth in Paragraph 102  
18 constitute unfair acts or practices in violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15  
19 U.S.C. § 45(a) and 45(n).

20 **VIOLATIONS OF THE RESTORE ONLINE SHOPPERS' CONFIDENCE**

21 **ACT (ROSCA)**

22 105. In 2010, Congress passed ROSCA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 8401-05, which  
23 became effective on December 29, 2010. Congress passed ROSCA because  
24 "[c]onsumer confidence is essential to the growth of online commerce. To  
25 continue its development as a marketplace, the Internet must provide consumers  
26 with clear, accurate information and give sellers an opportunity to fairly compete  
27 with one another for consumers' business." 15 U.S.C. § 8401.  
28

1           106. Section 4 of ROSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 8403, generally prohibits charging  
2 consumers for goods or services sold in transactions effected on the Internet  
3 through a negative option feature, as that term is defined in the TSR, 16 C.F.R. §  
4 310.2(w), unless the seller: (a) clearly and conspicuously discloses all material  
5 terms of the transaction before obtaining the consumer’s billing information; (b)  
6 obtains the consumer’s express informed consent before making the charge; and  
7 (c) provides a simple mechanism to stop recurring charges. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 8403.

8           107. The TSR defines a negative option feature as: “in an offer or  
9 agreement to sell or provide any goods or services, a provision under which the  
10 consumer’s silence or failure to take an affirmative action to reject goods or  
11 services or to cancel the agreement is interpreted by the seller as acceptance of the  
12 offer.” 16 C.F.R. § 310.2(w).

13           108. As described above in Paragraphs 42 through 47, Defendants  
14 advertise and sell their Products to consumers through a negative option feature as  
15 defined by the TSR. *See* 16 C.F.R. § 310.2(w).

16           109. Under Section 5 of ROSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 8404, a violation of ROSCA  
17 is a violation of a rule promulgated under Section 18 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C.  
18 § 57a, and therefore constitutes an unfair or deceptive act or practice in or affecting  
19 commerce in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

**COUNT XIII**  
**VIOLATIONS OF ROSCA**

22           110. In numerous instances, in connection with the selling of TBX-FREE  
23 on the Internet through a negative option feature, Defendants have failed to:

- 24           A. Clearly and conspicuously disclose all material terms of the  
25 negative option feature of the Product purchase before  
26 obtaining the consumer’s billing information;

1 B. Obtain the consumer’s express informed consent to the negative  
2 option feature before charging the consumer’s credit card or  
3 debit card; and/or

4 C. Provide simple mechanisms for a consumer to stop recurring  
5 charges to the consumer’s credit card or debit card.

6 111. Defendants’ practices as set forth in Paragraph 110 are a violation of  
7 Section 4 of ROSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 8403, and are therefore a violation of a rule  
8 promulgated under Section 18 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57a, 15 U.S.C.  
9 § 8404(a), and therefore constitute an unfair or deceptive act or practice in or  
10 affecting commerce in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

11 **VIOLATIONS OF THE ELECTRONIC FUND TRANSFER ACT AND**  
12 **REGULATION E**

13 112. Section 907(a) of the EFTA, 15 U.S.C. § 1693(a), provides that a  
14 “preauthorized electronic fund transfer from a consumer’s account may be  
15 authorized by the consumer only in writing, and a copy of such authorization shall  
16 be provided to the consumer when made.” Section 903(10) of EFTA, 15 U.S.C. §  
17 1693a(10), provides that the term “preauthorized electronic fund transfer” means  
18 “an electronic fund transfer authorized in advance to recur at substantially regular  
19 intervals.”

20 113. Section 1005.10(b) of Regulation E, 12 C.F.R. § 1005.10(b), provides  
21 that “[p]reauthorized electronic fund transfers from a consumer’s account may be  
22 authorized only by a writing signed or similarly authenticated by the consumer.  
23 The person that obtains the authorization shall provide a copy to the consumer.”

24 114. Section 1005.10(b) of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau’s  
25 Official Staff Commentary to Regulation E (“Official Staff Commentary to  
26 Regulation E”), 12 C.F.R. § 1005.10(b), Supp. I, provides that “[t]he authorization  
27 process should evidence the consumer’s identity and assent to the authorization.”  
28

1 *Id.* ¶ 10(b), cmt. 5. The Official Staff Commentary to Regulation E further  
2 provides that “[a]n authorization is valid if it is readily identifiable as such and the  
3 terms of the preauthorized transfer are clear and readily understandable.” *Id.*

4 ¶ 10(b), cmt. 6.

5 115. Pursuant to Section 918(c) of EFTA, 15 U.S.C. § 1693o(c), every  
6 violation of EFTA and Regulation E constitutes a violation of the FTC Act.

7 **COUNT XIV**

8 **EFTA AND REGULATION E VIOLATIONS – UNAUTHORIZED**  
9 **DEBITING FROM CONSUMERS’ ACCOUNTS**

10 116. In numerous instances, Defendants debit consumers’ bank accounts  
11 on a recurring basis without obtaining a written authorization signed or similarly  
12 authenticated from consumers for preauthorized electronic fund transfers from  
13 their accounts, thereby violating Section 907(a) of EFTA, 15 U.S.C. § 1693e(a),  
14 and Section 1005.10(b) of Regulation E, 12 C.F.R. § 1005.10(b).

15 117. In numerous instances, Defendants debit consumers’ bank accounts  
16 on a recurring basis without providing to the consumer a copy of a written  
17 authorization signed or similarly authenticated by the consumer for preauthorized  
18 electronic fund transfers from the consumer’s account, thereby violating Section  
19 907(a) of EFTA, 15 U.S.C. § 1693e(a), and Section 1005.10(b) of Regulation E, 12  
20 C.F.R. § 1005.10(b).

21 118. By engaging in violations of EFTA and Regulation E as set forth in  
22 Paragraphs 116 and 117, the Defendants have engaged in violations of the FTC  
23 Act. 15 U.S.C. § 1693o(c).

24 **VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEMARKETING SALES RULE**

25 119. Congress directed the FTC to prescribe rules prohibiting abusive and  
26 deceptive telemarketing acts or practices pursuant to the Telemarketing Act, 15  
27 U.S.C. §§ 6101-6108. The FTC adopted the original TSR in 1995, extensively  
28



1 amended it in 2003, and amended certain provisions thereafter. 16 C.F.R. Part  
2 310.

3 120. Under the TSR, a “telemarketer” is any person who, in connection  
4 with telemarketing, initiates or receives telephone calls to or from a consumer or  
5 donor. 16 C.F.R. § 310.2(ff).

6 121. Under the TSR, an “outbound telephone call” means a telephone call  
7 initiated by a telemarketer to induce the purchase of goods or services or to solicit  
8 a charitable contribution. 16 C.F.R. § 310.2(x).

9 122. As amended, effective September 1, 2009, the TSR prohibits initiating  
10 an outbound telephone call that delivers a prerecorded message to induce the  
11 purchase of any good or service. 16 C.F.R. §310.4(b)(1)(v). Calls delivering  
12 prerecorded messages are commonly called “robocalls.”

13 123. Pursuant to Section 3(c) of the Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C.  
14 § 6102(c), and Section 18(d)(3) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57a(d)(3), a violation  
15 of the TSR constitutes an unfair or deceptive act or practice in or affecting  
16 commerce, in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

## 17 **COUNT XV**

### 18 **UNLAWFUL PRERECORDED MESSAGES**

19 124. Through the means described in Paragraphs 52 through 56, as  
20 applicable, in numerous instances in connection with telemarketing, Defendants  
21 have engaged in initiating or causing the initiation of outbound telephone calls that  
22 delivered prerecorded messages to induce the sale of goods or services, in violation  
23 of 16 C.F.R. § 310.4(b)(1)(v).

24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**RENGALIFE**

**COUNT XVI**

**MISREPRESENTATIONS REGARDING EARNINGS**

125. Through the means described in Paragraphs 57 through 65, Defendants have represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that people who become Rengalife members are likely to earn substantial income.

126. The representation set forth in Paragraph 125 is false, misleading, or was not substantiated at the time the representation was made.

127. Therefore, the making of the representation as set forth in Paragraph 125 of this Complaint constitutes a deceptive act or practice in or affecting commerce in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

**CONSUMER INJURY**

128. Consumers have suffered and will continue to suffer substantial injury as a result of Defendants’ violations of the FTC Act, ROSCA, EFTA, Regulation E, and the TSR. In addition, Defendants have been unjustly enriched as a result of their unlawful acts or practices. Absent injunctive relief by this Court, Defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers, reap unjust enrichment, and harm the public interest.

**THIS COURT’S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF**

129. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), empowers this Court to grant injunctive and such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate to halt and redress violations of any provision of law enforced by the FTC. The Court, in the exercise of its equitable jurisdiction, may award ancillary relief, including rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies, to prevent and remedy any violation of any provision of law enforced by the FTC.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Wherefore, Plaintiff FTC, pursuant to Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), Section 5 of ROSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 8404, Section 918(c) of EFTA, 15 U.S.C. § 1693o(c), Section 6 of the Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6105, and the Court’s own equitable powers, requests that the Court:

A. Award Plaintiff such preliminary injunctive and ancillary relief as may be necessary to avert the likelihood of consumer injury during the pendency of this action and to preserve the possibility of effective final relief, including but not limited to, temporary and preliminary injunctions, an order freezing assets, immediate access to all corporate premises, and appointment of a receiver.

B. Enter a permanent injunction to prevent future violations of the FTC Act, ROSCA, EFTA, Regulation E, and the TSR, by Defendants;


C. Award such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to consumers resulting from Defendants’ violations of the FTC Act, ROSCA, EFTA, Regulation E, and the TSR, including but not limited to, rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies; and

D. Award Plaintiff the costs of bringing this action, as well as such other and additional relief as the Court may determine to be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

ALDEN F. ABBOTT  
General Counsel

Dated: October 3, 2018



ELIZABETH SANGER  
esanger@ftc.gov  
JAMES A. PRUNTY

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

jprunty@ftc.gov  
EDWIN RODRIGUEZ  
erodriguez@ftc.gov  
SHIRA D. MODELL  
smodell@ftc.gov  
Federal Trade Commission  
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20580

STACY PROCTER (Local Counsel)  
sprocter@ftc.gov  
Federal Trade Commission  
10990 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 400  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION