	•
JOHN MICHAEL JENSEN, State Bar No. 1768 LAW OFFICES OF JOHN MICHAEL JENSEN 11500 West Olympic Blvd., Suite 550 Los Angeles, CA 90064 (310) 312-1100 Attorneys for Petitioner Brad Heinz, individually and on behalf of a class of others similarly situated	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles
	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
BRAD HEINZ, an individual; and on behalf	Y OF LOS ANGELES BS169444 Case No.:
of a class of others similarly situated, Petitioners,) PETITION FOR ORDER RELIEVING) PETITIONER FROM PROVISIONS OF
vs.) GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 945.4) OR OTHERWISE ACCEPTING HIS
) GOVERNMENT CLAIM AS TIMELY
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM; BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION OF CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM (collectively "CalPERS");) MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND) AUTHORITIES, DECLARATION IN) SUPPORT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER) FILED CONCURRENTLY HEREWITH
ANTHEM BLUE CROSS LIFE & HEALTH INSURANCE COMPANY. a California corporation, DOES 1-100,) [COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FILED) CONCURRENTLY]
Respondents.) Hearing Date:) Hearing Time:) Department:
OFFICE OF RISK AND INSURANCE MANAGEMENT, GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS AGENCY, GOVERNMENT CLAIMS PROGRAM,	CIT/CASE; E LEA/DEF#; RECEIPT #: C DATE PAID; C PAYMENT: \$4 RECEIVED; CHECK: CASH: CHANGE CARD;
Real Party in Interest.	ISE: BS169444 F#: T #: CCH5208720 AID: 06/13/17 T: \$435.00 ED: CHECK: CASH: CARD: CARD:
	eting under Government Code section 946.6 젊습
Petition for Order Relieving Petitioner from or Otherwise Accepting H	n Provisions of Government Cotte Section 945.4

7

10

22

Code of Civil Procedure section 410.10, petitions the Court as follows:

Introduction

- The presentation of a Government Claim is tolled or delayed for the period while Petitioner Brad Heinz was required to present and to exhaust his individual and class claims in Anthem's and CalPERS administrative processes.
- 2. In addition, the harm to Heinz only arose at the time that CalPERS/Anthem made the final administrative decision that rejected his individual and class claims. Before the rejection of the claims by CalPERS/Anthem at the end of the administrative process, the harm had not yet occurred and the claim had not yet accrued.
- Within a month after Heinz received a decision in the CalPERS/Anthem administrative process, Heinz timely filed a GCA claim with Government Claims Program ("GCP").
- 4. However, the GCP rejected the GCA claims as untimely, ignoring the (1) accrual and presentation time for the GCA was tolled while Heinz exhausted the required administrative processes and (2) that the harm only arose at the time of the final administrative decision and (3) misunderstanding the nature of the claims.

Parties

- 5. Petitioner is a resident of the State of California.
- 6. Respondent California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS), and Respondent Board of Administration of California Public Employees' Retirement System (collectively "CalPERS") is an agency of the State of California within the meaning of Part 3 (beginning with Section 900) of Division 3.6 of Title 1 of the *Government Code*.
- 7. Respondent Anthem Blue Cross Life & Health Insurance Company ("Anthem" or "Anthem Blue Cross") contracts with CalPERS to administer the Paid Provider Organization ("PPO") health insurance coverage offered by CalPERS to its members and their beneficiaries. Anthem also contracts with CalPERS to administer the reimbursement process concerning payments to Non-Preferred Provider Organization ("NPPO") health plan subscribers Anthem Blue Cross is the trade name of Blue Cross of California. Anthem Blue Cross and Anthem Blue

-2-

Petition for Order Relieving Petitioner from Provisions of Government Code Section 945.4 or Otherwise Accepting His Government Claim as Timely

(%) Cross Life & Health Insurance Company are independent licensees of the Blue Cross Association.

8. Real Party in Interest Office of Risk and Insurance Management, Government Agency, Government Claims Program is an agency of the State of California which handles claims against CalPERS and other government agencies under the Government Claims Act (Gov't Code, §§810, et seq.)

Jurisdiction and Venue:

9. Jurisdiction to hear this *Petition* is proper in the Superior Court of Los Angeles County. (*Code of Civil Procedure*, §410.10.) The amount in question is greater than \$25,000. This Court has personal jurisdiction over CalPERS as a California state agency headquartered in California. A substantial amount of the wrongdoing alleged in the *Complaint for Damages* concurrently filed by Petitioner occurred in Los Angeles County. CalPERS also maintains a regional office in Glendale, CA, specifically to serve Los Angeles County, including in matters related to the matters alleged in Petitioner's Government Claim. Anthem maintains an office in Woodland Hills, CA, specifically to serve Los Angeles County, including in matters related to the matters alleged in Petitioner's Government Claim.

Background About Petitioner's Government Claim

- 10. Soon after the CalPERS/Anthem administrative decision was first decided and on or about April 21, 2017, John Michael Jensen, counsel for Petitioner Brad Heinz individually and in a representational capacity for all others similarly situated, timely filed a Government Claim with the Office of Risk and Insurance Management, Government Operations Agency, Government Claims Program ("GCP") and paid the \$25 filing fee. A true and correct copy of Petitioner's Government Claim, together with his proposed *Complaint for Damages* (but without the exhibits attached thereto), is attached to the concurrently filed Declaration of John Michael Jensen ("Jensen Decl.") as Exhibit 1.
- 11. Petitioner alleged that if claims presentation to the GCP was required, the time period for presentation of his claim is one year from accrual of his cause of action. He is not asserting a claim "relating to a cause of action for death or for injury to person or to personal

- 3 -

©¥ Ç? 炎 25 い 26 60 7.20 7.20 property or growing crops" which requires presentation within six months of the accrual of the cause of action. (Government Code section 911.2(a).) Instead, he is asserting a claim for breaches of contract and fiduciary duties and related claims which are wholly unrelated to physical injury.

12. Furthermore, Petitioner is asserting claims for damages which are continuing in nature, in that he has not yet been paid the correct amount of reimbursement by CalPERS and/or Anthem. Petitioner also alleged "delayed discovery" and "delayed accrual" of his cause of action, as described in more detail below.

Government Claims Program Response.

- 13. On May 18, 2017, the GCP sent a letter stating that it had no jurisdiction over Petitioner's claim because "[t]he claim was presented more than one year beyond the date the damages accrued. Please see Government Code section 911.2." (Jensen Decl., Exhibit 2.)
- 14. On May 24, 2017, Petitioner, through his counsel, responded by letter to the GCP. reiterating and further explaining the "delayed discovery" and "delayed accrual" allegations and asking that the GCP reconsider its rejection of Petitioner's Government Claim. (Jensen Decl., Exhibit 3.)
- 15. On June 2, 2017, the GCP sent a letter reiterating its position that it did not have jurisdiction to consider Petitioner's claim because it was allegedly presented more than one year beyond the date the damages accrued. (Jensen Decl., Exhibit 4.)

Basis for "Late Discovery" and "Late Accrual" of Petitioner's Cause of Action

- 16. Petitioner has alleged a failure by CalPERS and/or Anthem to pay the proper reimbursement amounts as their share of Petitioner's NPPO medical expenses for the period 2008 through 2014.
- 17. Anthem and CalPERS required Heinz to wait to pursue civil legal remedies until after exhausting administrative review and an administrative hearing. See 2CCR § 599.518.
- After exhausting the appeal process with Anthem as required by the terms of his 18. contracts for NPPO health insurance coverage, Petitioner was required to file an appeal with CalPERS, which he did on or about June 15, 2009. Petitioner later filed an Amended Appeal

Petition for Order Relieving Petitioner from Provisions of Government Code Section 945.4 or Otherwise Accepting His Government Claim as Timely

10

13

17 18

19 20

21_. 22

28

with CalPERS on or about April 14, 2011.

- 19. Heinz diligently pursued his claims in both the CalPERS and Anthem administrative processes. The administrative process concerning Petitioner's claims has been pending in the CalPERS administrative process for approximately seven years. CalPERS' *Final Decision* denying Petitioner's appeal was adopted by the CalPERS Board on or about March 20, 2017, and CalPERS denied Petitioner's request for reconsideration of its decision on or about May 17, 2017.
- 20. Thus, Petitioner's claims against CalPERS and Anthem have been tolled since at least June 15, 2009.
- 21. Second and more generally, CalPERS' and Anthem's position to this day is that Petitioner and others similarly situated have not and will not be harmed by CalPERS' and/or Anthem's reimbursement policies, and thus there is no cause of action to accrue. CalPERS and Anthem still have not disclosed sufficient law or facts to provide notice of their policies and practices that would put Plaintiffs on notice of the facts or the harm. CalPERS and Anthem still have not disclosed the risks, harms, and damages that would start the accrual of the causes of action.

Request to Be Relieved from Provisions of Government Code Section 945.4 or Otherwise Have the Court Accept Petitioner's Government Claim as Timely

- 22. Although he disputes CalPERS' and Anthem's allegations, Petitioner filed his Government Claim on April 21, 2017, after CalPERS first adopted its *Final Decision* on or about March 20, 2017. This alone makes his claim timely under the provisions of the Government Claims Act and other applicable law.
- 23. In a further abundance of caution, after the GCP took the position that it would not accept Petitioner's Government Claim because it had been presented late, Petitioner sought reconsideration of that determination by the GCP and submitted extensive additional explanations for the "delayed discovery" and "delayed accrual" claims of Petitioner. That, too, has been rejected by the GCP.
 - 24. Denying reconsideration, CalPERS made a final decision on May 17, 2017.

- 5 -

Petition for Order Relieving Petitioner from Provisions of Government Code Section 945.4 or Otherwise Accepting His Government Claim as Timely

- 2.5 2.6

- Petitioner is concurrently filing a proposed class action Complaint for Damages 25. based on the allegations raised in his Government Claim. It will be filed before June 16, 2017. The Complaint for Damages more comprehensively pleads out Petitioner's delayed accrual claims, including facts about the tolling during Heinz' persistent diligence in presenting the claims in CalPERS and Anthem's required administrative processes, which are foundational to the assertions of compliance with the GCP's claim presentment deadlines.
- If the Court determines that Petitioner's claim was required to be presented earlier than it was, Petitioner submits that his failure to do so was as a result of mistake, inadvertence, surprise and/or excusable neglect because he had no good faith reason to believe that his claim was not tolled during his CalPERS administrative process, and for other reasons.

WHEREFORE, since a delayed accrual argument requires a full hearing into the facts of the claim, and since Petitioner has requested a trial by jury, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Court hearing this Petition:

- Determine whether (i) Petitioner was required to present his claim to the GPC within one year of the accrual of his cause of action, but that no cause of action has yet accrued because of "delayed discovery" and "delayed accrual" and that no Petition seeking relief from Government Code section 945.4 is required; or (ii) that this Petition is granted and Petitioner is relieved from the requirements of Government Code section 945.4;
- b) State explicitly that the Court is ruling only on the time period within which Petitioner was required to present his claim to the GCP, but is not making any determination as to his argument that accrual of his claim was delayed until no earlier than March 20, 2017; and
 - c) Defer a decision on the delayed accrual argument itself to the court

¹ Case law is split on whether determination of the applicability of delayed accrual is a question of fact (Dujardin v. Ventura County Gen. Hosp. (1977) 69 Cal. App.3d 350) or a mixture of fact and law (Leaf v. City of San Mateo (1980) 104 Cal. App. 3d 398, disapproved on another point in Trope v. Katz (1995) 11 Cal.4th 274). In either case, a full hearing into the facts of the claim is required.

2

4 5

6 7

9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

\$ 5.5 5 kg 8 2kg

28

hearing Petitioner's case so that a full hearing into the facts of this claim may be held.

d) Petitioner further respectfully requests that a decision on whether

Petitioner is entitled to assert the delayed accrual of his cause of action be deferred to the

court which shall hear Petitioner's concurrently filed proposed class action.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: June 12, 2017

By:

John Michael Jensen,

Attorney for Petitioner Brad Heinz

and the proposed class

-7-

•	 ·	CM-010		
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar num John Michael Jensen SBN 1768	nber, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY		
Law Offices of John Michael Jensen	113			
11500 West Olympic Blvd., Suite 550				
Los Angeles, CA 90064 TELEPHONE NO.: (310) 312-1100	FAX NO.: (310) 477-7090	FILED		
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Petitioner Jason Jackso	n, etal.	Superior Court of California County of Los Angelos		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS		County of Los Angeles		
STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill St.	3			
MAILING ADDRESS: 111 North Hill St.		1 JUN 1 3 2017		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 9001 BRANCH NAME: Central District	12	Sherri R. Curter, Executive Officer/Clerk		
CASE NAME: COLLEGE DISTRICT		by Cxecutive Utticer/Class		
Brad Heinz, etal. v. California Public	Employees' Retirement Sys.	Judi Lana Deputy		
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:		
✓ Unlimited Limited	Complex case Designation	BS169444		
(Amount (Amount	Counter L Joinder			
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defen			
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)			
	w must be completed (see instructions	on page 2).		
Check one box below for the case type that i Auto Tort	Dest describes this case: Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation		
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)		
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)		
Other P!/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)		
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass lort (40)		
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)		
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)		
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the		
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14) Wrongful eviction (33)	above lisled provisionally complex case types (41)		
Non-Pi/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment		
Business tort/unfair business practice (07)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)		
Civil rights (08)	Commercial (31)			
Defamation (13) Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint		
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	RICO (27)		
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)		
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Miscellaneous Civil Petition		
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)		
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)		
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)			
2. This case is is not complete.	lex under rule 3.400 of the California R	tules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the		
factors requiring exceptional judicial manag	ement:	• •		
a. Large number of separately repres		er of witnesses		
b. Extensive motion practice raising d		n with related actions pending in one or more courts		
issues that will be time-consuming		nties, states, or countries, or in a federal court		
c. Substantial amount of documentary evidence f. Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision				
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.[monetary b. nonmonetary:	declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive		
4. Number of causes of action (specify): One	— .			
5. This case is not a class				
(b) If there are any known related cases, file are	nd serve a notice of related case. (You	may use form CM-015.)		
Date: June 12, 2017		1 - 1		
Jöhn Michael Jensen) //	Mac		
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)		
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the fi	NOTICE	in levent small claims rases or rases filed		
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or V	Velfare and Institutions Code. (Cal. R	ules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result		
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions.				
• File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.				
If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.				
Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.				
		Page 1 of 2] Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400–3.403, 3.740,		
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of Ca5fornia CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, sid. 3.10 www.courtinfo.ca.gov		

SHORT TITLE:

Heinz, etal v. California Public Employees' Retirement Sys., etal

CASE NUMBER

B\$169444

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case fillings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.

Item I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case:

JURY TRIAL? YES	CLASS ACTION? 🗹	YES LIMITED CASE?	YES	TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 1	. Ø HOURS/ □ DAYS
-----------------	-----------------	-------------------	-----	----------------------------	-------------------

Item II. Indicate the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps - If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4):

Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet form; find the main Civil Case Cover Sheet heading for your case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.

Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature of this case.

Step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have checked. For any exception to the court location, see Local Rule 2.0.

Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)

- Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, central district.
 May be filed in central (other county, or no bodily injury/property damage).
 Location where cause of action arose.
 Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred.
 Location where performance required or defendant resides.

- Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.
 Location where petitioner resides.
 Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.
 Location where one or more of the parties reside.
 Location of Labor Commissioner Office
- Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

13 17 18 to 38 th	A GivilCase Cover: Sheet a Calegory No	Francisco - Action Action (California)	Applicable Reasons
	Auto (22)	□ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
	Uninsured Motorist (46)	□ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
	Asbestos (04)	□ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage □ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death .	2. 2.
-	Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260 Product Llability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
	Medical Malpractice (45)	□ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons □ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 4. 1., 4.
	Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	□ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) □ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandatism, etc.) □ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress □ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 4. 1., 4. 1., 3. 1., 4.

LACIV 109 (Rev. 03/11) LASC Approved 03-04

Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

Local Rule 2.0 Page 1 of 4

SHORT TITLE:

Heinz, etal v. California Public Employees' Retirement Sys., etal

CASE NUMBER

Employment

contract

sal Property

· 2362/25/99

- 		
A Vi Civil Case Cover Sheet I Calegory No. 11 ct 2	B Type of Action (Checkoniy one)	Applicable Reasons See Step 3 Above
Business Tort (07)	☐ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 3.
Civil Rights (08)	A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
Defamation (13)	☐ A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
Fraud (16)	☐ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.
Professional Negligence (25)	□ A6017 Legal Malpractice □ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.
Other (35)	☐ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.
Wrongful Termination (36)	☐ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Other Employment (15)	□ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case □ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1., 2., 3. 10.
Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	 □ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) □ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach - Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) □ A6019 Negtigent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) □ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) 	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 5. 1., 2., 5.
Collections (09)	□ A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff □ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5., 6. 2., 5.
Insurance Coverage (18)	☐ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Other Contract (37)	□ A6009 Contractual Fraud □ A6031 Tortious Interference □ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	☐ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
Other Real Property (26)	□ A6018 Mortgage Forectosure □ A6032 Quiet Title □ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	☐ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	☐ A6020FUnlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	☐ A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.

LACIV 109 (Rev. 03/11) LASC Approved 03-04 CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

Local Rule 2.0 Page 2 of 4 SHORT TITLE:
Heinz, etal v. California Public Employees' Retirement Sys., etal

CASE NUMBER

			·
	A rungs Succession (Special Category) No.	By Type of Action (City Control of City Contro	C iApplicable Reasons: See Step 3 Above
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	□ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
riew	Petition re Arbitration (11)	□ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.
Judicial Review	Writ of Mandate (02)	□ A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus □ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter □ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2., 8. 2. 2.
	Other Judicial Review (39)	□ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., B.
ē	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	□ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
itigati	Construction Defect (10)	☐ A6007 Construction Defect	1., 2., 3.
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
ly Cor	Securities Litigation (28)	□ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
istona	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	□ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
Prov	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	☐ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	□ A5141 Sister State Judgment □ A6160 Abstract of Judgment □ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) □ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) □ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax □ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8.
ရေ	RICO (27)	☐ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	□ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only □ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) □ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) □ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
:	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	☐ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
소 5용제Scellaneous Civil Petitions	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	□ A6121 Civil Harassment □ A6123 Workplace Harassment □ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case □ A6190 Election Contest □ A6110 Petition for Change of Name □ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law □ A6100 Other Civil Petition	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8. 2., 9.

'LACIV 109 (Rev. 03/11) LASC Approved 03-04 CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM
AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

Local Rule 2.0 Page 3 of 4

SHORT TITLE: Heinz, etal v. California Public Employees' Retirement Sys., etal	CASE NUMBER

Item III. Statement of Location: Enter the address of the accident, party's residence or place of business, performance, or other circumstance indicated in Item II., Step 3 on Page 1, as the proper reason for filing in the court location you selected.

REASON: Check the appropriate boxes for the numbers shown under Column C for the type of action that you have selected for this case.

□ 1. □ 2. □ 3. □ 4. □ 5. □ 6. □ 7. □ 8. □ 9. □ 10.

CITY:

Glendale

ADDRESS:

Catifornia Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) 655 North Central Ave., Suite 1400

CITY:

STATE: ZIP CODE:

CA 91203

Item IV. Declaration of Assignment. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the County courthouse in the Central District of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles [Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and Local Rule 2.0, subds. (b), (c) and (d)].

Dated: June 12, 2017

(SIGNATURE) OF ATTERNEY/FILDIG PARTY

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 03/11).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

2192/22/388

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

Local Rule 2.0 Page 4 of 4

LACIV 109 (Rev. 03/11) LASC Approved 03-04