	Case 2:18-cv-00490-JAM-KJN Docume	ent 28 Filed 03/22/18 Page 1 of 3
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 2:18-cv-0490-JAM-KJN
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Presently pending before the court is defendant State of California's ("California") request	
19	to conduct expedited discovery in connection with plaintiff United States of America's ("United	
20	States") pending motion for a preliminary injunction. On March 19, 2018, the parties filed a joint	
21	letter brief setting forth their positions and arguments with respect to the need for expedited	
22	discovery. (ECF No. 22.) On March 21, 2018, the court also conducted a hearing at which it	
23	entertained oral argument from the parties. At the hearing, attorneys August Flentje, Lauren	
24	Bingham, and David Shelledy appeared on behalf of the United States, and attorneys Lee	
25	Sherman and Anthony Hakl appeared on behalf of California.	
26		
27	At the hearing, both parties represented that it was appropriate for the court to address the issue of expedited discovery regardless of the pending motion to transfer the action to the Northern	
28	District of California.	
		1

Case 2:18-cv-00490-JAM-KJN Document 28 Filed 03/22/18 Page 2 of 3

After carefully considering the parties' joint letter brief and oral arguments, and for the reasons stated on the record at the hearing, the court finds that good cause exists to permit California to conduct limited and targeted expedited discovery in connection with the United States' pending motion for a preliminary injunction, as outlined in this order. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- California's request for expedited discovery is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART.
- California may depose Thomas D. Homan (Deputy Director and Senior Official Performing the Duties of the Director, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement) and Todd Hoffman (Executive Director, Admissibility and Passenger Programs, Office of Field Operations, U.S. Customs and Border Protection) on the terms outlined below.
- 3. The United States shall produce each deponent for a deposition at a Department of Justice Building in Washington, D.C. on mutually agreeable dates no later than April 13, 2018, unless otherwise agreed to by the parties. The parties shall promptly advise the undersigned's courtroom deputy clerk once the deposition dates/times have been

Case 2:18-cv-00490-JAM-KJN Document 28 Filed 03/22/18 Page 3 of 3 determined. 4. Each deposition shall be limited to four (4) hours of questioning by California, although the court expects the parties to make reasonable and appropriate accommodations, if necessary. Additionally, the United States may, but need not, also elect to question the deponents at their depositions, with logistical notice provided to California in advance of the depositions. 5. The depositions shall focus on and target alleged specific facts of irreparable injury, as opposed to generalized arguments and statements, outlined in the declarations of Mr. Homan and Mr. Hoffman, including, but not expressly limited to, those related to topics 3, 4, 7, 11, and 14 identified in footnote 6 of the joint letter brief, and topics 3, 5, and 6 outlined in footnote 8 of the joint letter brief. (See ECF No. 22.) 6. The deponents shall produce at their depositions any documents on which they relied to allege specific facts of irreparable injury outlined in their declarations. No later than March 23, 2018, at 12:00 p.m. Pacific Time, California shall provide to the United States a list of paragraphs in the deponents' declarations containing alleged specific facts of irreparable injury for which existing supportive documents should be produced at the depositions. 7. If the parties anticipate the need of a protective order to facilitate the production of documents and deposition testimony, they shall submit an appropriate proposed stipulated protective order for the court's consideration and approval as soon as

- possible.
- 8. Any request for expedited discovery beyond the parameters of this order is DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 22, 2018

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE