

1 Timothy W. Moppin, SBN 133363  
2 Attorney at Law  
2015 Junction Avenue  
3 El Cerrito, California 94530  
Telephone: (510) 232-0442  
4 E-Mail: [timmoppin@yahoo.com](mailto:timmoppin@yahoo.com)

5 Richard M. Nichols SBN 166638  
6 Attorney at Law  
876 Arlene Way  
7 Novato, CA 94947  
E-Mail: [Rnicholspc@gmail.com](mailto:Rnicholspc@gmail.com)

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
HOPE SOLO

9  
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12 HOPE SOLO,

13  
14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 UNITED STATES SOCCER FEDERATION,

17 Defendants.

Case No.:

**COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF THE  
EQUAL PAY ACT AND  
DISCRIMINATION**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

18 **PARTIES**

19 1. Plaintiff Hope Solo is an individual, and a resident of North Carolina.

20 2. Defendant United States Soccer Federation (“the Federation”), is a not-for-profit  
21 corporation chartered in the State of New York that employs individuals that are selected as  
22 soccer players on the United States Women’s National Soccer Team (“WNT”).

23 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that, at all times  
24 mentioned herein, each of the defendants sued herein, was the agent, servant, and employee of  
25 the remaining defendants, and in doing the things hereinafter alleged, was acting within the  
26 scope of its authority as such agent, servant, and employee, and with the permission and consent  
27 of the remaining defendants.  
28

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1  
2 4. This Court has jurisdiction over this controversy under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 by  
3 virtue of the fact that this is a civil action wherein the matter involves the application and  
4 interpretation of federal laws. Further, jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 by virtue  
5 of the fact that the matter in controversy exceeds \$75,000, and is between citizens of different  
6 states. Venue is properly laid in this District because the actions and activities which generated  
7 and are the subject of this Complaint, occurred in part within this District. Further, the  
8 defendants do substantial business in this District, and have a principal place of business in  
9 Chicago, Illinois.

10 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 11 5. For the time periods during which the violations alleged herein occurred, Plaintiff  
12 was an employee of defendant, the United States Soccer Federation, since she was  
13 selected as a soccer player on the United States Women’s National Soccer Team  
14 (“WNT”). At all times relevant to the charges of violations of the Equal Pay Act  
15 and discrimination, the Federation also employed and continues to employ  
16 individuals selected as soccer players on the United States Men’s National Soccer  
17 Team (“MNT”).
- 18 6. The WNT has enjoyed unparalleled success in international soccer, winning three (3)  
19 World Cup titles and four (4) Olympic Gold Medals – an accomplishment that no  
20 other country on the men’s or women’s side has reached in World Cup or Olympic  
21 competition. The WNT also has achieved numerous other first place wins in  
22 significant international tournaments and at all times relevant herein, was ranked  
23 number one (1) in the world, a position it held on a near continuous basis for at least  
24 seven (7) years.
- 25 7. Plaintiff’s team won its third World Cup title on July 5, 2015. The game captured  
26 the hearts of approximately 23 million viewers, making it the most watched soccer  
27 game in American TV history. The team embarked on a post-Cup Victory Tour,  
28 which drew tens of thousands of fans to soccer stadiums across the United States and  
generated and deposited tens of millions of dollars into the Federation’s coffers.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

8. In fact, according to the Federation’s 2016 annual report (*see* Exhibit 1, p. 54, Chart 1), it initially projected a combined net loss for the national teams of \$429,929 for FY 2016 (April 1, 2015 – March 31, 2016). But thanks almost exclusively to the success of the WNT, the Federation projected a \$17.7 million profit in connection with the success of the WNT teams. *Id.* Additionally, for FY 2017, the Federation projected a net profit from the WNT of approximately \$5,000,000, while projecting a net loss of nearly \$1,000,000 for the MNT. *Id.* at 57-58, Charts 2, 3.

9. Unfortunately, the WNT’s on-field accomplishments and revenue generation have not resulted in plaintiff or her fellow players earning equal or better pay than MNT players. In fact, the women’s compensation pales in comparison to that of the MNT players. This pay disparity exists despite the fact that, as the MNT and WNT’s employer, the Federation is bound by federal law to compensate Plaintiff and her WNT teammates at least equally to the rate at which it compensates MNT players given that the women and men perform the same job duties; have jobs that require equal skill, effort and responsibilities; and perform their respective jobs under similar working conditions.

10. More specifically, the pre-match, match and post-match duties, as well as the skill, effort, responsibilities and working conditions of WNT players are substantially the same and/or greater than those of MNT players. The Federation, for example, expects both sets of players to:

- i. maintain their conditioning and overall health such as by undergoing rigorous training routines (endurance running, weight training, etc.) and adhering to certain nutrition, physical therapy and other regimens.
- ii. maintain their skills by, for example, attending training camps and frequent practices, participating in skills drills, and playing scrimmages and other practice events;

1           iii. travel nationally and internationally as necessary for competitive  
2           games, which are the same in length, physical and mental demand,  
3           and similar playing environment and conditions; and

4           iv. promote a positive image for soccer through media and other  
5           appearances.  
6

7           The success of the WNT, however, has meant and continues to mean that the WNT  
8           spends more time in training camp, play far more games, travel more, and participate  
9           in more media sessions, among other things, than MNT players.

10           11. Despite all of these facts, plaintiff and similarly situated WNT players, have been  
11           paid and continue to be paid substantially *less* than MNT players.

12           12. The Federation's compensation structure for the WNT and MNT generally can be  
13           divided into four buckets: (1) compensation for games called Friendlies; (2) World-  
14           Cup-related compensation; (3) Olympics-related compensation and (4) compensation  
15           for sponsor appearances, ticket revenue and other monies.  
16

17           13.     Friendlies: For the time periods during which the violations alleged herein  
18           occurred, the Federation paid top tier WNT players between 38% and 72% of the  
19           compensation the MNT players earn on a per game basis.  
20

21           14.     Specifically, the Federation paid Plaintiff and other top tier WNT players,  
22           \$72,000 per year to play a minimum of 20 Friendlies that year. Plaintiff and her  
23           WNT teammates also received a bonus of \$1,350 for each Friendly victory.  
24           However, unlike the MNT, Plaintiff and her WNT teammates did *not* receive  
25           additional compensation if the WNT lost or played to a tie in a game). So, if the  
26           WNT lost all 20 games, Plaintiff and each of her WNT teammates received \$72,000  
27           for the year or only \$3,600 per game; if the WNT won all twenty games, Plaintiff  
28           and her WNT teammates each received \$99,000 for that year or \$4,950 per game.

1 15. MNT players are also required to play a minimum of 20 Friendlies per year.  
2 They, however, receive a minimum of \$5,000 to play in each game, regardless of the  
3 outcome. They can receive compensation ranging from \$6,250 to \$17,625 per game  
4 depending on the level of their opponent (FIFA-ranked 1-10, FIFA-ranked 11-25, or  
5 FIFA-ranked above 25) and whether they tie or win the game. So, if a MNT player  
6 loses all 20 Friendlies, he will earn \$100,000 -- \$27,000 more than similarly situated  
7 WNT players and \$1,000 more than WNT players who win all of their games. If  
8 MNT players win all of their games against the various levels of competition they  
9 likely would face, they likely would earn an average of \$13,166 per game or  
10 \$263,320 in year. A 20-game winning top tier WNT player would earn 38% of the  
11 compensation of a similarly situated MNT player. The attached chart (*see* Exhibit 2)  
12 illustrates these differences.

13 16. These numbers present an even starker contrast when considering that each game  
14 over 20 played by a WNT player earns that player either *no* additional compensation  
15 (for a tie or a loss) or maximum compensation of \$1,350. Each additional game over  
16 20 played by a MNT player earns that player between \$5,000 (for a loss) or up to  
17 \$17,625 for a win.

18 17. World Cup. The compensation afforded WNT players for World Cup competition is  
19 even more strikingly disparate than that for the Friendlies. WNT players earn only  
20 \$30,000 *total* both for being asked to try out for the World Cup team and for making  
21 the team roster. MNT players, on the other hand, earn \$68,750 each for making their  
22 team's roster. The pay structure for advancement through the rounds of World Cup  
23 was so skewed that, in 2014, the MNT earned \$9,000,000 for *losing* in the Round of  
24 16, while the WNT earned only \$2,000,000 for winning the World Cup tournament.  
25 In other words, the women earned four times less than the men while performing  
26 demonstrably better.

27 18. Olympics. Notably, the WNT and MNT U23 players each earn \$15,000 for  
28 qualifying for the Olympic team and another \$15,000 each for making the roster.  
The Federation's decision to pay the U 23 men, who are the MNT B Team, and  
women equal compensation for Olympic play only highlights the unjustified and  
COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF THE EQUAL PAY ACT AND DISCRIMINATION

1 discriminatory animus underlying its decision to pay women differently than men in  
2 nearly all other respects.

3  
4 19. Other Compensation. The disparity in pay trickles down to nearly every aspect of  
5 the WNT player/Federation employment relationship. For the time periods during  
6 which the violations alleged herein occurred, the Federation, for example, paid men  
7 on the MNT a per diem of \$62.50 for domestic venues and \$75 for international  
8 venues, while paying the women on the WNT \$50 and \$60, respectively, even  
9 though the WNT are traveled for the same reasons. Further, the Federation paid men  
10 on the MNT \$3,750 for each sponsor appearance, while paying the women on the  
11 WNT \$3,000 per appearance to do the exact same work. The list of pay disparities  
12 goes on and on.

13  
14 20. There are no legitimate, non-discriminatory reasons for this gross disparity of wages,  
15 nor can it be explained away by any bona fide seniority, merit or incentive system or  
16 any other factor other than sex.

17  
18 21. Therefore, plaintiff alleges and believes that she and similarly situated former and  
19 current WNT players have been discriminated against because of sex (female) in  
20 violation of the Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, and the Equal  
21 Pay Act.

22 WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

23 **EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES**

24 22. Plaintiff filed a charge with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission  
25 (“EEOC”) on March 30, 2016. The EEOC has not yet issued a right to sue letter.

26 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**  
27 **Violation of the Equal Pay Act**  
28 (29 U.S.C § 206(d))

23. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation

1 contained in paragraphs 1 through 22, with the same force and effect as if fully  
2 realleged and recited herein at length.

3  
4 24. The Federation has discriminated and continues to discriminate against Plaintiff  
5 and similarly situated WNT players in violation of the Equal Pay Act (“EPA”) by paying its  
6 female WNT employees at wage rates less than the wage rates paid to its male MNT employees  
7 for substantially equal or similar work, when viewed as a composite of skill, effort, and  
8 responsibility, and performed under similar working conditions.

9  
10 25. The Federation’s failure to pay the women on the WNT and men on the MNT  
11 equal wages for performing substantially equal or similar work is not justified by any lawful  
12 reason.

13 26. The Federation has willfully violated the EPA by intentionally, knowingly,  
14 and/or deliberately paying women on the WNT less than men on the MNT for substantially  
15 equal or similar work.

16 27. As a result of the Federation’s ongoing conduct, violation of the EPA, and/or  
17 willful discrimination, Plaintiff and similarly situated former and current WNT members have  
18 suffered and will continue to suffer harm, including but not limited to lost earnings, lost  
19 benefits, and other financial loss, as well as non-economic damages.

20 28. Plaintiff and similarly situated former and current women on the WNT are  
21 therefore entitled to all legal and equitable remedies available under law, including wages,  
22 interest, and liquidated damages.

23  
24 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

25 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

26 **Sex Status Discrimination**

27 ( Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq.)

1 29. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation  
2 contained in paragraphs 1 through 28, with the same force and effect as if fully realleged and  
3 recited herein at length.

4 30. The Federation has discriminated and continues to discriminate against Plaintiff  
5 in violation of the Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq. (“Title VII”)) by paying its female WNT  
6 employees at wage rates less than the wage rates paid to its male MNT employees for  
7 substantially equal or similar work, when viewed as a composite of skill, effort, and  
8 responsibility, and performed under similar working conditions.

9 31. The Federation’s failure to pay women on the WNT and men on the MNT equal  
10 wages for performing substantially equal or similar work is not justified by any lawful reason.

11 32. The Federation has willfully violated the Title VII by intentionally, knowingly,  
12 and/or deliberately paying women on the WNT less than men on the MNT for substantially  
13 equal or similar work.

14 33. As a result of the Federation’s ongoing conduct, violation of Title VII, and/or  
15 willful discrimination, Plaintiff and similarly situated former and current WNT members have  
16 suffered and will continue to suffer harm, including but not limited to lost earnings, lost  
17 benefits, and other financial loss, as well as non-economic damages.

18 34. Plaintiff and similarly situated former and current WNT members are therefore  
19 entitled to all legal and equitable remedies available under law, including wages, interest, and  
20 liquidated damages.

21 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

22 **PRAYER**

23 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays judgment against defendants as follows:

- 24 1. For compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial;
- 25 2. For general and special damages in an amount to be proven at trial;
- 26 3. For punitive damages, according to proof;
- 27 4. For liquidated damages, according to proof;
- 28



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

- 5. For costs of suit, including reasonable attorney's fees; and
- 6. For such other relief as the court may deem just and proper.

DATED: August 24, 2018

—

By /S/ \_\_\_\_\_  
TIMOTHY W. MOPPIN, ESQ.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
HOPE SOLO