NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 154148/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

NEW YORK STATE SUPREME COURT NEW YORK COUNTY

JESSICA GOLLOHER, :

Dlaintiff

Plaintiff,

v. :

TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY FOX, INC., FOX: NEWS NETWORK LLC, HANK WEINBLOOM, : in his individual and professional capacities, and: MITCH DAVIS, in his individual and professional: capacities: :

Defendants. :

To the above named Defendants:

SUMMONS

Plaintiff designates NEW YORK COUNTY as the place of trial

The basis of the venue is: Defendants' headquarters

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance on Plaintiff's attorneys within twenty (20) days after service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within thirty (30) days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Dated: May 4, 2017

New York, New York

WIGDOR LLP

Douglas H. Wigdor
Jeanne M. Christensen
Michael J. Willemin

Elizabeth J. Chen

85 Fifth Avenue

New York, NY 10003

Telephone: (212) 257-6800 Facsimile: (212) 257-6845 <u>dwigdor@wigdorlaw.com</u> <u>jchristensen@wigdorlaw.com</u> <u>mwillemin@wigdorlaw.com</u> echen@wigdorlaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

Defendants' Address:

1211 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 154148/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

NEW YORK STATE SUPREME COURT NEW YORK COUNTY

----- X JESSICA GOLLOHER, :

Plaintiff, : Index No.:

COMPLAINT

Jury Trial Demanded

v. :

TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY FOX, INC., FOX : NEWS NETWORK LLC, HANK WEINBLOOM, : in his individual and professional capacities, and : MITCH DAVIS, in his individual and professional :

capacities :

Defendants. : X

Plaintiff Jessica Golloher, by her counsel Wigdor LLP, as against Defendants Twenty-First Century Fox, Inc. ("21st Century Fox"), Fox News Network LLC ("Fox News") (21st Century Fox and Fox News, together, "Fox" or the "Company"), Mitch Davis ("Davis") and Hank Weinbloom ("Weinbloom") (collectively with Fox, "Defendants"), hereby alleges as follows:

SUMMARY OF CLAIMS

1. 21st Century Fox and Fox News have attempted to defend the barrage of sexual harassment allegations that have been swirling for months by asserting that none of the victims utilized a "hotline" to voice their complaints. Putting aside the fact that very few employees were even aware that a hotline existed prior to its mention in recent press accounts, Jessica Golloher, Fox News Radio Network's Middle East/North Africa correspondent, did summon the courage, on April 17, 2017, to email 21st Century Fox's purported independent investigator and request an opportunity to speak with her regarding issues at Fox. Within 24 hours of sending this email, and knowing that Ms. Golloher had previously made internal complaints about gender

COUNTY CLERK 05/04/2017

INDEX NO. 154148/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

discrimination, Ms. Golloher was, without any prior warning, fired – effective August 2017. The decision to terminate Ms. Golloher can only be described as a blatant act of retaliation.

- 2. To make matters worse, one of the individuals who made and carried out this retaliatory decision was Defendant Mitch Davis, Vice President of Fox News Radio Network who, according to media reports, was fired from his prior job at ABC News following an "incident of improper use of an on-line account" that involved a sexually explicit photo sent through ABC servers that was turned over to the FBI in connection with possible violations of pornography laws.¹
- 3. While Fox may claim that the decision to terminate Ms. Golloher was made before she sent the email on April 17, 2017, any such defense would be preposterous given that Ms. Golloher had previously complained about discriminatory treatment and she was provided no notice or warning whatsoever regarding any potential termination. To the contrary, Ms. Golloher participated in numerous meetings and discussed numerous assignments that assumed her continued employment at Fox.
- 4. Moreover, any defense to this action by Fox must be looked at with extreme skepticism given that, before this case was even filed, Fox issued a statement declaring that Ms. Golloher's allegations are "without merit" and that "any suggestion of discrimination or retaliation is baseless."
- 5. Simply put, any purported desire on the part of Fox to clean up its culture and actually encourage employees to come forward with complaints about discrimination in the workplace is nothing more than a move to salvage its reputation, as illustrated by the immediate and severe retaliation Fox took against Ms. Golloher following her protected activity.

http://www.nytimes.com/1995/08/11/business/the-media-business-abc-executive-dismissed-fortransmission.html.

2

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 154148/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

6. As a result, Plaintiff brings this action to seek declaratory, injunctive and

equitable relief, and monetary damages, against Defendants for her harm suffered as a direct

result of Defendants' discrimination and retaliation, in violation of the New York State Human

Rights Law, New York Executive Law §§ 290 et seq. ("NYSHRL"), and the New York City

Human Rights Law, New York Administrative Code §§ 8-101 et seq. ("NYCHRL").

7. At all times, Defendants' conduct was knowing, malicious, willful and wanton

and/or showed a reckless disregard for Plaintiff's rights, warranting an award of punitive

damages. Such conduct has caused, and continues to cause, Plaintiff to suffer substantial

monetary damages and severe mental anguish and emotional distress.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants pursuant to Civil Practice

Law and Rules ("CPLR") § 301 because Defendants Twenty-First Century Fox, Inc. and Fox

News Network LLC, Delaware entities, are authorized to conduct business throughout New

York, and have their principal place of business located at 1211 Avenue of the Americas, New

York, New York. At this same location, Defendants Twenty-First Century Fox, Inc. and Fox

News Network LLC employ hundreds of employees. Moreover, Defendants Twenty-First

Century Fox, Inc. and Fox News Network LLC employ hundreds of additional individuals

throughout the state.

9. At all relevant times, Defendants Twenty-First Century Fox, Inc. and Fox News

Network LLC continuously and systematically conducted business in New York. Further, the

events giving rise to this action took place at the principal offices for Defendants Twenty-First

Century Fox, Inc. and Fox News Network LLC, located at 1211 Avenue of the Americas, New

York, NY.

3

DOC. NO.

INDEX NO. 154148/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

10. Venue is proper pursuant to CPLR § 503 because Defendants' headquarters are located in New York County.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES

- 11. Plaintiff will submit a charge of discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"). After receiving her right to sue, Plaintiff will seek to amend this Complaint to add claims for Defendants' violations of 42 U.S.C. 2000-e et seq.
- 12. Pursuant to NYCHRL § 8-502, Plaintiff will serve a copy of this Complaint upon the New York City Commission on Human Rights and the New York City Law Department, Office of the Corporation Counsel within ten days of its filing, thereby satisfying the notice requirements of this action.
 - Plaintiff has complied with any and all other prerequisites to filing this action. 13.

PARTIES

- 14. In 2014, Plaintiff Jessica Golloher was hired by Fox News to work at its 1211 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York location. On January 1, 2015, Ms. Golloher was assigned to report for Fox News Radio Network ("Fox News Radio") as its Middle East/North Africa correspondent based in Jerusalem, Israel. Ms. Golloher is a United States citizen and prior to her assignment to Jerusalem, she resided in New York County.
- 15. Ms. Golloher is employed by Fox News and, at all relevant times, met the definition of an "employee" under all applicable statutes.
- 16. Defendant Twenty-First Century Fox, Inc. is a corporation with its principal place of business in New York County, New York, and is duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Delaware. At all relevant times, Twenty-First Century Fox, Inc. has met the definition of an "employer" of Plaintiff under all applicable statutes.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 154148/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

17. Defendant Fox News Network LLC is a limited liability company with its

principal place of business in New York County, New York, and is duly organized and existing

under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Delaware. Fox News Network LLC is a wholly

owned subsidiary of Twenty-First Century Fox, Inc. In turn, Fox News Network LLC owns Fox

News Channel LLC and Fox Business Channel. At all relevant times, Fox News Network LLC

has met the definition of an "employer" of Plaintiff under all applicable statutes.

18. Defendant Hank Weinbloom resides in New York County, New York. Defendant

Weinbloom has worked at Fox News for over fifteen years and currently is a Director of News

Programming for Fox News Radio. In this capacity, he supervises multiple employees, including

Ms. Golloher. At all relevant times, Defendant Weinbloom has met the definition of an

"employer" of Plaintiff under all applicable statutes.

19. Defendant Mitch Davis resides in New York County, New York. Defendant Davis

has worked at Fox News for over twelve years and currently is a Vice President of Fox News

Radio. In this capacity, he supervises multiple employees, including Ms. Golloher. At all

relevant times, Defendant Davis has met the definition of an "employer" of Plaintiff under all

applicable statutes.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

I. MS. GOLLOHER'S EMPLOYMENT

A. Background

20. In 2008, Ms. Golloher began reporting for Fox as a freelancer. Already an

experienced television and radio reporter, Ms. Golloher, who speaks five European languages,

had previously worked as a foreign correspondent for years, including for CBC TV and Radio,

France 24, NPR and the BBC.

5

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 154148/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

21. From 2008 through 2014, Ms. Golloher worked for Fox as a correspondent covering Russia and the former CIS countries. Based out of Moscow, Ms. Golloher traveled throughout the region, covering a multitude of topics, including politics, armed conflicts, lifestyles and sports. By way of example only, Ms. Golloher covered the Sochi Olympics, the war in Eastern Ukraine and the annexation of Crimea. During this period, Ms. Golloher reported to Weinbloom and Davis.

- 22. In August 2014, Ms. Golloher agreed to work for Fox as its Middle East/North Africa correspondent, signing a contract that extends until August 2017.
- 23. From August 2014 through the end of December 2014, Ms. Golloher worked for Fox as a national correspondent based at its Manhattan location, 1211 Avenue of the Americas.
- 24. In January 2015, Fox dispatched Ms. Golloher to work out of its Jerusalem bureau to cover the Middle East and Africa. Ms. Golloher has remained there since that time. At all relevant times, Ms. Golloher continued to report to Davis and Weinbloom.
 - B. Continuous and Systemic Discrimination Against Ms. Golloher Based on Gender
- 25. Repeatedly throughout her work for Fox, Ms. Golloher was marginalized and subject to discrimination based on her gender.

Simon Owen

- 26. Simon Owen is a male London-based correspondent for Fox News Radio. Based on their respective backgrounds, experience and job responsibilities at Fox, Ms. Golloher and Mr. Owen are considered professional peers.
- 27. Based in Moscow in 2013, Ms. Golloher had been preparing and researching to cover the 2014 Winter Olympics, held in Sochi, Russia. Such preparation was reasonable under

COUNTY CLERK 05/04/2017

INDEX NO. 154148/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

the circumstances as Ms. Golloher had been the primary Russian correspondent for Fox for the

past seven years.

28. In or about November 2013, Mr. Owen contacted Ms. Golloher to inform her that

he would be "joining her" in Sochi to cover the Olympics for Fox.

29. Although Mr. Owen does not speak Russian and was unfamiliar with the country,

as evidenced in part by his condescending questions regarding even basic visa and passport

issues to Ms. Golloher, Weinbloom and Davis assigned Mr. Owen, not Ms. Golloher, as lead

reporter in Sochi.

30. As part of the deferential treatment that Mr. Owens received, as compared to Ms.

Golloher, by Weinbloom and Davis, Mr. Owen was "met" at the airport by handlers to guide him

to his hotel.

31. In stark contrast to Mr. Owen, Ms. Golloher was not met or received at the

airport, escorted to her hotel or provided any additional resources during her coverage of the

2014 Olympics.

32. Instead, shockingly, Ms. Golloher was degraded and humiliated when essentially,

Fox forced her to work as Mr. Owen's assistant and was told to simply "piggyback" onto and

"defer" to whatever Mr. Owen was doing or needed.

33. Specifically, Weinbloom and Davis made clear to Ms. Golloher that she was to

serve as Mr. Owen's "Russian translator," assist with Mr. Owen's entrée into the country using

her established contacts, and provide Mr. Owen with content and ideas for reporting.

34. Aware of Fox's blatant marginalization of her skills and its decision to promote a

man as the voice of Fox during the Olympics, Ms. Golloher complained throughout the Sochi

7

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 154148/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

events about her discriminatory treatment and the fact that she was downgraded to "secretarial"

status for Mr. Owen's purposes.

35. Outrageously, Weinbloom responded to Ms. Golloher's protected complaints by

stating, "This is how we are doing this," and thereafter ignored any further complaints.

36. Further, Ms. Golloher was placed on the "B shift" reporting from 5:00 p.m.

through 2:00 a.m., while Mr. Owen was assigned the far more desirable day shift. However, Ms.

Golloher was expected to, and did, work to assist Mr. Owen during his day shift reporting.

37. In one humiliating example of sexist treatment, Weinbloom emailed Ms. Golloher

and directed her to go out "on the street" after her shift to report in person on the recent ban

imposed by Moscow on "lacy underwear imports." Reports stated that the Kremlin was

concerned with the health effects of synthetic lace and its lack of breathability and thus had

issued regulations that would ban the importation of garments, largely underwear, that were

comprised of such lace.

38. Despite the serious and relevant political news to report on during the Sochi

Olympics, Weinbloom and Davis left that content for Mr. Owen and directed Ms. Golloher to

spend time on the ridiculous and frivolous "panty protests" in Russia. Weinbloom copied Mr.

Owen and other members of the Fox News team in New York on such emails, further adding to

Ms. Golloher's humiliation.

39. Subsequent to Sochi, Mr. Owen was considered to be the lead, while Ms.

Golloher's travel was severely curtailed. She mainly received reporting opportunities when Mr.

Owen was on leave or otherwise "couldn't be bothered."

8

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 154148/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

Dave Anthony

40. Dave Anthony is an anchor and producer at Fox News Radio. At all relevant

times, he worked from the 1211 Avenue of the Americas location. Regularly, Mr. Anthony and

Ms. Golloher report live on the same broadcast. On many occasions, Ms. Golloher complained

to Davis and Weinbloom about Mr. Anthony's conduct towards her. Specifically, Ms. Golloher

complained about Mr. Anthony's manner of speaking down to her, including his rude and

disparaging conduct and general view that she was a vapid, unintelligent female reporter. In this

regard, Mr. Anthony continuously would dictate word-for-word what he wanted Ms. Golloher to

say, not trusting her to put together even basic sentences.

41. Specifically, in or around early January 2016, Ms. Golloher emailed Davis and

Weinbloom, as well as her colleagues Jeff Monosso and Jared Halpern, regarding Mr. Anthony

and his relentless edits to her scripts and dictation of exactly what she should say. Ms. Golloher

indicated that his conduct was not professional or something that she was accustomed to

experiencing when she wrote, "I mean, this is not normal as far as I am concerned." Mr.

Monosso wrote back and said he was aware of similar complaints, but that Ms. Golloher should

just "fly under the radar," a subtle warning that Ms. Golloher would be retaliated against if she

chose to push the issue. Weinbloom and Davis failed to take any meaningful or remedial action

in response to Ms. Golloher's complaints about Mr. Anthony, and his discriminatory

micromanagement continued, and even became worse.

42. Indeed, after Ms. Golloher complained about Mr. Anthony's actions, Mr. Anthony

continued to bark directives at Ms. Golloher unabated on a regular and continuous basis and

suddenly began bombarding Ms. Golloher via internal instant messenger chats about what he

9

INDEX NO. 154148/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

expected to see verbatim in her upcoming scripts. As recently as January 2017, Weinbloom acknowledged Mr. Anthony's continuing conduct in an email to Ms. Golloher.

- Ms. Golloher has never heard Mr. Anthony patronize or speak down to similarly-43. situated male reporters.
- 44. Upon information and belief, other female employees at Fox News Radio have complained to management about Mr. Anthony's disparaging treatment of them, including his condescending tone and conduct towards women.

Sexist Standards Not Applied to Male Correspondents C.

- 45. Throughout her employment at Fox, Ms. Golloher has been the subject of countless sexist comments and has borne witness to boorish comments regarding other female employees.
- 46. By way of example only, in or about March 2014, Davis requested that Ms. Golloher send him some recent photos of herself while reporting. Ms. Golloher sent Davis two photos. As soon as he received them, Davis called Ms. Golloher to complain that the photos were "horrible," and that she was "much better looking in person," and told her to find better pictures of herself.
- 47. Ms. Golloher complained to Weinbloom about being asked for more photos in which she looked more attractive. No other network that she had worked for, including the CBC and France 24, had ever asked her to submit different photos.
- 48. In or around April 2016, while Ms. Golloher was on assignment in Saudi Arabia, Weinbloom directed Ms. Golloher to photograph herself in a burqa and send to him. As directed, Ms. Golloher took the selfie and sent to Weinbloom. His response via email, "You are totally ROCKING that Bur[q]a!"

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 154148/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

49. In or around January 2017, while Ms. Golloher was on vacation, there was some breaking news in Jerusalem. In response, Weinbloom wrote to Ms. Golloher, "You'd better get your butt back there soon!"

- 50. Upon information and belief, Weinbloom never wrote such an email to or used such language with a male subordinate.
- 51. Despite the reality that Ms. Golloher's job is to pitch important stories about events happening in the conflict-ridden Middle East where reports of bombings, stabbings and other violence occur on a near-daily basis, Davis and Weinbloom regularly deny Ms. Golloher access to report on the ground because it was considered to be "too dangerous." By way of example only, in early February 2017, Ms. Golloher sent Davis and Weinbloom a pitch proposing travel to Afghanistan for a specific story. At the time, Ms. Golloher's visa for Afghanistan was valid for just another month.
- 52. In response, Weinbloom said Afghanistan was "exceedingly unsafe" and denied her request to travel to report on the story.
- 53. Shortly thereafter, Ms. Golloher learned that FOX TV sent a male reporter to cover the exact story in Afghanistan that she had pitched.
- 54. Similarly, despite the fact that Ms. Golloher is the correspondent for the Middle East and is based out of Jerusalem, Weinbloom and Davis recently sent Mr. Owen all the way from London to Pakistan to cover a story that Ms. Golloher was ready and willing to report on. Additionally, the cost to travel from Jerusalem to Pakistan would have been substantially lower than the cost of travel for Mr. Owen.
- 55. On another occasion, when Ms. Golloher suggested that she report from Moscow regarding issues Russia and Turkey were experiencing, Weinbloom and Davis shockingly

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 154148/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

rejected the idea, claiming it was too much of a "security and liability" issue to have her

reporting from there. Notably, during her six years of living and reporting in Russia for Fox,

such concern for her security was nonexistent.

56. Ms. Golloher complained about the disparate treatment she received as compared

to her male peers. Specifically, she complained to Davis and Weinbloom about their repeated

denials of her requests to travel and report on stories, using such pretexts as dangerous conditions

or expense of traveling for the story, yet male reporters were sent to the precise locations she had

pitched, often at a much greater economic cost to Fox News. Weinbloom and Davis did nothing

in response.

57. Ms. Golloher did not consider complaining to Human Resources ("HR") a viable

option. Over the years, Ms. Golloher had heard that HR was not to be trusted as it had

repeatedly failed to assist employees with valid concerns. In particular, Ms. Golloher knew

about a female senior editor in Fox News Radio who had dared to complain about a male anchor

to HR in or about 2014. Although the male anchor's contract was eventually not renewed, Ms.

Golloher knew that the female employee was subjected to relentless retaliation following her

complaints, including direct retaliation by Davis and Weinbloom.

58. Indeed, Weinbloom and Davis did nothing to hide their fury that the female editor

went to HR in the first place, much less revealed that rampant sexism was taking place in the Fox

News Radio department.

59. Reasonably, Ms. Golloher believed that if she went to HR to report her unequal

treatment by Weinbloom and Davis, or unfair treatment by Mr. Anthony, she would suffer even

more discrimination and, likely, retaliation.

12

SCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 154148/2017 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

60. This all changed in early April 2017, however, when Ms. Golloher was intentionally misled by Fox News into believing that it truly cared about its employees and was committed to providing a trustworthy, safe avenue for reporting discrimination.

61. Distressingly, Ms. Golloher's belief could not have been more wrong.

D. Ms. Golloher Attempts To Report Discrimination and is Fired In Less Than 24 Hours

62. On or around April 1, 2017, the NYT printed an exposé detailing the numerous occasions on which female employees had complained about sexual harassment and gender discrimination on the part of Bill O'Reilly ("O'Reilly"), who was, at the time, a star anchor for Fox.²

- 63. The shocking article detailed how Fox systemically protected O'Reilly, shielding him from numerous complaints of sexual harassment and gender discrimination.
 - 64. Woman after woman brought allegations of sexual harassment to Fox.
- 65. And time and again, Fox paid out settlements to these women, rather than risk losing O'Reilly.
- 66. The NYT reported that approximately \$13 million had been paid out to women who had complained about O'Reilly's harassment.
- 67. Once the article surfaced about these abhorrent practices, the ensuing media frenzy surrounding Fox demanded a response.
- 68. In an immediate attempt to deflect backlash from the NYT article, on April 4, 2017, Fox issued a company-wide email about its alleged commitment to fostering a fair and nondiscriminatory workplace. Specifically, Kevin Lord, the new Executive Vice President of HR, sent an email with the following text:

See https://www.nytimes.com/2017/04/01/business/media/bill-oreilly-sexual-harassment-fox-news.html.

13

INDEX NO. 154148/2017 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

Hi Everyone,

As many of you know from participating in our newly expanded HR training, I am the new Executive Vice President of Human Resources at Fox News. Particularly in light of some of the accounts published over the last few days, I wanted to reemphasize the message we have been conveying at our training sessions for several months. If any employee has any concerns about behavior in our workplace, I urge you to raise those concerns with me, or with our General Counsel Dianne Brandi, or with 21CF's Chief Compliance Officer Gerson Zweifach, or directly with Michele Hirshman of the Paul Weiss law firm, who has been working with 21CF since this summer. We want to give you every opportunity to be heard through a vehicle of your choice, so that we can attempt to address your concerns promptly and confidentially. Our contact info is below.

Thanks, Kevin

(emphasis added).

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

- 69. The email was a public relations attempt to fight back against the media frenzy and to pretend to care about discriminatory conduct being committed against female employees.
- 70. At the same time, O'Reilly and representatives for Fox responded publicly to the NYT article by stating that no employee had made complaints about O'Reilly on the company "hotline:"

"Notwithstanding the fact that no current or former Fox News employee ever took advantage of the 21st Century Fox hotline to raise a concern about Bill O'Reilly, even anonymously," the Company said, noting that it had looked into the accusations and that O'Reilly had denied them on their merits and "resolved" them.

O'Reilly said the lawsuits are the inevitable result of his fame and controversial persona, adding: "In my more than 20 years at Fox News Channel, no one has ever filed a complaint about me with the Human Resources Department, even on the anonymous hotline."3

See http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/fox-news-sexist-culture us 58e2976ee4b0d0b7e1639421.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

Executive Officer Roger Ailes.

INDEX NO. 154148/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

71. News of the alleged existence of the hotline took current and former Fox employees by surprise. As reported, many employees said they were not aware of an anonymous hotline. Notably, Fox's feigned reliance on a "hotline option" for employees came more than a decade after the first reported sexual harassment lawsuit against O'Reilly and eight months after the July 2016 public explosion regarding sexual harassment complaints against former Chief

- 72. Ms. Golloher soon learned that Fox, rather than treating complaints of discrimination as worth prompt and confidential consideration, instead used them to paint targets on the backs of employees.
- 73. On or about April 17, 2017, Ms. Golloher emailed Michele Hirshman, the lawyer at Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP ("Paul Weiss") who was identified in Mr. Lord's April 4, 2017 email.
- 74. In that email to Paul Weiss attorneys, Ms. Golloher stated, "I'm having some issues at FOX. Is it feasible to give you a call this week? I'd really appreciate it." (emphasis added).
- 75. From her email and her prior internal complaints to Davis and Weinbloom, it was clear that Ms. Golloher was intending on reporting the discriminatory behavior in the workplace to which she had been subjected to the Paul Weiss lawyers, and her attempt at reaching out was in direct response to Mr. Lord's April 4, 2017 email.
- 76. Just a few hours later, Ms. Hirshman responded, asking for Ms. Golloher's availability.
- 77. Indeed, as described above, Fox has been on notice of Davis's inappropriate behavior for more than 20 years. Prior to his affiliation with Fox, Davis was an editorial

INDEX NO. 154148/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

producer of on-line services at ABC News. According to media reports, in August 1995, after 23 years at ABC News, Davis was terminated following an "incident of improper use of an on-line account." According to an August 11, 1995 article published by the NYT, the spokesperson for ABC News declined to comment on the nature of the photograph. In this same article, an executive at ABC News, who spoke to the press on the condition of anonymity, said that the photograph was sexually explicit and that it had been turned over to the FBI in connection with possible violations of pornography laws.⁴

- In spite of Davis's checkered past, Fox welcomed Davis to the Company in 1997. 78. First hired as a Special Events Coordinator, Fox proceeded to promote Davis repeatedly until, in 2003, Davis was promoted to Managing Editor of Fox News Radio. In 2005, Fox News promoted Davis again, to Director of News Programming for Fox News Radio. In 2007, he was promoted to Vice President of Fox News Radio. In 2015, Davis was placed in a senior position at Fox News Radio, reporting to former co-President of Fox News, Bill Shine.
- 79. Less than 24 hours after Ms. Golloher sent the email to Ms. Hirshman to report the discrimination she was facing from Weinbloom and Davis, she received an email from Weinbloom asking her to "call Mitch."
- 80. When Ms. Golloher called Davis, he shockingly advised her that she would be terminated, effective August 2017.
- Ms. Golloher was provided no advance notice of any purported performance 81. deficiency or warning that she might be terminated.
 - 82. The termination reason, as stated by Davis, was "budgetary concerns."

http://www.nytimes.com/1995/08/11/business/the-media-business-abc-executive-dismissed-for-See transmission.html.

16

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 154148/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

83. Davis further stated to Ms. Golloher that she was selected because her salary was

costing the Company the "most" money. Davis did not ask Ms. Golloher to consider a reduction

in compensation to cut costs, which he could have done if the purported rationale for Ms.

Golloher's termination was genuine.

84. Since she has been informed of her termination, Ms. Golloher continues to be

excluded from work assignments.

85. Moreover, freelance colleagues are being given assignments that are entirely

within Ms. Golloher's area of expertise despite the fact that she is still on Fox's payroll.

86. The reasons for Ms. Golloher's termination are entirely pretextual, as it is

apparent that Fox will replace Ms. Golloher. Indeed, while recently on vacation, Fox paid two

separate individuals to cover for her despite their purported claim that she is not going to be

replaced and that they have no money in the budget to keep Ms. Golloher.

87. Defendants' decision to terminate Ms. Golloher is a transparent act of retaliation

and a clear attempt to silence yet another victim of discrimination at Fox.

88. It is clear that Fox remains more concerned about protecting its male employees

and shielding them from the consequences of their discriminatory behavior than it is in ensuring

that it is complying with the law and ensuring that women can work in a space free from

discrimination.

89. The decision also is consistent with Fox's history of retaliating against employees

who attempt to assert claims against the Company.

90. On March 28, 2017, Fox News employees Tichaona Brown and Tabrese Wright

filed a race discrimination lawsuit against the Company and their supervisor, former Fox News

Controller Judy Slater. After the action was filed, Ms. Brown was promptly terminated and Ms.

17

SCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 154148/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

Wright was demoted. See Brown, et al. v. Twenty-First Century Fox, Inc., et al., Index No. 22446/2017E (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 2017).

- 91. Moreover, Fox has hired Epstein, Becker & Green, P.C. ("EBG") to defend the Brown lawsuit.
- 92. EBG has a proud history of engaging in retaliatory litigation against employees who exercise their rights under the anti-discriminations laws. Ronald M. Green, a named Partner at EBG who is working on the Brown matter, unabashedly holds himself out as "one of the pioneers of the use of preemptive litigation in suing current and former employees, and others, who threaten to bring legal proceedings. . . ." against the Firm's clients. See http://www.ebglaw.com/ronald-m-green/. Mr. Green has authored articles regarding his selfrenowned retaliatory tactics, including articles entitled "'PREEMPTIVE' Employment Litigation: When An Employer's Best Defense May Be A Good Offense" and "The Employer's 'Sue-First' Strategy in high-stakes litigations, 'preemptive strike' has produced results."
- 93. In Fox News Network, LLC, et al. v. Mackris, et al., Index No. 014087/2004 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 2004), Mr. Green and EBG represented Fox News and O'Reilly in retaliatory, preemptive litigation against Andrea Mackris, as well as against her attorneys, Benedict P. Morelli & Associates, after Ms. Mackris indicated an intention to commence a sexual harassment lawsuit. Mr. Green and EBG "justified" the retaliatory litigation by alleging that Ms. Mackris's claims were an "extortion scheme." Fox News and O'Reilly settled the claims for around \$9,000,000. https://www.nytimes.com/2017/04/01/business/media/bill-oreilly-sexualharassment-fox-news.html?_r=0.
- 94. Mr. Green and EBG also represented Madison Square Garden ("MSG") in the highly publicized employment discrimination action brought by Anucha Browne Sanders, that

COUNTY CLERK 05/04/2017

Civ. 589 (GEL), 2007 WL 1933933 (S.D.N.Y. July 2, 2007).

SCEF DOC. NO.

INDEX NO. 154148/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

resulted in an approximately \$12 million jury verdict in her favor. In that matter, Mr. Green and EBG attempted to assert a retaliatory counterclaim against Ms. Sanders for a purported breach of her fiduciary duty to MSG. The court denied the request to assert the counterclaim, concluding that the counterclaim would be "futil[e]." See Sanders v. Madison Square Garden, et al., No. 06

- 95. In Bivona & Cohen, P.C. et al. v. Richards, et al., Index No. 105583/2008 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 2008), Mr. Green and EBG represented Bivona & Cohen, P.C. and Josepth V. Figliolo in retaliatory, preemptive litigation against their former employee, Wendy Richards, who had accused her employer and supervisor of sexual harassment. After Ms. Richards filed her discrimination claims in federal court, Mr. Green withdrew as counsel.
- 96. Most recently, in Marchuk v. Faruqi & Faruqi, et al., No. 13 Civ. 1669 (S.D.N.Y. 2013), Mr. Green and another Partner at EBG working on the Brown matter, Barry Asen, filed a \$15 million retaliatory counterclaim against the plaintiff, Alexandra Marchuk, on behalf of the defendants. Ms. Marchuk had alleged that the defendants committed and/or permitted egregious Ultimately, EBG was forced to voluntarily withdraw the retaliatory sexual harassment. counterclaim because it was clear that there was absolutely no basis for it. Shortly thereafter, EBG withdrew as counsel.
- 97. Finally, in the matter of Abrams v. Pecile, et al., Index No. 110329/2009 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 2009), Mr. Green and Mr. Asen brought retaliatory litigation on behalf of EBG's client against the plaintiffs, as well as against Wigdor LLP (Ms. Golloher's attorneys herein). The counterclaims were dismissed at the pleading stage. The decision dismissing the counterclaims was affirmed on appeal. Eventually, EBG withdrew as counsel.

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

INDEX NO. 154148/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

98. Simply put, any purported desire on the part of Fox to clean up its culture and actually encourage employees to come forward with complaints about discrimination in the workplace is nothing more than a move to salvage its reputation, as illustrated by the immediate

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

and severe retaliation Fox took against Ms. Golloher following her protected activity.

(Discrimination in Violation of the NYSHRL) Against All Defendants

99. Plaintiff hereby repeats, reiterate and re-alleges each and every allegation in each

of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

100. Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of her gender in

violation of the NYSHRL by, inter alia, denying Plaintiff equal terms and conditions of

employment available to similarly-situated male employees, including, but not limited to,

subjecting Plaintiff to disparate treatment, including disparate pay, disparate assignments, and

sexist comments, based on her gender.

Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of her gender in

violation of the NYSHRL by fostering, condoning, accepting, ratifying and/or otherwise failing

to prevent or to remedy a discriminatory environment that has included, among other things,

pervasive discrimination committed against Plaintiff.

102. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful discriminatory conduct

in violation of the NYSHRL, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, monetary and/or

economic harm, for which she is entitled to an award of damages.

As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful discriminatory conduct 103.

in violation of the NYSHRL, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, mental anguish and

emotional distress, for which she is entitled to an award of damages.

20

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 154148/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Retaliation in Violation of the NYSHRL)

Against All Defendants

Plaintiff hereby repeats, reiterate and re-alleges each and every allegation in each 104.

of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

105. Defendants have retaliated against Plaintiff because she engaged in protected

activity in violation of the NYSHRL by, *inter alia*, terminating Plaintiff.

As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful retaliatory conduct in 106.

violation of the NYSHRL, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, monetary and/or

economic harm, for which she is entitled to an award of damages.

As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful retaliatory conduct in

violation of the NYSHRL, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, mental anguish and

emotional distress, for which she is entitled to an award of damages.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Aiding and Abetting in Violation of the NYSHRL) Against Defendants Hank Weinbloom and Mitch Davis

Plaintiff hereby repeats, reiterates and re-alleges each and every allegation in each

of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

109. Defendants Weinbloom and Davis knowingly and maliciously aided and abetted

the unlawful employment practices, discrimination and retaliation committed against Plaintiff in

violation of the NYSHRL.

108.

110. As a direct and proximate result, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer

monetary and/or economic harm, including, but not limited to, loss of past income, future

income, compensation and benefits, for which she is entitled to an award of monetary damages

and other relief.

21

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 154148/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

As a direct and proximate result, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, emotional distress, for which she is entitled to an award of monetary damages and other relief.

> FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Discrimination in Violation of the NYCHRL) Against All Defendants

112. Plaintiff hereby repeats, reiterate and re-alleges each and every allegation in each

of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of her gender in 113.

violation of the NYCHRL by, inter alia, denying Plaintiff equal terms and conditions of

employment available to similarly-situated male employees, including, but not limited to,

subjecting Plaintiff to disparate treatment, including disparate pay, disparate assignments, and

sexist comments, based on her gender.

Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of her gender in

violation of the NYCHRL by fostering, condoning, accepting, ratifying and/or otherwise failing

to prevent or to remedy a discriminatory environment that has included, among other things,

pervasive discrimination committed against Plaintiff.

115. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful discriminatory conduct

in violation of the NYCHRL, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, monetary and/or

economic harm, for which she is entitled to an award of damages.

As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful discriminatory conduct 116.

in violation of the NYCHRL, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, mental anguish and

emotional distress, for which she is entitled to an award of damages.

22

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 154148/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

117. Defendants' unlawful and discriminatory actions constitute malicious, willful and wanton violations of the NYCHRL, for which Plaintiff is entitled to an award of punitive damages.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Retaliation in Violation of the NYCHRL) Against All Defendants

- 118. Plaintiff hereby repeats, reiterate and re-alleges each and every allegation in each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 119. Defendants have retaliated against Plaintiff because she engaged in protected activity in violation of the NYCHRL by, *inter alia*, terminating Plaintiff.
- 120. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful retaliatory conduct in violation of the NYCHRL, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, monetary and/or economic harm, for which she is entitled to an award of damages.
- 121. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful retaliatory conduct in violation of the NYCHRL, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, mental anguish and emotional distress, for which she is entitled to an award of damages.
- 122. Defendants' unlawful and retaliatory actions constitute malicious, willful and wanton violations of the NYCHRL, for which Plaintiff is entitled to an award of punitive damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that the Court enter judgment in her favor and against Defendants, containing the following relief:

A. An injunction and order permanently restraining Defendants and their partners, officers, owners, agents, successors, employees and/or representatives and any and all persons

COUNTY CLERK 05/04/2017

INDEX NO. 154148/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

acting in concert with them, from engaging in any such further unlawful conduct, including the

policies and practices complained of herein;

An order directing Defendants to place Plaintiff in the position she would have В.

occupied but for Defendants' discriminatory and retaliatory treatment and otherwise unlawful

conduct (including reinstatement), and to take such affirmative action as is necessary to ensure

that the effects of these unlawful employment practices are eliminated and do not continue to

affect Plaintiff;

C. A declaratory judgment that the actions, conduct and practices of Defendants

complained of herein violate the laws of the State and City of New York;

D. An award of damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus pre-judgment

interest, to compensate Plaintiff for all monetary and/or economic damages;

E. An award of damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus pre-judgment

interest, to compensate Plaintiff for all non-monetary and/or compensatory damages, including,

but not limited to, compensation for her mental anguish and emotional distress, emotional pain

and suffering and any other physical and mental injuries;

F. An award of damages to be determined at trial, plus pre-judgment interest, to

compensate Plaintiff for harm to her professional and personal reputation and loss of career

fulfillment;

G. An award of punitive damages;

H. An award of costs that Plaintiff has incurred in this action, as well as reasonable

attorneys' fees to the fullest extent permitted by law; and

I. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

24

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 154148/2017
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/04/2017

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues of fact and damages stated herein.

Dated: May 4, 2017

New York, New York

Respectfully submitted,

WIGDOR LLP

Douglas H. Wigdor Jeanne M. Christensen

Michael J. Willemin Elizabeth J. Chen

85 Fifth Avenue

New York, NY 10003

Telephone: (212) 257-6800 Facsimile: (212) 257-6845 dwigdor@wigdorlaw.com jchristensen@wigdorlaw.com mwillemin@wigdorlaw.com echen@wigdorlaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff