

DEREK S. BENTSEN (Cal. Bar No. 232550)

Email: bentsend@sec.gov

GINA M JOYCE (Cal. Bar No. 143233)

Email: joyceg@sec.gov

RYAN FARNEY

Email: farneyr@sec.gov

NINA B. FINSTON

Email: finstonn@sec.gov

100 F. Street, NE

Washington, DC 20549

Telephone: (202) 551-6426 (Bentsen)

Facsimile: (202) 772-9282 (Bentsen)

LOCAL COUNSEL

GARY Y. LEUNG (Cal Bar. No. 302928)

Email: leungg@sec.gov

444 S. Flower Street, Suite 900

Los Angeles, California 90071

Telephone: (323) 965-3998

Facsimile: (213) 443-1904

Attorneys for the Plaintiff

Securities and Exchange Commission

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Curative Biosciences, Inc. f/k/a/
Healthient, Inc.,
William M. Alverson,
Katherine West Alverson, and
Steven G. Patton,

Defendants, and

Katherine West Alverson,
Northeast Capital Group, LLC, and
Panacea Holdings Inc.

Relief Defendants.

Case No. 18-cv-925

COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

1 Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) alleges:

2 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 20(b),
4 20(d)(1) and 22(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (“Securities Act”) [15 U.S.C. §§
5 77t(b), 77t(d)(1) & 77v(a)] and Sections 21(d)(1), 21(d)(3)(A), 21(e) and 27(a) of the
6 Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d)(1),
7 78u(d)(3)(A), 78u(e) & 78aa(a)].

8 2. Defendants have, directly or indirectly, made use of the means or
9 instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national
10 securities exchange in connection with the transactions, acts, practices and courses of
11 business alleged in this complaint.

12 3. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to Section 22(a) of the Securities
13 Act [15 U.S.C. § 77v(a)] and Section 27(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §
14 78aa(a)] because certain of the transactions, acts, practices and courses of conduct
15 constituting violations of the federal securities laws occurred within this district. In
16 addition, venue is proper in this district because Defendant Steven G. Patton resides
17 in this district.

18 **SUMMARY**

19 4. Curative Biosciences, Inc. f/k/a Healthient, Inc. (the “Company”),
20 William M. Alverson (“Alverson”) the Chairman of the Board, and Katherine West
21 Alverson (“West”) the President and Chief Executive Officer (collectively “the
22 Alversons”), made materially false and misleading statements to the investing public
23 in the Company’s 2013 Forms 10-K and 10-K/A (“collectively 2013 10-Ks”) to
24 conceal their unregistered sale of more than 29 million shares of the Company’s
25 stock, the bulk of the proceeds of which they paid to themselves. Alverson and West
26 planned to divert millions of shares of stock to a business acquaintance, Steven G.
27 Patton (“Patton”), disguised in the Company’s public filings as payment for
28 consulting services much of which Patton never performed and as payment to a

1 Patton controlled entity, Surfside Partners, Inc. (“Surfside”), to pay a claim that was
2 much smaller than the value of the shares issued to that entity. Pursuant to the plan,
3 Alverson directed Patton to sell all of the shares the Company issued to him, keep a
4 small payment for himself, and divert the bulk of the proceeds back to Alverson and
5 West, via Northeast Capital Group, LLC (“Northeast Capital”) and/or Panacea
6 Holdings Inc. (“Panacea”), two entities controlled by Alverson and/or West. The
7 Alversons used the approximately \$4 million in diverted proceeds for personal
8 expenses such as country club fees, retail purchases, restaurant tabs, and spas, and
9 some business expenses.

10 5. In the 2013 10-Ks filed with the SEC in September and October 2013,
11 the Company, Alverson, and West informed the investing public that the Company
12 had previously registered and issued 20.5 million shares pursuant to an equity
13 compensation plan (the “Plan”) registered using the Form S-8 registration statement
14 (“S-8 shares”) and issued for services. Contrary to these representations, the
15 Company, Alverson, and West authorized and issued 17.23 million shares to Patton
16 who, having only provided negligible services to the Company, sold the shares to the
17 investing public and routed the bulk of the proceeds to Northeast Capital at the
18 direction of Alverson. Patton wired more than \$2.1 million from the sale of these
19 shares to Northeast Capital.

20 6. The Company, Alverson, and West also stated in the 2013 10-Ks that,
21 with approval of a reviewing court, the Company authorized the issuance of 19.1
22 million shares of common stock pursuant to Section 3(a)(10) of the Securities Act [15
23 U.S.C. § 77c(a)(10)] to discharge \$95,500 in claims against the Company that had
24 been purchased by a “third party and a non-party to the legal action against the
25 Company.” The so-called “third party” was Patton’s entity, Surfside. Contrary to
26 these representations, the Company, Alverson, and West authorized and issued shares
27 worth 18 times the amount of pending claim to Surfside. Alverson maintained
28 control over all of these shares and at his direction Patton sold the shares and returned

1 the bulk of the proceeds to Northeast Capital and Panacea. Patton wired more than
2 \$1.9 from the sale of these shares back to Northeast Capital and Panacea.

3 7. Additionally, from May through August 2013, the Company, Alverson
4 and West -- through Patton -- sold approximately 7 million purported Section
5 3(a)(10) shares to the public without a registration statement in effect. Section
6 3(a)(10) requires that the "terms and conditions" of the issuance be disclosed to the
7 reviewing court. Alverson and West failed to disclose to the reviewing court the true
8 terms and conditions of the issuance involving Patton. Accordingly, the exemption
9 did not apply.

10 8. The Company, Alverson, and West directly or indirectly violated the
11 anti-fraud and registration provisions and Patton violated the registration provisions
12 of the federal securities laws. The SEC requests, among other things, that the Court:
13 (1) enjoin the Company, Alverson, West, and Patton from further violating the
14 federal securities laws as alleged in this complaint; (2) prohibit Alverson and West,
15 directly or indirectly, from participating in the issuance, purchase, offer or sale of any
16 security other than the purchase or sale of securities on a national securities exchange
17 for their personal accounts; (3) prohibit Alverson, West, and Patton from
18 participating in the offer or sale of penny stock; (4) prohibit Alverson and West from
19 serving as officers or directors of a public company; (5) order the Company,
20 Alverson, West, Patton, Northeast Capital, and Panacea to pay disgorgement; and (6)
21 order the Company, Alverson, and West to pay a monetary penalty based upon these
22 violations.

23 **DEFENDANTS**

24 9. **Curative Biosciences, Inc. f/k/a Healthient, Inc.** was incorporated in
25 California in 1996 as Renet Services, Inc. and reincorporated in 2000 in Nevada
26 under the name Time Lending, California, Inc. The Company subsequently changed
27 its name to Time Associates, Inc. in 2009; to Healthient, Inc. in 2010; to
28 SnackHealthy, Inc. in 2013; to Amaize Beverage Corp. in 2015; and to Curative

1 Biosciences, Inc. in 2017. The Company was headquartered in Jupiter, Florida
2 during the period October 2010 through January 2014 and in Newport Beach,
3 California during the period January 2014 through at least October 2014. The
4 Company is now located in Miami, Florida. During the relevant period, the Company
5 purportedly was in the business of developing and marketing snack food products.
6 The Company's common stock was quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board under the
7 symbol "SNAX." The Alversons collectively and/or Alverson or West individually
8 owned a majority of the Company's shares in the Company's fiscal years 2012
9 through 2014. During the relevant period, the Company did not meet the
10 requirements to register shares on Form S-8.

11 10. **William M. Alverson**, age 53, resides in Jupiter, Florida. During the
12 relevant period up to the present, he has been married to Defendant West. During the
13 period October 5, 2010 through January 28, 2014, Alverson served as Chairman of
14 the Board of the Company. In January 2015, Alverson pleaded guilty to having
15 engaged in illicit unregistered sales of securities of Company stock in violation of 15
16 U.S.C. §§ 77e. *U.S. v. William Alverson*, SA CR-14-97-JVS (C.D. Cal.). He was
17 sentenced to six months imprisonment to be followed by two years of supervised
18 release and a special assessment of \$100. He was released from prison on July 28,
19 2017.

20 11. **Stephen G. Patton**, age 48, resides in Dana Point, California. Through
21 the relevant time period up to the present, he has worked as a media advertising
22 consultant. During the relevant period, Patton was the sole owner, officer, and
23 director of two private companies, Charlie Don't Surf, Inc. ("CDS") and Surfside
24 Partners, Inc. ("Surfside"). During the relevant period, Patton made unregistered
25 offers and sales of securities of the Company and wired the bulk of the proceeds back
26 to the entities controlled by Alverson and/or West from locations in Malibu and
27 Mission Viejo, California, amongst multiple other locations.
28

1 **DEFENDANT OR ALTERNATIVELY RELIEF DEFENDANT**

2 12. **Katherine West Alverson**, age 48, resides in Jupiter, Florida. During
3 the relevant period up to the present, she has been married to Defendant Alverson.
4 During the period October 5, 2010 through January 18, 2014, West was CEO,
5 President and a director of the Company and handled its day-to-day operations. Since
6 January 28, 2014, West has served as Chairman of the Company. Effective March 5,
7 2018, West also became Chief Operating Officer of the Company.

8 **RELIEF DEFENDANTS**

9 13. **Northeast Capital Group, LLC** is a Delaware limited liability
10 company formed in 2010 by West and controlled by West and/or Alverson during the
11 relevant period. At all relevant times, West was the sole signatory on Northeast
12 Capital's bank account, to which proceeds from the sale of Company shares were
13 routed.

14 14. **Panacea Holdings Inc.** is a Delaware limited liability company formed
15 in December 2011 and controlled by West and/or Alverson during the relevant
16 period. At all relevant times, West was the sole signatory on Panacea's bank account,
17 to which proceeds from the sale of Company shares were routed.

18 **RELATED ENTITIES**

19 15. **Charlie Don't Surf, Inc.** was incorporated by Patton in Florida in 2011,
20 and maintained its principal place of business in Ft. Lauderdale, Florida. Patton
21 voluntarily dissolved Charlie Don't Surf in December 2017.

22 16. **Surfside Partners, Inc.** was incorporated by Patton in California in
23 April 2012 and dissolved by him in December 2012. It maintained its principal place
24 of business in Malibu and Mission Viejo, California. In December 2012, Patton
25 incorporated a new entity in the same name in Nevada with a principal place of
26 business in Henderson, Nevada. The Nevada entity was dissolved in November
27 2017.

FACTS

Alverson and West Directed the Company to Issue More Than 29 Million Shares of Unregistered Securities to Themselves and Filed Materially False and Misleading Disclosures That Concealed the True Nature of Those Issuances

17. Alverson and West directed the Company to issue more than 29 million shares of the Company's common stock to themselves. The Company, Alverson, and West told investors that the shares were issued to pay employees and consultants for services and as payment to a third-party to discharge claims against the Company. The Alversons had actually directed the Company to issue all 29 million shares to a business acquaintance, Patton, or his entity, Surfside. Patton agreed in advance to accept the shares, allow Alverson to sell the shares or sell the shares himself at Alverson's direction, and wire the bulk of the proceeds to Northeast and Panacea, entities controlled by Alverson and/or West. In this manner, Patton paid the Alversons approximately \$4 million from the sale of these shares that the Alversons used for business and personal expenses.

18. Between May and August 2013, the Company, Alverson, West, and Patton illegally sold more than 7 million shares of the Company's stock without a valid registration statement and pursuant to an improperly obtained Section 3(a)(10) exemption.

1. The Company, Alverson, and West Issued 17.23 Million Purported S-8 Shares to Patton With Negligible Services Being Rendered

19. A company required to make periodic filings with the SEC under either Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78m and 78o(d)] may use a Form S-8 registration statement to issue shares to employees and consultants pursuant to an employee benefit plan.

20. S-8 shares may not be used in connection with the offer or sale of securities in a capital raising transaction or to directly or indirectly promote or

1 maintain a market for the registrant's securities.

2 21. As of the first day of the Company's 2011 and 2012 fiscal years, July 1,
3 2010 and July 1, 2011, the Company did not have 300 shareholders of record. Thus,
4 its obligation to file periodic reports pursuant to Section 15(d) was suspended, and the
5 Company was ineligible to file a Form S-8 registration statement to register an
6 offering of Company shares during those fiscal years.

7 22. In fiscal year 2011, the Company adopted an equity compensation plan
8 (the "Plan") and identified the Plan on the registration statement filed with the
9 Commission pursuant to Form S-8. The Company purportedly registered 8,500,000
10 shares of its common stock pursuant to the Plan on the Form S-8 registration
11 statement filed with the SEC. The Company purportedly registered an additional
12 12,000,000 shares of its common stock on an amendment to Form S-8 registration
13 statement filed with the SEC in January 2012.

14 23. In or around March 2011, Alverson asked Patton whether he would be
15 willing to perform internet marketing services for the Company.

16 24. At a meeting in or around May 2011, attended by Alverson, West, and
17 Patton, Alverson proposed that, the Company would issue purported S-8 shares to
18 Patton, but that the shares would remain subject to Alverson's control. Alverson
19 further explained that Patton would have to open a brokerage account at a firm
20 designated by Alverson into which Patton was to deposit the S-8 shares and over
21 which Patton was to grant Alverson trading authority. Alverson made clear that he
22 would direct Patton when to sell the shares and/or sell the shares himself pursuant to
23 his trading authority over the account. Alverson further indicated that Patton would
24 wire the bulk of the sales proceeds as directed by Alverson, and retain some portion
25 of the proceeds as compensation for Patton's facilitating the sales.

26 25. Patton agreed to the arrangement proposed by Alverson.

27 26. In June 2011, at Alverson's direction, Patton opened a brokerage
28 account in CDS's name with a Utah-based brokerage firm (the "brokerage firm") and

1 allowed Alverson trading authority in that account.

2 27. In or around June 2011, Alverson and/or West instructed the Company's
3 then transfer agent, located in Nevada, to issue S-8 shares to Patton.

4 28. Between July 1, 2011 and March 12, 2012, Alverson and West held six
5 special meetings of the board of directors at which they were the only attendees.

6 During these meetings, Alverson and West authorized the issuance of 17.32 million
7 purported S-8 shares to Patton pursuant to Alverson's agreement with Patton.

8 Specifically, Alverson and West authorized in writing the issuance of these shares to
9 Patton "for services rendered to the [Company] pursuant to the [Company's] 2010
10 Equity Compensation Plan . . . [which had] been registered on the registration
11 statement on Form S-8." West signed the minutes of the six special meetings of the
12 board of directors.

13 29. West issued written instructions via email -- on Company letterhead and
14 signed by her in her capacity as the Company's CEO and President -- to the
15 Company's Utah-based transfer agent to issue a specified number of "S-8" shares in
16 the name of Patton. West also signed a standard representation letter required by the
17 transfer agent before it would issue a stock certificate in which West represented that
18 the shares were issued under the Company's 2010 Equity Compensation Plan
19 registered on Form S-8 and filed with the SEC on November 9, 2010. West also
20 falsely claimed in the letter that "the shares issued were not in connection with the
21 offer or sale of securities in a capital-raising transaction "

22 30. As a result of the foregoing, the transfer agents issued 17.23 million
23 purported S-8 shares to Patton which, following a reissuance of the certificates at
24 Patton's direction in the name of CDS, Patton deposited into the CDS brokerage
25 account between June 2011 and October 2012.

26 31. Although Patton began receiving shares in June 2011, he performed no
27 services for the Company prior to late 2011. Since then his services have been
28 negligible.

1 32. Beginning in late June 2011, and continuing through early October 2012,
2 Alverson, or Patton at Alverson's direction, placed sell orders with the brokerage firm
3 to sell all 17.23 million purported S-8 shares through the CDS brokerage account,
4 generating gross illicit proceeds of \$2,365,591. Patton and Alverson placed sales
5 calls from multiple locations including, in the case of Patton, from Malibu,
6 California.

7 33. Beginning in July 2011, and continuing through October 2012, from
8 multiple locations, including Malibu, California, Patton, at Alverson's direction,
9 wired cash from the CDS brokerage account, via a CDS bank account, to a Northeast
10 Capital bank account. West was the sole signatory on the Northeast Capital bank
11 account.

12 34. West, on behalf of Northeast Capital, sent Patton fake invoices that
13 described services that Northeast Capital purportedly performed for CDS. No such
14 services were provided. At or around the time of receiving each invoice, Patton
15 wired the funds to Northeast Capital.

16 35. Additionally, on September 2, 2011, the Alversons authorized the
17 issuance of four million restricted shares to Patton ("the Restricted Shares")
18 purportedly for \$400,000 of services rendered. Patton had performed negligible
19 services at that time, but represented to the brokerage firm that he had provided full
20 consideration for the Restricted Shares by September 5, 2011. Patton then sold the
21 Restricted Shares prior to the running of the required holding period and directed the
22 bulk of the proceeds to the Alverson in the same manner as with the purported S-8
23 shares.

24 36. Patton, at Alverson's direction, wired \$2,189,650 in net proceeds from
25 the sale of the purported S-8 and Restricted shares to Northeast Capital. Patton
26 retained approximately \$128,000.

27 37. Alverson and West used the cash wired from CDS for both Company
28 and personal expenses including, but not limited to country club dues, retail

1 purchases, restaurant tabs, and spas.

2 **2. The Company, Alverson, and West Authorized the Company to Issue**
3 **19.1 Million Shares to Surfside**

4 38. Section 3(a)(10) of the Securities Act permits a company to issue
5 common stock “in exchange for one or more bona fide outstanding securities, claims
6 or property interests” without having to file a registration statement, “where the terms
7 and conditions of such issuance and exchange are approved after a hearing upon the
8 fairness of such terms and conditions” by any court.

9 39. On April 5, 2012, an entity called Siesta Flow LLC obtained a judgment
10 for breach of contract against the Company in the Twelfth Judicial Circuit Court in
11 and for Sarasota County, Florida in the amount of \$95,159.99.

12 40. Alverson again approached Patton and proposed that a Patton-controlled
13 entity buy the claim from Siesta Flow and two associated claims against the
14 Company from attorneys for services rendered and, in turn, the Company would issue
15 shares to the Patton-controlled entity in satisfaction of the claims. Alverson then told
16 Patton that the Patton-controlled entity would sell the shares and divert most of the
17 proceeds to Alverson-controlled entities while retaining a portion as compensation for
18 facilitating the sales. Alverson made this proposal via telephone to Patton who was
19 physically located in the Central District of California at the time Alverson placed
20 and Patton took the call.

21 41. Patton agreed to the proposal.

22 42. Alverson told Patton that CDS could not be the entity to purchase the
23 claims because Alverson wanted to avoid questions about CDS’ status as a potential
24 affiliate of the Company.

25 43. In April 2012, Patton incorporated Surfside in California to effectuate
26 the transactions.

27 44. On April 24, 2012, Surfside entered into an assignment of claims
28 agreement which obligated it to pay a total of \$95,500 in satisfaction of the claims:

1 \$75,000 to Siesta Flow; \$16,000 to counsel who had defended the Company in the
2 Siesta Flow litigation; and \$4,500 to counsel who issued a legal opinion in connection
3 with the settlement. Accordingly, Surfside took assignment of the three claims
4 against the Company.

5 45. Also on April 24, 2012, West, in her corporate capacity, and Patton, on
6 behalf of Surfside, signed a Share Issuance Agreement which called for the Company
7 to issue 19.1 million shares to Surfside “for the relinquishment of the Claims”
8 Surfside now held against the Company, subject to court approval.

9 46. Alverson told Patton that Patton would retain beneficial interest in and
10 control of two million of the 19.1 million shares to be issued to Surfside, and that
11 Alverson would retain beneficial interest in and control of the remaining 17.1 million
12 shares.

13 47. On April 27, 2012, the Company filed a motion in the Sarasota County
14 court for an order approving the settlement and assessing the fairness of the
15 assignment of claims and share issuance. In support of the motion, the Company
16 submitted, among other documents, a copy of the Share Issuance Agreement and an
17 opinion letter from an attorney advising that the share issuance complied with the
18 requirements of Securities Act Section 3(a)(10).

19 48. The Company failed to mention, in any of the documents that it filed
20 with the Sarasota County court, the side agreement between Alverson and Patton
21 pursuant to which Alverson retained ultimate control of the majority of the shares to
22 be issued. Further, no party to the proceeding informed the court of the side
23 agreement. Additionally, the Company falsely claimed in its paperwork to the court
24 that Surfside was not an affiliate of the Company, even though Patton had
25 incorporated Surfside at Alverson’s direction and was allowing Alverson to control
26 the sale of the shares that would be issued.

27 49. The court ultimately issued an order approving the settlement and stating
28 that the issuance of the 19.1 million shares “would conform to the provisions of

1 Section 3(a)(10).”

2 50. At that time, the fair market value of the shares determined by
3 multiplying the number of shares, 19.1 million, by the then per share market price,
4 \$.09, was approximately \$1.7 million, or 18 times the value of the claims being
5 settled.

6 51. Surfside discharged the \$95,500 settlement amount by making three
7 separate payments, the last of which Patton made out in the amount of \$16,000 on or
8 about April 18, 2013, and which was drawn on Surfside’s bank account on April 22,
9 2013.

10 52. In October 2012, Patton opened a brokerage account in Surfside’s name
11 with the same brokerage firm with which CDS held its brokerage account.

12 53. The Share Issuance Agreement provided that Surfside could not hold
13 more than 9.99% of the issued and outstanding Company common stock at a given
14 time. As a result, Alverson and West directed the Company to issue the 19.1 million
15 authorized Section 3(a)(10) shares incrementally. During the eight months between
16 October 2012 and June 2013, Alverson and West signed eleven unanimous written
17 consents of the Company’s board of directors in which they authorized the Company
18 to issue a specified number of purported Section 3(a)(10) shares to Surfside.

19 54. Each of the unanimous consents described the shares authorized as
20 “Rule 3(a)10 [sic] Court Approved Issuance”, and authorized the officers to take
21 steps necessary to effectuate the transactions.

22 55. Pursuant to that authority, West issued written instructions to the
23 Company’s transfer agent via email to issue stock certificates for a specified number
24 of shares to Surfside that were “Rule 3a-10 [sic] Court Approved.” West issued the
25 instructions on Company letterhead and signed them in her capacity as the
26 Company’s CEO and President.

27 56. Pursuant to West’s instructions, the transfer agent issued 12.95 million
28 Company shares (of the 19.1 million Section 3(a)(10) shares authorized) to Surfside

1 which Patton, acting on behalf of Surfside, deposited into Surfside's account with the
2 brokerage firm.

3 57. Beginning on November 7, 2012, and continuing through August 30,
4 2013, Alverson, or Patton at Alverson's direction, sold 11.88 million of the purported
5 Section 3(a)(10) shares through the Surfside brokerage account, generating gross
6 illicit proceeds of \$2,206,153. Patton made sales calls to the brokerage firm from
7 multiple locations including Malibu and Mission Viejo, California.

8 58. Surfside held all 11.88 million shares for less than one year before
9 Alverson and/or Patton sold them into the public market.

10 59. During the same time period, Patton, at Alverson's direction,
11 intermittently wired cash from the Surfside brokerage account, via a Surfside bank
12 account, to bank accounts for Northeast Capital and Panacea over which West was
13 the sole signatory.

14 60. Patton ultimately wired \$1.8 million to Northeast Capital's bank account
15 and \$43,210 to Panacea's bank account while retaining \$139,363.95 for himself for
16 executing the transactions.

17 61. As before with the purported S-8 shares, West, on behalf of Northeast
18 Capital, manufactured bogus invoices to Surfside stating that Northeast Capital had
19 provided services to Surfside, which corresponded to some (though not all) of the
20 cash that Patton wired to Northeast Capital's bank account.

21 62. The first nine invoices, from November 14, 2012 through January 28,
22 2012, were all backdated and were sent by West via email on behalf of Northeast
23 Capital to Patton on February 28, 2013, at which point in time the corresponding wire
24 transfers from Surfside had already taken place.

25 63. Northeast Capital performed no services for Surfside.

26 64. Alverson and West used the cash wired to Northeast Capital and Panacea
27 for both Company and personal expenses such as country club dues, retail purchases,
28 restaurant tabs, and spas.

1 **3. The Company, Alverson, and West Made Materially False and**
2 **Misleading Statements in the Company's 2013 10-Ks that Concealed**
3 **the Sale of 29 Million Unregistered Securities**

4 65. On September 30, 2013, the Company filed a Form 10-K with the SEC
5 for its fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. On October 1, 2013, the Company filed a
6 Form 10-K/A with the SEC for the same fiscal year. Alverson signed each of these
7 filings in his capacity as a director of the Company. West signed each of these
8 filings in her capacity as president and a director of the Company. These 2013 10-Ks
9 contain materially false and misleading statements regarding the issuance, sale, and
10 disposition of purported S-8 and Section 3(a)(10) shares that the Company issued to
11 Patton and Surfside, respectively.

12 **a. The 2013 10-Ks Are Materially False and Misleading As to the**
13 **Purported S-8 Shares**

14 66. The 2013 10-Ks describe the issuance of purported S-8 shares as
15 follows:

16 In fiscal year 2011, the Company adopted its 2010 Equity Compensation Plan
17 (the "Plan") which was registered on the registration statement on Form S-8.
18 The Company registered 8,500,000 shares of its common stock. The Plan was
19 amended in January 2012, and the Company registered an additional
20 12,000,000 shares of its common stock. . . . As of June 30, 2013, there were no
21 shares of common stock remaining for issuance under the Plan.

22 67. This statement is false and misleading. As described above, the Plan
23 required that shares be issued only "upon the completion of a specified period of
24 service, the occurrence of any event and/or the attainment of performance
25 objectives." Alverson and West knew, or were reckless in not knowing that 17.23
26 million of the 20.5 million purported S-8 shares, or 84% of the shares, had been
27 issued to Patton under the guise of the Company compensating him for consulting
28 services when, in fact, he had rendered no services or, as time passed, negligible

1 services. Further, Alverson and West knew, or were reckless in not knowing that
2 Patton was a mere conduit to sell the shares at Alverson's direction and divert 94% of
3 the proceeds to an entity controlled by Alverson and/or West and over whose bank
4 account West was the sole signatory.

5 68. This false statement was material. Investors would want to know that
6 17.23 million shares, or 84%, of a 20.5 million share Plan distribution, were issued to
7 Patton, who rendered negligible services to the Company and, instead, per agreement
8 with Alverson, routed approximately \$2 million, or 95%, of the gross proceeds from
9 the sale of the shares to the Alversons, the proceeds of which the Alversons used to
10 pay personal and Company expenses.

11 69. Additionally, in Note 4 to the financial statements included in the 2013
12 10-Ks, the Company identified the number of shares it had issued "for services" in
13 fiscal years 2011 through 2013, adjusted for a 50:1 reverse split that had occurred in
14 October 2012. Specifically, the 2013 10-Ks stated: (1) during the 2011 fiscal year,
15 "the Company issued 111,134 shares for services for \$1,555,357"; (2) during the
16 2012 fiscal year, "the Company issued 1,225,008 common shares for services of
17 \$5,448,408"; and (3) during the 2013 fiscal year, "the Company issued 389,200
18 common shares for services of \$166,200." These shares issued "for services"
19 included S-8 shares and restricted stock issued for services.

20 70. Included in the foregoing disclosed shares are shares that the Company,
21 Alverson, and West authorized and issued to Patton adjusted downward to account
22 for the 50:1 reverse stock split in October 2012: 80,000 in fiscal year 2011; 160,000
23 in fiscal year 2012; and 104,000 in fiscal year 2013. Thus, 20% of the shares issued
24 "for services" were issued to Patton.

25 71. The statement in Note 4 relating to the number of shares issued "for
26 services" is false and misleading because as Alverson and West knew, or were
27 reckless in not knowing Patton rendered no services prior to late 2011, and rendered
28 negligible services thereafter up through the present. Rather, Alverson and West also

1 knew or were reckless in not knowing that the shares were issued pursuant to an
2 agreement between Alverson and Patton. By the time the 2013 10-Ks were filed,
3 Alverson and West knew, or were reckless in not knowing that Patton had routed
4 approximately \$2 million, or 95%, of the gross proceeds from the sale of the shares to
5 the Alversons.

6 72. The false and misleading statement in Note 4 to the financial statements
7 is material. The investing public would want to know that approximately 20% of all
8 shares that the Company issued purportedly “for services” were actually issued to
9 Patton pursuant to a pre-arranged plan with Alverson for Patton to divert the bulk of
10 the proceeds to Alverson and West, and that he had routed approximately \$2 million,
11 or 95%, of the gross proceeds from the sale of the shares to the Alversons.

12 **b. The 2013 10-Ks Are Materially False and Misleading as to the**
13 **Purported Section 3(a)(10) Shares**

14 73. The 2013 10-Ks describe the issuance of the purported Section 3(a)(10)
15 shares as follows:

16 On April 27, 2012, the court issued an order to approve a settlement of the
17 judgment against the Company. According to the terms of the approved
18 settlement, a third party and a non-party to the legal action against the
19 Company, agreed to purchase the claim[s against the Company] . . . for a total
20 amount of \$95,500 in exchange for the issuance of 19,1000,00 shares of
21 common stock by the Company.

22 74. This statement is false and misleading. Alverson and West knew, or
23 were reckless in not knowing, that Surfside, the recipient of the shares, was not a
24 third party or a non-party. Rather, each knew that Patton and, by extension, Surfside
25 was an affiliate of the Company in these circumstances as each was always under the
26 control of Alverson who directed Patton when to sell the shares and to wire the
27 proceeds back to the Alversons. Further, Alverson and West knew that Surfside did
28 not need 19.1 million shares to discharge \$95,500 in claims, and that almost \$2

1 million of the proceeds of these shares were not used to discharge the claims, but
2 were paid to them.

3 75. Alverson also knew that the court order to which they refer in the 2013
4 10-Ks was improperly obtained as they failed to inform the court of the side
5 agreement with Patton.

6 76. The Company's, Alverson's, and West's false statements in the 2013 10-
7 Ks regarding the purported Section 3(a)(10) shares were material. Investors would
8 want to know that Alverson and West had entered into a self-dealing transaction in
9 which they agreed to settle a claim with themselves by paying Patton shares that were
10 worth 18 times the value of the actual underlying judgment. Further, investors would
11 want to know that the Alverson always controlled Patton and, by extension, Surfside
12 and that Surfside was not a real third-party or non-party, but rather part of Alverson's
13 plan to issue it significantly more shares than Surfside needed to discharge the claims
14 so that the Alversons could enrich themselves with almost \$2 million that the 2013
15 10-Ks never disclosed went to the Alversons.

16 **B. The Sale of the Shares to the Public Was an Unregistered Sale of**
17 **Securities**

18 77. As described above, the issuance of the shares to Patton did not conform
19 to the requirements of either Form S-8 or Section 3(a)(10).

20 78. There was no registration statement in effect for any of the sales of the
21 purported Form S-8, Restricted or Section 3(a)(10) shares.

22 79. No exemption to the registration requirements apply to the sale of the
23 purported S-8, Restricted or Section 3(a)(10) shares.

24 80. Within the five years preceding the filing of this action, the Company,
25 Alverson, West, and Patton, directly or indirectly, made unregistered offers and sales
26 to the public.

27 81. Sales order were placed via telephone calls to the Utah-based brokerage
28 firm from outside of Utah, including from Malibu and Mission Viejo, California.

82. The Company, Alverson, West, and Patton, directly or indirectly, made unregistered offers and sales to the public on the dates and in the amounts described below:

Date of Sale	Number of Shares Sold	Proceeds of Sale
5/30/2013	20,000	\$4,000
5/31/2013	280,000	\$48,450
6/3/2013	15,000	\$2,550
6/7/2013	89,424	\$13,681.87
6/10/2013	75,000	\$12,975
6/17/2013	100,000	\$15,930
6/25/2013	99,000	\$9,405
6/26/2013	450,000	\$44,100
7/1/2013	10,000	\$570
7/2/2013	120,000	\$4,320
7/8/2013	443,424	\$22,614.62
7/9/2013	99,000	\$5,950
7/10/2013	257,576	\$13,909.10
7/15/2013	251,184	\$13,940.71
7/16/2013	75,000	\$4,8000
7/17/2013	235,000	\$15,040
7/19/2013	66,000	\$4,455
7/22/2013	18,750	\$1,293.75
7/23/2013	587,000	\$37,520.60
7/24/2013	267,066	\$16,558.09
7/29/2013	61,120	\$4,706.24
7/30/2013	457,163	\$35,903.39
7/31/2013	881,717	\$132,488.83

Date of Sale	Number of Shares Sold	Proceeds of Sale
8/7/2013	273,700	\$27,233.15
8/8/2013	200,000	\$18,800
8/12/2013	162,000	\$16,011
8/13/2013	214,300	\$21,484.40
8/13/2013	435,696	\$46,782.73
8/14/2013	154,000	\$14,685
8/15/2013	109,000	\$12,605
8/22/2013	79,000	\$8,223
8/23/2013	50,000	\$4,800
8/27/2013	106,000	\$9,858
8/28/2013	66,304	\$6,497.79
8/28/2013	433,696	\$42,502.21
8/30/2013	4,685	\$398.23

83. In the preceding five years before the filing of this action, the defendants sold 7,246,805 shares of Company stock to the public without a registration statement or Section 3(a)(10) exemption for a total of \$738,242.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

The Company, Alverson, and West Violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5(b)

84. The SEC realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 76 above.

85. By filing false and misleading 2013 10-Ks as described above, the Company, Alverson, and West directly or indirectly, in connection with the purchase or sale of a security, by the use of means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange made untrue

1 statements of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact necessary in order to
2 make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were
3 made, not misleading.

4 86. The Company, Alverson, and West acted knowingly or recklessly in
5 connection with the above described false and misleading statements and omissions.
6 Each knew based upon Alverson's side agreements with Patton and the execution of
7 those agreements, or were reckless in not knowing, that the above-mentioned filings
8 with the SEC contained material misstatements and omissions.

9 87. By reason of the foregoing, the Company, Alverson, and West violated
10 Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)], and Exchange Act Rule 10b-
11 5(b) [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5(b)].

12 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

13 **Alverson and West Aided and Abetted the Company's Violations of** 14 **Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Exchange Act Rule 10b-5(b)**

15 88. The SEC realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through
16 76 above.

17 89. By engaging in the conduct described above, the Company directly or
18 indirectly, in connection with the purchase or sale of a security, by the use of any
19 means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of any facility or
20 any national securities exchange, knowingly or recklessly made untrue statements of
21 a material fact or omitted to state a material fact necessary in order to make the
22 statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not
23 misleading.

24 90. The Company violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §
25 78j(b)] and Exchange Act Rule 10b-5(b) [17 CFR § 240.10b-5(b)].

26 91. By engaging in the conduct described above, Alverson and West
27 knowingly or recklessly provided substantial assistance to the Company's violations
28 of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Exchange Act Rule

1 10b-5(b) [17 CFR § 240.10b-5(b)].

2 92. By reason of the foregoing, Alverson and West aided and abetted the
3 Company's primary violations described above and, pursuant to Section 20(e) of the
4 Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78t(e)], are liable for such violations.

5 **THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

6 **Alverson and West are Liable as Control Persons for the Company's Violations** 7 **of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Exchange Act Rule 10b-5(b)**

8 93. The SEC realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through
9 76 above.

10 94. By engaging in the conduct described above, the Company violated
11 Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Exchange Act Rule 10b-
12 5(b) [17 CFR § 240.10b-5].

13 95. Alverson and West: (a) directly or indirectly controlled the Company;
14 and (b) possessed the power and ability to control the Company as to its violations of
15 Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Exchange Act Rule 10b-
16 5(b) [17 CFR § 240.10b-5].

17 96. By reason of the foregoing, Alverson and West are liable as control
18 persons pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78t(a)] as to the
19 Company's violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and
20 Exchange Act Rule 10b-5 [17 CFR § 240.10b-5].

21 **FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

22 **The Company, Alverson, West, and Patton Violated** 23 **Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act**

24 97. The SEC realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 4,
25 7 through 18, 38 through 64, and 77 through 83 above.

26 98. The Company, Alverson, West, and Patton by engaging in the conduct
27 described above, directly or indirectly, made use of the means or instruments of
28 transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails, to offer to

1 sell or to sell securities, or to carry or cause such securities to be carried through the
2 mails or in interstate commerce for the purpose of sale or for delivery after sale
3 without a valid registration statement or a valid exemption.

4 99. The Company, Alverson, West, and Patton were necessary participants
5 and substantial factors in unregistered offerings and sales of Company stock;
6 Alverson and West authorized the Company to issue the shares and took the steps
7 necessary to enable the unregistered offer and sale of the shares to the public via
8 brokerage accounts that Patton established and maintained. But for their conduct, the
9 unregistered offerings of Company stock would not have occurred

10 100. By reason of the foregoing, the Company, Alverson, West, and Patton
11 violated Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) & 77e(c)].

12 **FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

13 **Alverson, West, and Patton Aided and Abetted the Company's Violations of**
14 **Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act**

15 101. The SEC realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 4,
16 7 through 18, 38 through 64, and 77 through 83 above.

17 102. By the conduct described above, the Company violated Sections 5(a) and
18 5(c).

19 103. By the conduct described above, Alverson, West, and Patton knowingly
20 and recklessly provided substantial assistance to, and thereby aided and abetted the
21 Company's unregistered offer and sale of securities in its violations of Sections 5(a)
22 and 5(c) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) and 77e(c)].

23 104. By reason of the foregoing, Patton aided and abetted Alverson's and
24 West's primary violations of Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C.
25 §§ 77e(a) and 77e(c)] described above and, pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities
26 Act [15 U.S.C. § 77o(b)], is liable for such violations.

27 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

28 WHEREFORE, the SEC respectfully requests that the Court enter a Judgment:

- 1 A. Permanently restraining and enjoining the Company, Alverson, and West
2 from directly or indirectly violating (1) Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act
3 and Exchange Act Rule 10b-5 pursuant to Section 21(d)(1) of the Exchange
4 Act and (2) Section 5 of the Securities Act pursuant to Section 20(b).
- 5 B. Permanently restraining and enjoining Patton from directly or indirectly
6 violating Securities Act Section 5.
- 7 C. Ordering the Company, Alverson, West (as a defendant or, alternatively, a
8 relief defendant), Patton, Northeast Capital, and Panacea to disgorge all ill-
9 gotten gains, with prejudgment interest, with disgorgement from the
10 Company, Alverson, and West (in her capacity as a defendant) to be on a
11 joint and several basis;
- 12 D. Imposing civil monetary penalties on the Company, Alverson, and West
13 pursuant to Section 20(d) of the Securities Act and 21(d) of the Exchange
14 Act;
- 15 E. Prohibiting Alverson and West each from directly or indirectly, including,
16 but not limited to, through any entity owned or controlled by either
17 defendant, participating in the issuance, purchase, offer, or sale of any
18 security, provided, however, that such injunction shall not prevent either
19 defendant from purchasing or selling securities listed on a national
20 securities exchange for their own personal accounts;
- 21 F. Prohibiting Alverson, West, and Patton from participating in an offering of
22 penny stock, including engaging in activities with a broker, dealer, or issuer
23 for purposes of issuing, trading, or inducing or attempting to induce the
24 purchase or sale of any penny stock, pursuant to Section 20(g) of the
25 Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(b)] and, in the case of Alverson and West,
26 Section 21(d)(6)(A) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(6)(A)];
- 27 G. Prohibiting Alverson and West each from acting as an officer or director of
28 any issuer that has a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of

1 the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78l] or that is required to file reports
2 pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(d)],
3 pursuant to Section 21(d)(2) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(2)];

4 H. Granting such other and additional relief as this Court may deem just and
5 proper.

6 In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 38 and C.D. Cal. L.R. 38-1, Plaintiff
7 Securities and Exchange Commission hereby demands a jury trial on all issues so
8 triable.

9 Dated: May 30, 2018

10 /s/ Derek S. Bentsen

11 Derek S. Bentsen
12 Gary Y Leung (Local Counsel)
13 Gina M. Joyce
14 Ryan Farney
15 Attorneys for Plaintiff
16 Securities and Exchange Commission

16 Of Counsel:
17 Nina B. Finston
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28