I			
1	ROBERT W. THOMPSON, ESQ. (SBN: 250038	FILED	
2	Thompson Law Offices, P.C.	SAN MATEO COUNTY	
3	700 Airport Blvd., Suite 160 Burlingame, CA 94010	JUL 1 7 2018	
4	Telephone: (650) 513-6111	Clerk of the Superior Court	
	Facsimile: (650) 513-6071	By DEPUTY PLERK	
5	Brian D. Kent (Pro Hac Vice Admission Pending		
6	LAFFEY, BUCCI & KENT, LLP 1435 Walnut Street, Suite 700		
7	Philadelphia, PA 19102		
8	Telephone: (215) 399-9255 Facsimile: (215) 241-8700 Telephone: (215) 399-9255 CMP Complaint C		
9	1267549	ALLEGATE LA SIA	
10	Attornevs for Plaintiffs		
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12	COUNTY OF SAN MATEO		
13	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
14	ONEMITED CIVII		
15	JANE DOE #1 (N.K.); JANE DOE #2 (L.T.);	Case No.: 18 C I V 03 7 0 6	
16	JANE DOE #3 (J.V.); JANE DOE #4 (K.M.);	COMPLAINT FOR:	
	and JANE DOE #5 (J.M.),	COMI LAINT FOR:	
17	Plaintiffs,	(1) Negligence (2) Premises Liability	
18	vs.	(3) Intentional Inflict of Emotional Distress	
19	MASSAGE ENVY FRANCHISING, LLC; ME	(4) Sexual Battery (Civ. Code § 1708.5) (5) Gender Violence (Civ. Code § 52.4)	
20	TIME, INC.; ANGELINE SEBASTIAN-	(6) Ralph Act Violation (Civ. Code § 51.7)	
21	STAFFORD; JDSME, INC.; LEOCADIA ELLEN SALAS; R & S BARNES	(7) False Imprisonment (8) Negligent Misrepresentation	
	ENTERPRISES, INC.; and DOES 1-40,	(9) Fraud, Intentional	
22	inclusive;	Misrepresentation, Concealment, False Promise	
23	Defendants,	(10) Consumer Legal Remedy Violation (Civ. Code §§ 1750, et seg.)	
24		(11) Civil Conspiracy	
25		(12) Fraudulent and Unfair Business Practices (Business and Professions	
26		Code §§ 17200, et seq.)	
27			

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

- 6. Defendant MASSAGE ENVY FRANCHISING, LLC ("MEF") is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein was, a corporation organized under the laws of the State of California. At all relevant times mentioned herein, MEF's primary place of business was located in the City of Scottsdale, County of Maricopa, State of Arizona, is the franchisor of Massage Envy locations throughout California, and performed work and controlled the day-today activities of the Massage Envy locations throughout California...
- 7. Defendant ME TIME, INC. ("ME TIME") is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein was, a corporation organized under the laws of the State of California. At all relevant times mentioned herein, ME TIME's primary place of business was located in the City of San Carlos, County of San Mateo, State of California, is the franchisee of the Massage Envy -Burlingame location, and performed work in and at Massage Envy – Burlingame location.
- 8. Defendant ANGELINE SEBASTIAN-STAFFORD ("ANGELINE") is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein was, an individual. At all relevant times mentioned herein, ANGELINE resides in the City of San Carlos, County of San Mateo, State of California, is the franchisee of the Massage Envy – Burlingame location, and performed work in and at Massage Envy - Burlingame location. At all relevant times mentioned herein, ANGELINE was an officer and/or director of ME TIME, and is liable to JANE DOE #1 because of her failures to act, her failure to act constituted a breach of her fiduciary duties as an officer and/or director; and/or her breach of those duties involved intentional misconduct, fraud or a knowing violation of law.
- 9. Defendant JDSME, INC. ("JDSME") is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein was, a corporation organized under the laws of the State of California. At all relevant times mentioned herein, JDSME's primary place of business was located in the City of Rowland Heights, County of Los Angeles, State of California, is the franchisee of the Massage Envy – West Covina location, and performed work in and at Massage Envy – West Covina.
- 10. Defendant LEOCADIA ELLEN SALAS ("LEOCADIA") is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein was, an individual. At all relevant times mentioned herein,

17⁻

LEOCADIA resides in City of Rowland Heights, County of Los Angeles, State of California, is the franchisee of the Massage Envy — West Covina location, and performed work in and at Massage Envy — West Covina. At all relevant times mentioned herein, LEOCADIA was an officer and/or director of JDSME, and is liable to JANE DOE #2 (L.T.) because of her failures to act, her failure to act constituted a breach of her fiduciary duties as an officer and/or director, and/or her breach of those duties involved intentional misconduct, fraud or a knowing violation of law.

- unknown. Upon information and belief, the owners of the Massage Envy Redondo Beach location are individual, corporate, associate, partner or otherwise. Since these Defendants are presently unknown, Plaintiffs herein named them as DOES 1-5, inclusive, and Plaintiffs therefore sue each said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs will move to amend this Complaint to substitute their true names and capacities when the same have been ascertained. Upon information and belief, DOES 1-5's primary place of business was located in the City of Redondo Beach, County of San Los Angeles, State of California, the franchisees of the Massage Envy Redondo Beach location and performed work in Massage Envy Redondo Beach.
- 12. The owners of the Massage Envy Beverly Hills location is presently unknown. Upon information and belief, the owners of the Massage Envy Beverly Hills location are individual, corporate, associate, partner or otherwise. Since these Defendants are presently unknown, Plaintiffs herein named them as DOES 6-10, inclusive, and Plaintiffs therefore sue each said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs will move to amend this Complaint to substitute their true names and capacities when the same have been ascertained. Upon information and belief, DOES 6-10's primary place of business was located in the City of Beverly Hills, County of San Los Angeles, State of California, the franchisees of the Massage Envy Redondo Beach location and performed work in Massage Envy Beverly Hills.
- 13. Defendant R & S BARNES ENTERPRISES, INC. ("R & S") is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein was, a corporation organized under the laws of the State of

California. At all relevant times mentioned herein, R & S's primary place of business was located in the City of Elk Grove, County of Sacramento, State of California, and performed work in Massage Envy – Elk Grove.

- 14. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, partner or otherwise, of other Defendants, herein named as DOES 10-40, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiffs, who therefore sue said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs will move to amend this Complaint to substitute their true names and capacities when the same have been ascertained.
- 15. Plaintiffs are also unaware of the basis of liability as to some or all of such fictitious Defendants sued herein as DOES 10-40, inclusive, but believe that their liability arises out of the same general facts as set forth herein. Plaintiffs will move to amend this Complaint to assert the theories of liability of said fictitiously named Defendants when they have been ascertained.
- 16. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that each Defendant and DOES 10-40 are legally responsible in some manner for the events, happenings, omissions and/or occurrences causing damages referred to herein, and legally and proximately caused damage to Plaintiffs. Further, each and every Defendant, including DOES 10-40, had a duty to Plaintiffs, as a customers of the Massage Envy locations.
- 17. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege that DOES 1-40, inclusive, were franchisees, contractors, individuals, sole proprietorships, partnerships and/or corporations, and all their employees and agents who performed services as an employee, agent, ostensible agent, servant, partner, joint venturer, and aider and abettor of each of the Defendants and were, in doing the business of things herein complained of, acting within the course and scope of such relationship and therefore are responsible for damages to Plaintiffs as hereinafter alleged. Whenever a Defendant is the subject of any charging allegation by Plaintiffs, it shall be deemed that DOES 1-40, inclusive, and each of them, are likewise subject to this charging allegation.

. 27

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 18. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California *Code of Civil Procedure* § 410.10. Plaintiffs seek damages under the statutory and common law of the State of California.
- 19. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to California *Code of Civil Procedure* § 395 because (a) some of the acts and transactions described herein occurred within this county; (b) some Defendants are or were registered to do business in the State of California and/or are or were doing business within this county; and (c) because some Defendants did do business in this county by operating and/or exercising complete control over the operations of the Massage Envy Burlingame.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTIONS

- 20. Sexual misconduct committed by massage therapists at MEF franchise locations is a national epidemic, with over 180 reports of sexual assaults by its therapists occurring throughout the country. The assaults range from forcible sexual intercourse to digital and oral penetration of women's vaginas to touching of women's breasts to therapists putting their genitals on women as well as ejaculating on women.
- 21. MEF does not require its franchisees to report sexual assaults of customers by its massage therapists to law enforcement and/or state massage therapy boards. In fact, one of the reasons this epidemic of sexual assaults of female customers by Massage Envy therapists exists is as a result of MEF's incomprehensible policy and procedure of directing franchisees to conceal allegations of inappropriate sexual behavior involving its massage therapists and directing franchisees not to report said allegations to local law enforcement and/or state massage therapy boards in order to "protect the brand."
- 22. MEF company protocol encourages employees to handle any allegations of sexual misconduct by its massage therapists "in-house."
- 23. In numerous cases involving sexual misconduct at its franchise locations by its massage therapists, MEF therapists were allowed to remain employed and/or were transferred

and/or hired/re-hired at another Massage Envy franchise location, only to go on to improperly touch multiple other female customers.

- 24. MEF, other Defendants named herein, and other of MEF's franchisees have long feared that the public would learn about the problem of massage therapists sexually assaulting female customers at its franchise locations but took no action whatsoever to prevent same but rather continued to protect the company at the expense of the safety of its customers.
- 25. MEF, other Defendants named herein, and other of MEF's franchisees have does nothing to warn customers of the problem of women being sexually assaulted at its franchise locations by massage therapists, even though it trains franchisees on the problem.
- 26. MEF, other Defendants named herein, and other of MEF's franchisees conspired to keep the problem of woman being sexually assaulted at its franchise locations by massage therapists from Plaintiffs, and all of Defendants' customers. Instead of informing Plaintiffs and all of Defendants' customers about the problem of woman being sexually assaulted at its franchise locations by massage therapists, MEF, other Defendants named herein, and other of MEF's franchisees intentionally and falsely told Plaintiffs and all of Defendants' customers that safety is at the core of their company's mission, that it has a zero tolerance policy towards sexual assaults committed by their massage therapists, that they protect their customers, that they carefully select and thoroughly train their massage therapists, that they are dedicated to providing a confortable and professional environment, that Plaintiffs and all of Defendants' customers can be confident they will have a positive experience, that they bring joy into Plaintiffs and all of Defendants' customers' lives, and that they make the best of everybody, among other intentionally false statements to Plaintiffs and all of Defendants' customers.
- 27. In at least one case, multiple women were sexually assaulted by a Massage Envy massage therapist at Massage Envy Burlingame location even though there were two reports to the franchisee and MEF that the therapist had sexually assaulted other female customers.
- 28. In another case, a woman was sexually assaulted by a Massage Envy massage therapist at Massage Envy Elk Grove location even though there was a report to the franchisee

and MEF that the therapist had sexually assaulted other female customers.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

JANE DOE #1 (N.K.)

- At all times relevant hereto, Brandon (last name presently unknown) was a male 29. massage therapist working at Massage Envy - Burlingame who was assigned to massage JANE DOE #1 (N.K.) on the date at issue, and was assigned to give massages to multiple female customers in his capacity as an employee and/or agent of MEF, ME TIME, and ANGELINE.
- On November 12, 2017, JANE DOE #1 (N.K.) went to Massage Envy 30 Burlingame for a massage, where she had been numerous times before without incident. Plaintiff had a one-year membership with Massage Envy – Burlingame. All of her previous massages were without incident. On November 12, 2017, Plaintiff was improperly touched in an inappropriate sexual manner by Brandon on the premises of Defendants. Specifically, Brandon led Plaintiff to a massage room and told her to undress. He then forced Plaintiff to touch his penis; touched, groped, and licked Plaintiff's bare breasts and vagina; and ultimately penetrated Plaintiff's vagina with his penis, all without Plaintiff's consent.
- During the massage, Brandon pressed his penis against JANE DOE #1 (N.K.)'s 31. hands. He did this more than once. Plaintiff had kept her underwear on, but was otherwise unclothed. While lying on her stomach, Brandon put his hands underneath the sheet and Plaintiff's underwear and began massaging Plaintiff's bare glutes. Brandon then told Plaintiff to turn onto her back. When Plaintiff was on her back, she started falling asleep. Plaintiff awoke and discovered Brandon groping and massaging the entirety of Plaintiff's bare breasts. Brandon proceeded to fondle and rub Plaintiff's nipple of her left breast. Plaintiff was in total shock and tried to "play dead," hoping Brandon would stop his inappropriate touching if he realized Plaintiff was not reacting. However, Brandon only went further. He then began kissing and licking Plaintiff's nipple.
- JANE DOE #1 (N.K.) was frozen in fear and shock. Not knowing what to do, 32. Plaintiff laid still, hoping Brandon would stop. The nightmare only continued. Brandon took Plaintiff's right hand and interlocked his hand with hers. He then moved their hands onto

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

Brandon's penis. Brandon took Plaintiff's left hand and rubbed it inside Plaintiff's thighs. Brandon then inserted his finger inside Plaintiff's vagina. He removed his finger and began licking Plaintiff's vagina. Brandon then put his fingers back inside Plaintiff's vagina in a manner that Plaintiff believed was an attempt by Brandon to sexually arouse Plaintiff.

- JANE DOE #1 (N.K.) tightened up, devastated by what was going on. Brandon 33. then pulled his shorts down, pulled Plaintiff's legs to the side, and inserted his penis into Plaintiff's vagina. Brandon had nonconsensual sexual intercourse with Plaintiff. On information and belief, Brandon stopped once he ejaculated. He then removed his penis from Plaintiff's vagina, let out a sigh, and thanked Plaintiff. Plaintiff immediately covered herself with a sheet.
- After Brandon put his shorts back on he gave JANE DOE #1 (N.K.) a towel and 34 told her, "to wipe [herself] down." He then left the room. Plaintiff was traumatized. She was so frightened as to what to do next. She knew, as is common practice for massage therapists following a massage service, Brandon would be standing outside the door with a glass of water. When she regained enough composure to leave the room, Brandon was right outside the door, and asked Plaintiff, "Are you feeling better?" Plaintiff responded that she had to go to the restroom, trying her best to get away from Brandon as quickly as she could. Plaintiff then went straight to the front desk, paid for her service, and went home.
- 35. Subsequently, JANE DOE #1 (N.K.) called Massage Envy – Burlingame and reported the sexual assault to the acting manager. The acting manager told Plaintiff that Massage Envy – Burlingame's actual manager would call Plaintiff within twenty-four hours. The actual manager, named Priscilla, later called Plaintiff. Plaintiff described the entire incident, including every detail. Priscilla then explained to Plaintiff that she would repeat Plaintiff's incident to the owner of Massage Envy – Burlingame, ANGELINE, and they will decide whether to contact the police. About two days after Plaintiff's call with Priscilla, the owner of the Massage Envy – Burlingame, ANGELINE called Plaintiff. Plaintiff was forced to retell her horrifying experience once more. ANGELINE informed Plaintiff that she had hired

On July 17, 2016, after Plaintiff was finished with her shift after her last client of

43.

44.

in a professional manner and without incident.

26

27

the day had cancelled her appointment, Plaintiff asked Johnny if he would like to practice and perform another massage on Plaintiff. Johnny agreed. At this point, Plaintiff was no longer acting as a Massage Envy employee, and was instead a Massage Envy customer. Plaintiff then walked into a massage room and completely undressed while Johnny waited outside. Once Plaintiff was face down and draped with a sheet, Johnny came back in the room to begin the massage.

- 45. Johnny pulled the sheet off Plaintiff's back and began the massage. Without Plaintiff's consent, Johnny immediately began touching Plaintiff in a very inappropriate and sexual fashion, not in a way expected during a professional massage. Johnny was breathing in a very sexual and intense manner. This improper conduct made Plaintiff extremely uncomfortable.
- 46. Johnny then began working on Plaintiff's hands, starting with her right hand. Plaintiff then felt something poking her right hand, soon realizing it was Johnny's erect penis. Johnny then moved to Plaintiff's left hand and again put his erect penis on Plaintiff's hand. Plaintiff was frozen in shock and fear.
- 47. Frightened as to how Johnny might react if Plaintiff confronted him or abruptly left the room, Plaintiff stayed petrified on the massage table face down. Plaintiff then heard Johnny taking his pants off. Johnny proceeded to make Plaintiff touch Johnny's naked body. He then began using Plaintiff's hand to masturbate. Johnny did this with both Plaintiff's left and right hands. After Johnny stopped using Plaintiff's hands to masturbate, Johnny began masturbating himself while he continued to improperly touch and massage Plaintiff with one hand.
- 48. Plaintiff was devastated by what was going on. After a few minutes, Johnny left the room without saying anything to Plaintiff. Plaintiff immediately got off the table and redressed herself. Plaintiff left the room in a hurry. She passed by the employee break room, where Johnny looked at her with an eerie smile that communicated his feeling of satisfaction. Plaintiff then ran to the bathroom, vomited and immediately went home.

- 49. On or about July 19, 2016, Plaintiff reported the incident with Johnny to her manager, Suzanne Salem. Plaintiff told Ms. Salem every detail of the inappropriate massage. Ms. Salem consoled Plaintiff and told her that she would never see Johnny again and that she would also be reporting this incident to Johnny's school. Plaintiff also put this report in writing and provided it to Ms. Salem.
- 50. On information and belief, after speaking with Ms. Salem, Defendants and Massage Envy West Covina took no action in relation to Johnny and his sexual misconduct upon Plaintiff.
- As a result of this incident, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer severe and prolonged emotional distress. The incident affected Plaintiff's psyche so negatively that she was unable to go to work and was ultimately fired.

JANE DOE #3 (J.V.)

- 52. At all times relevant hereto, a male massage therapist (first and last name presently unknown) working at Massage Envy Redondo Beach was assigned to massage JANE DOE #3 (J.V.) on the date at issue, and was assigned to give massages to multiple female customers in his capacity as an employee and/or agent of MEF and DOES 1-5.
- 53. On January 31, 2017, JANE DOE #3 (J.V.) went to Massage Envy Redondo Beach for a massage, where she had been numerous times before without incident. Plaintiff had a membership with Massage Envy Redondo Beach for two years. All of her previous massages were without incident.
- 54. On January 31, 2017, Plaintiff went to Massage Envy Redondo Beach because her back was tight from caring for her newborn baby. Plaintiff walked into a massage room and undressed, except for her underwear. Once Plaintiff was face down and draped with a sheet, the massage therapist came into the room to begin the massage.
- 55. The massage therapist pulled the sheet off Plaintiff's back and began the massage. Plaintiff, tired from caring for a newborn baby, nodded off to sleep briefly and then woke up. This happened a couple of times. The massage therapist folded the sheet triangularly

19 .

exposing Plaintiff's legs and underwear. Plaintiff fell back asleep. Plaintiff awoke feeling the massage therapist's thumbs moving toward her vaginal area. The massage therapist then began massaging down Plaintiff's legs, and Plaintiff fell back asleep.

56. Plaintiff awoke and discovered both of the massage therapist's hands inside her underwear groping and rubbing her vagina and clitoris. The massage therapist quickly removed his hands from inside her underwear. Plaintiff was in total shock and tried to use "small talk" as a defensive mechanism, hoping the massage therapist would stop his inappropriate touching. The massage ended soon thereafter.

JANE DOE #4 (K.M.)

- 57. At all times relevant hereto, "Punay" or "Punah" (last name presently unknown) was a male massage therapist working at Massage Envy Beverly Hills who was assigned to massage JANE DOE #4 (K.M.) on the date at issue, and was assigned to give massages to multiple female customers in his capacity as an employee and/or agent of MEF and DOES 6-10.
- 58. JANE DOE #4 (K.M.) had a membership with Massage Envy Beverly Hills for approximately eight months.
- 59. On June 14, 2018, Plaintiff walked into a massage room and undressed. She was wearing a solid white body suit, similar to a leotard, which she rolled down to her waist. Once Plaintiff was face down and draped with a sheet, the massage therapist came into the room to begin the massage.
- 60. During the massage, while Plaintiff was lying on her stomach, the massage therapist put his hands between Plaintiff's legs. He began rubbing between Plaintiff's right leg and labia, spending an abnormal amount of time in the area, and almost penetrating her vagina. Plaintiff was in total shock. The massage therapist began rubbing between Plaintiff's left leg and labia, getting even closer to penetrating her vagina. The massage therapist then began rubbing near Plaintiff's vagina, telling her, "I feel this real connection to you." The massage therapist had his phone out causing Plaintiff to fear that he was taking pictures, recording her, or watching pornography at the time.

- 61. The massage therapist told Plaintiff to turn onto her back. When Plaintiff went to turn over, the massage therapist lifted up the sheet so he could see her bare breasts. The massage therapist proceeded to immediately grope and massage Plaintiff's breast. He then massaged her stomach for a few seconds, before starting to rub Plaintiff's vagina. Plaintiff kicked her leg hoping the massage therapist would stop his inappropriate touching. The massage therapist stopped, only to move to Plaintiff's other side and start rubbing her vagina again. Plaintiff was frozen with fear. When the massage therapist finally finished, Plaintiff quickly left Massage Envy Beverly Hills.
- 62. JANE DOE #4 (K.M.) called Massage Envy Beverly Hills later that night and reported the assault.

JANE DOE #5 (J.M.)

- 63. At all times relevant hereto, Carlos Ocampo was a male massage therapist working at Massage Envy Elk Grove who was assigned to massage JANE DOE #5 (J.M.) on the date at issue, and was assigned to give massages to multiple female customers in his capacity as an employee and/or agent of MEF and R & S.
- 64. On January 13, 2016, JANE DOE #5 (J.M.) went to Massage Envy Elk Grove for a massage with a sugar foot scrub enhanced therapy. She had been to Massage Envy Elk Grove numerous times before without incident, but had never had Ocampo as her massage therapist.
- 65. Plaintiff undressed, laid face-down on the massage table, and covered herself with a sheet. Ocampo entered the room and began the massage. Ocampo massaged Plaintiff's neck, shoulders, and back before having Plaintiff turn over onto her back. Ocampo pulled the sheet up from Plaintiff's feet to perform the foot scrub. After he finished the foot scrub, Ocampo yanked the sheet back down over Plaintiff's feet, exposing Plaintiff's bare breasts. Plaintiff quickly pulled the sheet back up to cover her breasts.
- 66. On January 16, 2016, Plaintiff completed an inMoment online survey regarding the Massage Envy services on January 13, 2016. Plaintiff reported that Ocampo "pulled the

7

10 11

1314

12

15 16

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

sheet down too far and exposed my breasts. In all of my years of getting massages here and other places, I have NEVER had a therapist pull the sheet down too far." Plaintiff said, "never give me Carlos as a therapist again. and do a better job screening male masseurs to make sure they are behaving appropriately with female clients." She urged "take my comments seriously and follow up with Carlos' female clients."

- 67. On January 18, 2016, an employee of Massage Envy Elk Grove received Plaintiff's online complaint and began an investigation. That same day, Ocampo was notified that he was on administrative leave until further notice. The Massage Envy Elk Grove employee called Plaintiff and discussed the incident with her. Plaintiff reported that Ocampo exposed "the entire area" of her breasts by pulling the sheet down with "an intentional yank," it was not accidental.
- 68. On January 20, 2016, Ocampo went to Massage Envy – Elk Grove to be interviewed about the incident. Ocampo was asked to describe Plaintiff's massage. Ocampo admitted to "yanking" the sheet down after completing the foot scrub, but did not say it resulted in exposing Plaintiff's breasts. Ocampo was asked if anything "abnormal" happened during the massage. According to the interviewer's notes, "Other than her not being excited, he didn't feel anything was abnormal and that the room was pretty dark so he couldn't really see if anything happened abnormal." When asked about the size of Plaintiff's breasts, the interviewer documented Ocampo's response as follows, "he replied 'She had large breasts' – his facial expression at that point became very serious as opposed to the relaxed demeanor prior – like he knew exactly the size of her breast, whereas in earlier reference to her appearance he wasn't as adamant about the characteristics." Ocampo again stated that he could not think of anything that could have been perceived as abnormal. When Ocampo was told that Plaintiff complained that Ocampo exposed her breasts, the interviewer noted, "[Ocampo] did not seem shocked or concerned [sic] at all. There was no change in his body language." The interviewer told Ocampo that Massage Envy – Elk Grove would take time to determine the resolution, but to call with any changes to his story. Ocampo first stated, "yeah, I can't think of anything...," but then

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27 continued to say "Now that I think about it, I guess her breast could have been exposed when I did the sugar foot scrub but it couldn't have been the entire breast."

- 69. On January 25, 2016, Massage Envy – Elk Grove terminated Ocampo's employment.
- 70. Ocampo's sexual misconduct against JANE DOE #5 (J.M.) on January 13, 2016, was not the first time Massage Envy received complaints about Ocampo's sexual misconduct at Massage Envy – Elk Grove. On January 5, 2016, another Massage Envy – Elk Grove customer emailed a complaint about Ocampo to the Massage Envy corporate office. The customer reported that Ocampo, among other things, repeatedly touched the sides of her breasts, pressed down on her buttocks cheeks and spread them apart, touched the side of her vagina, moved his hand up and down over her entire vagina, and cupped his hand over her vagina as he moved it in an up and down motion. On January 12, 2016, after the customer did not receive a response from Massage Envy, she filed a complaint about Ocampo with the California Massage Therapy Council.

ALL DEFENDANTS

- At all times relevant hereto, Defendants MEF, ME TIME, ANGELINE, JDSME, 71. LEOCADIA, R & S, and DOES 1-40 authorized and/or entrusted the massage therapists to have skin-to-skin contact with female customers and to be alone with them while the customers were undressed and in a vulnerable position. The massage therapists were aided in their commission of the sexual misconduct described more fully above and below by virtue of their duties as massage therapists because JANE DOE #1 (N.K.) through JANE DOE #5 (J.M.) were already undressed in a private room in a vulnerable position per the protocol of massage clients at Massage Envy franchises.
- 72. The sexual misconduct described herein occurred on a massage table, on the premises operated and/or controlled by Defendants. The improper touching and harassment of Plaintiffs occurred during normal business hours of the Massage Envy locations, and occurred in the course and scope of the performance of duties of massage therapists while they were

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

24

23

25 26

27

making skin-to-skin contact with female customers' bodies, including JANE DOE #1 (N.K.) through JANE DOE #5 (J.M.).

- At all times relevant herein, the massage therapists were employees, agents, 73. and/or servants of Defendants. Defendants are liable for the harm to Plaintiffs resulting from the conduct of their employees, agents and/or servants' conduct because Defendants knew or should have known their massage therapists' unfitness and propensities prior to the assaults on Plaintiffs and at the time of their hire. Defendants are liable for the acts and omissions of the massage therapists and other employees at the Massage Envy locations under the theories of respondeat superior, vicarious liability, master-servant, agency, and right of control. Upon information and belief, Defendants failed to conduct any criminal background check or any reference check in making the determination to hire the massage therapists. Upon information and belief, long before these incidents, Defendants knew or should have known that the massage therapists had the propensity to act in a sexually inappropriate manner towards many other women at the Massage Envy locations.
- The massage therapists engaged in unpermitted, harmful and offensive touching 74. and contact upon the person of JANE DOE #1 (N.K.) through JANE DOE #5 (J.M.) in violation of California law. Said conduct was undertaken while the massage therapists were employees and agents of Defendants, while in the course and scope of employment with said Defendants, and/or was ratified by said Defendants. Incidents of sexual misconduct by massage therapists in Massage Envy's service or employment were neither isolated nor unusual. For years, Defendants failed to reprimand, punish, report, or otherwise sanction massage therapists, which it knew or had reason to know were sexual predators and/or mentally ill.
- Defendants' failure to take appropriate action against the massage therapists 75. following their inappropriate sexual behavior toward JANE DOE #1 (N.K.) through JANE DOE #5 (J.M.) thereby ratified the actions of the massage therapists, giving them access to further sexually assault other female customers in the future. By not terminating the massage therapists' employment, Defendants approved, aided and abetted, adopted, and ratified their

5

9

12

10

13

14 15

> 16 17

18

19

20 21

22. 23

24

25 26

27

improper touching. No one from Defendants reported the improper touching to law enforcement or any governmental licensing or regulatory agency, or anyone for that matter.

- Defendants owed a duty to female customers, including JANE DOE #1 (N.K.) 76. through JANE DOE #5 (J.M.), to provide a reasonably safe environment for them, to ensure their safety, and to provide reasonably necessary supervision and oversight for their safety and welfare while at Massage Envy franchise locations, including Massage Envy – Burlingame, West Covina, Redondo Beach, Beverly Hills, and Elk Grove. Defendants failed to fulfill their legal duty to provide a reasonably safe environment for female customers at Massage Envy franchise locations.
- Defendants had a duty to take reasonable steps to ensure that massage therapists 77. at Massage Envy franchise locations were psychologically fit to provide massage therapy services to female customers at their franchise locations. Defendants failed to fulfill their legal duty to ensure that massage therapists were psychologically fit to provide massage therapy services to female customers at their franchise locations.
- To the contrary, Defendants hired, retained, transferred and/or re-hired 78. individuals who it knew and/or had reason to know were sexual predators, including, but not limited to their massage therapists. As a result, massage therapists at Massage Envy franchise locations have sexually assaulted numerous women nationwide. Defendants have willfully failed to report these assaults to police or to other public authorities including, but not limited to, assaults pertaining to JANE DOE #1 (N.K.) through JANE DOE #5 (J.M.).
- As a result of Defendants' negligent, careless, and reckless acts and omissions, 79. numerous women, including JANE DOE #1 (N.K.) through JANE DOE #5 (J.M.), were improperly touched by depraved predators, who exploited their position as massage therapists to violate innocent and unsuspecting women. Defendants failed to take reasonable steps to ensure that massage therapists at Massage Envy franchise locations were psychologically fit to provide massage therapy services to unsuspecting, vulnerable female customers. As a direct result of Defendants' tortious acts and omissions, Plaintiffs suffered severe emotional distress.

to public officials and/or state massage therapy boards; and

- j. Failure to warn customers regarding the danger of sexual assaults by massage therapists at franchise locations.
- 81. Defendants had a duty to take reasonable steps to ensure that massage therapists, whose duties placed them in close proximity to unsuspecting female customers, were psychologically fit to perform those duties without jeopardizing the safety of said women. Defendants had a duty to take reasonable steps to supervise the actions of their massage therapists while providing services to female customers at Massage Envy franchises, especially considering their knowledge of sexual assaults at franchise locations occurring at an "enormous" number.
- 82. Defendants failed to take reasonable steps to ensure that massage therapists were psychologically fit to provide massage therapy services to female customers at Massage Envy franchise locations, after the Defendants knew, and/or should have known, of the dangers posed by massage therapists. As a direct result of the Defendants' acts and/or omissions, Plaintiffs suffered severe emotional distress. Defendants employed, retained, transferred, re-hired and/or assigned massage therapists who it knew and/or had reason to know were psychologically unfit to provide massage therapy services to unsuspecting, innocent female customers. Defendants employed, retained, transferred, re-hired and/or assigned massage therapists who it knew and/or had reason to know or should have known were sexual predators and/or mentally ill.
- 83. Defendants' wrongdoing, however, did not stop there. Defendants employed deliberate strategies to conceal known sexual misconduct by massage therapists in the employ or service of Defendants. These strategies included the following:
 - a. Conducting sham investigations which were designed to avoid establishing culpability of massage therapists accused of sexual misconduct;
 - b. Failing to interview witnesses or persons who possessed, or may have possessed, information which might tend to establish the guilt of an

accused massage therapist;

- Routinely transferring, assigning and/or re-hiring massage therapists suspected of improperly touching female customers to and/or at other Massage Envy locations;
- d. Purposefully failing to inform customers of the acts of sexual misconduct and/or allegations of same, despite circumstances which gave rise to a duty to disclose such information and in fact, recommending massage therapists who were known to have improperly touched female customers;
- e. Knowingly harboring sexual predators that were suspected and/or accused of sexual misconduct:
- f. Purposefully refusing to notify law enforcement and/or state massage therapy board officials when there existed reasonable grounds to believe that a massage therapist had engaged in improper sexual conduct with a female customer; and
- g. Directing local franchisees not to report allegations of sexual abuse.
- 84. Defendants outrageously employed these strategies knowing that they exposed female customers, including Plaintiffs, to a significant risk of serious physical and psychological harm, including a significant risk of improper touching. Defendants' actions were willful, malicious, wanton, outrageous, abhorrent, abominable, revolting, vile, and unconscionable because Defendants were motivated by a desire to protect themselves at the expense of female customers who would foreseeably be improperly touched. Through the negligent hiring and supervision by Defendants, the massage therapists' unfitness and dangerous propensities proximately caused the resulting injuries to Plaintiffs. Defendants breached their duty of reasonable care in hiring the massage therapists because of the sensitive nature of the employment, which predictably involved a close degree of contact with vulnerable persons such as Plaintiffs.

1011

1213

14

15 16

17

18 19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

reprimand and sanction the acts referenced herein, and for all of the other reasons set forth in this Complaint including, without limitation, its failure to take the steps necessary to prevent the occurrence of such reprehensible acts the Defendants ratified said actions and, accordingly, are vicariously liable for the actions of the massage therapists.

- 87. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing, Plaintiffs have suffered, and continue to suffer, severe emotional distress. Plaintiffs have suffered and continue to suffer great pain of mind and body, shock, emotional distress, physical manifestations of emotional distress, embarrassment, loss of self-esteem, disgrace, humiliation and loss of enjoyment of life; were prevented and will continue to be prevented from performing Plaintiffs' daily activities and obtaining the full enjoyment of life; and/or have suffered a loss of income and/or loss of earning capacity and incurred and will continue to incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, therapy and counseling.
- 88. Defendants and each of them, engaged in the conduct alleged herein with malice. oppression, and fraud. The conduct of Defendants, and each of them, was despicable and was done with a willful and knowing disregard of the rights or safety of Plaintiffs and other female customers. Defendants, and each of them, knew that the massage therapists had a propensity to improperly touch female customers and were aware of the probable dangerous consequences of allowing them to continue massages for female customers, yet they continued to pay the massage therapists to work with physical access to more unsuspecting female victims, including Plaintiffs. Defendants' conduct was despicable and subjected Plaintiffs to cruel and unjust hardship in knowing disregard of their rights. Their conduct was so vile, base, and contemptible that it would be looked down on and despised by reasonable people. Defendants intentionally concealed the massage therapists' dangerous propensities from Plaintiffs with reckless indifference toward Plaintiffs' health, safety, and emotional well-being. Defendants' conduct alleged herein is outrageous and so extreme that it goes beyond all possible bounds of decency. A reasonable person would regard the conduct of Defendants as intolerable in a civilized community.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Negligence

(On Behalf of Jane Doe #1 (N.K.) through Jane Doe #4 (K.M.) And Against All Defendants)

- 89. Plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference, as though set forth in full, all proceeding Paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 90. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants, and each of them, provided massage services.
- 91. Prior to the incidents, Defendants, and each of them, expressly and implicitly warranted to Plaintiffs that Defendants were competent, and that they possessed and exercised reasonable care and skill in providing massages without physically or emotionally harming customers such as Plaintiffs.
- 92. At all relevant times herein the massage therapists, while in the course and scope of their employment with Defendants, intended to cause harmful or offensive contact with intimate parts of Plaintiffs, and sexually offensive contact with Plaintiffs directly and indirectly resulted therefrom.
- 93. At all relevant times herein, the massage therapists, while in the course and scope of their employment with Defendants, acted to cause Plaintiffs to be in imminent apprehension of sexually offensive contact, and sexually offensive contact with Plaintiffs directly and indirectly resulted therefrom.
- Defendants owed to the public in general, and to Plaintiffs in particular, a duty to reasonably identify, remove, and/or report to law enforcement authorities and/or to government agencies individuals who it knew, or should have known, were sexual predators in its service and employ. Defendants owed to the public in general, and to Plaintiffs in particular, a duty to reasonably supervise and/or monitor individuals who it knew, or should have known, were sexual predators in its service and employ. Defendants owed to Plaintiffs a duty to control the acts of their agents, servants, and/or employees.

The acts and omissions of Defendants complained of herein constitute negligent and reckless hiring, training, supervision, and retention of the massage therapists. It was foreseeable that if Defendants did not adequately exercise or provide the duty of care owed to female customers in their care, including, but not limited to Plaintiffs, they would be vulnerable to sexual misconduct by massage therapists. Defendants also negligently and improperly failed to exercise the minimal and reasonable care by failing to warn Plaintiffs about the massage therapists' known history and propensity to improperly touch women, and by permitting and encouraging the massage therapists to have physical access to Plaintiffs' bodies on the premises of Defendants. Based on the acts alleged above, Defendants knew, or should have known, that their failure to exercise due care toward Plaintiffs would, and did, cause Plaintiffs severe emotional distress.

of. Despite actual knowledge of multiple instances in which sexual predators were employed, transferred, re-hired and/or assigned to positions within Massage Envy franchise locations and despite the foreseeable risk that said sexual predators would engage in repeated acts of sexual misconduct, Defendants did not have in place or failed to enforce adequate, reasonable, and necessary rules, regulations, policies, and procedures which could effectively identify, and deal with sexual predators. Defendants did not have in place adequate, reasonable, and necessary rules, regulations, policies, and procedures for the removal of sexual predators in the employ and/or service of Defendants. Defendants did not have in place adequate, reasonable, and necessary rules, regulations, policies, and procedures, which provided for the reporting to criminal authorities sexual predators in the employ and/or service of Defendants. Defendants did not have in place adequate, reasonable, and necessary rules, regulations, policies, and procedures, which provided for the reporting to state boards of massage therapy the presence of sexual predators in the employ and/or service of Defendants.

97. Defendants failed to fulfill their legal duty to protect Plaintiffs and other female customers from the sexual misconduct of their massage therapists. Defendants failed to take reasonable steps to ensure that massage therapists at Massage Envy franchise locations were

27

psychologically fit to provide massage therapy services to female customers. These failures included the following: (a) failure to investigate the background of massage therapists in its employ or service; (b) failure to prohibit, restrict, or limit the activities of massage therapists suspected of sexual misconduct and/or those known to be sexual predators; (c) failure to reasonably and properly investigate allegations of sexual misconduct; (d) failure to properly train and instruct investigators; (e) failure to have in place standards of acceptable and unacceptable conduct; (f) failure to designate competent investigators to evaluate complaints of sexual misconduct; and (g) failure to have in place standards for reporting acts of sexual misconduct to law enforcement authorities and/or state boards of massage therapy.

98. Moreover, the negligent, reckless, outrageous, deliberately and recklessly indifferent and unlawful conduct of Defendants, as set forth above and herein, further consisted of: (a) permitting massage therapists to improperly touch female customers, including Plaintiffs; (b) permitting massage therapists to engage in sexual misconduct with female customers, including Plaintiffs, on the premises of Massage Envy franchise locations during operating hours; (d) failing to properly and adequately supervise and discipline their employees to prevent the improper touching that occurred to Plaintiffs; (e) failing to adopt, enforce and/or follow adequate policies and procedures for the protection and reasonable supervision of female customers who engaged the services of Defendants, including Plaintiffs, and, in the alternative, failing to implement and comply with such procedures which had been adopted; (f) failing to implement, enforce and/or follow adequate protective and supervisory measures for the protection of female customers, including Plaintiffs; (g) creating an environment that facilitated improper touching by massage therapists on Plaintiffs; (h) failing to adopt, enforce and/or follow policies and procedures to protect female customers against harmful contact by its massage therapists; (i) failing to warn Plaintiffs of the risk of harm posed by the massage therapists after Defendants knew or should have known of such risk; (j) failing to warn Plaintiffs of the risk of harm that Plaintiffs may suffer as a result of contact with the massage therapists; (k) failing to warn or otherwise make reasonably safe the property which Defendants

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

26

27

99. Defendants having advertised and promoted Massage Envy as having a "zero tolerance" policy relating to sexual misconduct by massage therapists, explicitly and/or implicitly represented to the public in general, and to Plaintiffs in particular, that the massage therapists in their employ and service were not only psychologically fit but were therapists who could be entrusted with the safety and well-being of female customers. Defendants made these explicit and implied representations knowing that they were false and/or having reason to believe that they were false, and with the expectation that they would be relied upon by female customers making decisions regarding their engagement of massage/spa services. Defendants did not have in place adequate, reasonable, and necessary rules, regulations, policies, and procedures with respect to the removal and/or supervision of individuals in its employ or service who were suspected of being sexual predators. Defendants failed to reasonably identify, remove, and/or report (to law enforcement authorities and/or to state massage therapy boards) sexual predators in their service and employ. Defendants failed to reasonably supervise and/or monitor individuals who it knew, or should have known, were sexual predators in their service and employ. Defendants negligently, carelessly, and/or intentionally failed to timely and

reasonably identify, remove, and/or report (to law enforcement authorities and/or to state boards
of massage therapy) the massage therapists as sexual predators. Defendants hired, retained
and/or assigned the massage therapists to Plaintiffs knowing or having reason to know that they
were sexual predators. Defendants further breached their duty of care to Plaintiffs by failing to
protect the Plaintiffs from foreseeable harm from the sexual misconduct of employees of
Defendants. Defendants further breached their duty of care by failing to warn Plaintiffs of the
propensities of the massage therapists and by failing to provide a safe and secure environment
for Plaintiffs.

- 100. Defendants ratified the improper touching committed by the massage therapists by continuing to employ them as massage therapists and giving them access to touch the bodies of female customers including Plaintiffs, after having actual knowledge that the massage therapists had improperly touched prior customers.
- 101. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that they will continue to suffer extreme mental, physical, and pain and suffering in the future as a result of the injuries alleged herein.
- 102. As a further proximate result, Plaintiffs have been damaged in that they have been required to expend money and incur obligations for medical services, drugs, and sundries reasonably required in the treatment and relief of the injuries alleged according to proof. As a further proximate result, Plaintiffs will continue to incur, medical and related expenses.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Premises Liability

(On Behalf of Jane Doe #1 (N.K.) through Jane Doe #4 (K.M.) And Against All Defendants)

- 103. Plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference, as though set forth in full, all preceding Paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 104. Defendants owned, occupied, managed, operated, controlled, leased or serviced the subject premises.

113.

27

As a further proximate result, Plaintiffs have been damaged in that they have

26

27

been required to expend money and incur obligations for medical services, drugs, and sundries reasonably required in the treatment and relief of the injuries alleged according to proof. As a further proximate result, Plaintiffs will continue to incur, medical and related expenses.

114. The acts of Defendants alleged above were willful, wanton, malicious, oppressive, fraudulent, despicable, and outrageous and justify the awarding of exemplary and punitive damages.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Sexual Battery

(On behalf of Jane Doe #1 (N.K.) through Jane Doe #4 (K.M.) And Against All Defendants)

- 115. Plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference, as though set forth in full, all preceding Paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 116. As described above, the massage therapists, without Plaintiffs' consent, engaged in sexual misconduct against Plaintiffs, all with the intent of sexual arousal in violation of Civil Code § 1708.5 and Penal Code § 243.4(e)(1).
- 117. Defendants' conduct was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiffs' physical and emotional harm.
- 118. The massage therapists were still employed as massage therapists at Defendants. Defendants failed to adequately investigate and reprimand them. By allowing the massage therapists to continue working as massage therapists after each of the aforementioned incidents and failing to adequately investigate and reprimand them, Defendants approved, aided and abetted, adopted, and ratified the massage therapists' sexual misconduct.
- 119. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that they will continue to suffer extreme mental, physical, and pain and suffering in the future as a result of the injuries alleged herein.
- 120. As a further proximate result, Plaintiff's have been damaged in that they have been required to expend money and incur obligations for medical services, drugs, and sundries

reasonably required in the treatment and relief of the injuries alleged according to proof. As a further proximate result, Plaintiffs will continue to incur, medical and related expenses.

121. Plaintiffs have also been required to expend attorney fees to pursue their rights under Civil Code § 1708.5, and request that they be awarded all attorney fees and costs reasonably required to pursue their claims pursuant to Civil Code § 1708.5.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

GENDER VIOLENCE - Violation of California Civil Code § 52.4 (On Behalf of Jane Doe #1 (N.K.) through Jane Doe #4 (K.M.) And Against All Defendants)

- 122. Plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference, as though set forth in full, all preceding Paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 123. Defendants adopted, approved, ratified, and aided and abetted the massage therapists' gender violence on Plaintiffs, which constituted criminal offenses under California law, including Penal Code § 243.4, sexual battery, which includes the use, attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against a person.
 - 124. These crimes are at least in part based on the gender of Plaintiffs.
- 125. Defendants caused a physical intrusion or a physical invasion of a sexual nature under coercive conditions to Plaintiffs' persons in that Plaintiffs were improperly exposed and touched by the massage therapists, all without Plaintiffs' consent.
- 126. The acts of violence as alleged above were directed at Plaintiffs because they were women. These acts were intended to humiliate and degrade Plaintiffs because they were women. These acts robbed Plaintiffs of their dignity.
- 127. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that they will continue to suffer extreme mental, physical, and pain and suffering in the future as a result of the injuries alleged herein.
- 128. As a further proximate result, Plaintiffs have been damaged in that they have been required to expend money and incur obligations for medical services, drugs, and sundries

reasonably required in the treatment and relief of the injuries alleged according to proof. As a further proximate result, Plaintiffs will continue to incur, medical and related expenses.

129. Defendants' aforementioned conduct was accomplished intentionally and/or recklessly with conscious disregard for Plaintiffs' health, safety, privacy, freedom, and human dignity. The aforementioned conduct was so outrageous in character and so extreme in degree as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency, and should be regarded as despicable, atrocious, and utterly intolerable in a civilized community. The acts of Defendants alleged above were willful, wanton, malicious, oppressive, fraudulent, despicable, and outrageous and justify the awarding of exemplary and punitive damages.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

FREEDOM FROM VIOLENCE PURSUANT TO THE RALPH ACT – Violation of California Civil Code §§ 51.7 and 52

(On Behalf of Jane Doe #1 (N.K.) through Jane Doe #4 (K.M.) And Against All Defendants)

- 130. Plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference, as though set forth in full, all preceding Paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 131. Defendants subjected Plaintiffs to violence based on their sex, causing physical and psychological injuries to them. A motivating reason for their conduct was Plaintiffs' sex.
- 132. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that they will continue to suffer extreme mental, physical, and pain and suffering in the future as a result of the injuries alleged herein.
- 133. As a further proximate result, Plaintiffs have been damaged in that they have been required to expend money and incur obligations for medical services, drugs, and sundries reasonably required in the treatment and relief of the injuries alleged according to proof. As a further proximate result, Plaintiffs will continue to incur, medical and related expenses.
 - 134. Defendants' conduct was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiffs' harm.
 - 135. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, Plaintiffs are entitled to a \$25,000.00

penalty and/or punitive damages for Defendants' conduct in violation of Civil Code § 51.7, as well as attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Civil Code § 52.

136. The aforementioned conduct was accomplished intentionally and/or recklessly with conscious disregard for said Plaintiffs' health, safety, privacy, freedom, and human dignity. Defendant's aforementioned conduct was so outrageous in character and so extreme in degree as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency, and should be regarded as despicable, atrocious, and utterly intolerable in a civilized community. The acts of Defendants alleged above were willful, wanton, malicious, oppressive, fraudulent, despicable, and outrageous and justify the awarding of exemplary and punitive damages.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION FALSE IMPRISONMENT

(On Behalf of Jane Doe #1 (N.K.) through Jane Doe #4 (K.M.) And Against All Defendants)

- 137. Plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference, as though set forth in full, all preceding Paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 138. The massage therapists recklessly, negligently, and wrongly restrained, confined, and detained Plaintiffs by depriving them of their freedom of movement by use of physical force without Plaintiffs' consent.
- 139. The massage therapists were still employed as massage therapists at Defendants. Defendants failed to adequately investigate and reprimand the massage therapists. By allowing them to continue working as massage therapists after each of the aforementioned incidents and failing to adequately investigate and reprimand them, Defendants approved, aided and abetted, adopted, and ratified the massage therapists' false imprisonment of Plaintiffs.
 - 140. Defendants' conduct was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiffs' harm.
- 141. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that they will continue to suffer extreme mental, physical, and pain and suffering in the future as a result of the injuries alleged herein.

- 142. As a further proximate result, Plaintiffs have been damaged in that they have been required to expend money and incur obligations for medical services, drugs, and sundries reasonably required in the treatment and relief of the injuries alleged according to proof. As a further proximate result, Plaintiffs will continue to incur, medical and related expenses.
- 143. The acts of Defendants alleged above were willful, wanton, malicious, oppressive, fraudulent, despicable, and outrageous and justify the awarding of exemplary and punitive damages.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION

(On Behalf of Jane Doe #1 (N.K.) through Jane Doe #4 (K.M.) And Against All Defendants)

- 144. Plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference, as though set forth in full, all preceding Paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 145. Defendants had no reasonable grounds for believing the false representations it made to Plaintiffs regarding safety and reliability of its services were true. Nevertheless, Defendants intended that customers, including Plaintiffs, rely on their representations in choosing Massage Envy over other massage therapy services and options.
- 146. Plaintiffs reasonably relied on Defendants' misrepresentations in obtaining massages at Massage Envy locations, and their reliance on Defendants' misrepresentations were a substantial factor in causing their harm. If Plaintiffs had known the facts Defendants concealed about their service, security screening, and massage therapists, they would not have accepted massages from the massage therapists. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs with safe massages.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

FRAUD, INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATION, CONCEALMENT, FALSE PROMISE

(On Behalf of All Plaintiffs And Against All Defendants)

11

10

12 13

14

16

15

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

- Plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference, as though set forth in full, all 147. preceding Paragraphs of this Complaint.
 - 148. Defendants made false representations and false promises that harmed Plaintiffs.
- Defendants falsely represented to Plaintiffs that they had a "zero tolerance" 149. policy relating to sexual misconduct by massage therapists. Defendants falsely represented to Plaintiffs that the massage therapists in their employ and service were not only psychologically fit, but were therapists who could be entrusted with the safety and well-being of female customers. Defendants represented that their massage therapists were properly screened and were safe.
- Defendants falsely represented to Plaintiffs that their massages were safe and 150. that their massage therapists were safe.
- Defendants knew these representations were false and intended for customers. 151. like Plaintiffs, to rely on them.
- 152. Defendants knew that their security screening was deficient, that their background checks were below industry standards, and that their massage therapists were not trained or supervised, or given sexual harassment and abuse standards. Defendants knew that numerous women had been assaulted by massage therapists. Defendants knew that it was not safe for female customers to get massages from their massage therapists. Defendants intentionally concealed these facts, and deliberately represented the opposite – that Massage Envy had a "zero tolerance" policy relating to sexual misconduct and their massage therapists could be entrusted with customer safety.
- Plaintiffs reasonably relied on Defendants' misrepresentations in getting massages at Massage Envy locations, and their reliance on Defendants' misrepresentations were a substantial factor in causing their harm. If Plaintiffs had known the facts Defendants concealed about their service, security screening, and massage therapists, they would never have accepted massages from the massage therapists. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs with safe massages.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

${\bf CONSUMER\ LEGAL\ REMEDIES\ ACT-Violation\ of\ Civil\ Code\ \S\ 1750,\it et\ seq.}$

(On Behalf of All Plaintiffs And Against All Defendants)

- 154. Plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference, as though set forth in full, all preceding Paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 155. Plaintiffs are consumers and Massage Envy massage services are goods or services as those terms are defined in Civil Code § 1761.
 - 156. Each Defendant is a "person," as that term is defined in Civil Code § 1761(c).
- 157. Each Plaintiff's massage at the Massage Envy locations constituted a "transaction," as that term is defined in Civil Code § 1761(e).
- 158. As detailed above, Defendants have engaged in and continue to engage in business practices in violation of Civil Code § 1750, et seq. (the CLRA) by inter alia, actively concealing and failing to warn customers about the inadequacy of their background screening of massage therapists, as well as their failure to monitor the conduct of massage therapists after hire.
- 159. Defendants also misled consumers about the safety of their services by falsely claiming they had a "zero tolerance" policy relating to sexual misconduct by massage therapists. Defendants misled consumers that the massage therapists in their employ and service were not only psychologically fit, but were therapists who could be entrusted with the safety and wellbeing of female customers.
- 160. Defendants have actively concealed and failed to disclose this information knowing that such information is material to a reasonable consumer's decision to use Massage Envy for massage services, and thereby misrepresented the safety of massages offered by Massage Therapy.
- 161. Defendants' business practices are unfair and/or deceptive and should be enjoined.
 - 162. Defendants have engaged in unfair or deceptive acts or practices intended to

22.

- to keep the problem of woman being sexually assaulted at its franchise locations by massage therapists from Plaintiffs, and all of Defendants' customers. Instead of informing Plaintiffs and all of Defendants' customers about the problem of woman being sexually assaulted at its franchise locations by massage therapists, MEF, other Defendants named herein, and other of MEF's franchisees intentionally and falsely told Plaintiffs and all of Defendants' customers that safety is at the core of their company's mission, that it has a zero tolerance policy towards sexual assaults committed by their massage therapists, that they protect their customers, that they carefully select and thoroughly train their massage therapists, that they are dedicated to providing a confortable and professional environment, that Plaintiffs and all of Defendants' customers can be confident they will have a positive experience, that they bring joy into Plaintiffs and all of Defendants' customers' lives, and that they make the best of everybody, among other intentionally false statements to Plaintiffs and all of Defendants' customers.
- 171. In furtherance of said conspiracy and agreement, Defendants engaged in fraudulent representations, omissions and concealment of facts, acts of cover-up and statements calculated to obtain Plaintiffs and all of Defendants' customers as massage customers in their Massage Envy franchise locations for the benefit of Defendants and as set forth in detail in the foregoing paragraphs, which are hereby incorporated herein as though set forth in full.
- 172. All of the actions of Defendants set forth in the preceding paragraphs, incorporated herein, were in violation of the rights of Plaintiffs and committed in furtherance of the aforementioned conspiracies and agreements. Moreover, each of the aforementioned Defendants lent aid and encouragement and knowingly financed, ratified and adopted the acts of the other. As a proximate result of the wrongful acts herein alleged, Plaintiffs and the class have suffered significant damage to be determined at trial.
- 173. These acts constituted malicious conduct which was carried on by said

 Defendants with willful and conscious disregard for Plaintiffs' rights with the intention of

sexual battery in violation of Civil Code § 1708.5; gender violence in violation of Civil Code §

52.4; Ralph Act sexual harassment in violation of the Civil Code § 51.7; civil conspiracy to

26

27

deprive Plaintiffs their civil rights based on sex; intentional infliction of emotional distress; negligence and negligent supervision and hiring; and fraud, concealment and misrepresentation.

- 178. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, as set forth herein, Defendants have received ill-gotten gains and/or profits, including, but not limited to money. Therefore, Defendants were and are unjustly enriched. Pursuant to Business & Professions Code § 17203, Plaintiffs and the class request restitution and/or restitutionary disgorgement of all sums, including profits, obtained in violation of Business & Professions Code §§17200, et seq.
- 179. Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief, restitution and restitutionary disgorgement of ill-gotten gains from Defendants as specifically provided in Business & Professions Code § 17203. Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief, restitution and restitutionary disgorgement of the ill-gotten gains from Defendants.
 - 180. Plaintiffs engaged counsel to prosecute this action.
- 181. Plaintiffs are informed and believes and based thereon alleges that Defendants' illegal acts as described above are a serious and continuing threat to Plaintiffs and the public. If Defendants are allowed to continue their unfair and unlawful acts, Plaintiffs and the public will suffer further immediate and irreparable injury, loss and damage. Plaintiffs are further informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that, in the absence of a temporary restraining order and preliminary and permanent injunctions as prayed for below, Defendants will continue to unfairly and unlawfully compete.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants, and each of them as follows as to each cause of action:

- 1. For general damages;
- 2. For special damages for medical, hospital, and incidental expenses;
- 3. For punitive damages/exemplary damages according to proof and pursuant to Civil Code § 1708.5(3)(b);

1	4.	For attorney fees a	and/or penalties pursuant to Civil Code §§ 1708.5(3)(b), and	
2		Civil Code §§ 51.7,	, 52, and 52.4, and Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5;	
3	5.	For costs of suit her	rein incurred;	
4	6.	For injunctive relie	f pursuant to Civil Code §§ 1770 and 1780(a) and (e).	
5	7.	That the Court ente	er an order for restitution and/or restitutionary disgorgement of	
6	profits wron	profits wrongfully obtained by the Defendants pursuant to Business and Professions Code §		
7	17200, et seq.			
8	8. For such other and further relief as the court may deem proper.			
.9	JURY DEMAND			
10	Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury on all triable issues.			
11				
12	DATED: Ju	ıly 17, 2018	THOMPSON LAW OFFICES, P.C.	
13			M_{\perp}	
14			Mutul	
15			Robert W. Thompson, Esq.	
16			Attorney for Plaintiffs	
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				