Superior Court of California County of Orange



Case Number: 30-2017-00925354-CU-WT-CJC

Copy Request: 3203341

Request Type: Case Documents

Prepared for: cns

Number of documents: 1

Number of pages: 12

	Donald A. Diebold (Bar No. 151451 Michael F. Long (Bar No. 134951) ALSTON, ALSTON & DIEBOLD 6 Hutton Centre Drive, Suite 1040 Santa Ana, California 92707 Telephone: 714.556.9400 Facsimile: 714.556.9500	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of Orange 06/09/2017 at 03:28:13 PM Clerk of the Superior Court By Veronica Corral,Deputy Clerk	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff NORMA GARCIA		
6			
7			
8			
9	SUPERIOR COURT	Γ OF CALIFORNIA	
10	COUNTY C	OF ORANGE Judge Linda Marks	
11	NORMA GARCIA,	Case No. 30-2017-00925354-CU-WT-CJC	
	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES	
12	vs.	1. RETALIATION IN VIOLATION OF	
13	}	FEHA, GOV'T CODE SECTIONS 12940, et seq.	
14	ORANGE COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF) MENTAL HEALTH, a California non-	2. WRONGFUL TERMINATION IN VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY	
15	profit corporation; JÉFFREY A. THRASH;) DARLENE POWELL; and DOES 1	3. INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS.	
16	through 50, inclusive,	4. FAILURE TO PAY WAGES	
17	Defendants.	[DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL]	
18			
19	,		
20	Plaintiff, Norma Garcia ("Plaintiff") hereby alleges		
21			
22	ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION		
23	1. At times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiff was an employee of Defendant		
24	ORANGE COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF MENTAL HEALTH, a California non-profit		
25	corporation ("Defendant" and/or "MHA"). Prior to the acts of discrimination and		
26	wrongdoing alleged herein, Plaintiff had been a cashier of Defendant and her duties		
27	included opening and closing the thrift store and food bank located at 2416 S. Main Street,		
28	Santa Ana, CA 92707.		
LAW OFFICES ALSTON ALSTON & DIEBOLD			

7. In acting as hereinafter alleged, Plaintiffs are informed and believe and based

thereon allege that all Defendants were acting as agents of each other, and that Defendants

27

28

LAW OFFICES
Alston Alston &
Diebold

authorized, expressly or impliedly, or thereafter ratified and affirmed all of the acts and conduct of each other Defendant, which acts and failures to act were within the course and scope of said agency, and/or direction and control.

- 8. Plaintiff alleges that as of June 15, 2016, she was successfully performing her duties as cashier of Defendant MHA at 2416 S. Main St., Santa Ana, CA (hereinafter "Facility"). Plaintiff made a complaint to the Orange County Department of Health prior to her termination. Plaintiff alleges she was terminated from her employment at MHA for her complaint to the Department of Health. She has diligently searched for alternate work since her wrongful termination by Defendants but has been unable to obtain other employment
- 9. Defendant operated the facility through Plaintiff, who was supervised by Defendant Darlene Powell, and by network of volunteers, many of which are provided through community service, workers compensation and other volunteers as well as employees of the MHA. The front of the building is occupied by mental health counselors and the Facility provides breakfast and lunch to the homeless and mentally ill. The back of the building of the Facility is a thrift store.
- 10. Plaintiff complained the health department because the Facility is infested with rodents with lunch area tables and floors are often covered in rat feces. Rodents were found within the Facility on a daily basis and Defendant Powell cancelled the pest control service for the Thrift Store portion approximately 3 years ago prior to June 2016.
- 11. There are extremely unhealthy breathing conditions in the sorting rooms and backroom areas to include mold and other mites. Workers had difficulty breathing, skin irritations and rashes, headaches, severe itching as well as other health issues. There is NO ventilation provided at the Facility back rooms, trash, garbage and other combustibles are piled 9 feet high with no provisions in case of fire.
- 12. Most of the fluorescent lights do not work. Hallways, escape routes and other areas are very poorly lit resulting in an extremely unsafe work environment.

- 23. Plaintiff went to personally discuss some of these issues with Jeff Thrash. When she arrived at his office she was told that he would not see her. Only after breaking down in tears and stating that she would not leave until she could see him was she allowed to meet with him.
- 24. Plaintiff was retaliated against and harassed by Darlene Powell for making the aforementioned complaints to the Health Department and trying to resolve these issues with Jeff Thrash.
- 25. When Darlene Powell found out about the plaintiff's complaints to the Health Department she humiliated Plaintiff, abused Plaintiff in a manner so that the workplace became intolerable for Plaintiff, who was vulnerable from a health and psychological standpoint because she is dyslexic and has severe psychological problems.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	26. Defendants, and each of them, engaged in a course of conduct to humiliate,	
2	embarrass and create a hostile work environment and eventually wrongfully terminated	
3	Plaintiff.	
4		
5	EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES	
6	27. Prior to the initiation of this lawsuit, Plaintiff filed a complaint against	
7	Defendant MHA with the Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Labor Standard	
8	Enforcement ("Department"), Case No. 18-96583 KV.	
9	28. Prior to the initiation of this lawsuit Plaintiff filed a complaint with the	
10	California Department of Industrial Relations ("Department") pursuant to section	
11	12900, et seq. of the California Government Code, alleging the claims described in this	
12	complaint. She is requesting the Department to forego any further investigation of her	
13	claims in favor of an immediate right to sue letter.	
14	29. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.	
15	This action is filed within one year of the date of the filing of her complaint with the	
16	Department.	
17		
18	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION	
19	(Retaliation in Violation of Government Code section 12900, et seq.)	
20	Against all Defendants	
21	30. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-29, inclusive,	
22	of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.	
23	38. Government Code section 12900, et seq. makes it unlawful for an employer	
24	to retaliate against an employee for availing herself of the protections against	
25	whistleblower afforded by Government Code section 12900, et seq. Defendants, and each	
26	of them, violated the provisions of Government Code section 12900, et seq. by retaliating	
27	against Plaintiff.	
28		
LAW OFFICES Alston Alston & Diebold		

- 39. As a proximate result of the conduct of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer damages in terms of lost wages, potential lost bonuses, lost benefits and other pecuniary loss according to proof. Plaintiff has also suffered and will continue to suffer physical and emotion injuries, including nervousness, humiliation, depression, anguish, embarrassment, shock, pain, discomfort, fatigue, and anxiety.
- 40. In committing the foregoing acts, Defendants are guilty of fraud, oppression, and/or malice under California Civil Code section 3294, thereby entitling Plaintiff to punitive damages in a sum appropriate to punish and make an example out of Defendants, and each of them.
- 41. The acts of oppression, fraud, and or malice were engaged in by employees of Defendants. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants had advance knowledge of the unfitness of each employee who acted with oppression, fraud, and/or malice or ratified the wrongful conduct for which an award of punitive damages is sought, and or was personally guilty of oppression, fraud, and/or malice. The advance knowledge and conscious disregard, authorization, ratification or act of oppression, fraud, and or malice was committed by or on the part of an officer. director, or managing agent of Defendants, thereby entitling Plaintiff to punitive and exemplary damages against Defendants in accordance with California Civil Code section 3294 in a sum appropriate to punish and make an example of Defendant.
- 42. Government Code section 12900, et seq. provides for an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred by a prevailing plaintiff in an action brought under its provisions. Plaintiff has employed and will continue employ attorneys for the initiation and prosecution of this action. Plaintiff has incurred and will continue to incur attorneys' fees and costs herein. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

25

26

27

28

1	accordance with California Civil Code section 3294 in a sum appropriate to punish and			
2	make an example of Defendant.			
3				
4	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION			
5	(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress)			
6	Against All Defendants			
7	49. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs I-48, inclusive,			
8	of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.			
9	50. The conduct of Defendants, and each of them, constitutes extreme and			
10	outrageous conduct against the Plaintiff. Defendants engaged in this conduct with the			
11	intention of causing emotional distress to Plaintiff, or, alternatively, in reckless disregard			
12	of the probability of causing emotional distress to Plaintiff.			
13	51. As a proximate result of the conduct of Defendants, Plaintiff suffered and			
14	will continue to suffer damages in terms of lost wages, potential lost bonuses, lost benefits,			
15	and other and emotion injuries, including nervousness, humiliation, depression, anguish,			
16	embarrassment, shock, pain, discomfort, fatigue, and anxiety.			
17	52. In committing the foregoing acts, Defendants are guilty of fraud, oppression,			
18	and/or malice under California Civil Code section 3294, thereby entitling Plaintiff to			
19	punitive damages in a sum appropriate to punish and make an example out of Defendant.			
20	53. The acts of oppression, fraud, and/or malice were engaged in by employees			
21	of Defendants. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants had advance knowledge of the unfitness of			
22	each employee who acted with oppression, fraud. and/or malice or ratified the wrongful			
23	conduct for which an award of punitive damages is sought. and/or was personally guilty of			
24	oppression, fraud and/or malice. The advance knowledge and conscious disregard,			
25	authorization, ratification or act of oppression, fraud, and/or malice was committed by or			
26	on the part of an officer, director, or managing agent of Defendant, thereby entitling			
27	Plaintiff to punitive and exemplary damages against Defendant in accordance with			
28				
,				
	COMPLAINT			

LAW OFFICES
Alston Alston &
Diebold

1	California Civil Code section 3294 in a sum appropriale to punish and make an example of		
2	Defendant.		
3			
4	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
5	(Failure to Pay Wages)		
6	(Against Defendant ORANGE COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF MENTAL HEALTH and		
7	DOES 1-25)		
8	54. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs I-53, inclusive, of		
9	this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.		
10	55. Defendants failed to provide and pay for meal periods in violation of Labor		
11	Code §512.		
12	56. Defendants failed to provide and pay for rest breaks in violation of Labor Code		
13	§226.7.		
14	57. Defendants failed to pay for overtime hours worked in violation of violation of		
15	Labor Code §510 and 29 USC §207.		
16	58. Defendants failed to pay all of Plaintiff's wages due immediately upon		
17	discharge in violation of Labor Code §201.		
18	59. Plaintiff is entitled to statutory penalties as a result of Defendants failure to pay		
19	wages		
20			
21	Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for relief as follows:		
22	a.) ON THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION:		
23	1. For general damages in favor of Plaintiff, including emotional distress		
24	damages, according to proof at the time of trial;		
25	2. For special damages, including lost wages, potential lost bonuses, lost		
26	benefits, and other pecuniary loss, according to proof at the time of trial;		
27	3. For exemplary damages based on Defendant's willful, fraudulent. and/or		
28	oppressive conduct as alleged;		
LAW OFFICES Alston Alston & Diebold			

1	4. For reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in this action pursuant to		
2	Government Code section 12900, et seq.;		
3	b.) ON THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION:		
4	1. For general damages in favor of Plaintiff, including emotional distress		
5	damages, according to proof at the time of trial;		
6	2. For special damages, including lost wages, potential lost bonuses, lost		
7	benefits, and other pecuniary loss, according to proof at the time of trial;		
8	3. For exemplary damages based on Defendant's willful, fraudulent. and/or		
9	oppressive conduct as alleged;		
10	4. For reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in this action pursuant to		
11	Government Code section 12900, et seq.;		
12	c.) ON THE THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION:		
13	1. For general damages in favor of Plaintiff, including emotional distress		
14	damages, according to proof at the time of trial;		
15	2. For special damages, including lost wages, potential lost bonuses, lost		
16	benefits, and other pecuniary loss, according to proof at the time of trial;		
17	3. For exemplary damages based on Defendant's willful, fraudulent, and/or		
18	oppressive conduct as alleged;		
19	4. For reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in this action pursuant to		
20	Government Code section 12900, et seq.;		
21	d.) ON THE FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION:		
22	1. For general damages in favor of Plaintiff for unpaid wages;		
23	2. For special damages, including lost wages, potential lost bonuses, lost		
24	benefits, and other pecuniary loss, according to proof at the time of trial;		
25	3. For exemplary damages based on Defendant's willful, fraudulent. and/or		
26	oppressive conduct as alleged;		
27	e.) ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION:		
28	1. For costs of suit;		

1	2. For such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper;	
2		
3	Dated: June <u>9</u> , 2017	ALSTON, ALSTON & DIEBOLD
4		By: Michael Long
5		Michael Long / Donald A. Diebold Attorneys for Plaintiff NORMA GARCIA
6		Attorneys for Plaintiff NORMA GARCIA
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
LAW OFFICES Alston Alston & Diebold	· 	12 COMPLAINT