TONI L. HELLON CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT 8/18/2017 12:41:52 PM

BY: JIM ORR DEPUTY

Case No. C20173986 HON, RICHARD E GORDON

Michael D. Rich #015979 Michael D Rich PLLC 2198 E Camelback Rd, Ste 375

Phoenix, AZ 85016 (602) 802-8900

mrich@mdrlawaz.com 3

4 John Clune, Colorado Bar No. 27684, application for admission Pro Hac Vice forthcoming Hutchinson Black and Cook, LLC 5

921 Walnut Street, Suite 200

Boulder, CO 80303 6

(303) 442-6514

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

clune@hbcboulder.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PIMA

NICHOLAS PAYNE HOGSED, individually, Plaintiff,

Case No.:

VS.

COMPLAINT

Tort - Non-Motor Vehicle

ARIZONA BOARD OF REGENTS, operating as UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA, a governmental body of the State of Arizona.

Defendant.

For his complaint, NICHOLAS PAYNE HOGSED (hereinafter "Plaintiff") alleges as follows:

- ľ. Plaintiff is, and was at all times material herein, a resident of the County of Coweta. State of Georgia.
- 2. Defendant Arizona Board of Regents, operating as University of Arizona (Hereinafter "UofA") is, and was at all times herein, a governmental body of the State of Arizona organized and existing under the laws of the State of Arizona with its principal place of business located in Pima County, Arizona.
- Richard James DeMont ("DeMont") was, at all applicable times herein, an employee or agent of the Arizona Board of Regenis, and was acting as an agent, servant, or

employee within the scope of his employment on behalf of Defendant Arizona Board of Regents, and therefore, Defendant Arizona Board of Regents should be held liable to Plaintiff for the actions of DeMont on the theory of respondeant superior, agency, equitable estoppels, or other applicable law. Defendant Arizona Board of Regents is vicariously liable to Plaintiff for all injuries and other damages caused by DeMont.

- 4. All acts and events alleged hereafter occurred within the County of Pima, State of Arizona.
- 5. The minimum jurisdictional amount established for filing this action has been satisfied.
  - 6. This Court has jurisdiction and venue is proper.
- 7. Plaintiff complied with all preliminary notice provisions to bring a claim against Defendant, in that a written itemized claim was filed by personally serving a Notice of Claim upon Defendant Arizona Board of Regents. Further, such claim did state what the claim was for, the date of the occurrence, and the amount to settle the claim. This lawsuit is timely filed.
- 8. On August 21, 2016, Plaintiff was a second-year student athlete at the UofA attending classes as a scholarship athlete on the men's swimming team.
- 9. Plaintiff had been recruited by UofA for the purposes of swimming after a very successful high school swim and academic career at East Coweta High School in Georgia.
- 10. On August 21, 2016, Plaintiff was violently attacked by a fellow men's swimming team member who appeared heavily intoxicated on alcohol, drugs, or both.
- 11. Plaintiff was taken to the hospital and treated for multiple injuries resulting from the attack.
- 12. Plaintiff reported the assault to the UofA as well as to the men's swimming coaches, including DeMont.

- 13. Instead of taking any action to address the hostility, Coach DeMont retaliated against him by repeatedly blaming, demeaning, and belittling Plaintiff for reporting the matter to the UofA Dean of Students office.
- 14. DeMont informed Plaintiff that he was hurting the team and that he was to blame for getting beat up. DeMont further indicated that Plaintiff was playing the victim and needed to "be a man." DeMont further told Plaintiff that his door was always open if Plaintiff wanted to talk about something real-instead of "this pissant bullshit."
- 15. Over the course of the semester, DeMont continued to ridicule Plaintiff and encouraged him to quit the swim team.
- In the following weeks, Plaintiff was ostracized by DeMont. Plaintiff was informed that DeMont instructed his teammates to have nothing to do with him. DeMont told teammates to ignore Plaintiff, going as far as telling teammates not to converse with him and not to swim in lanes next to him at practice. Plaintiff was alienated and isolated.
  - 17. Plaintiff began seeking a transfer to another school.
- 18. In order to do so, Plaintiff asked DeMont for a release which would permit Plaintiff to swim at another university.
- 19. DeMont stated to Plaintiff that he would only provide a release if Plaintiff dropped his complaint to the Dean of Students against the teammate who assaulted him asking Plaintiff, "Are you done retaliating? I'm not sure I want to give you the release unless I know you are done retaliating" in an apparent reference to the complaint lodged.
- 20. On December 15, 2016, due to the hostile environment and severe mental anguish created by DeMont, Plaintiff gave up his education at UofA and left for good.
- 21. In the months following the assault and due to DeMont's abusive conduct, Plaintiff suffered weight loss, anxiety, sleep disruption, flashbacks in dreams, stomach sickness, loss of appetite, stress, fear, and headaches.

## COUNT ONE: NEGLIGENCE

- 22. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1-21 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 23. Defendant owed a duty of care to its students to respond to reports of student on student violence that affects the education of a student.
- 24. Defendant knew or should have known that by ignoring a report of physical violence by a member of the men's swimming team, and retaliating against Plaintiff by harassing and bullying him to leave school and instructing teammates to not further associate with him, Plaintiff's educational opportunities including swimming would be significantly affected.
- 25. Defendant breached its duty of care by failing to address the violence against Plaintiff and responded instead with harassment and retaliation for reporting the violent behavior of a fellow student.

## **COUNT TWO: NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS**

- 26. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1-25 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 27. UofA was negligent in its failure to adequately respond to Plaintiff's report of violence at the hands of another member of the swimming team and due to its retaliatory conduct.
- 28. Defendant's negligence created an unreasonable risk of bodily harm to Plaintiff by engaging in conduct that clearly constituted emotional harassment and bullying.
  - 29. Defendant's negligence was a cause of emotional distress to Plaintiff.
  - 30. Plaintiff's emotional distress resulted in physical injury or illness to Plaintiff.
  - 31. As a result, Plaintiff has suffered damages.

## COUNT THREE: INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

32. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1-31 above as if fully set forth herein.

2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
. 9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17	***************************************	
18		
1.9		
20		
21	-	
22	-	
23	-	
24	the state of the s	
25 1	1	

- (f) For interest at the highest legal rate on all damages and costs from the time incurred on the date of such judgment, whichever is sooner, until paid; and
- (g) For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED this | 6 day of August, 2017.

MICHAEL D RICH PLLC And HUTCHINSON BLACK and COOK, LLC

Rv

Michael Rich, 015979 Michael D Rich PLLC 2198 E Camelback Rd, Ste 375 Phoenix, AZ 85016 (602) 802-8900 mrich@mdrlawaz.com

John Clune, Colorado Bar No. 27684, application for admission *Pro Hac Vice* forthcoming
Hutchinson Black and Cook, LLC
921 Walnut Street, Suite 200
Boulder, CO 80303
(303) 442-6514
clune@hbcboulder.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff