## Case 3:16-cv-02477-VC Document 334 Filed 05/26/17 Page 1 of 5

1	Tharan Gregory Lanier (SBN 138784)	Rick L. McKnight (SBN 55183)
2	tglanier@jonesday.com Greg L. Lippetz (SBN 154228)	fmcknight@jonesday.com Alexis Adian Smith (SBN 274429)
3	glippetz@jonesday.com Nathaniel P. Garrett (SBN 248211) ngarrett@jonesday.com	asmith@jonesday.com JONES DAY 555 South Flower Street
4	Paul C. Hines (SBN 294428) phines@jonesday.com	Fiftieth Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071.2300
5	JONES DAY 555 California Street, 26th Floor	Telephone: +1.213.489.3939 Facsimile: +1.213.243.2539
6	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: +1.415.626.3939	1 desimile. 1.213.213.239
7	Facsimile: +1.415.875.5700	
8	Sharyl A. Reisman (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) sareisman@JonesDay.com	
9	JONES DAY 250 Vesey Street	
10	New York, NY 10281.1047 Telephone: +1.212.326.3939	
11	Facsimile: +1.212.755.7306	
12	Attorneys for Cross-Complainant and Defendant CALIFORNIA BERRY	
13	CULTIVARS, LLC and Defendants DOUGLAS SHAW and KIRK LARSON	
14		DISTRICT COLIDT
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
17	THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,	Case No. 3:16-cv-02477-VC
18	Plaintiff,	CBC'S RESPONSE TO THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA'S
19	V.	NOTICE OF EQUITABLE RELIEF REQUESTED
20	CALIFORNIA BERRY CULTIVARS, LLC,	
21	DOUGLAS SHAW, AND KIRK LARSON,	
22	Defendants.	
23	CALIFORNIA BERRY CULTIVARS, LLC,	
24	Cross-Complainant,	
25	V.	
26	THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,	
27	Cross-Defendant.	
28		
		CRC's Response re Equitable Rel

CBC's Response re Equitable Relief Case No.: 3:16-CV-02477-VC

## Case 3:16-cv-02477-VC Document 334 Filed 05/26/17 Page 2 of 5

1	California Berry Cultivars, LLC, Douglas Shaw, and Kirk Larson (collect	ively, "CBC")	
2	hereby respond to the Notice of Equitable Relief Requested by the University of California		
3	("UC") as set forth in the attached Exhibit A. That document is a table that recites UC's specific		
4	4 requests for relief (numbered for ease of reference) and provides CBC's response	to each. CBC's	
5	responses set forth in Exhibit A are made without waiver of and expressly preser	responses set forth in Exhibit A are made without waiver of and expressly preserve all objections	
6	and responses to UC's entitlement to equitable relief as set forth in the briefing of	r argument on	
7	summary judgment, motions in limine, jury instructions, Rule 50 motions and oth	ner pretrial and	
8	8 trial arguments and rulings, as well as those objections and responses to be set for	rth in the	
9	9 upcoming briefing on equitable relief and post trial motions, as ordered by the Co	ourt.	
10	Dated: May 26, 2017 Respectfully submitted,		
11	Jones Day		
12	By J. St. That an Gregory Earner		
13	Tharan Gregory Lanier		
14	Defendant CALIFORNIA DERI	RY	
15	15 CULTIVARS, LLC and Defend DOUGLAS SHAW and KIRK I		
16	16		
17	17		
18	18		
19	19		
20	20		
21	21		
22	22		
23	23		
24	24		
25	25		
26	26		
27	27		
28			
	CBC's Response	e Equitable Relief	

1

25

26

27

28

## EXHIBIT A UC'S REQUESTS FOR EQUITABLE RELIEF AND CBC'S SUMMARY RESPONSES

2	AND ČBC'S ŠUMMAŘÝ RESPONSES		
3	UC's Position	CBC's Position	
3	Claims for Conversion, Breach of Duty of Loyalty, Breach of Fiduciary Duty, and Pater Infringement		
4	1. An injunction preventing any breeding	CBC opposes relief relating to progeny of	
5	activity using (1) UC unreleased plants, (2) UC patented or patent pending	unreleased or patented (including patent pending) plants, patented plants that are not	
6	plants in the United States, (3) UC	mother plants, conduct outside the United States (with respect to patented varieties), or	
7	patented or patent pending plants outside the United States where the	based on unspecified "purpose". CBC further opposes this relief on the grounds that the	
8	purpose is to import seeds from such a plant into the United States, and (4)	request for relief "further preventing" is impermissibly vague.	
9	progeny of any plant described in items (1)-(3) (no matter how many		
10	generations removed), and further		
11	preventing any importation or use of infringing seeds;		
12	2. An injunction prohibiting benchmarking with UC patented plants;	CBC does not oppose this relief.	
13	3. An injunction/constructive trust requiring CBC to transfer to UC	CBC opposes relief relating to lawfully purchased UC patented plants and progeny of	
14	possession of (1) all UC patented,	unreleased plants and patent pending or patented plants, and requiring CBC to turn	
15	patent pending, or unreleased plants, and (2) progeny of all UC unreleased	over its books and records of information generated by CBC or on behalf of CBC or at	
16	plants and UC patented or patent pending mother plants (no matter how	CBC's expense.	
17	many generations removed) together		
18	with records sufficient to show their pedigrees and objective observations		
19	made about those plants and their potential suitability for release to		
20	growers, e.g., plant size, yield, fruit		
21	characteristics, disease resistance, and any other observational data collected		
	by CBC and/or from any grower trials;		
22			
23			
24			

