5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

NOAH G. BLECHMAN (State Bar No. 197167)
noah.blechman@mcnamaralaw.com
McNamara, Ney, Beatty, Slattery,
BORGES & AMBACHER LLP
1211 Newell Avenue
Walnut Creek, CA 94596
Telephone: (925) 939-5330 Facsimile: (925) 939-0203
Attorneys for Defendants Mike Hansen, Chris Loercher, Francisco Ramirez, Steven White, Eduardo Montero and Matthew Switzer

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOHN BURNS, TAMMY BURNS, BOBBY LAWRENCE, ESTATE OF CHARLES BURNS by TAMMY BURNS, Successor in Interest of the Estate of Charles Burns,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

CITY OF CONCORD, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. C14-00535 LB

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER DISMISSING ENTIRE ACTION
AS TO JOHN BURNS, TAMMY BURNS
AND THE ESTATE OF CHARLES BURNS
BY TAMMY BURNS, SUCCESSOR IN
INTEREST, WITH PREJUDICE

Judge: Hon. Laurel Beeler Dept: USDC (SF), 15<sup>th</sup> Floor

All remaining Defendants and Plaintiffs, John Burns, Tammy Burns Individually and as the Successor in Interest for The Estate of Charles Burns, by and through their respective attorneys of record, hereby stipulate and jointly request an Order from the Court to a dismissal of all remaining claims by said Plaintiffs' in the Fourth Amended Complaint (ECF 109, operative complaint), namely the entire action as to those named Plaintiffs, against the remaining Defendants in this action, with prejudice. Each party agrees to bear its own fees and costs as to this dismissal.

1	The parties attest that concurrence in the filing of these documents has been obtained from
2	each of the other Signatories, which shall serve in lieu of their signatures on the document.
3	
4	
5	Dated: March 12, 2018 JOHNSON&JOHNSON
6	By:/s/Johnson, Peter
7	Peter Johnson Attorneys for Plaintiffs
8	
9	Dated: March 12, 2018 MCNAMARA, NEY, BEATTY, SLATTERY, BORGES & AMBACHER LLP
10 11	By:/s/ Blechman, Noah G.
12	Noah G. Blechman Attorneys for Defendants Mike Hansen, Chris
13	Loercher, Francisco Ramirez, Steven White, Eduardo Montero and Matthew Switzer
14	
15	<u>ORDER</u>
16	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION AND REQUEST, IT IS ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:
17	The Court hereby dismisses all claims by John Burns, Tammy Burns and Tammy Burns
18	as Successor in Interest for the Estate of Charles Burns, with prejudice, as to all claims alleged by
19	said Plaintiffs against the remaining Defendants. Each party to bear its own fees and costs as to
20	this dismissal.
21	IT IS SO ORDERED
22	TI IS SO ORDERED
23	
24	Dated:
25	Hon. Laurel Beeler  Magistrate Judge of the District Court
26	5
27	
28	
28	