

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI**

MARISSA D. HERMSEN,)
)
On behalf of herself and)
all other persons similarly situated,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
vs.)
)
CITY OF KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI)
)
Defendant.)
)
Service Instructions:)

Case No.: 4:11-cv-753

COMPLAINT
Collective and Class Action Claims

COME NOW, the Plaintiff, Marissa Hermsen, on behalf of herself, and all others similarly situated, by and through counsel, and hereby set forth their representative action for violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act under §216(b) as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, brings this action against Defendant, City of Kansas City, Missouri (hereinafter “KCMO,” or “Defendant”), for unpaid straight time and overtime compensation, and related penalties and damages. It is Defendant’s practice and policy to willfully fail and refuse to properly pay all straight time and overtime compensation due and owing to Plaintiff, and all other similarly situated employees, and doing so is in direct violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act (“FLSA”), 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.*

2. Defendant's pay practices and policies are in direct violation of the FLSA, and therefore Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, seeks declaratory relief; unpaid straight time compensation; overtime premiums for all overtime work required, suffered, or permitted by Defendant; compensation for wages wrongfully withheld or deducted; liquidated and/or other damages as permitted by applicable law; and attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred in this action.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff Marissa Hermesen currently resides at 565 Campbell Street Apt. 1N, Kansas City, Jackson County, Missouri 64106.

4. Plaintiffs are or were employed as Emergency Medical Technicians and/or Paramedics for the Defendant, and work or worked at Defendant's places of business.

5. The Putative Plaintiffs/Class Members are those employees, and former employees, of Defendant employed in the capacity of Emergency Medical Technician ("EMT") and/or Paramedic, and who were suffered or permitted to work by Defendant while not being paid straight time and overtime compensation for all hours worked.

6. Defendant is a city of the first class and governmental entity of the State of Missouri. The Kansas City, Missouri Fire Department is a Department of the City.

7. At all relevant times, Defendant was the employer of Plaintiff, and all other similarly situated employees, and is thus liable to Plaintiff, and all others similarly situated, as an employer, joint employer, single employer and/or otherwise according to statutory and common law.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8. This Court has original federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1311 for the claims brought under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.*

9. The United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri has personal jurisdiction because Defendant is a Missouri Municipal Corporation, and Plaintiff and the putative collective class members are or were employees, working in Jackson County, Missouri, which is located within this District.

10. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), inasmuch as the Defendant conducts business, and has substantial business contacts in the Western District of Missouri, and the causes of action set forth herein have arisen, in part, and occurred, in part, in Jackson County, Missouri, located in the Western District of Missouri.

11. Venue is further proper under 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(2) because Defendant has substantial business contacts within the State of Missouri.

GENERAL COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

12. This Complaint may be brought and maintained as an “opt-in” collective action pursuant to Section 16 of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b); in that the claims of Plaintiff are similar to the claims of the Putative Plaintiffs.

13. Putative Plaintiffs/Class Members are those current and former EMTs and/or Paramedics of Defendant who had, or have, the same or substantially similar job duties and who were suffered or permitted to work by Defendant while not being paid straight time and overtime compensation for all hours worked.

14. At all relevant times, Defendant has had a policy and practice of failing and refusing to compensate its EMTs and Paramedics straight time for all hours worked and overtime compensation for all hours worked in excess of forty hours per week.

15. The Plaintiff and all Putative Plaintiffs/Class Members were subject to Defendant's policies and practices of failing and refusing to compensate employees their regular or statutorily required rate of pay for all hours worked.

16. Common questions of law and fact predominate in this action because the claims of Plaintiff, and all others similarly situated, are based on whether Defendant's policy and practice of failing and refusing to compensate its EMTs and Paramedics for all straight time and overtime pay for all hours worked violates the FLSA.

17. The Plaintiff will adequately represent the interests of the Putative Plaintiff/Class Members because they are similarly situated to the Putative Plaintiff/Class Members and their claims are typical of, and concurrent to, the claims of the other Putative Plaintiff/Class Members.

18. There are no known conflicts of interest between the Plaintiff and the other Putative Plaintiff/Class Members.

19. The Class Counsel is qualified and able to litigate the Putative Plaintiffs'/Class Members' claims.

20. The Class Counsel concentrate their practice in employment litigation, and their attorneys are experienced in collective action litigation, including collective actions arising under federal and state wage and hour laws.

21. The collective action mechanism is superior to any alternatives that might exist for the fair and efficient adjudication of this cause of action.

22. Proceeding as a collective action would permit the potentially large number of injured parties to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without unnecessary duplication of evidence, effort, and judicial resources.

23. A collective action is the only practical way to avoid the potentially inconsistent results that numerous individual trials are likely to generate.

24. Collective action treatment is the only realistic means by which Plaintiff can effectively litigate against a large, well-represented governmental entity such as Defendant.

25. Numerous repetitive individual actions would also place an enormous burden on the courts as they would be forced to take duplicative evidence and decide the same issues relating to Defendant's conduct repeatedly.

26. Individual joinder of all Putative Plaintiffs/Class Members is not practicable, and questions of law and fact common to the Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class.

27. Each Putative Plaintiff /Class Member has been damaged and is entitled to recovery by reason of Defendant's illegal policies and/or practices of permitting, suffering and/or failing to compensate employees for their regular or statutorily required rate of pay for all hours worked.

28. Collective action treatment will allow those similarly situated persons to litigate their claims in the manner that is most efficient and economical for the parties and the judicial system.

29. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, sets forth additional collective action allegations in the various counts set forth herein.

COUNT I

Fair Labor Standards Act

30. As far as the factual allegations set forth above are applicable to the claims made in Count I, the Plaintiff hereby incorporates all of the above paragraphs in this Complaint as if fully set forth in this Count.

31. Plaintiff was employed by the Defendant. During this time frame, Plaintiff performed work for Defendant in the positions of EMT and/or Paramedic.

32. Prior to and during Plaintiff's employment with Defendant, Defendant employed numerous other individuals who had the same job duties and compensation structure as Plaintiff (the Putative Plaintiffs/Putative Representative Action Plaintiffs).

33. Plaintiff brings this Complaint as a collective action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all persons who were, are, or will be employed by the Defendant as EMTs and/or Paramedics within three years from the commencement of this action who have not been paid straight time for all hours worked and overtime compensation, at one-and-one-half times the regular rate of pay, for all work performed in excess of forty hours per week.

34. This Complaint may be brought and maintained as an "opt-in" collective action pursuant to Section 16 of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), for all claims asserted by the Putative Representative Action Plaintiffs because the claims of Plaintiff are similar to the claims of the Putative Plaintiffs of the representative action.

35. Plaintiff and the Putative Representative Action Plaintiffs are similarly situated, have substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, and are subject to Defendant's common practice, policy, or plan of refusing to pay straight time and overtime in violation of the FLSA.

36. The names and addresses of the putative members of the representative action are available from Defendant. To the extent required by law, notice will be provided to said individuals via First Class Mail and/or by the use of techniques and a form of notice similar to those customarily used in representative actions.

37. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an “employer” within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203.

38. At all relevant times, Defendant has employed, and/or continues to employ, “employee[s],” including each of the putative members of the FLSA representative action.

39. At all times relevant herein, Defendant has had gross operating revenues in excess of \$500,000.00 (Five Hundred Thousand Dollars).

40. The FLSA requires each covered employer, such as the Defendant, to compensate all non-exempt employees straight time for all hours worked and overtime compensation, at a rate of not less than one-and-one-half the regular rate of pay, for work performed in excess of forty hours in a work week.

41. Plaintiff and the putative members of the FLSA representative action are not exempt from the right to receive overtime pay under the FLSA and are not exempt from the requirement that their employer pay them overtime compensation under the FLSA.

42. Plaintiff, and the putative members of the FLSA representative action, are entitled to be paid overtime compensation for all overtime hours worked.

43. At all relevant times, Defendant has had a policy and practice of failing and refusing to pay to its EMTs and/or Paramedics straight time for all hours worked and overtime pay at a rate of not less than one-and-one-half the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty hours in a work week.

44. Defendant's failure to compensate Plaintiff, and all others similarly situated, straight time for all hours worked and overtime compensation at a rate of not less than one-and-one half times the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty hours in a work week constitutes a violation of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, *et seq.*, including 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1).

45. Defendant's violation of the FLSA is continual in nature; in that Defendant continues to pay its EMTs and/or Paramedics under the same unlawful policies and procedures that are set forth in detail herein.

46. The foregoing conduct constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

47. The Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, seeks damages in the amount of all respective unpaid straight time and overtime compensation at a rate of one-and-one half times the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty hours in a work week, plus liquidated damages, recovery of all attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred in this action, to be paid as provided by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and such other legal and equitable relief as the Court deems just and proper.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all proposed putative members of the FLSA representative action, pray for relief as follows:

- a. Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of the proposed putative members of the FLSA representative action and prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the FLSA opt-in class, apprising them of the pendency of this action and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consents To Sue pursuant to U.S.C. § 216(b); and

- b. Designation of Plaintiff Marissa Hermesen as Representative Plaintiff of the proposed putative members of the FLSA representative action; and
- c. An award of damages for overtime compensation due for the Plaintiffs and the putative members of the Class; and
- d. An award of liquidated damages, to be paid by Defendant; and
- e. Pre-Judgment and Post-Judgment interest, as provided by law; and
- f. Costs and expenses of this action incurred herein, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expert fees; and
- g. Any and all such other and further legal and equitable relief as this Court deems necessary, just and proper.

Demand for Jury Trial

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all causes of action and claims with respect to which Plaintiff and all members of the proposed representative action have a right to jury trial.

Respectfully submitted,

THORNBERRY, EISCHENS & BROWN, LLC

By: /s/ Joseph K. Eischens
Joseph K. Eischens MO# 44706
joe@TEBlawfirm.com

4550 Main Street, Suite 205
Kansas City, Missouri 64111
(816) 531-8383 *telephone*
(816) 531-8385 *facsimile*

and

THE HODGSON LAW FIRM, L.L.C.

By: /s/ Michael Hodgson
Michael Hodgson KS # 21331
mike@thehodgsonlawfirm.com

11605 W. 116th St.
Overland Park, KS 66210
Tel: (913) 890-3529

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI**

Civil Cover Sheet

This automated JS-44 conforms generally to the manual JS-44 approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974. The data is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. The information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form is authorized for use only in the Western District of Missouri.

**The completed cover sheet must be saved as a pdf document and filed as an attachment to the
Complaint or Notice of Removal.**

Plaintiff
(s): **Marissa D Hermsen**

County of Residence: Jackson

County Where Claim For Relief Arose: Jackson

Defendant
(s): **City of Kansas City**

County of Residence: Jackson

Plaintiff's Atty(s):

Michael A Hodgson
The Hodgson Law Firm, L.L.C.
11605 W. 116th St.
Overland Park, Kansas 66210
913-890-3529

Defendant's Atty(s):

Joseph K Eischens
Thornberry, Eischens & Brown, L.L.C.
4550 Main St. Ste 205
Kansas City, Missouri 64111
816-531-8383

II. Basis of Jurisdiction: **3. Federal Question (U.S. not a party)**

III. Citizenship of Principal
Parties (Diversity Cases Only)

Plaintiff:- N/A
Defendant:- N/A

IV. Origin : **1. Original Proceeding**

V. Nature of Suit: **710 Fair Labor Standards Act**

VI.Cause of Action: **29 U.S.C. 201, et. seq.**

VII. Requested in Complaint

Class Action:
Dollar Demand:
Jury Demand: **Yes**

Signature: Michael Hodgson

Date: 7/29/11

If any of this information is incorrect, please go back to the Civil Cover Sheet Input form using the *Back* button in your browser and change it. Once correct, print this form, sign and date it and submit it with your new civil action. **Note: You may need to adjust the font size in your browser display to make the form print properly.**

Revised: 05/09/06