CBC's Response re Equitable Relief Case No. 3:16-CV-02477-VC

1	4. An injunction/constructive trust	CBC opposes this relief to the extent it goes beyond seeking access to copies of books and
2	requiring Drs. Shaw and Larson to transfer possession of all books and	records generated while Drs. Shaw and Larson
3	records regarding UC Strawberry	were employed at UC and within the course and scope of their employment at UC.
4	Breeding Program germplasm to UC, including but not limited to all	
5	pedigrees and objective observations	
	made about the plants comprising the germplasm and their potential	
6	suitability for release to growers, e.g.,	
7	plant size, yield, fruit characteristics, disease resistance, and any other	
8	observational data collected by Drs.	
9	Shaw and Larson and/or from any grower trials;	
10	5. An accounting providing a complete	CBC opposes this relief to the extent it goes
11	inventory of all plants in CBC's possession including progeny of UC	beyond an inventory of progeny of UC unreleased plants or UC patented mother
	unreleased plants and/or UC patented	plants, and further opposes the request for an "accounting" of plants as impermissibly vague
12	or patent pending mother plants (no matter how many generations	to the extent it goes beyond an inventory.
13	removed);	
14	6. Sampling of plants by UC for DNA testing of all plants resulting from	CBC opposes this relief to the extent it goes beyond plants resulting from 2016 crosses.
15	CBC's 2016 crosses (and any other	
16	plants within CBC's possession which UC has not already sampled and tested)	
17	to verify their pedigrees;	
17	Breach of Contract and Interference with Contract Claims as to the Patent Agreements	
18	7. Specific performance: an order requiring Drs. Shaw and Larson to	CBC opposes this relief as inconsistent with the Court's rulings on summary judgment, but
19	execute any documents and do all	does not oppose relief requiring CBC itself to assign to UC, under protest, those patent rights
20	things necessary to assign to UC all rights, title, and interest in the Core	in the CSG Shaw and Larson assigned to CBC.
	Strawberry Germplasm and to assist	CBC further opposes this relief because Larson is not in a position to provide information or
21	UC in securing patent protection	take steps to cooperate with ongoing prosecution, due to his mental condition.
22	thereon, including but not limited to the form of assignment Mr. Carriere	prosecution, due to his mental condition.
23	provided to them in June 2014;	CBC opposes this relief to the extent it goes
24	8. Specific performance: an order requiring Dr. Shaw and Dr. Larson to	beyond requiring Shaw and Larson to permit
25	furnish UC with complete information	access to books and records generated while Shaw and Larson were employed at UC and
26	regarding the Core Strawberry Germplasm;	within the course and scope of their employment at UC. CBC further opposes this
	1 - ,	relief because Larson is not in a position to
27		provide information due to his mental condition.
28		

## Case 3:16-cv-02477-VC Document 334 Filed 05/26/17 Page 5 of 5

Declaratory Relief Claim		
<ol> <li>A declaration that UC owns all plan sent to Spain under test agreements with Eurosemillas;</li> </ol>	ts CBC does not oppose this relief.	
10. A declaration that UC owns all progeny of UC unreleased plants and UC patented or patent pending mother	an matanta di viamati aa	
plants (no matter how many generations removed) and that CBC	51	
and Drs. Shaw and Larson had no rig to use the Core Strawberry Germplas		
for any of their own benefit as a matt of law at any time due to the		
University's ownership of the tangib property rights in these varieties and	its	
equitable title to any inventions in the varieties arising when they were made	le;	
11. A declaration voiding the purported assignment of rights in the Core Strawberry Germplasm and Transition	the Court's rulings on summary judgment, t	
Cultivars from Drs. Shaw and Larson CBC, and voiding the purported	in the CSG Shaw and Larson assigned to CI	
assignment of rights in the progeny of the CSG, TCs, and patented varieties	5;	
12. A declaration that UC may deduct certain expenses from Drs. Shaw and Larson's royalties pursuant to their	claim in this action, to the extent CBC	
Patent Agreements.	understands what is sought by the general reference to "certain expenses."	