FILED TONI L. HELLON CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT 10/2/2018 11:52:38 AM

BY: ALAN WALKER DEPUTY

Case No. C20184847 HON. BRENDEN J GRIFFIN

Elliot Glicksman

Law Office of Elliot Glicksman, P.L.L.C.

2315 E. Speedway Blvd.

Tucson; Arizona 85719

elliot@glicksmanlaw.com

4 (520) 628-8878

Fax (520) 882-8618

PCN 20896/SBN 006010

6 N

1

3

5

7

8

Noah J. Van Amburg

VAN AMBURG LAW FIRM, P.L.L.C.

JOHN DOE and JANE DOE, husband and

Plaintiffs,

Defendants.

wife, and on behalf of their son, JUNIOR

DURHAM SCHOOL SERVICES, L.P.;

CHRIS VAN HAAFTEN,

2315 E. Speedway Blvd.

Tucson, Arizona 85719

noah@vanamburglaw.com

(520) 323-4559

DOE, a minor,

10 (520) 323-4595 (Fax)

PCN 65784/SBN 022737

11

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

12

13

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PIMA

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Case No:

COMPLAINT

Assigned to Hon.

Plaintiffs, by and through their counsel undersigned, and by their Complaint

against Defendants, allege as follows:

JURISDICTIONAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. That Plaintiffs John Doe and Jane Doe are husband and wife and parents of their son, Junior Doe, and reside in Pima County Arizona.
- 2. That Plaintiff Junior Doe was born on November 16, 2009, and resides with his parents, Plaintiffs John Doe and Jane Doe.
- 3. That Plaintiff Junior Doe is autistic and has limited abilities, including limited speech, and is educated pursuant to an Independent Education Plan (IEP).
- 4. That public access to Plaintiff John Doe's personal information is restricted pursuant to provisions of the Arizona Revised Statutes.
- 5. That Defendant Durham School Services, L.P. is aware of Plaintiffs' true names which are being withheld because of a desire for privacy and safety. Upon motion pursuant to Rule 17 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure by an appropriate party, and a subsequent order of the Court, further disclosure of the true name of Plaintiffs will be made as required by law.
- 6. That Defendant Durham School Services L.P., is a foreign corporation doing business in Pima County, Arizona.
- 7. That at all pertinent times herein, Defendant Chris Van Haaften resided in Pima County, Arizona.
 - 8. That all event set forth herein occurred in Pima County, Arizona.

11

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

9. That at all pertinent times set forth herein, Defendant Chris Van Haaften (hereafter "Van Haaften") was an employee of Defendant Durham School Services, L.P. (hereafter "Durham"); that all actions of Defendant Van Haaften were in the course and scope of his employment for Defendant Durham; and, that Defendant Durham is liable for the actions of Defendant Van Haaften under the doctrine of respondeat superior.

COUNT ONE

(NEGLIGENCE)

- 10. Plaintiffs incorporate all allegations in the foregoing paragraphs 1 through 9 as though fully set forth herein.
- That During the 2017-2018 school year, Plaintiff Junior Doe was transported 11. to and from the Ventana Vista Elementary School at 6085 N. Kolb Road in Pima County Arizona through services provided by the Defendant Durham School Services, L.P.
- That at all pertinent times, Plaintiff Junior Doe needed assistance getting on 12. to the bus and an aide would assist Plaintiff Junior Doe in getting on to Defendant Durham's bus and securing Plaintiff Junior Doe's seat belt.
- That Prior to February 27, 2018, Plaintiff Junior Doe had suffered injuries 13. and both of Plaintiff Junior Doe's legs were in casts on February 27, 2018.
- 14. That Defendant Durham knew or should have known of Plaintiff Junior Doe's disabilities and special needs and that Defendant Durham was to take Plaintiff Junior

Doe directly to his home pursuant to an established route where his aide and/or family would assist Plaintiff Junior Doe.

- 15. That on February 27, 2018, Defendant Van Haaften was the driver of Defendant Durham's bus which was responsible for transporting Plaintiff Junior Doe to his home. After classes ended at the Ventana Vista Elementary School, Plaintiff Junior Doe was assisted onto Defendant Durham's bus and belted into his seat.
- 16. That Defendants Van Haaften and Durham owed a duty of reasonable care in the transportation of Plaintiff Junior Doe.
- 17. That Defendants Van Haaften and Durham were negligent and breached their duty of reasonable care and fell below the standard of care in failing to take Plaintiff Junior Doe to his home and his waiting family, despite the fact that other students advised Defendant Van Haaften that he had missed Plaintiff Junior Doe's stop.
- 18. That Defendants Van Haaften and Durham were negligent and breached their duty of reasonable care and fell below the standard of care in dropping Plaintiff Junior Doe off unattended and without assistance at a location miles from his home where Junior Doe was lost, terrified, and unable to communicate.
- 19. That as a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff
 Junior Doe suffered physical injuries to his legs, pain and suffering, aggravation of his
 dermatillomania, and severe emotional distress, worry, interference with the parent-child
 relationship and loss of consortium.

COUNT TWO

(RECKLESS/INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS)

- 20. Plaintiffs incorporate all allegations in the foregoing paragraphs 1 through 19 as though fully set forth herein.
- 21. That Defendants acted in an extreme and outrageous manner in leaving an autistic 8 year old boy who lacked the ability to communicate and had casts on both his legs alone, on the side of a road, miles from his home, and intentionally and/or recklessly disregarded the near certainty that emotional distress would result from such conduct.
- 22. That Plaintiffs have all suffered severe emotional distress as a result of the Defendants' conduct including but not limited to a severe aggravation of Plaintiff Junior Doe's emotional and behavioral problems, aggravation of his dermatillomania, and severe emotional distress, worry, interference with the parent-child relationship and loss of consortium.
- 23. That Defendants conduct at the time of and following the events set forth herein were willful, wanton, and in reckless disregard for the safety of the Plaintiffs, thereby forming the basis for an award of punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for the following relief against all Defendants:

- 1. Compensatory damages in a sum to be determined at trial;
- 2. Punitive damages in a sum to be determined at trial;

3. An award of taxable costs incurred 4. Such other relief as this court deems just and proper. DATED this 2nd day of October, 2018. LAW OFFICE OF ELLIOT GLICKSMAN, PLLC VAN AMBURG LAW FIRM, P.L.L.C. By: /s/ Elliot Glicksman By: /s/ Noah Van Amburg Elliot Glicksman Noah Van Amburg Attorney for Plaintiffs Attorney for Plaintiffs