

1 EUGENE G. IREDALE: SBN 75292
2 JULIA YOO: SBN 231163
3 GRACE JUN: SBN 287973
4 IREDALE & YOO, APC
105 West F Street, Fourth Floor
5 San Diego, CA 92101-6036
6 TEL: (619) 233-1525
7 FAX: (619) 233-3221
8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

James P. Frantz, Esq., SBN 87492
William P. Harris III, Esq., SBN 123575
George T. Stiefel, Esq., SBN 297611
FRANTZ LAW GROUP, APLC
402 West Broadway, Suite 860
San Diego, CA 92101
Tel: (619) 233-5945
FAX: (619) 525-7672

9
10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
11 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

12 THE ESTATE OF PAUL SILVA by
13 and through its successors-in-
14 interest LESLIE ALLEN and
15 MANUEL SILVA, MANUEL
16 SILVA, and LESLIE ALLEN,

17 Plaintiffs,

18 v.

19 CITY OF SAN DIEGO, SHELLEY
20 ZIMMERMAN in her individual
21 capacity, ANDREW MURROW in
22 his individual capacity, COUNTY
23 OF SAN DIEGO, WILLIAM
24 GORE in his individual capacity,
25 ALFRED JOSHUA, an individual,
26 LIBERTY HEALTHCARE
27 CORPORATION, a Pennsylvania
28 Corporation, COMMUNITY
RESEARCH FOUNDATION, a
California Corporation, and DOES
1-100

Defendants.

CASE NO.

COMPLAINT '18CV2282 MMAKSC

- (1) **Arrest without Probable Cause (42 U.S.C. §1983)**
- (2) **Deliberate Indifference to Serious Medical Needs (42 U.S.C. §1983)**
- (3) **Excessive Force (42 U.S.C. §1983)**
- (4) **Wrongful Death (42 U.S.C. §1983)**
- (5) **Right of Association (42 U.S.C. §1983)**
- (6) **Failure to Properly Train (42 U.S.C. §1983)**
- (7) **Failure to Properly Supervise and Discipline (42 U.S.C. §1983)**
- (8) **Failure to Properly Investigate (42 U.S.C. §1983)**
- (9) **Monell (42 U.S.C. §1983)**
- (10) **Wrongful Death (CCP §377.60)**
- (11) **Negligence**
- (12) **Violation of Cal Civ Code §51 (Unruh Act)**
- (13) **Violation of Cal Civ Code §52.1 (Bane Act)**
- (14) **Violation of 42 U.S.C. §12101 et seq. (ADA)**
- (15) **Violation of 29 U.S.C. §794(a) (Rehabilitation Act)**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

1 COME NOW, the ESTATE OF PAUL SILVA by and through its
2 successors-in-interest LESLIE ALLEN and MANUEL SILVA, MANUEL
3 SILVA, and LESLIE ALLEN, by their attorneys of record, and allege and
4 complain as follows:

5 **I.**
6 **INTRODUCTION**

7 At the time of his death, Paul Silva was 39 years old. He had suffered from
8 schizophrenia his entire adult life. On the morning of February 20, 2018, Paul's
9 mother, Leslie Allen, called the San Diego Police Department's PERT
10 (Psychiatric Emergency Response Team) to request help for Paul. Ms. Allen
11 called the police to assist for a mental health emergency and to invoke Welfare
12 and Institutions Code section 5150. Ms. Allen advised the police of Paul's
13 psychiatric condition. Defendant officer Andrew Murrow claimed that Paul must
14 have used narcotics, despite Ms. Allen's statement that Paul did not use illicit
15 drugs. Defendant Murrow refused to follow policy and brought Paul to the
16 County Jail, rather than to a designated medical facility, as required under the
17 Lanterman-Petris-Short Act. Murrow arrested Paul for being under the influence
18 of a controlled substance despite repeated denials by Ms. Allen that Paul had not
19 taken any drugs.

20 During his intake at the Central Jail, Paul was described as being anxious
21 and hyper-verbal. The following day, on February 21, 2018, deputies saw Paul
22 acting erratically, running in his cell, throwing himself to the ground and yelling
23 incoherently. He was seen staring out the window with mouth wide open,
24 holding his arms out pointing toward the window and walls, crawling and rolling
25 on the floor. Deputies pepper sprayed Paul. Deputies called for a Tactical Team
26 (TT) to remove Paul from his cell. Paul remained non-verbal with bizarre
27 behavior for approximately 22 minutes while deputies observed Paul as they
28 waited for the Tactical Team to arrive. When the Tactical Team arrived, they
shot Paul with water balls. They repeatedly Tasered Paul. Paul was Tasered for

1 at least 22 seconds while six other members of the Tactical Team held him down
2 with a body shield pressing down on his torso. At least six members were on or
3 around his body with a shield placed on top of his torso, with two officers
4 pushing down on the shield. One deputy instructed the other members to use
5 “downward pressure with the shield, get your body weight on it.” These deputies
6 heard Paul yell “no, don’t do it, sir.” Paul’s voice then became faint and
7 unintelligible. Paul became unresponsive. Paul was taken to UCSD Hospital
8 unconscious.

9 Medical examination would later show a collapsed lung. This was caused
10 by the Deputy Sheriffs’ excessive force. According to the Medical Examiner,
11 there were visible injuries of the head including blood on his forehead, eyebrows
12 and nose; laceration with abrasion on the right eyebrow; contusion to the right
13 eye; upper lip edema; puncture wounds to the torso (possibly from the Taser);
14 abrasions to the wrist, knuckles and forearm; puncture wound to the inner thigh,
15 and contusions to the left knee and left thigh.

16 Paul sustained serious and permanent brain damage, neurological injuries,
17 kidney failure and other life-threatening injuries. Paul was in a coma for several
18 weeks before he ultimately succumbed to his injuries. Paul’s lab results were
19 negative for any alcohol, amphetamines, opiates, methadone, barbiturates or
20 cocaine. The Medical Examiner determined that the cause of death was restraint,
21 which caused Paul’s heart to stop. The Medical Examiner determined that the
22 manner of death was homicide.

23 **II.**
GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

24 1. Jurisdiction is proper in the United States District Court for the
25 Southern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 28 U.S.C. §
26 1343(3) and (4), *et. seq.*

1 2. Venue is proper in the Southern District of California because the
2 acts or omissions which form the basis of the Plaintiffs' claims occurred in San
3 Diego, California, within the Southern District.

4 3. At all times relevant to this complaint, decedent Paul Silva was an
5 individual residing in San Diego County, California.

6 4. Leslie Allen, Decedent's mother, and Manuel Silva, Decedent's
7 father, are the successors-in-interest of the Estate of Paul Silva. This action on
8 behalf of the Estate of Paul Silva is brought through Plaintiffs, the mother and
9 father of Paul Silva, as the successors-in-interest.

10 5. Leslie Allen and Manuel Silva have filed declarations with this Court
11 that no proceeding for the administration of the estate is pending and that they are
12 the successors in interest under California law and succeeds to the decedent's
13 interest. There is no other person with a superior right to commence the action.

14 6. Manuel Silva and Leslie Allen bring this action in their own right, as
15 well, for the loss of their son, Paul.

16 7. Plaintiffs have properly complied with the Government Claim Act.
17 Plaintiffs' claim was submitted to the California Government Claims Board on
18 April 3, 2018.

19 8. The County of San Diego rejected Plaintiffs' claim on May 31, 2018.
20 The City of San Diego rejected Plaintiffs' claim on July 9, 2018.

21 9. Defendant City of San Diego is a public entity, duly organized and
22 existing under the laws of the State of California. At all relevant times mentioned
23 herein, the City of San Diego was responsible for the actions and/or inaction, and
24 the policies, procedures and practices/customs of its employees and/or agents.

25 10. Defendant Shelley Zimmerman was, at all relevant times, the Chief
26 of the City of San Diego Police Department and it policy maker. Chief
27 Zimmerman was responsible for the hiring, screening, training, retention,
28

1 supervision, discipline, counseling, and control of all San Diego Police employees
2 and/or agents, and Doe Defendants 1-50.

3 11. At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendant Andrew Murrow
4 was a police officer employed by the City of San Diego and the San Diego Police
5 Department.

6 12. Defendant County of San Diego is a public entity, duly organized
7 and existing under the laws of the State of California. Under its authority,
8 Defendant County of San Diego operates and manages the San Diego Central Jail,
9 and is, and was at all relevant times mentioned herein, responsible for the actions
10 and/or inactions and the policies, procedures and practices/customs of the Central
11 Jail, and its respective employees and/or agents.

12 13. Defendant William Gore was, at all relevant times, the Sheriff of the
13 County of San Diego, the highest position in the San Diego County Sheriff's
14 Department. As Sheriff, Defendant Gore was responsible for the hiring,
15 screening, training, retention, supervision, discipline, counseling, and control of
16 all San Diego County Sheriff's Department custodial employees and/or agents,
17 medical staff and Doe Defendants.

18 14. At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendant William Gore was
19 a policy-maker for the San Diego Sheriff's Department (hereinafter "Sheriff's")
20 and responsible for promulgation of the policies and procedures and allowance of
21 the practices/customs pursuant to which the acts of the Sheriff's Department
22 alleged herein were committed, as well as the supervision and control of officers
23 who are or were employed by the Sheriff's, who are under his command and/or
24 who report to him, including the Defendants to be named.

25 15. Defendants Zimmerman and Gore are sued in their individual
26 capacity for their own personal action or inaction.

27 16. At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendant Alfred Joshua was
28 the Medical Director for the Sheriff's Department. He supervised the medical

1 staff and directed and oversaw the development and implementation of quality
2 assurance and utilization review policies and procedures. All medical and
3 psychiatric doctors worked under the direction of Joshua.

4 17. At all times relevant to this complaint, all individual defendants and
5 Does 51-90 were San Diego sheriff deputies or medical personnel and agents of
6 Defendant County of San Diego.

7 18. San Diego Central Jail is owned and operated by County of San
8 Diego and staffed by County of San Diego Sheriff's deputies.

9 19. At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendant Liberty Healthcare
10 Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, was a vendor of the County and
11 provided, under written contract, psychiatric services to inmates incarcerated in
12 County detention facilities.

13 20. Community Research Foundation, a San Diego corporation, operates
14 PERT (Psychiatric Emergency Response Team).

15 21. The City and County utilize and sanction PERT officers and
16 clinicians trained in responding to psychiatric emergencies as their agents acting
17 under their authority in responding to situations involving mental health issues.

18 22. Plaintiffs are truly ignorant of the true names and capacities of Does
19 1 through 100, inclusive, and/or is truly ignorant of the facts giving rise to their
20 liability and will amend this complaint once their identities have been ascertained
21 as well as the facts giving rise to their liability.

22 23. These defendants were agents, servants and employees of each other
23 of the other named defendants and were acting at all times within the full course
24 and scope of their agency and employment, with the full knowledge and consent,
25 either expressed or implied, of their principal and/or employer and each of the
26 other named defendants and each of the defendants had approved or ratified the
27 actions of the other defendants thereby making the currently named defendants
28

1 herein liable for the acts and/or omissions of their agents, servants and/or
2 employees.

3
4 **III.
FACTS**

5 24. Plaintiffs reallege all prior paragraphs of this complaint and
6 incorporate the same herein.

7 25. At the time of his death, Paul Silva was 39 years old.

8 26. Paul had suffered from schizophrenia his entire adult life.

9 27. Paul lived with his father, Manuel Silva. Each morning, Paul would
10 go to his mother Leslie Allen's home to have breakfast and visit with her.

11 28. On February 19, 2018, Paul was acting out and refusing to come
12 home.

13 29. Paul's mother, Leslie Allen, called the San Diego Police
14 Department's PERT (Psychiatric Emergency Response Team) to request help for
15 Paul. Ms. Allen called the police to assist for a mental health emergency (also
16 known as a Welfare and Institutes Code section 5150 psychiatric hold).

17 30. PERT is administered by Community Research Foundation, a San
18 Diego corporation.

19 31. Because it was President's Day, PERT was not available to assist.

20 32. PERT provides emergency assessment and referral for individuals
21 with mental illness. PERT pairs licensed mental health clinicians with uniformed
22 law enforcement officers/deputies. Clinicians work out of individual law
23 enforcement divisions and respond in the field with their law enforcement
24 partners. The PERT team evaluates the situation, assesses the individual's mental
25 health condition and needs, and, if appropriate, transports individuals to a hospital
26 or other treatment center, or refers him/her to a community-based resource or
27 treatment facility.

28

1 33. Ms. Allen had called PERT on previous occasions to assist Paul in
2 calming down. On each of the previous occasions, a PERT officer would speak
3 to Paul calmly, and Paul would comply with all of their requests.

4 34. Because PERT members are trained in dealing with mental illness,
5 Ms. Allen decided to wait until they became available the following day.

6 35. After Ms. Allen called for the PERT team on February 20, 2018, the
7 PERT unit arrived along with a patrol unit. Ms. Allen advised the PERT
8 members of Paul's psychiatric condition.

9 36. The advantage of the PERT team is that while in route to the scene
10 of the call, a clinician can look up any information in the County's electronic
11 health record about the person's previous contact in the mental health system,
12 including diagnosis, medications, current providers, case managers, and
13 information regarding family members. The clinician, as a part of PERT, can
14 start to contact those people to get information, which gives the clinician a head
15 start before arriving at the scene.

16 37. Because Ms. Allen had previously contacted PERT, its team
17 members had full access to Paul Silva's prior history of acting out when off his
18 medication.

19 38. Despite the fact that members of the PERT team were present and
20 dealing with Paul, Defendant Andrew Murrow interfered with their appropriate
21 treatment of Paul Silva.

22 39. Defendant Murrow decided that Paul must have used narcotics
23 despite Ms. Allen's statement that Paul did not use illicit drugs.

24 40. Defendant Murrow was aware that PERT team had successfully
25 dealt with Paul before for his mental illness.

26 41. Defendant Murrow refused to follow policy and brought Paul to the
27 County Jail, rather than a designated medical facility, as required under the
28 Lanterman-Petris-Short Act.

1 42. Defendant Murrow arrested and booked Paul for being under the
2 influence of a controlled substance despite repeated denials by Ms. Allen that
3 Paul had taken any drugs.

4 43. There was no probable cause to believe that Paul had committed a
5 crime.

6 44. Paul was symptomatic of being schizophrenic. Ignoring all evidence
7 of the need to treat Paul's mental condition, Defendant Murrow placed Paul under
8 arrest for a crime Paul did not commit

9 45. The SDPD call logs indicated that PERT was called for a "5150
10 hold," which allows County Mental Health to hold a person for up to 72 hours for
11 mental health concerns under California Penal Code 5150.

12 46. Members of PERT, despite the information in the County system
13 regarding Paul's previous hospitalization and 5150 holds, did nothing to
14 intervene.

15 47. Members of PERT did nothing to take Paul to a hospital or a mental
16 health treatment facility.

17 48. The "vision" of PERT is that "Persons living with mental illness will
18 have access to and be referred to programs at the appropriate level of service and
19 no person will be hospitalized or incarcerated unnecessarily." Its "Mission" is to
20 "contribute to the well-being of individuals with mental illness by actively and
21 compassionately assisting individuals in crisis who come to the attention of law
22 enforcement to access appropriate services and to optimize outcomes through on-
23 scene assessments and referrals." PERT's core values are:

24 A belief that persons with mental illness must be
25 compassionately assessed regarding their unique needs
26 and be referred to assistance that is appropriate to those
27 needs.

28 A belief that law enforcement and mental health workers
have a duty and responsibility to collaboratively work

1 together with persons living with mental illness, their
2 family and support persons, and the community as a
3 whole to ensure that people receive the level of service
4 they require.

5 A belief that on-scene partnership of mental health and
6 law enforcement contributes to the well-being of persons
7 living with mental illness and to the well-being and
8 protection of the community.

9 A belief that outreach efforts to marginalized groups in
10 the community assist persons to meet identified needs
11 and assist communities to recognize and address larger
12 issues related to community health and safety.

13 49. The members of the PERT team adhered to none of the vision,
14 mission, or core values of PERT in the case of Paul Silva.

15 50. During his intake at the Central Jail, Paul was described as anxious
16 and hyper-verbal.

17 51. The intake staff at the Central Jail knew that Paul suffered from
18 schizophrenia.

19 52. The following day, on February 21, 2018, deputies saw Paul acting
20 erratically, running in his cell, throwing himself to the ground and yelling
21 incoherently. He was seen staring out the window with mouth wide open,
22 holding his arms out pointing toward the window and walls, crawling and rolling
23 on the floor.

24 53. Deputies realized that Paul needed medical attention. But they failed
25 to call for psychiatric nursing staff or anyone from the Medical Unit.

26 54. Deputies pepper sprayed Paul.

27 55. Deputies called for Tactical Team (TT) to remove Paul from his cell.

28 56. Paul remained non-verbal, exhibiting bizarre behavior for
approximately 22 minutes while deputies observed Paul as they waited for the
Tactical team to arrive.

1 57. When the Tactical Team arrived, they shot him with water balls.

2 58. They repeatedly Tasered Paul.

3 59. Paul was Tasered for at least 22 seconds while six other members of
4 the Tactical Team held him down with a body shield over his torso.

5 60. At least six members were on or around his body with a shield
6 placed on top of his torso with two officers pushing down on the shield. One
7 deputy instructed the other members to use “downward pressure with the shield,
8 get your body weight on it.”

9 61. These deputies heard Paul yell “no, don’t do it, sir.” Paul’s voice
10 then became faint and unintelligible.

11 62. Paul became unresponsive. Paul was taken to UCSD Hospital
12 unconscious.

13 63. Medical tests would later show a collapsed lung was caused by the
14 Sheriff’s excessive force. According to the Medical Examiner; there were visible
15 injuries of the head including blood on forehead, eyebrows and nose; laceration
16 with abrasion on the right eyebrow; contusion to the right eye; upper lip edema;
17 puncture wounds to the torso possibly from the Taser; abrasions to the wrist,
18 knuckles and forearm; puncture wound to the inner thigh, and contusions to the
19 left knee and left thigh.

20 64. Paul sustained serious and permanent brain damage, neurological
21 injuries, kidney failure and other life-threatening injuries.

22 65. Paul was in a coma for several weeks before he ultimately
23 succumbed to his injuries.

24 66. Paul’s hospital lab results were negative for any alcohol,
25 amphetamines, opiates, methadone, barbiturates or cocaine.

26 67. The Medical Examiner determined that the cause of death was
27 restraint, which caused Paul’s heart to stop, which resulted in Paul’s inability to
28 breathe.

1 68. The Medical Examiner determined that the manner of death was
2 homicide.

3 69. Despite the fact that Paul was visibly symptomatic of schizophrenia,
4 no medical staff tended to Paul.

5 70. During the 36 hours Paul was in jail, he received no medication for
6 his schizophrenia or any other mental health services.

7 71. Despite the fact that the deputies determined that Paul needed
8 medical attention, no medical care provider was consulted or called for diagnosis
9 or treatment.

10 72. All medical staff worked under the direction and supervision of
11 Defendant Joshua, who set the policies and procedures with respect to medical
12 services.

13 73. There had been a systemic failure to adhere to the written policies
14 and procedures with respect to providing adequate health care to inmates in the
15 San Diego County jails.

16 74. There had been a systemic failure in San Diego County to investigate
17 incidents of medical neglect, staff misconduct, excessive force, and deaths in the
18 Jail.

19 75. Deaths of sixty (60) inmates in the San Diego County jails in a span
20 of five (5) years prompted a series of articles by Citybeat, a local newspaper.
21 Citybeat reported that San Diego County had the highest mortality rate among
22 California's largest jail systems based on data from 2007 to 2012.

23 76. Citybeat reported that between 2007 and 2012, San Diego County
24 averaged ten (10) deaths a year, with a high of twelve (12) in 2009 and a low of
25 eight (8) in both 2007 and 2012.

26 77. Citybeat reported in a follow-up article that twelve (12) people died
27 in 2013. In 2014, sixteen (16) county-jail inmates died.

28

1 78. San Diego County officials, including Defendant Gore, were aware
2 of the systemic problems with preventable deaths in the jails, but took no action
3 to prevent further Constitutional violations.

4 79. At the time of Paul Silva's death, there had been a long-standing
5 custom and practice of improper and inadequate investigations; cover-up of
6 misconduct; and failure to discipline and train deputies and medical staff.

7 80. Defendant Joshua was well aware of these problems when he
8 became the medical director. Joshua told reporters that staff would be trained to
9 be more attentive to signs that might indicate mental distress, like the condition of
10 an inmate's cell or whether someone was refusing meals.

11 81. County Defendants were aware of the following examples of failure
12 to coordinate and share critical medical information among personnel, and other
13 widespread problems at the San Diego County jails, such as the following:

14 82. Inmates Jeff Dewall (2008) and Tommy Tucker (2009) died at the
15 hands of jail deputies due to oxygen deprivation when guards attempted to
16 restrain them. In the case of Tommy Tucker (who suffered from serious
17 psychiatric conditions), deputies who were involved in the use of force sat
18 together in the supervisor's office at the Central Jail and discussed what happened
19 before writing a report. The deputy statements were inconsistent with the video
20 of the event and the physical evidence. Upon information and belief, none of the
21 deputies were reprimanded. The Citizens' Law Enforcement Review Board
22 ("CLERB") did not investigate Tommy Tucker's death.

23 83. Between 2007 and 2012, there were eight deaths in San Diego's jails
24 that were drug-related. They were either overdoses or physical complications due
25 to withdrawal. Richard Diaz, a 40-year-old addict, died from a stomach
26 obstruction after three days of seizures and vomiting due to heroin withdrawal.

27 84. In 2008, after the suicide of Adrian Correa, a 21-year-old paranoid
28 schizophrenic who had threatened to kill himself multiple times, CLERB

1 expressed concern about a breakdown in communication during shift changes: “A
2 checklist that includes the status of at-risk inmates and the Department’s response
3 plan would enhance continuity of care, monitoring and housing.”

4 85. In response to CLERB, Earl Goldstein, the Sheriff’s medical director
5 at that time, rejected the recommendation, saying that the jail’s suicide rate was
6 low—only four suicides total during the 2007-2008 and 2008-2009 fiscal years
7 (July 1, 2007, through June 30, 2009). There were actually six suicides during
8 that period. Goldstein wrote: “Based on . . . the low incidences of completed
9 suicides in our facilities, it is not practical to add these systems to the current
10 program.”

11 86. In a March 2011 letter to the sheriff, the CLERB expressed concern
12 that the department did not have formal policies regarding when it would alert
13 CLERB of an inmate’s death, despite the County Code’s endowing the board with
14 clear oversight responsibilities. Per state law, CLERB is allowed one year to
15 initiate an investigation. There were cases in 2009 and 2010 that the Board didn’t
16 find out about in time in order to timely begin an investigation. CLERB identified
17 five areas in which it wanted to be included in the notification process; the Sheriff
18 declined to initiate all of them.

19 87. “We strive to respond with professionalism and a spirit of
20 cooperation to recommendations for improvement to the policies and
21 procedures,” Sheriff’s Department Executive Manager John Madigan wrote in
22 response. “CLERB has significantly contributed to the enhancement [of] these
23 important documents and we appreciate the Board’s insight.” But, he concluded:
24 “After due consideration, Sheriff Gore respectfully declines to modify the policies
25 and procedures as suggested by CLERB.”

26 88. On June 25, 2011, Daniel Sisson died from an acute asthma attack
27 made worse by drug withdrawal. The San Diego County Medical Examiner
28 estimated in an autopsy report that Sisson had been dead for several hours when a

1 fellow inmate found him. The jail staff had failed to monitor him despite his
2 exhibiting signs of withdrawal and his vomiting in his cell.

3 89. In September 2012, Bernard Victorianne suffered for five days from
4 drug overdose because the staff ignored his medical information that he had
5 ingested a baggie of methamphetamine, and that he was to return to the hospital
6 immediately if he became symptomatic of overdose. Bernard Victorianne was
7 placed in segregation instead of Medical, where he was found dead face-down,
8 naked in his cell.

9 90. In 2014, Hector Lleras told jail staff that he was suicidal. He was
10 placed in a safety cell for a day. Twenty-four hours after he was released from a
11 safety cell, he hanged himself.

12 91. In 2014, Christopher Carroll, who was mentally ill, was placed in
13 segregation. He was found dead with a noose around his neck. Mr. Carroll had
14 smeared blood on the wall of his cell. He had urinated on the floor and food and
15 feces were stuck to the ceiling.

16 92. In 2014, Kristopher NeSmith committed suicide after the jail staff
17 failed to treat Mr. NeSmith for his significant and known mental illness and a
18 history of suicide attempts. When Mr. NeSmith was last seen alive about 10:00
19 p.m., a guard noticed a bedsheet fashioned into a rope as he was making a routine
20 safety throughout the detention center. The deputy, without breaking stride, said
21 something to the effect of, "Nesmith, what are you trying to do? Kill yourself?
22 Take that thing down." No other jail staff took any further action. Mr. NeSmith
23 was found dead, having hung himself.

24 93. In 2015, Ruben Nunez, a schizophrenic mental health patient
25 transferred from Patton State Hospital, died when jail doctors failed to treat a
26 potentially lethal condition for water intoxication and the jail staff left Mr. Nunez
27 in the cell in his own vomit and urine.

28

1 94. These are just a few examples of the customs and/or policies of the
2 Sheriff's Department which sent the message to staff that negligence, dishonesty
3 and improprieties will be tolerated by the Department, even when a death results.

4 95. The County's investigative body, CLERB, which has the
5 responsibility to investigate all in-custody deaths, had just three paid employees:
6 an executive officer, an investigator, and an administrative assistant.

7 96. CLERB consists of eleven volunteers, who are not required to have
8 previous special training or experience in investigations or any other relevant
9 topics related to jail operations, Constitutional requirements, or law.

10 97. CLERB members are appointed by the County Board of Supervisors.

11 98. CLERB does not control its budget. It cannot hire investigative staff
12 itself, even when required to complete its work.

13 99. While CLERB has the authority to annually inspect county adult
14 detention facilities and annually file a report of such visitations together with
15 pertinent recommendations on issues including detention, care, custody, training
16 and treatment of inmates, CLERB has never inspected a single jail facility in the
17 25 years of its existence.

18 100. By October of 2017, CLERB had 59 open in-custody death
19 investigations, including a death going back six years.

20 101. On November 11, 2017, CLERB announced that it was summarily
21 dismissing 22 death cases without review. CLERB dismissed these cases based
22 on a one-year time limitation for imposing officer discipline for misconduct. This
23 is despite the fact that CLERB has publicly stated that "death cases and other
24 complex investigations often take more than one year to complete."

25 102. The County failed to invest available state funding for mental health
26 services, including over \$100 million of Mental Health Services Act (MHSA)
27 funding in 2017, with an additional \$42 million in reserves.

28

1 103. In June of 2016, a Grand Jury documented the County's under-
2 utilization of MHSA monies. The Grand Jury recommended that the County
3 "appropriate a larger percentage of MHSA funds each year in order to improve
4 services to a larger number of seriously mentally ill and at-risk county residents."

5 104. At the time of Paul Silva's death in 2018, the County had failed the
6 implement the recommended changes from the Grand Jury.

7 105. In 2018, Disability Rights California (DRC), the largest disability
8 rights group in the United States, issued the findings from a study of San Diego
9 County Jails, which reviewed suicide deaths from December 2014 to 2016.

10 106. According to DRC, its experts identified several deficiencies in San
11 Diego County's clinical referral and evaluation practices. These experts also
12 found that San Diego County Jail inmates do not receive an adequate
13 individualized mental health treatment plan, a violation of state law.

14 107. DRC experts found that San Diego County has lacked an effective
15 system for custodial staff, mental health staff, and other health care staff to
16 communicate about an inmate's decompensating condition, potential risk of
17 suicide or self-harm, and mental health treatment needs.

18 108. DRC reported:

19 Our investigation found that there are a large number of
20 San Diego County Jail inmates with significant mental
21 health needs. With few exceptions, enhanced mental
22 health treatment programming is provided only to those
23 with critically acute needs. In many cases, inmates
24 remain in harsh, non-therapeutic settings without
25 adequate treatment until their condition deteriorates.
26 Only when they reach the point of engaging in acts of
27 self-harm or having an acute breakdown do they receive
28 an enhanced level of care. Such a system is cruel and
counterproductive, and does not meet constitutional and
legal requirements.

1 109. DRC experts found problematic the number of inmates in mental
2 health crisis who are not referred for placement in the PSU (Psychiatric Security
3 Unit), where the patient can be monitored. DRC reported that there are large
4 numbers of inmates cycling in and out of Safety Cells, many remaining in those
5 cells for extended periods of time. But Safety Cells are harsh, barren, and
6 isolating. They are not designed to facilitate clinical evaluation or treatment.

7 110. DRC reported that in its investigation, a major theme that emerged
8 was that inmates do not have timely access to adequate mental health care,
9 including counseling, psychiatric medications, and other treatment programming.

10 111. DRC found that access to mental health treatment remains extremely
11 limited outside the inpatient PSUs. It generally consists of medication
12 management and brief, non-confidential “check-ins” with mental health staff,
13 often through a cell door. Non-confidential clinical contacts undermine treatment,
14 as prisoners are often reluctant to disclose sensitive information about their
15 mental health history or current situation. What is more, effective communication
16 through the thick metal cell doors is extremely difficult – people must speak very
17 loudly to be heard at all.

18 112. The DRC experts found a significant number of failures on the part
19 of San Diego County Jails from intake of inmates, housing placements,
20 communication between custodial staff and mental health staff, monitoring of the
21 mentally ill, to coordination of care. The experts found that San Diego County
22 has no functioning quality improvement program to improve health care by
23 identifying problems, and by implementing and monitoring corrective actions.

24 113. Paul Silva was a disabled individual suffering from a mental
25 impairment that substantially limited one or more major life activities. Paul Silva
26 was a “qualified individual with a disability” for purposes of the Americans with
27 Disabilities Act and the Rehabilitation Act.

28

1 114. The City of San Diego and the County of San Diego are “public
2 entities” for purposes of the Americans with Disabilities Act and the
3 Rehabilitation Act.

4 115. It was well documented that Paul Silva was diagnosed with
5 schizophrenia and he was unable to care for himself.

6 116. Defendants denied Paul Silva benefits of the services, programs or
7 activities of the City of San Diego and the San Diego County Jail because of his
8 disability and subjected him to discrimination.

9 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

10 **(Arrest without Probable Cause (42 U.S.C. §1983))**

11 **[By the Estate of Paul Silva Against Defendant Murrow and Does 1-60]**

12 117. Plaintiffs reallege all prior paragraphs of this complaint and
13 incorporate the same herein by this reference.

14 118. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 provides in part:

15 Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance,
16 regulation, custom, or usage of any State or Territory
17 subjects, or causes to be subjected, any person of the
18 United States or other person within the jurisdiction
19 thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or
20 immunities secured by the Constitution and laws shall be
21 liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit at
22 equity or other proper proceeding for redress.

23 119. Paul Silva had a firmly established right under the Fourth
24 Amendment to be free from arrest without probable cause. Defendant Murrow
25 arrested Paul without probable cause despite the fact that he had committed no
26 crime.

27 120. Defendant Murrow was performing his duties as an officer for
28 Defendant City of San Diego.

121. There was no basis to believe that Paul had committed a crime.

1 122. All indications were that Paul was acting out as a result of his failure
2 to take his medication.

3 123. Murrow was specifically informed by Leslie Allen that Paul did not
4 take street drugs; that Paul was schizophrenic; that Paul's conduct and demeanor
5 were the result of schizophrenia, not drug consumption.

6 124. Murrow was specifically informed by Leslie Allen that Paul's family
7 had previously contacted PERT team members who had successfully dealt with
8 Paul's schizophrenia.

9 125. PERT team members were at the scene dealing with Paul, who was
10 already calming down and speaking with PERT members.

11 126. Murrow had no reason and no legal basis to arrest Paul Silva.

12 127. Despite having access to the County's record system with respect to
13 Paul Silva's prior contact with PERT and County Mental Health, PERT team
14 members did nothing to intercede. These PERT Does were well aware that Paul's
15 behavior was symptomatic of Paul being off of his psychotropic medication.
16 They were aware that Paul's behavior was not symptomatic of illicit drug use.

17 128. Having the opportunity to intercede, these PERT Doe Defendants
18 failed to do so and allowed a schizophrenic patient to be unnecessarily and
19 unlawfully incarcerated.

20 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

21 **(Deliberate Indifference to Serious Medical Needs (42 U.S.C. §1983))**
22 **[By the Estate of Paul Silva Against Defendants Murrow, Joshua, Liberty**
23 **Health Care and Does 1-100]**

24 129. Plaintiffs reallege all prior paragraphs of this complaint and
25 incorporate the same herein by this reference.

26 130. Defendants violated Paul Silva's Fourteenth Amendment right to
27 medical care.

28

1 131. Defendants knew that Paul Silva faced a serious medical and mental
2 health need.

3 132. Defendant Murrow was made aware that Paul suffered from
4 schizophrenia and that he needed to go to a proper mental health facility to
5 receive medical care.

6 133. Instead of allowing PERT members to assess Paul's mental
7 condition and take Paul to receive medical care, Murrow instead took him to the
8 Central Jail for a crime Paul did not commit.

9 134. Doe Defendants who were PERT members knew that Paul was in
10 need of care for his mental health condition. Instead of taking him to County
11 Mental Health as requested by Ms. Allen, they allowed Defendant Murrow to
12 arrest Paul and deny him medical care.

13 135. Doe PERT team members failed to assess and treat Paul's mental
14 condition despite their knowledge that Paul suffered from schizophrenia.

15 136. Murrow and Does failed to adequately communicate to the Jail Staff
16 that Paul suffered from schizophrenia and that Paul required mental health care.

17 137. Once at the Central Jail, the jail medical staff failed to take proper
18 intake to assess whether Paul required mental health care.

19 138. These defendants failed to house Paul in an area where he could be
20 observed and monitored.

21 139. Defendants were deliberately indifferent to a known and serious
22 medical need.

23 140. Defendants failed to properly communicate to other medical and
24 security staff the necessary medical information so that Paul would receive
25 medical attention.

26 141. Defendants were deliberately indifferent to Paul Silva's serious
27 medical need, which caused harm to the decedent.

28

1 142. Defendant Joshua was deliberately indifferent to Paul Silva's serious
2 medical need by failing to properly set forth policies and procedures for proper
3 care of inmates in medical distress.

4 143. Joshua knew that a significant number of inmates booked in Central
5 Jail suffered from serious mental health conditions.

6 144. Defendants Liberty Healthcare and Joshua knew that the policies
7 they had implemented with respect to medical care of inmates suffering from
8 mental health conditions were grossly inadequate. Defendants were aware of the
9 disproportionately high number of deaths in San Diego County Jails.

10 145. Defendant Joshua knew that the jail staff were failing to read the
11 patients' medical charts or that they were ignoring the information contained in
12 the medical records. Joshua was deliberately indifferent in failing to implement
13 policies and providing oversight to ensure that their subordinates were complying
14 with the constitutional requirements in treating patient/inmates.

15 146. Defendants Liberty Health Care and Joshua acted with deliberate
16 indifference in failing to implement policies with respect to evaluation and
17 treatment of inmates suffering from mental health conditions.

18 147. Defendants Does 51-100 acted under the direction and supervision of
19 Defendants Liberty Health Care and Joshua who set forth the standards, policies
20 and procedures on treatment of inmates, including Paul Silva.

21 148. Pursuant to the policies and procedures set by Defendants Liberty
22 Healthcare and Joshua, Paul Silva received no medical care despite obvious signs
23 that he was suffering from schizophrenia.

24 149. By failing to set forth procedures on proper care of inmates,
25 including mandates that inmates who suffer from schizophrenia be observed in
26 Medical; that they be monitored regularly by a medical doctor; that the inmate be
27 transported to a hospital when exhibiting obvious signs of schizophrenia,
28

1 Defendants Liberty Healthcare and Joshua were deliberately indifferent to Paul
2 Silva's serious medical need.

3 150. As a direct and proximate result of all Defendants' deliberate
4 indifference to Paul's serious medical need, Paul Silva experienced physical pain,
5 severe emotional distress, and mental anguish for days, as well as loss of his life
6 and other damages alleged herein.

7 151. The conduct alleged herein caused Paul Silva to be deprived of his
8 civil rights that are protected under the United States Constitution which has also
9 legally, proximately, foreseeably and actually caused Paul Silva to suffer
10 emotional distress, pain and suffering and further damages according to proof at
11 the time of trial.

12 152. The conduct alleged herein was done in deliberate or reckless
13 disregard of decedent's constitutionally protected rights; justifying the award of
14 exemplary damages against defendants in an amount according to proof at the
15 time of trial in order to deter the defendants from engaging in similar conduct and
16 to make an example by way of monetary punishment. Plaintiff is also entitled to
17 attorney fees and costs of suit herein.

18 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**
19 **(Excessive Force (42 U.S.C. §1983))**

20 **[By the Estate of Paul Silva against Defendants Does 51-100]**

21 153. Plaintiffs reallege all prior paragraphs of this complaint and
22 incorporate the same herein by this reference.

23 154. Defendants committed wrongful acts which proximately caused the
24 death of Paul Silva.

25 155. During his intake at the Central Jail, Paul was described as anxious
26 and hyper-verbal. The Jail staff were aware that Paul suffered from
27 schizophrenia.

28

1 156. The following day, on February 21, 2018, deputies saw Paul acting
2 erratically, running in his cell, throwing himself to the ground and yelling
3 incoherently. He was seen staring out the window with mouth wide open,
4 holding his arms out pointing toward the window and walls, crawling and rolling
5 on the floor.

6 157. Doe Deputies knew that Paul needed medical attention.

7 158. Instead of calling for psychiatric assistance, Doe Deputies used force
8 to subdue Paul.

9 159. Paul had not harmed anyone or threatened to harm anyone.

10 160. Doe Deputies pepper sprayed Paul.

11 161. Deputies called for Tactical Team (TT) to remove Paul from his cell.

12 162. Paul remained non-verbal, exhibiting bizarre behavior for
13 approximately 22 minutes while deputies observed Paul as they waited for the
14 Tactical Team to arrive.

15 163. When the Tactical Team arrived, they shot Paul with water balls.

16 164. They repeatedly Tasered Paul. Paul was Tasered for at least 22
17 seconds while six other members of the Tactical Team held him down with a
18 body shield over his torso.

19 165. At least six members were on or around his body with a shield
20 placed on top of his torso with two officers pushing down on the shield.

21 166. One deputy instructed the other members to use “downward pressure
22 with the shield, get your body weight on it.”

23 167. These deputies heard Paul yell “no, don’t do it, sir.” Despite hearing
24 his pleas, these Doe defendants continued to use force on Paul Silva, who was
25 prone and helpless on the ground.

26 168. Paul’s voice became faint and unintelligible.

27 169. Paul became unresponsive.

28

1 170. Paul was taken to UCSD Hospital unconscious, where he died from
2 the injuries inflicted by Doe Sheriff Deputies.

3 171. The acts of these Doe defendants as described above amounted to
4 deliberate indifference to decedent's Constitutional Rights.

5 172. As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful acts, excessive
6 force, unlawful seizure and recklessness described above, plaintiffs' decedent
7 Paul Silva suffered severe injuries and loss of his life. His estate is entitled to
8 general and compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

9
10 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**
11 **(Wrongful Death (42 U.S.C. §1983))**

12 **[By the Estate of Paul Silva against Defendants Murrow, Liberty Health Care
and Does 1-100]**

13 173. Plaintiffs reallege all prior paragraphs of this complaint and
14 incorporate the same herein by this reference.

15 174. Defendants committed wrongful acts which proximately caused the
16 death of Paul Silva. Defendants were deliberately indifferent to Paul Silva's
17 serious medical needs, health and safety; they violated Paul Silva's civil rights;
18 they falsely arrested him and used excessive and unnecessary force, all causing
19 the untimely and wrongful death of Paul Silva.

20 175. Defendants Murrow and Does 1-100 saw Paul Silva in medical
21 distress but failed to render aid, call for a doctor, or transport him to the hospital.

22 176. Defendants deprived Paul Silva of his rights under the Fourteenth
23 Amendment to the United States Constitution.

24 177. These wrongful acts were done with a deliberate indifference to the
25 safety and welfare of Paul Silva.

26 178. The conduct alleged herein violated Paul Silva's rights alleged above
27 thereby resulting in a deprivation of Plaintiffs' rights alleged above which has
28 legally, proximately, foreseeably and actually caused Plaintiff to suffer emotional

1 distress, pain and suffering, and further general and special damages according to
2 proof at the time of trial.

3
4 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**
5 **(Right of Association (42 U.S.C. §1983))**

6 **[By Plaintiffs Manuel Silva and Leslie Allen against Defendant Murrow and**
7 **Does 1-100]**

8 179. Plaintiffs reallege all prior paragraphs of this complaint and
9 incorporate the same herein by this reference.

10 180. Defendants deprived Paul Silva of his rights under the United States
11 Constitution to be free denial of medical care and denial of due process.

12 181. The aforementioned acts and/or omissions of Defendants in being
13 deliberatively indifferent to serious medical needs, health and safety; violating
14 Paul Silva's civil rights; falsely arresting him and using excessive and
15 unnecessary force caused the untimely and wrongful death of Paul Silva, and
16 deprived Plaintiffs Manuel Silva and Leslie Allen of their liberty interest in the
17 parent-child relationship in violation of their substantive due process rights as
18 defined by the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States
19 Constitution.

20 182. There was no legitimate penological interest in failing to
21 communicate critical medical information and denying access to medical care to
22 an inmate in obvious medical distress. Defendants' actions shock the conscience.

23 183. The deprivation of the rights alleged above has destroyed the
24 Constitutional rights of Paul Silva's parents, Manuel Silva and Leslie Allen, to
25 the society and companionship of their son which is protected by the substantive
26 due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

27 184. The conduct alleged herein violated Paul Silva's rights alleged above
28 thereby resulting in a deprivation of Plaintiffs' rights alleged above which has
legally, proximately, foreseeably and actually caused Plaintiffs to suffer

1 emotional distress, pain and suffering, and further damages according to proof at
2 the time of trial.

3 **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

4 **(Failure to Properly Train (42 U.S.C. § 1983))**

5 **[By the Estate of Paul Silva against the City of San Diego, Zimmerman,**
6 **County of San Diego, Gore, Community Research Foundation, Joshua,**
7 **Liberty Healthcare, and Supervisory Doe Defendants 1-100]**

8 185. Plaintiff realleges all prior paragraphs of this complaint and
9 incorporates the same herein by this reference.

10 186. Defendants City of San Diego, Zimmerman, Community Research
11 Foundation and Doe supervisors failed to properly train defendant Murrow and
12 Doe officers in the performance of their duties. They failed to properly train
13 officers on how to deal with a call made to PERT for assistance with the mentally
14 ill.

15 187. They failed to properly train officers on arresting and charging the
16 mentally ill citizens with crimes based on no evidence of a crime. They failed to
17 properly train their officers on arrests without probable cause.

18 188. Defendant Community Research Foundation failed to train its
19 officers and medical staff on how to properly deal with citizens in mental health
20 crisis. It failed to properly train its subordinates or staff or officers on how to
21 distinguish mental illness from signs of substance abuse. It failed to properly
22 train its subordinates, staff or officers on how to deal with other law enforcement
23 officials to ensure that the citizen is given mental health care instead of
24 incarceration.

25 189. Defendants City of San Diego, Zimmerman and Doe supervisors
26 failed to properly train their employees with regard to the need to communicate
27 critical medical information to jails that would house their patients.
28

1 190. Officials of the San Diego Sheriff's Department, acting under color
2 of law, have subjected decedent Paul Silva and other persons similarly situated to
3 a pattern of conduct consisting of continuing, widespread and persistent pattern of
4 unconstitutional misconduct.

5 191. Defendants Gore, Joshua, Liberty Healthcare and Does 31-40 have
6 failed to maintain adequate and proper training necessary to educate deputies and
7 medical staff as to the Constitutional rights of inmates; to prevent the consistent
8 and systematic failure to provide medical care.

9 192. There has been an official policy of acquiescence in the wrongful
10 conduct. Defendants failed to promulgate corrective policies and regulations in
11 the face of repeated Constitutional violations.

12 193. Gore, Joshua and Liberty Healthcare failed to train medical and
13 psychiatric doctors and nurses on the necessary care of inmates suffering from
14 serious medical conditions, and they failed to implement policies and procedures
15 with respect to proper training.

16 194. Gore, Joshua and Liberty Healthcare failed to train medical and
17 psychiatric doctors and nurses on documenting and reading critical information
18 on medical charts to ensure continuity of care.

19 195. Defendants County of San Diego, Gore, Joshua, Liberty Healthcare
20 and Doe supervisors, with deliberate indifference, disregarded a duty to protect
21 the public from official misconduct.

22 196. Despite their knowledge of previous instances of wrongful deaths in
23 the jails, Defendants failed to properly train or retrain their deputies and medical
24 staff to prevent deaths of inmates.

25 197. The failure of all supervisory defendants to promulgate or maintain
26 constitutionally adequate training was done with deliberate indifference to the
27 rights of Paul Silva and others in his position.

28

1 198. As a result, decedent Paul Silva suffered physical and psychological
2 injuries and death.

3 199. As a direct consequence of the failure of Defendants to properly
4 train their officers and medical staff, Paul Silva suffered unconstitutional
5 treatment and inhumane conditions during his detention.

6 200. As a result of the Defendants' historical failure to properly train,
7 Defendants were deliberately indifferent to the needs of Plaintiff.

8 **SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

9 **(Failure to Properly Supervise and Discipline (42 U.S.C. §1983))**

10 **[By the Estate of Paul Silva against the City of San Diego, Zimmerman,**
11 **Community Research Foundation, the County of San Diego, Gore, Joshua,**
12 **Liberty Healthcare and Supervisory Doe Defendants 1-100]**

13 201. Plaintiff realleges all prior paragraphs of this complaint and
14 incorporates the same herein by this reference.

15 202. Defendants City of San Diego, Zimmerman, Community Research
16 Foundation and Doe supervisors failed to properly supervise and discipline
17 defendant Murrow and Does in the performance of their duties in making false
18 arrests.

19 203. These Defendants failed to properly supervise their employees with
20 regard to the need to communicate critical medical information to jails that would
21 house their patient/arrestee.

22 204. As a result of the Defendants' historical failure to properly supervise
23 and discipline their employees, Defendant Murrow and Does were deliberately
24 indifferent to the serious medical needs of Plaintiff.

25 205. All defendants failed to supervise their subordinates so that
26 persons living with mental illness will have access to and be referred to programs
27 at the appropriate level of service and no person will be hospitalized or
28 incarcerated unnecessarily. All defendants failed to supervise their subordinates
so that they contribute to the well-being of individuals with mental illness by

1 actively and compassionately assisting individuals in crisis who come to the
2 attention of law enforcement to access appropriate services and to optimize
3 outcomes through on-scene assessments and referrals.

4 206. All Defendants failed to properly supervise their subordinates with
5 regard to the need to communicate critical medical information and the need to
6 provide adequate care. As a result, police officers, PERT members, deputies and
7 medical care providers denied care to Paul Silva.

8 207. Defendants County of San Diego, Gore, Joshua, Liberty Healthcare
9 and Doe supervisors failed to provide adequate supervision and discipline to the
10 medical staff who are required to render medical care that meet the standards of
11 the Constitution.

12 208. Defendants County of San Diego, Gore, Joshua, Liberty Healthcare
13 and Doe supervisors failed to promulgate and enforce adequate policies and
14 procedures related to misconduct and the violation of citizens' civil rights by
15 deputies and medical staff.

16 209. Defendants County of San Diego, Gore and Joshua have a
17 widespread history of ratifying employee misconduct by failing to conduct
18 appropriate investigations.

19 210. Defendants were aware of previous instances of untimely and
20 wrongful deaths in the San Diego County Jails and failed to properly supervise
21 and discipline their employees or agents.

22 211. Defendants County of San Diego, Gore, Joshua, Liberty Healthcare
23 and Doe supervisors refused to investigate misconduct and/or took no remedial
24 steps or action against deputies and medical staff.

25 212. Upon information and belief, supervising officers were made aware
26 of the misconduct or witnessed the Constitutional violations committed by the
27 deputies and medical staff but failed to supervise or discipline them.

28

1 220. Upon information and belief, Community Research Foundation
2 maintained a *de facto* policy of failing to adequately investigate instances of
3 Constitutional violations, including wrongful arrests, and failure to intercede by
4 its subordinates or employees.

5 221. Upon information and belief, all Defendants maintained a *de facto*
6 policy of not obtaining accurate and timely reports from witnesses and staff
7 alleged to have been involved in misconduct or witnessed misconduct.

8 222. Upon information and belief, County of San Diego Defendants
9 maintained a *de facto* policy of allowing homicide investigators to intimidate
10 witnesses; to ask leading questions, suggesting the answers; and to summarize the
11 interviews of inmates in their investigation files in a manner that distort the actual
12 recorded statements of witnesses.

13 223. Upon information and belief, County of San Diego, Gore, Joshua,
14 Liberty Healthcare and Doe supervisors gave families of inmates limited
15 information regarding the deaths of their loved ones. On one occasion, they
16 waited 1½ years to provide information on how an inmate died. In another case,
17 the family found out the facts of their son's death from reading reports of CLERB
18 made publicly available.

19 224. Defendants County of San Diego, Gore, Joshua, Liberty Healthcare
20 and Doe supervisors historically and systematically engaged in a pattern of failure
21 to properly investigate misconduct of deputies and medical staff.

22 225. County of San Diego Defendants maintained a *de facto* policy of
23 failing to investigate in-custody deaths by CLERB.

24 226. County of San Diego Defendants maintained a *de facto* policy of
25 failing to notify CLERB of in-custody deaths.

26 227. County of San Diego Defendants maintained a *de facto* policy of
27 failing to adequately fund CLERB; properly staff CLERB; to properly train
28

1 CLERB on how to conduct proper investigations; and to allow summary
2 dismissal of in-custody deaths without any investigation.

3 228. The longstanding pattern of failing to properly investigate staff
4 misconduct led to the actions or inactions of the deputies and medical staff who
5 denied medical care to Paul Silva. Defendants' pattern of failing to investigate
6 created a culture of unconstitutional acts and acts that violate the Jail's own
7 policies and procedures.

8 229. Defendant Gore was personally aware of these failures but took no
9 action to prevent harm to inmates, including Paul Silva.

10 230. Defendants Joshua and Liberty Healthcare failed to properly
11 investigate the misconduct of the medical staff despite a history of medical
12 neglect and preventable deaths in the San Diego jails.

13 231. The individual defendants in this case knew that their actions would
14 not be investigated and that they would not be disciplined for their actions.

15 232. The systemic failures by all defendants to properly investigate led to
16 the misconduct of the police officers, deputies and medical staff in this case.

17 233. As a result of all Defendants' historical failure to properly
18 investigate, Defendants were deliberately indifferent to the needs of Plaintiff Paul
19 Silva. The failure to investigate was the moving force behind the denial of
20 medical care, and cruel and unusual punishment on the decedent Paul Silva and
21 the resulting pain and suffering and death.

22 **NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

23 **(*Monell* Municipal Liability Civil Rights Action (42 U.S.C. §1983))**

24 **[By all Plaintiffs Against Defendants the City of San Diego and the County of
25 San Diego]**

26 234. Plaintiffs reallege all prior paragraphs of this complaint and
27 incorporate the same herein by this reference.

28

1 235. Defendant City of San Diego maintained an unconstitutional policy,
2 ordinance or regulation which allowed their officers to falsely arrest citizens,
3 including those who need medical help.

4 236. Defendant City of San Diego maintained an unconstitutional policy,
5 ordinance or regulation which allowed their officers to deny medical care to the
6 mentally ill.

7 237. During the relevant period, all Defendant police officers and Does 1-
8 50 were acting pursuant to the policies of Defendant City of San Diego.

9 238. There was a custom and practice of not properly funding and
10 utilizing PERT. There was a custom and practice of not properly training PERT
11 staff.

12 239. Defendants, the City of San Diego and the County of San Diego,
13 failed to set forth any policies or conduct any self-evaluation of procedures and
14 training under the Americans with Disability Act and the Rehabilitation Act for
15 its personnel about how to handle encounters with persons who have mental
16 illness or another disability.

17 240. Defendants, the City of San Diego and the County of San Diego,
18 maintained a *de facto* policy of permitting unconstitutional and lawless conduct
19 by their employees.

20 241. Defendant County of San Diego maintained an unconstitutional
21 policy, ordinance or regulation which allowed their deputies and medical staff to
22 deny medical care to inmates.

23 242. There were longstanding and systemic deficiencies in San Diego
24 jails' treatment to inmates. Deficiencies included improper cell checks,
25 inadequate medical staffing, lack of required training on screening, diagnosis and
26 treatment of medical and psychiatric conditions, lack of communication of
27 necessary and critical medical information among staff, and non-compliant
28 medical policies and procedures.

1 243. These deficiencies included allowing the use of unlawful and
2 unnecessary force and failing to investigate and discipline deputies for the use of
3 such force. There was a custom and practice of resorting to use of force on
4 mentally ill patient/inmates who needed psychiatric help, not use of force.

5 244. The County's failure to train its deputies and medical staff on
6 treatment of inmates in medical distress gives inference of a municipal custom
7 that authorized or condoned deputy misconduct.

8 245. Upon information and belief, the permanent, widespread, well-
9 settled practice or custom of Defendant was to deny treatment to inmates in
10 serious medical distress and to place inmates in administrative segregation or
11 general population instead of the medical ward when inmates are in need of
12 medical care.

13 246. There was a custom and practice of disbelieving complaints of
14 inmates when they request medical attention and denying them access to medical
15 care.

16 247. There was a custom and practice of not properly screening inmates
17 for medical care or treatment.

18 248. There was a custom and practice of failing to communicate the
19 medical needs of inmates between the medical staff and deputies.

20 249. There was a custom and practice of not properly checking on the
21 welfare of inmates, even those inmates known to have serious physical or
22 psychiatric needs.

23 250. There was a custom and practice of failing to conduct proper cell
24 checks as required by County's own written policies.

25 251. There was a custom and practice of not properly investigating
26 misconduct of deputies and medical staff.

27
28

1 252. There was a custom and practice of falsifying information during
2 investigations of misconduct and misleading the investigations by the
3 independent citizens' review board.

4 253. There were longstanding and systemic deficiencies in San Diego
5 County of failing to investigate in-custody deaths by Homicide Division of San
6 Diego County and by CLERB.

7 254. Defendant County of San Diego was deliberately indifferent to the
8 widespread unconstitutional acts by its staff and failed to set forth appropriate
9 policies regarding the treatment of inmates.

10 255. During the relevant period, all Defendant deputies, medical staff, and
11 Does 51-100 were acting pursuant to the policies of Defendant County of San
12 Diego.

13 256. Death of sixty (60) inmates in the San Diego County jails in a span
14 of five (5) years prompted a series of articles by a local newspaper. Citybeat
15 reported that San Diego County had the highest mortality rate among California
16 largest jail systems based on data from 2007 to 2012. This rate continued through
17 2013 and 2014.

18 257. This pattern of tragic deaths supports an inference that Defendants
19 are promoting and maintaining a culture of deliberate indifference to human life
20 at the Jail.

21 258. Defendant County of San Diego was deliberately indifferent to the
22 right of the plaintiff and others to be free from, and protected from, harm by the
23 misconduct of its employees.

24 259. The Sheriff Department's longstanding practice or custom was
25 unconstitutional in that it was deliberately indifferent to a substantial risk of
26 serious harm to inmates.

27 260. As a direct result of the practice or custom of the City of San Diego,
28 and the County of San Diego, Defendants, including Doe Defendants, denied

1 medical care, denied transportation to the hospital, failed to place Paul Silva in a
2 medical wing, and used unlawful and excessive force, causing Paul Silva's death.

3 261. The unlawful and illegal conduct of Defendant deprived Paul Silva
4 of the rights, privileges and immunities secured to him by the Constitutions of the
5 United States.

6 262. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result, Plaintiff suffered
7 damages in an amount according to proof at the time of trial.

8
9 **TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**
10 **(Wrongful Death – CCP § 377.60, et seq.)**
11 **[By All Plaintiffs against All Defendants]**

12 263. Plaintiffs reallege all prior paragraphs of this complaint and
13 incorporate the same herein.

14 264. Plaintiffs allege all California state law claims as basis for state law
15 wrongful death cause of action and incorporate later torts by reference.

16 265. Defendants committed wrongful acts which proximately caused the
17 death of Paul Silva. Specifically, Defendants, including Does 1-100, deprived
18 Paul Silva of his rights under the United States Constitution to be free from the
19 punishment without due process and cruel and unusual punishment.

20 266. Defendant Murrow's decision to deviate from the City of San
21 Diego's own protocol as to the treatment of psychiatric patients was a substantial
22 factor in causing Paul's death. It was reasonably foreseeable that failure to notify
23 the Jail of Paul's schizophrenia would lead to the lack of treatment.

24 267. These acts resulted in the death of Paul Silva.

25 268. The City of San Diego, Community Research Foundation, and
26 County of San Diego are responsible for the act of individual and Doe Defendants
27 under the theory of *respondeat superior*.

28 269. The wrongful acts alleged above has destroyed the relationship
between Plaintiffs and Paul Silva and has legally, proximately, foreseeably and

1 actually caused severe emotional damages, including the loss of society,
2 companionship, emotional distress, and further economic and non-economic
3 damages according to proof at the time of trial.

4 **ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**
5 **(Negligence)**
6 **[By All Plaintiffs against All Defendants]**

7 270. Plaintiffs reallege all prior paragraphs of this complaint and
8 incorporates the same herein by this reference.

9 271. Defendants had a duty to Plaintiff to act with ordinary care and
10 prudence so as not to cause harm or injury to another.

11 272. In evaluating, assessing and handling Paul Silva's medical condition,
12 Defendants failed to comply with professional and legal standards.

13 273. Defendants improperly, negligently, wrongfully, and recklessly
14 subjected Paul Silva to arrest for a criminal charge instead of taking him to a
15 mental health care facility.

16 274. Defendants improperly, negligently, wrongfully, and recklessly
17 failed to provide necessary medical documentation and information to San Diego
18 Central Jail regarding Paul's serious medical need.

19 275. Defendants improperly, negligently, wrongfully, and recklessly
20 failed properly document's serious medical and psychiatric condition; failed to
21 communicate to the other jail staff regarding the need to monitor; and failed to
22 provide any medical care for a life-threatening condition.

23 276. Defendants improperly, negligently, wrongfully, and recklessly
24 failed to take any action to monitor Paul Silva despite his obvious symptoms of a
25 serious illness.

26 277. Defendants improperly, negligently, wrongfully, and recklessly
27 failed to render medical care to Paul Silva who was in obvious physical distress
28 and in acute need of psychiatric care.

1 278. Defendants improperly, negligently, wrongfully, and recklessly
2 failed to transport Paul Silva to a psychiatric care facility and instead booked him
3 in jail for a crime Paul did not commit.

4 279. Members of PERT failed to obtain critical psychiatric information or
5 ignored the information in the County electronic system, which alerted or would
6 have alerted them to Paul Silva's psychiatric history, diagnosis, medication, and
7 treatment providers.

8 280. Defendants improperly, negligently, wrongfully, and recklessly
9 failed to take any action to summon help or transport Paul Silva to the hospital
10 despite their knowledge that he needed medical assistance.

11 281. Defendants Zimmerman, Gore, Joshua and Liberty Healthcare
12 improperly, negligently, wrongfully, and recklessly failed to set forth policies
13 regarding medical treatment of inmates suffering from serious mental health
14 conditions, including schizophrenia.

15 282. Defendants Zimmerman, Gore, Community Research Foundation
16 and Joshua improperly, negligently, wrongfully, and recklessly failed to set forth
17 policies regarding proper screening, evaluation, treatment, and transportation of
18 inmates suffering from a serious medical condition.

19 283. Defendants Zimmerman, Gore, and Joshua improperly, negligently,
20 wrongfully, and recklessly failed to conduct any self-evaluation of procedures and
21 training under the Americans with Disability Act and the Rehabilitation Act for
22 its personnel about how to handle encounters with persons who have mental
23 illness or another disability.

24 284. Defendants improperly, negligently, wrongfully, and recklessly
25 failed to conduct any self-evaluation of procedures and training under the
26 Americans with Disability Act and the Rehabilitation Act for its personnel about
27 how to handle protocols for patients who suffer from schizophrenia.

28

1 285. By engaging in the acts alleged herein, Defendants failed to act with
2 ordinary care and breached their duty of care owed to Paul Silva.

3 286. The City of San Diego, Community Research Foundation and the
4 County of San Diego are responsible for the act of individuals and Doe
5 Defendants under the theory of *respondeat superior*.

6 287. Liberty Healthcare is responsible for the act of Doe Defendants
7 under the theory of *respondeat superior*.

8 288. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Defendants, the City of San
9 Diego, Community Research Foundation, the County of San Diego, Gore, Joshua,
10 Liberty Healthcare, and Does maintained policies, practices and procedures that
11 allowed for and encouraged the denial of care which ultimately caused the death
12 of Paul Silva. These policies, practices and procedures include without limitation
13 Defendants' training procedures and practices with respect to supervision of the
14 officers and policies and procedures with regard to providing necessary medical
15 attention.

16 289. By engaging in the acts alleged herein, all defendants failed to act
17 with ordinary care and breached their duty of care owed to plaintiffs.

18 290. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' negligent
19 conduct as herein described, Paul Silva suffered physically and mentally in the
20 amount to be determined at the time of trial.

21 291. As a further proximate result of the Defendants' negligent conduct,
22 Paul Silva died.

23 292. As a further proximate result of the Defendants' negligent conduct,
24 Plaintiffs Manuel Silva and Leslie Allen have lost their son and suffered great
25 emotional and mental harm in the amount to be determined at the time of trial.

26 293. The conduct of the Defendants also amounts to oppression, fraud or
27 malice within the meaning of Civil Code Section 3294 et seq. and punitive
28

1 damages should be assessed against each defendant for the purpose of punishment
2 and for the sake of example.

3 **TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**
4 **(Violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 51)**

5 **[By the Estate of Paul Silva against Murrow, the City of San Diego, County of**
6 **San Diego and Does 1-100]**

7 294. Plaintiffs reallege all prior paragraphs of this complaint and
8 incorporates the same herein by this reference.

9 295. Pursuant to the Unruh Civil Rights Act, all persons within the
10 jurisdiction of this state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race,
11 color, religion, ancestry, national origin, disability, medical condition, genetic
12 information, marital status, sexual orientation, citizenship, primary language, or
13 immigration status are entitled to the full and equal accommodations, advantages,
14 facilities, privileges, or services in all business establishments of every kind
15 whatsoever.

16 296. Defendant Murrow violated the Unruh Act by denying Paul Silva the
17 full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges or services as
18 other citizens who do not suffer from his disability.

19 297. Paul Silva was experiencing a medical emergency and required
20 assistance by medical care professionals. Paul Silva was denied these services on
21 the basis of his disability.

22 298. Paul Silva required the accommodations and services provided to all
23 inmates at intake, which was a proper and accurate assessment of all conditions
24 which may pose a risk of harm. Paul Silva required the accommodations and
25 services of a proper designation for housing. The County of San Diego and Does
26 51-100 denied Paul Silva these services on the basis of his disability.

27 299. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' actions, as alleged
28 herein, Plaintiff was injured as set forth above and is entitled to damages,

1 including compensatory and punitive damages, in an amount to be proven at trial
2 and in excess of the jurisdictional amount required by this Court.

3 300. In conducting himself as alleged herein, Murrow and Does 1 to 50
4 were acting within the course and scope of their employment with Defendant City
5 of San Diego. Thus, the City is responsible for Murrow's and Doe Defendants'
6 actions.

7 301. Does 51 to 100 were acting within the course and scope of their
8 employment with Defendant County of San Diego. Thus, the County is
9 responsible for Doe Defendants' actions.

10 302. In doing the foregoing wrongful acts, Defendants acted in reckless
11 and callous disregard for Plaintiffs' constitutional rights. The wrongful acts, and
12 each of them, were willful, oppressive, fraudulent and malicious, thus warranting
13 the imposition of punitive damages against each individual Defendant in an
14 amount adequate to punish the wrongdoers and deter future misconduct.

15 **THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**
16 **(Violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 52.1)**

17 **[By the Estate of Paul Silva against Murrow, the City of San Diego, the**
18 **County of San Diego and Does 1-100]**

19 303. Plaintiffs reallege all prior paragraphs of this complaint and
20 incorporates the same herein by this reference.

21 304. Defendants interfered by threats, intimidation, or coercion, with the
22 exercise or enjoyment by Paul Silva of rights secured by the Constitution or laws
23 of the United States.

24 305. The Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution,
25 and Article I, section 13 of the California Constitution, guarantee (a) an
26 individual's right to be free from excessive force and (b) parents' rights to the
27 companionship of their child.
28

1 306. Paul Silva had a Constitutional right not to be arrested without
2 probable cause. Murrow and Doe Defendants arrested Paul through the use of
3 intimidation and coercion.

4 307. California Civil Code section 43 confers a right to be secure in one's
5 bodily integrity from assault and excessive force. By engaging in the acts alleged
6 above, Defendants denied those rights to Plaintiff, thus giving rise to claims for
7 damages pursuant to California Civil Code section 52.1.

8 308. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' actions, as alleged
9 herein, Plaintiff was injured as set forth above and is entitled to damages,
10 including compensatory and punitive damages, in an amount to be proven at trial
11 and in excess of the jurisdictional amount required by this Court.

12 309. As Paul Silva's successor-in-interest, the Estate is entitled to claim
13 Paul Silva's pre-death damages.

14 310. In conducting himself as alleged herein, DOES were acting within
15 the course and scope of their employment with Defendants City of San Diego and
16 County of San Diego. Thus, the City and the County are responsible for Doe
17 Defendants' actions.

18 311. In doing the foregoing wrongful acts, Defendants acted in reckless
19 and callous disregard for Plaintiffs' constitutional rights. The wrongful acts, and
20 each of them, were willful, oppressive, fraudulent and malicious, thus warranting
21 the imposition of punitive damages against each individual Defendant in an
22 amount adequate to punish the wrongdoers and deter future misconduct.
23

24 **FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**
25 **(Violation of the Americans With Disability Act of 1990**
26 **42 U.S.C. 12101, et seq.)**

27 **[By the Estate of Paul Silva against the City of San Diego and County of San**
28 **Diego]**

1 312. Plaintiffs reallege all prior paragraphs of this complaint and
2 incorporates the same herein by this reference.

3 313. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12132, “Subject to the provisions of this
4 title, no qualified individual with a disability shall, by reason of such disability,
5 be excluded from participation in or be denied the benefits of the services,
6 programs, or activities of a public entity, or be subjected to discrimination by any
7 such entity.”

8 314. Under Title II of the Americans with Disability Act, public entities
9 are required to make reasonable modifications to avoid discrimination on the
10 basis of disability. The ADA sets an affirmative requirement to act appropriately
11 with respect to prisoners with mental disabilities.

12 315. ADA creates an affirmative duty in some circumstances to provide
13 special, preferred treatment, or “reasonable accommodation.”

14 316. Facially neutral policies may violate the ADA when such policies
15 unduly burden disabled persons, even when such policies are consistently
16 enforced.

17 317. Discrimination includes a defendant's failure to make reasonable
18 accommodations to the needs of a disabled person based on his mental health.
19 These accommodations include training on how to deal with the mentally ill,
20 specialized training of jail staff, heightened level of medical care, and diligent
21 surveillance.

22 318. Defendants failed to make reasonable accommodations to Paul
23 Silva’s medical needs based on his mental health.

24 319. Defendants denied Paul Silva benefits of the services, programs or
25 activities including a transfer to a mental health facility, which is the services,
26 programs or activities they provide.

27 320. The failure to provide critical medical information was a denial of
28 the services program or activity based on his disability.

1 321. Defendant San Diego failed to make reasonable accommodations to
2 Paul Silva's medical needs based on his mental health. Defendants failed to
3 provide any treatment for Paul's schizophrenia. Defendants ignored Paul Silva's
4 signs of obvious medical distress.

5 322. Defendants failed to provide Paul with any access to mental health
6 programs and services and failed to accommodate his mental disabilities.

7 323. There was an outright denial of services when Paul was exhibiting
8 obvious symptoms of medical distress. This demonstrates that Defendants were
9 discriminating against Paul Silva because of his disability.

10 324. Defendants were deliberately indifferent to Paul Silva's serious
11 medical condition. Defendants had actual knowledge of the substantial risk of
12 harm to Paul Silva from his serious diagnosed condition and they responded with
13 deliberate indifference by failing to communicate or document his condition;
14 failing to place him in Medical where he could be watched; and failing to provide
15 him medical care when Paul was in medical distress.

16 325. The regulations promulgated by the Department of Justice to
17 implement Part A of Title II of the ADA require each government entity to
18 conduct a self-evaluation of its programs and services (or the lack thereof) related
19 to persons with disabilities:

20 (a) A public entity shall, within one year of the
21 effective date of this part [that is, by January 26, 1993],
22 evaluate its current services, policies, and practices, and
23 the effects thereof, that do not or may not meet the
24 requirements of this part and, to the extent modification
25 of any such services, policies, and practices is required,
26 the public entity shall proceed to make the necessary
27 modifications.

28 (b) A public entity shall provide an opportunity to
interested persons, including individuals with disabilities
or organizations representing individuals with

1 disabilities, to participate in the self-evaluation process
2 by submitting comments.

3 326. Defendants failed to conduct any self-evaluation of procedures and
4 training for its personnel about how to handle encounters with persons who have
5 mental illness or another disability.

6 327. Defendants failed to conduct any self-evaluation of procedures and
7 training for its personnel about how to handle communication with jails regarding
8 schizophrenia.

9 328. The Estate of Paul Silva is entitled to a declaratory judgment
10 concerning the City of San Diego and the County of San Diego's failure to
11 conduct a self-evaluation plan under the Rehabilitation Act and the Americans
12 with Disabilities Act and injunctive relief, requiring it to modify its programs and
13 services to accommodate persons with disabilities.

14 329. Defendants violated Paul Silva's clearly established rights under the
15 ADA with deliberate indifference.

16 330. The violation of Paul Silva's rights resulted from a municipal policy
17 or custom adopted or maintained with deliberate indifference.

18 331. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' conduct as herein
19 described, Paul Silva suffered in the amount to be determined at the time of trial.

20 332. Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive and declaratory relief.

21 **FIFTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

22 **(Violation of the Rehabilitation Act 29 U.S.C. § 794(a))**

23 **[By the Estate of Paul Silva against the City of San Diego and the County of**
24 **San Diego]**

25 333. Plaintiffs reallege all prior paragraphs of this complaint and
26 incorporates the same herein by this reference.

27 334. The Rehabilitation Act of 1973 ("Section 504") states in pertinent
28 part, provides that "No otherwise qualified individual with a disability in the

1 United States . . . shall, solely by reason of her or his disability, be excluded from
2 the participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination
3 under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance . . .” 29
4 U.S.C. § 794(a).

5 335. Defendants the City of San Diego and the County of San Diego are
6 programs that receive federal financial assistance as defined in 29 U.S.C. §
7 794(b).

8 336. Paul Silva was a "qualified individual with a disability" under the
9 Rehabilitation Act.

10 337. Defendants violated the Rehabilitation Act by failing to make
11 reasonable accommodations to the needs of Paul Silva, a disabled person. It was
12 a reasonable accommodation to transfer a schizophrenic patient to a mental health
13 facility where he could receive necessary services.

14 338. Employees of Defendant City of San Diego were deliberately
15 indifferent to Paul Silva's serious medical condition. They failed to consider
16 obvious symptoms of Paul Silva's mental health condition when they transferred
17 Paul to a jail instead of a hospital.

18 339. Defendant City of San Diego failed to communicate critical medical
19 information to the San Diego Central Jail.

20 340. Instead of providing Paul Silva with adequate medical services and
21 fair treatment, Defendants the City of San Diego and the County of San Diego
22 refused to provide him with medical and psychiatric care as his condition
23 deteriorated.

24 341. Defendant the County of San Diego failed to accommodate Paul
25 Silva with the services and programs available to mental health patients. There
26 were services readily available to Paul Silva, which was a placement in a mental
27 health hospital or a unit within the Central Jail where mental health care was
28

1 available. Defendant the County of San Diego failed to house Paul Silva in the
2 PSU, where Paul could be monitored and medicated.

3 342. Defendants knew of the substantial risk of harm to Paul Silva from
4 his serious, diagnosed condition and they responded with deliberate indifference
5 by failing to communicate or document his condition; failing to place him in
6 Medical where he could be watched; and failing to provide him medical care
7 when Paul was in medical distress.

8 343. Defendants violated the Rehabilitation Act by failing to conduct any
9 self-evaluation of procedures and training for its personnel about how to handle
10 communications with jails regarding patients who have mental illness or another
11 disability.

12 344. Defendants violated the Rehabilitation Act by failing to conduct any
13 self-evaluation of procedures and training for its personnel about how to handle
14 encounters with persons who have mental illness or another disability.

15 345. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' conduct as herein
16 described, Paul Silva suffered in the amount to be determined at the time of trial.

17 346. Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive and declarative relief.
18

19 **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiffs pray as follows:

- 20 1. For general and special damages according to proof at the time of
21 trial;
22 2. For attorneys' fees and costs of suit and interest incurred herein;
23 3. For punitive damages;
24 4. Injunctive and declaratory relief; and
25 5. Any other relief this court deems just and proper.
26
27
28

1 **DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL**

2
3 Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Seventh
4 Amendment to the Constitution, Plaintiffs hereby demand a jury trial of this action.
5

6
7 Respectfully Submitted,

8 **IREDALE AND YOO, APC**

9 Dated: October 2, 2018

s/ Julia Yoo _____

10 JULIA YOO

11 Attorney for Plaintiffs

12 THE ESTATE OF PAUL SILVA by and
13 through its successor-in-interest, MANUEL
14 SILVA, and LESLIE ALLEN
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28