

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

THE WAGNER FIRM
Avi Wagner (SBN 226688)
1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: (310) 491-7949
Facsimile: (310) 694-3967
Email: avi@thewagnerfirm.com

[Additional Counsel on Signature Page]

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MELVYN KLEIN, Derivatively on)
Behalf of Nominal Defendant OPUS)
BANK,)

Plaintiff,)

v.)

STEPHEN H. GORDON, MARK)
CICIRELLI, MARK E. SCHAFFER,)
MICHAEL MEYER, ROBERT)
SHACKLETON, THOMAS M.)
BOWERS, CURTIS A. GLOVIER,)
and DAVID KING,)

Defendants,)

OPUS BANK, a California)
Corporation,)
Nominal Defendant.)

CASE NO.:

**VERIFIED SHAREHOLDER
DERIVATIVE COMPLAINT**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

1 Plaintiff, derivatively on behalf of Opus Bank (“Opus” or the “the
2 Company”), alleges the following based upon personal knowledge as to himself
3 and his own acts, and upon information and belief based on the investigation of
4 counsel as to all other matters. That investigation included, among other things, a
5 thorough review and analysis of public documents, Federal Deposit Insurance
6 Corporation (“FDIC”) filings, court filings, press releases and news articles
7 concerning Opus, and the other facts as set forth herein:

8 **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

9 1. This is a shareholder derivative action brought on behalf of and for the
10 benefit of Opus, against certain of its officers and/or directors named as defendants
11 herein seeking to remedy their breaches of fiduciary duties. Defendants’ actions
12 have caused, and will continue to cause, substantial financial harm and reputational
13 damage to Opus.

14 2. Opus is a California-chartered commercial bank.

15 3. On October 17, 2016, Defendants caused the Company to issue a press
16 release entitled *Opus Bank Announces Loan Charge-Offs Will Impact Third*
17 *Quarter Earnings*. In this press release the Company reported that earnings for the
18 third quarter 2016 would include a \$0.59 per diluted share impact from loan charge-
19 offs which the Company expected to result in a net loss of approximately \$0.05 per
20 diluted share for the third quarter of 2016.

21 4. On this news, Opus’s stock price fell \$7.25 per share, or 21%, to close
22 at \$27.20 per share on October 17, 2016. Throughout the Relevant Period (July 28,
23 2014 through the present), Defendants made false and/or misleading statements, as
24 well as failed to disclose material adverse facts about the Company’s business,
25 operations, and prospects. Specifically, Defendants made false and/or misleading
26 statements and/or failed to disclose that: (1) certain of the Company’s loans were of
27 poor quality; (2) the Company was over-representing the quality of the loans to the
28 public; (3) as such, the Company failed to properly account for the loans in

1 violation of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (“GAAP”); (4) as a result,
2 the Company would be forced to recognize large charge-offs associated with the
3 loans; (5) the Company lacked adequate internal controls over accounting and
4 financial reporting; and (6) as a result of the foregoing, Defendants’ positive
5 statements about the Company’s business, operations, and prospects, were false and
6 misleading and/or lacked a reasonable basis.

7 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

8 5. This Court also has jurisdiction over the claims asserted herein under
9 28 U.S.C. §1331 because one of the claims arise under and pursuant to §14(a) of
10 the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §78n(a)) and Rule 14a-9 promulgated there under (17
11 C.F.R. §240.14a-9).

12 6. The Court has jurisdiction over each defendant because each
13 defendant is either a corporation that does sufficient business in California, or is an
14 individual who has sufficient minimum contacts with California so as to render the
15 exercise of jurisdiction by the California courts permissible under traditional
16 notions of fair play and substantial justice.

17 7. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because
18 one or more of the defendants either resides in or maintains executive offices in this
19 District, including Nominal Defendant Opus, a substantial portion of the
20 transactions and wrongs complained of herein – including the Individual
21 Defendants’ primary participation in the wrongful acts detailed herein and aiding
22 and abetting in violations of fiduciary duties owed to Opus – occurred in this
23 District, and the Individual Defendants have received substantial compensation in
24 this District by doing business here and engaging in numerous activities that had an
25 effect in this District.

26 8. In connection with the acts and conduct alleged herein, defendants,
27 directly and indirectly, used the means and instrumentalities of interstate
28 commerce, including, but not limited to, the United States mails, interstate

1 telephone communications, and the facilities of the national securities exchanges
2 and markets.

3 **PARTIES**

4 **Plaintiff**

5 9. *Plaintiff Melvyn Klein* is a citizen of the state of New York and a
6 current Opus shareholder during the Relevant Period. Plaintiff will continue to
7 hold Opus shares throughout the pendency of this action. Plaintiff will fairly and
8 adequately represent the interests of the shareholders in enforcing the rights of the
9 corporation.

10 **Nominal Defendant**

11 10. *Nominal Defendant Opus Bank* is a California corporation with its
12 principal executive offices located at 19900 MacArthur Blvd., 12th Floor, Irvine,
13 California. During the Class Period, the Company's common stock traded on the
14 NASDAQ Stock Market (the "NASDAQ") under the symbol "OPB."

15 **Director Defendants**

16 11. *Defendant Stephen H. Gordon* ("Gordon") was, at all relevant times,
17 the Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"), and President of
18 Opus. Defendant Gordon is a citizen of California.

19 12. *Defendant Curtis A. Glovier* ("Glovier") has served as a member of
20 the Board since September 2010. Glovier is Chairman and Chief Executive
21 Officer of PensCo Trust Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Opus; Senior
22 Executive Vice President, Head of Wealth Services of Opus; and Senior
23 Managing Director of the Merchant Banking division. Defendant Allison is a
24 citizen of New Jersey.

25 13. *Defendant Mark Cicirelli* ("Cicirelli") has served as a member of the
26 Board since March 2012. Defendant Cicirelli is a member of the Audit and
27 Compensation committees. Defendant Cicirelli is a citizen of New York.

28

1 IRVINE, Calif. -- (BUSINESS WIRE) -- Opus Bank
2 (“Opus”) (NASDAQ: OPB) announced today net income
3 of \$14.7 million, or \$0.44 per diluted share, for the third
4 quarter of 2015 compared to \$17.5 million, or \$0.52 per
5 diluted share, for the second quarter of 2015 and \$7.7
6 million, or \$0.23 per diluted share, for the third quarter of
7 2014.

8 The reduction in net income as compared to the second
9 quarter of 2015 was mainly due to \$6.7 million lower
10 accretion income from the sale of acquired loans and \$1.8
11 million higher provision expense for specific loan
12 relationships and risk rating changes. Net income
13 increased by 39% to \$43.3 million for the nine months
14 ended September 30, 2015 from \$31.2 million for the nine
15 months ended September 30, 2014. Pretax pre-provision
16 earnings decreased 8% to \$31.8 million for the third
17 quarter of 2015 from \$34.5 million in the prior quarter,
18 while increasing 64% to \$88.0 million for the nine months
19 ended September 30, 2015 from \$53.6 million for the nine
20 months ended September 30, 2014. Additionally, Opus
21 announced that its Board of Directors approved increasing
22 its quarterly cash dividend by 20% to \$0.12 per share
23 payable on November 19, 2015 to common and preferred
24 shareholders of record as of November 5, 2015.

25 Quarter and Year to Date 2015 Highlights

- 26 • Total assets increased by 6% to a record \$6.2 billion
27 at September 30, 2015 from \$5.8 billion at June 30,
28 2015 and by 31% from \$4.7 billion at September 30,
2014, driven by continued strong loan and deposit
growth.
- New loan fundings were a record \$638.3 million in
the third quarter of 2015, an increase of 17% from
\$543.8 million in the second quarter of 2015 and an
increase of 40% from \$455.4 million in the third
quarter of 2014. The weighted average rate on new
loan fundings during the third quarter of 2015 was
4.41%, up 7 basis points compared to 4.34% during

1 the second quarter of 2015 and up 23 bps compared
2 to 4.18% during the third quarter of 2014.
3 Commercial and Specialty Banking divisions
4 represented 48% of new loan fundings during the
5 third quarter of 2015, continuing the strategic shift
6 in the mix of loans that has resulted in 8 basis points
7 expansion in the yield on our originated loan
8 portfolio for the first nine months of 2015 compared
9 to the same period in 2014. Loan commitments
10 originated during the third quarter of 2015 were a
11 record \$807.0 million, an increase of 35% from
12 \$598.9 million in the second quarter of 2015 and
13 58% from \$511.7 million during the third quarter of
14 2014.

- 15 • Total loans held-for-investment, which includes our
16 acquired loan portfolio, increased by \$372.4 million,
17 or 8%, during the third quarter to a record \$5.0
18 billion and increased by \$1.3 billion, or 34%, from
19 September 30, 2014. Our originated loan portfolio
20 grew by \$414.4 million to \$4.7 billion at September
21 30, 2015, an increase of 10% from June 30, 2015
22 and 45% from September 30, 2014.
- 23 • The loan origination pipeline remains robust
24 entering the fourth quarter and continues to reflect
25 the growth and maturation of our Commercial and
26 Specialty Banking divisions, which increased to
27 59% of the pipeline on October 1, 2015 from 58% at
28 July 1, 2015.
- Our asset quality remains strong, with
nonperforming assets totaling \$16.8 million, or
0.27%, of total assets at September 30, 2015
compared to \$12.6 million, or 0.22%, at June 30,
2015 and \$12.7 million, or 0.27%, at September 30,
2014. Provision expense for the third quarter of
2015 was \$7.6 million compared to \$5.8 million for
the second quarter of 2015. The current quarter
provision was driven by loan growth and changes in
specific reserves and individual risk ratings. Our

1 ratio of allowance for loan losses to total loans
2 increased to 0.74% as of September 30, 2015 and
3 our coverage ratio was 1.16%, which includes the
4 \$21.6 million remaining discount on the acquired
5 loan portfolio.

- 6 • Total deposits grew \$356.4 million, or 8%, during
7 the third quarter to a record \$4.9 billion at
8 September 30, 2015 and increased by \$1.4 billion,
9 or 41%, from September 30, 2014. Noninterest
10 bearing plus interest bearing demand deposits (“total
11 demand deposits”) increased by \$196.7 million, or
12 12%, during the third quarter to \$1.8 billion and
13 comprised 37% of total deposits as of September 30,
14 2015, up from 35% at June 30, 2015. As of
15 September 30, 2015, deposit balances associated
16 with our Escrow and Exchange divisions totaled
17 \$554.7 million, an increase of \$33.7 million from
18 June 30, 2015. Deposits related to our Commercial
19 and Specialty Banking divisions, including Escrow
20 and Exchange, increased by \$278.3 million during
21 the third quarter of 2015, up 17% from June 30,
22 2015. Business deposits increased 14% during the
23 third quarter and represented 50% of our total
24 deposits at September 30, 2015, compared to 47% at
25 June 30, 2015 and 38% at September 30, 2014. Our
26 cost of deposits decreased one basis point from the
27 second quarter of 2015 to 0.48% for the third
28 quarter of 2015.

- Total net interest income decreased 6% to \$51.4
million for the third quarter of 2015 compared to
\$55.0 million for the second quarter of 2015 due to
lower accretion income from the acquired loan
portfolio in the third quarter of 2015 compared to
the second quarter, and increased 34% from \$38.3
million for the third quarter of 2014. Interest
income from our originated loan portfolio totaled
\$48.5 million, an increase of \$5.3 million, or 12%,
from the second quarter of 2015 and \$16.3 million,
or 51%, from the third quarter of 2014. Interest

1 income from the acquired loan portfolio decreased
2 to \$8.3 million for the third quarter of 2015 from
3 \$16.9 million in the second quarter of 2015 and
4 from \$10.8 million for the third quarter of 2014.
5 During the third quarter of 2015, we continued to
6 opportunistically manage the acquired loan portfolio
7 and recognized \$3.0 million of accretion income
8 from loans that closed through prepayment,
9 foreclosure and sale compared to \$10.1 million
10 during the second quarter of 2015. Net interest
11 income increased 31% to \$151.6 million for the nine
12 months ended September 30, 2015 from \$115.5
13 million for the nine months ended September 30,
14 2014.

- 15 • Net interest margin decreased 49 basis points to
16 3.80% for the third quarter of 2015 from 4.29% in
17 the second quarter of 2015 and increased one basis
18 point from 3.79% in the third quarter of 2014. The
19 decrease from the prior quarter was due to lower
20 accretion income from the acquired loan portfolio.
21 Contractual net interest margin, which excludes the
22 impact of accretion and amortization of acquisition
23 discounts and premiums, increased 11 basis points
24 to 3.49% in the third quarter of 2015 from 3.38% in
25 the second quarter of 2015 primarily due to an
26 increase in the yield on originated loans and
27 prepayment fees, offset by day count and decline in
28 the acquired loan portfolio. Net interest margin
decreased 21 basis points to 3.98% for the nine
months ended September 30, 2015 from 4.19% for
the nine months ended September 30, 2014 due to
lower contribution from the acquired loan portfolio.
Contractual net interest margin increased 2 basis
points to 3.44% for the nine months ended
September 30, 2015 from 3.42% for the nine
months ended September 30, 2014 primarily due to
improved shift in mix and higher balances of, and
yield on, originated loans and prepayments, offset
by lower contribution from the acquired loan
portfolio.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- Noninterest income during the third quarter of 2015 totaled \$7.3 million compared to \$8.1 million in the second quarter of 2015 and \$3.9 million in the third quarter of 2014. Noninterest income during the third quarter included \$1.6 million in fees generated through our Escrow and Exchange divisions, \$571,000 of advisory fee income from our Merchant Banking division, which includes our broker-dealer subsidiary Opus Financial Partners, and \$1.1 million in net equity warrant valuation changes. The decrease in total noninterest income from the prior quarter was due to a \$779,000 reduction in fee income from our Real Estate Capital Markets group and a \$760,000 decrease in dividends received from the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco (“FHLB”) due to a special dividend received in the prior quarter. Noninterest income increased 89% to \$18.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 from \$9.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014.
- Our efficiency ratio was 45.8% for the third quarter of 2015 compared to 45.3% for the second quarter of 2015 and 59.5% for the third quarter of 2014. For the nine months ended September 30, 2015 our efficiency ratio was 48.3% compared to 57.3% for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. Noninterest expense to average assets decreased to 1.8% in the third quarter of 2015 compared to 2.0% during the second quarter of 2015 and 2.2% during the third quarter of 2014. Noninterest expense to average assets decreased to 2.0% for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 from 2.3% for the nine months ended September 30, 2014.
- Return on average tangible equity was 10.1% for the third quarter of 2015 compared to 12.5% for the second quarter of 2015 and 5.7% for the third quarter of 2014. Return on average tangible equity was 10.3% for the nine months ended September

1 30, 2015 compared to 8.6% for the nine months
2 ended September 30, 2014. Return on average
3 assets was 0.98% for the third quarter of 2015
4 compared to 1.23% for the second quarter of 2015
5 and 0.67% for the third quarter of 2014. Return on
6 average assets was 1.03% for the nine months ended
7 September 30, 2015 as compared to 0.99% for the
8 nine months ended September 30, 2014.

- 9 • Our loan-to-deposit ratio was unchanged from the
10 prior quarter at 101% as of September 30, 2015.
- 11 • Our balance sheet is well positioned for rising
12 interest rates as it continues to remain asset sensitive
13 as of September 30, 2015. The mix, duration,
14 repricing characteristics, amortization schedules and
15 increased cash flows related to our loan and deposit
16 portfolios result in positive outcomes under all our
17 interest rate modeling scenarios.
- 18 • Our tangible book value per as-converted share at
19 September 30, 2015 increased to \$17.89 from
20 \$17.48 at June 30, 2015 and \$16.80 at September
21 30, 2014.

22 Stephen H. Gordon, Founding Chairman, Chief Executive
23 Officer and President of Opus Bank, stated, “Our third
24 quarter was highlighted by record new loan fundings,
25 driven by the continued shift in loan mix toward our
26 Commercial and Specialty Banking activities. This
27 continued growth in our commercial client base
28 contributed to an additional 11 basis point expansion to our
contractual net interest margin as originated loan yields
increased and our cost of deposits decreased further, with
commercial business deposits growing to now represent
50% of our total deposits.” Gordon added, “As we
continue to execute on our plan to leverage our
infrastructure, distribution and client base, we continue to
display meaningful scalability through our efficiency and
performance metrics, with our efficiency ratio now in the
mid-40s and our nonperforming asset ratio at 0.27%, both

1 well below our West Coast regional and high-growth
2 national peers.” Gordon concluded, “Given where Opus
3 was positioned at quarter-end and line of sight into our
4 anticipated growth during the remainder of 2015 and into
5 2016, we are pleased to announce today that the Board of
6 Directors has approved increasing our quarterly cash
7 dividend by 20% to \$0.12 per share, which reflects our
8 strong capital position, asset quality, liquidity, increasing
9 earnings power and return metrics, and confidence in our
10 ability to execute our business strategy and growth plans.”

11 * * *

12 Asset Quality

13 We continue to experience strong asset quality as our loan
14 portfolio seasons, evidenced by the low balance of
15 nonperforming assets and stable ratio of nonperforming
16 assets to total assets of 0.27% as of September 30, 2015
17 compared to 0.22% at June 30, 2015 and 0.27% at
18 September 30, 2014. We recorded a total provision for
19 loan losses of \$7.6 million in the third quarter of 2015
20 compared to \$5.8 million in the second quarter of 2015 and
21 \$4.5 million in the third quarter of 2014. The provision
22 recapture on the acquired loan portfolio totaled \$709,000
23 in the third quarter of 2015, \$387,000 during the second
24 quarter of 2015 and \$509,000 in the third quarter of 2014
25 due to continued improvement in expected cash flows and
26 credit performance. A provision for loan losses of \$8.3
27 million was recorded on the originated loan portfolio
28 during the third quarter of 2015 compared to \$6.2 million
in the second quarter of 2015 and \$5.1 in the third quarter
of 2014. The provision for loan losses during the current
quarter on the originated loan portfolio was comprised of
\$2.3 million for portfolio growth and \$6.0 million for
changes in specific reserves, individual risk ratings and
loss factors.

Our allowance for loan losses represented 0.74% of our
total loan portfolio at September 30, 2015 as compared to
0.66% at June 30, 2015 and 0.58% at September 30, 2014.

1 Our acquired loan portfolio has a remaining discount of
2 \$21.6 million at September 30, 2015. The coverage ratio
3 for the total loan portfolio, which includes the remaining
4 discount on the acquired loan portfolio, at September 30,
5 2015 was 1.16% compared to 1.22% at June 30, 2015 and
6 1.82% at September 30, 2014, declining as the originated
7 loan portfolio continues to increase as a percentage of the
8 total loan portfolio. Our allowance for loan losses on
9 originated loans resulted in a coverage ratio of 0.76% at
10 September 30, 2015, an increase from 0.67% at June 30,
11 2015 and 0.58% at September 30, 2014.

12 20. On November 6, 2015, Defendants caused the Company to file its
13 Quarterly Report with the FDIC on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended
14 September 30, 2015. The Company's Form 10-Q was signed by Defendant
15 Gordon, and reaffirmed the Company's financial results announced in the press
16 release issued on October 26, 2015. The Form 10-Q contained certifications
17 pursuant to SOX, one which was signed by Defendant Gordon.

18 21. On January 25, 2016, Defendants caused the Company to issue a press
19 release entitled *Opus Bank Announces Fourth Quarter and Year End 2015 Results*.
20 It was in this press release that the Company reported in relevant part:

21 IRVINE, Calif. -- (BUSINESS WIRE) -- Opus Bank
22 ("Opus") (NASDAQ: OPB) announced today net income
23 of \$16.7 million, or \$0.50 per diluted share, for the fourth
24 quarter of 2015 and \$59.9 million, or \$1.79 per diluted
25 share, for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared
26 to \$14.7 million, or \$0.44 per diluted share, for the third
27 quarter of 2015 and \$43.7 million, or \$1.38 per diluted
28 share, for the year ended December 31, 2014. Net income
in the fourth quarter included approximately \$1.2 million
of acquisition and other strategic initiative related non-core
expenses, including costs associated with the successful
execution of the secondary stock offering by selling
shareholders completed on November 23, 2015, and \$3.7
million of provision for loan loss related to our record loan
fundings and growth during the quarter. Additionally,
Opus announced today that its Board of Directors

1 approved increasing its quarterly cash dividend by 25% to
2 \$0.15 per common share payable on February 18, 2016 to
3 common and preferred shareholders of record as of
4 February 4, 2016.

5 Quarter and Year End 2015 Highlights

- 6 • New loan fundings were a record \$763.1 million in
7 the fourth quarter of 2015, an increase of 20% from
8 \$638.3 million in the third quarter of 2015 and an
9 increase of 33% from \$572.7 million in the fourth
10 quarter of 2014. For the full year 2015, new loan
11 fundings totaled a record \$2.4 billion as compared to
12 \$1.8 billion during 2014 and exceeded our
13 forecasted new loan fundings by approximately
14 \$200 million, or over 9%. Record loan
15 commitments of \$819.9 million were originated
16 during the fourth quarter of 2015 compared to
17 \$807.0 million in the third quarter of 2015 and
18 \$613.1 million in the fourth quarter of 2014. Loan
19 commitments originated during 2015 totaled \$2.8
20 billion compared to \$2.1 billion in 2014,
21 representing additional opportunities for future new
22 loan fundings.
- 23 • Commercial and Specialty Banking divisions
24 represented 54% of new loan fundings during the
25 fourth quarter and 52% for the full year 2015, and
26 represented 58% of total new loan commitments
27 during the fourth quarter of 2015 and full year 2015,
28 continuing the strategic shift in the mix of loans that
contributed to 6 basis points expansion in the yield
on our originated loan portfolio in 2015 compared to
the prior year.
- Our originated loan portfolio increased by a record
\$548.4 million, or 12%, during the fourth quarter of
2015 and \$1.6 billion, or 44%, during the full year
2015. At December 31, 2015, our originated loan
portfolio totaled \$5.2 billion and comprised 95% of
our total loan portfolio. Quarterly organic growth in

1 total loans held-for-investment, which includes our
2 acquired loan portfolio, was the highest in Opus'
3 history at \$494.2 million, or 10%, during the fourth
4 quarter of 2015. Total loans held-for-investment
5 increased by \$1.4 billion, or 35%, from December
6 31, 2014 to a record \$5.5 billion as of December 31,
7 2015.

- 8 • Total assets increased to a record \$6.6 billion at
9 December 31, 2015 from \$6.2 billion at September
10 30, 2015 and \$5.1 billion at December 31, 2014 due
11 to continued strong loan and deposit growth.
- 12 • The loan origination pipeline remains robust
13 entering the first quarter of 2016 and continues to
14 reflect the growth and maturation of our
15 Commercial and Specialty Banking divisions, which
16 comprised 57% of the pipeline of total loan
17 commitments on January 1, 2016, compared to 59%
18 on October 1, 2015.
- 19 • Total deposits grew \$359.3 million, or 7%, during
20 the fourth quarter to a record \$5.3 billion at
21 December 31, 2015, and increased by \$1.5 billion,
22 or 40%, from December 31, 2014. Noninterest
23 bearing plus interest bearing demand deposits (“total
24 demand deposits”) increased by \$323.0 million, or
25 18%, during the fourth quarter of 2015 to \$2.1
26 billion, and increased by \$1.3 billion, or 150%,
27 during the year ended December 31, 2015. Total
28 demand deposits comprised 40% of total deposits as
of December 31, 2015, up from 37% as of
September 30, 2015 and 22% as of December 31,
2014. As of December 31, 2015, deposit balances
associated with our Escrow and Exchange divisions
totaled \$689.9 million, an increase of \$135.1 million
from September 30, 2015. Deposits related to our
Commercial and Specialty Banking divisions,
including Escrow and Exchange, increased by
\$301.4 million during the fourth quarter and
represented 41% of our total deposits at December

1 31, 2015, compared to 38% at September 30, 2015
2 and 19% at December 31, 2014. Business deposits
3 increased during the fourth quarter and represented
4 52% of our total deposits at December 31, 2015,
5 compared to 50% at September 30, 2015 and 37% at
6 December 31, 2014.

- 7 • Total revenues increased 6.8% to \$62.7 million for
8 the fourth quarter of 2015 compared to \$58.7
9 million for the third quarter of 2015 and increased
10 38% compared to \$45.4 million for the fourth
11 quarter of 2014. Total revenues increased 36% to
12 \$233.0 million for the year ended December 31,
13 2015 compared to \$170.8 million for the year ended
14 December 31, 2014.

- 15 • Total net interest income increased 10% to \$56.7
16 million for the fourth quarter of 2015 compared to
17 \$51.4 million for the third quarter of 2015. Net
18 interest income increased 34% to \$208.3 million for
19 the year ended December 31, 2015 from \$157.7
20 million for the year ended December 31, 2014,
21 mainly due to a 51% increase in interest income
22 from originated loans during 2015. During the
23 fourth quarter of 2015 we continued to
24 opportunistically manage the acquired loan portfolio
25 and recognized \$5.5 million of accretion income
26 from loans that closed through prepayment,
27 foreclosure and sale, compared to \$3.0 million
28 during the third quarter of 2015. Interest income
from our originated loan portfolio comprised 80%
of loan interest income for the year ended December
31, 2015 as compared to 68% for the year ended
December 31, 2014.

- Net interest margin increased 6 basis points to
3.86% for the fourth quarter of 2015 from 3.80% for
the third quarter of 2015 and increased one basis
point from 3.85% for the fourth quarter of 2014.
The increase from the prior quarter was mainly due
to higher accretion income from the acquired loan

1 portfolio and a lower cost of deposits, partially
2 offset by lower benefit from prepayments in the
3 originated loan portfolio. The cost of deposits
4 decreased one basis point to 0.47% during the fourth
5 quarter of 2015 and decreased 10 basis points
6 compared to the fourth quarter of 2014. For the year
7 ended December 31, 2015, the cost of deposits
8 decreased 6 basis points to 0.49% compared to
9 0.55% for the year ended December 31, 2014.
10 Contractual net interest margin, which excludes the
11 impact of accretion and amortization of acquisition
12 discounts and premiums, was 3.42% for the fourth
13 quarter of 2015 compared to 3.49% for the third
14 quarter of 2015 and 3.37% for the fourth quarter of
15 2014. The decrease in the contractual net interest
16 margin from the prior quarter was mainly due to the
17 lower average balance of acquired loans and lower
18 benefit from prepayments in the originated loan
19 portfolio, offset by a lower cost of deposits.
20 Contractual net interest margin increased 4 basis
21 points to 3.44% for the year ended December 31,
22 2015 from 3.40% for the year ended December 31,
23 2014.

- 24 • Noninterest income during the fourth quarter of
25 2015 totaled \$6.0 million compared to \$7.3 million
26 in the third quarter of 2015 and \$3.2 million in the
27 fourth quarter of 2014. Noninterest income during
28 the fourth quarter included \$1.8 million in fees
generated through our Escrow and Exchange
divisions, \$561,000 of advisory fee income from our
Merchant Banking division, which includes our
broker-dealer subsidiary Opus Financial Partners,
and a \$399,000 gain recognized on the sale of
originated loans, offset by a net write down of
\$515,000 on equity warrant valuation changes.
Noninterest income increased 88% to \$24.7 million
for the year ended December 31, 2015 from \$13.1
million for the year ended December 31, 2014.
- Noninterest expense during the fourth quarter of

1 2015 totaled \$28.0 million compared to \$26.9
2 million in the third quarter of 2015 and \$25.9
3 million in the fourth quarter of 2014. The increase
4 from the prior quarter was primarily due to
5 approximately \$1.2 million of acquisition and other
6 strategic initiative related non-core expenses,
7 including costs associated with the secondary stock
8 offering by selling shareholders completed on
9 November 23, 2015.

- 10 • Our efficiency ratio improved to 44.7% in the fourth
11 quarter of 2015 compared to 45.8% for the third
12 quarter of 2015 and 57.1% for the fourth quarter of
13 2014. For the year ended December 31, 2015, our
14 efficiency ratio improved to 47.3% compared to
15 57.2% for the year ended December 31, 2014.
16 Noninterest expense to average assets improved to
17 1.7% in the fourth quarter of 2015 from 1.8% in the
18 third quarter of 2015 and improved from 2.1% in the
19 fourth quarter of 2014. The ratio of noninterest
20 expense to average assets decreased to 1.9% for the
21 year ended December 31, 2015 from 2.2% for the
22 year ended December 31, 2014.

- 23 • Return on average tangible equity increased to
24 11.2% for the fourth quarter of 2015 compared to
25 10.1% for the third quarter of 2015, and tax adjusted
26 return on average tangible equity of 8.1% for the
27 fourth quarter of 2014. Return on average tangible
28 equity increased to 10.5% for the year ended
December 31, 2015 compared to tax adjusted return
on average tangible equity of 8.7% for the year
ended December 31, 2014. Return on average assets
increased to 1.03% for the fourth quarter of 2015
compared to 0.98% for the third quarter of 2015 and
tax adjusted return on average assets of 0.90% for
the fourth quarter of 2014. Return on average assets
increased to 1.03% for the year ended December 31,
2015 compared to tax adjusted return on average
assets of 0.97% for the year ended December 31,
2014.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- Asset quality continued to remain strong, with nonperforming assets totaling \$24.3 million, or 0.37% of total assets, at December 31, 2015 compared to \$16.8 million, or 0.27% of total assets, at September 30, 2015 and \$10.5 million, or 0.21% of total assets, at December 31, 2014. The increase in nonperforming assets during the fourth quarter of 2015 was driven by a single loan relationship for which Opus has confirmed it has sufficient collateral to cover expected future inherent loss. Provision expense for the fourth quarter of 2015 was \$8.0 million compared to \$7.6 million for the third quarter of 2015 and \$1.5 million for the fourth quarter of 2014. The fourth quarter provision was driven primarily by record new loan fundings and loan growth, which contributed \$3.7 million of provision expense versus \$2.3 million in the prior quarter, the continued shift in the mix of the loan portfolio toward commercial business loans that require higher levels of allowance, and changes in specific reserves, risk ratings and loss rates. Our ratio of allowance for loan losses to total loans increased to 0.80% as of December 31, 2015 and our coverage ratio was 1.08%, which includes the \$15.4 million remaining discount on the acquired loan portfolio.
- Our balance sheet is well positioned for rising interest rates as it continues to remain asset sensitive as of December 31, 2015. We began to realize the initial benefits to interest income on our Prime rate and LIBOR indexed loans and to our cash investments as a result of the Federal Reserve's rate increase on December 16, 2015. The mix, duration, repricing characteristics, amortization schedules and cash flows generated from our loan and deposit portfolios result in positive outcomes under all our interest rate modeling scenarios.
- During the fourth quarter, Opus successfully

1 completed an underwritten secondary offering of
2 5,479,452 shares of common stock by existing
3 shareholders. Another 708,084 shares were offered
4 upon execution of the underwriters' option to
5 purchase additional shares. Opus did not receive
6 any proceeds from the offering and the transaction
7 had no material impact on our weighted average
8 diluted shares outstanding. Capital ratios continue to
9 be strong and well in excess of bank regulatory
10 requirements.

- 11 • Our tangible book value per as converted common
12 share at December 31, 2015 increased to \$18.28
13 from \$17.89 at September 30, 2015 and \$17.26 at
14 December 31, 2014.

15 Stephen H. Gordon, Founding Chairman, Chief Executive
16 Officer and President of Opus Bank, stated, "The full year
17 2015 was highlighted by strong growth and improving
18 profitability. We achieved record new loan fundings of
19 \$763 million in the fourth quarter and \$2.4 billion during
20 the year, accelerating beyond our forecasted expectations.
21 We're pleased this strong year-end loan growth puts us at a
22 higher starting point as we enter 2016. We additionally
23 experienced equally strong growth in deposits, which
24 continue to improve in quality, mix, and cost. As a result,
25 Opus achieved 37% growth in net income during 2015,
26 further enhancing franchise value. As we continue our
27 leading growth into 2016, the maturation of our
28 Commercial and Specialty Banking divisions is resulting
in a more diversified mix of loans, which represented 52%
of new loan fundings during 2015." Gordon added, "We
continually strive to become more efficient, reaching a
mid-40's efficiency ratio earlier than originally forecasted,
driven by our keen focus on growing revenues while
managing expenses." Gordon concluded, "Given where
Opus was positioned at year-end and our anticipated
growth in 2016, we are pleased to announce today that the
Board of Directors has approved increasing our quarterly
cash dividend by 25% to \$0.15 per share, which reflects
our strong capital position, asset quality, liquidity,

1 increasing earnings power and return metrics, and
2 confidence in our ability to execute our business strategy
3 and growth plans.”

4 * * *

5 Asset Quality

6 We continue to experience strong asset quality as our loan
7 portfolio seasons, evidenced by the low balance of
8 nonperforming assets and low ratio of nonperforming
9 assets to total assets of 0.37% as of December 31, 2015
10 compared to 0.27% as of September 30, 2015 and 0.21%
11 as of December 31, 2014. The increase in nonperforming
12 assets during the fourth quarter of 2015 was driven by a
13 single loan relationship for which Opus has confirmed it
14 has sufficient collateral to cover expected future inherent
15 loss. We recorded a total provision for loan losses of \$8.0
16 million in the fourth quarter of 2015 compared to \$7.6
17 million in the third quarter of 2015 and \$1.5 million in the
18 fourth quarter of 2014. A provision for loan losses of \$8.4
19 million was recorded on the originated loan portfolio
20 during the fourth quarter of 2015 compared to \$8.3 million
21 in the third quarter of 2015 and \$1.6 million in the fourth
22 quarter of 2014. The provision for loan losses during the
23 fourth quarter of 2015 on the originated portfolio was
24 comprised of \$3.7 million for portfolio growth and \$4.7
25 million for changes in specific reserves, individual risk
26 ratings and loss factors, compared to \$2.3 million for
27 portfolio growth and \$6.0 million for changes in specific
28 reserves, individual risk ratings and loss factors in the third
quarter of 2015, and \$2.1 million for portfolio growth and
a recapture of \$557,000 for changes in specific reserves,
individual risk ratings and loss factors in the fourth quarter
of 2014. The provision for changes in specific reserves and
risk ratings during the fourth quarter of 2015 was
predominantly additional amounts on existing problem
assets for activity in the fourth quarter of 2015 and not
related to newly identified problem assets during the
quarter. We had net charge-offs of \$676,000 for the fourth
quarter of 2015, or 0.05% of average loans annualized,

1 compared to \$1.4 million in the third quarter of 2015, or
2 0.12% of average loans annualized, and zero in the fourth
3 quarter of 2014. The provision recapture on the acquired
4 loan portfolio totaled \$359,000 in the fourth quarter of
5 2015, \$709,000 in the third quarter of 2015 and \$111,000
6 in the fourth quarter of 2014.

7 Our allowance for loan losses represented 0.80% of our
8 total loan portfolio at December 31, 2015 compared to
9 0.74% at September 30, 2015 and 0.56% at December 31,
10 2014. We have continued to see the allowance as a
11 percentage of total loans increase due to our strategic shift
12 in the mix of our loan portfolio toward more commercial
13 business loans, which require a higher allowance relative
14 to our multifamily loans. At December 31, 2015, the total
15 loan portfolio was comprised of 47% originated
16 multifamily loans with the remaining portion comprised
17 primarily of commercial business loans. Our acquired loan
18 portfolio had a remaining discount of \$15.4 million as of
19 December 31, 2015. The coverage ratio for the total loan
20 portfolio, which includes the remaining discount on the
21 acquired loan portfolio, at December 31, 2015 was 1.08%
22 compared to 1.16% at September 30, 2015 and 1.61% at
23 December 31, 2014, declining as the acquired loan
24 portfolio continues to decrease as a percentage of the total
25 loan portfolio. Our allowance for loan losses on originated
26 loans resulted in a coverage ratio of 0.82% as of December
27 31, 2015, compared to 0.76% as of September 30, 2015
28 and 0.56% as of December 31, 2014.

22. On February 29, 2016, Defendants caused the Company to file its
Annual Report with the FDIC on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December
31, 2015. The Company's Form 10-K was signed by Defendant Gordon, and
reaffirmed the Company's financial results announced in the press release issued on
January 25, 2016. The Form 10-K contained certifications pursuant to SOX, one
which was signed by Defendant Gordon.

1 23. On April 25, 2016, Defendants caused the Company to issue a press
2 release entitled *Opus Bank Announces First Quarter 2016 Results*. It was in this
3 press release that the Company reported in relevant part:

4 IRVINE, Calif. -- (BUSINESS WIRE) -- Opus Bank
5 (“Opus”) (NASDAQ: OPB) announced today net income
6 of \$17.3 million, or \$0.51 per diluted share, for the first
7 quarter of 2016 compared to \$16.7 million, or \$0.50 per
8 diluted share, for the fourth quarter of 2015 and \$11.1
9 million, or \$0.34 per diluted share, for the first quarter of
10 2015. Net income in the first quarter included \$1.2 million
11 of merger and strategic initiative related expenses,
12 including costs associated with the acquisition of PENSICO
13 Services, LLC and its wholly-owned subsidiary PENSICO
14 Trust Company (“PENSICO”), which closed on April 13,
15 2016, as well as severance associated with our expense
16 reduction and efficiency improvement strategy announced
17 on January 11, 2016, and \$3.4 million of seasonal
18 compensation and benefits expenses. Additionally, Opus
19 announced that its Board of Directors approved increasing
20 its quarterly cash dividend by 20% to \$0.18 per share
21 payable on May 19, 2016 to common and preferred
22 shareholder of record as of May 5, 2016.

23 Recent Developments

- 24 • On April 13, 2016, Opus completed the acquisition
25 of PENSICO, a leading tech-enabled alternative asset
26 IRA custodian, with approximately \$10.7 billion of
27 custodial assets and over 45,000 clients with
28 investments in over 40,000 unique asset types
comprised of private equity, real estate, notes, cash
and other non-exchange traded assets. Pursuant to
the terms of the agreement, Opus paid consideration
comprised of 1,664,615 shares of Opus Bank
common stock and approximately \$46.4 million in
cash. PENSICO operates as a wholly owned
subsidiary of Opus Bank and represents Opus’ entry
into wealth services.
- As a result of PENSICO’s primary purpose as an

1 alternative asset IRA custodian, as of April 22,
2 2016, \$739 million of PENSICO's \$1.15 billion of
3 ancillary custodial client cash balances had already
4 transitioned to Opus, bringing total demand
5 deposits, which are comprised of noninterest and
6 interest bearing demand accounts, to 46% of total
7 deposits compared to 40% at March 31, 2016,
8 meaningfully decreasing Opus' overall cost of
9 deposits. As of April 22, 2016, PENSICO ancillary
10 custodial client cash balances at Opus totaled \$802
11 million.

- 12 • Our loan to deposit ratio decreased to 98% as of
13 April 22, 2016 from 110% as of March 31, 2016 as
14 a result of the transition of PENSICO ancillary
15 custodial client cash balances and additional deposit
16 inflows associated with our Fiduciary Banking and
17 Correspondent Banking divisions.
- 18 • As of April 22, 2016, Opus paid off \$220 million of
19 the \$750 million of FHLB advances outstanding at
20 March 31, 2016 and plans to pay off an additional
21 \$465 million of maturing FHLB advances by the
22 end of the second quarter of 2016 as Opus had
23 readied its balance sheet to absorb the purchase of
24 PENSICO. The execution of this strategy will further
25 reduce Opus' cost of funds.

26 First Quarter 2016 Highlights

- 27 • Contractual net interest margin, which excludes the
28 impact of accretion income from the acquired loan
portfolio, increased 17 basis points to 3.59% for the
first quarter of 2016 from 3.42% in the fourth
quarter of 2015 and increased 13 basis point from
3.46% in the first quarter of 2015, as we
experienced the first full-quarter benefit from the
Fed rate increase in December 2015 and greater
contribution from the originated loan portfolio.
GAAP net interest margin decreased 2 basis points
to 3.84% for the first quarter of 2016 compared to

1 3.86% in the fourth quarter of 2015, primarily due to
2 lower accretion income from the acquired loan
3 portfolio.

- 4 • New loan fundings were \$551.7 million in the first
5 quarter of 2016 compared to \$468.4 million in the
6 first quarter of 2015. Loan commitments of \$630.1
7 million were originated during the first quarter of
8 2016 compared to \$526.6 million in the first quarter
9 of 2015. While the first quarter normally represents
10 Opus' lowest quarter of new loan fundings and
11 commitments originated, the levels achieved in the
12 first quarter of 2016 were both record highs for first
13 quarter volumes of any year.
- 14 • Commercial and Specialty Banking divisions
15 represented 54% of new loan fundings and
16 represented 60% of total new loan commitments
17 originated during the first quarter of 2016.
- 18 • Total loans held-for-investment, including acquired
19 loans, increased by \$266.6 million, or 5%, during
20 the first quarter of 2016 to \$5.8 billion and increased
21 by \$1.4 billion, or 32%, from the first quarter of
22 2015. Originated loans increased by \$291.8 million,
23 or 6%, during the first quarter of 2016 to \$5.5
24 billion, and increased by \$1.6 billion, or 40%, from
25 the first quarter of 2015.
- 26 • Total assets increased 4% to a record \$6.9 billion at
27 March 31, 2016 from \$6.6 billion at December 31,
28 2015 and increased 25% from \$5.6 billion at March
31, 2015.
- The loan origination pipeline remains robust
entering the second quarter and continues to reflect
the growth and maturation of our Commercial and
Specialty Banking divisions, which increased to
61% of the pipeline on April 1, 2016 from 57% at
January 1, 2016.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- Average total deposits increased \$131.1 million, or 3%, from the fourth quarter of 2015 to \$5.3 billion.
- Net interest income increased 4% to \$59.1 million for the first quarter of 2016 compared to \$56.7 million for the fourth quarter of 2015.
- Interest income from originated loans increased 11% due to higher average balances and an 11 basis point increase in the yield on originated loans. Interest income from the acquired loan portfolio decreased 29% from the fourth quarter of 2015 due to lower balances of acquired loans and lower accretion income. During the first quarter of 2016, we recognized \$3.1 million of accretion income from loans that closed through prepayment, foreclosure and sale, compared to \$5.5 million during the fourth quarter of 2015.
- Noninterest income during the first quarter of 2016 totaled \$5.3 million compared to \$6.0 million in the fourth quarter of 2015 and \$3.3 million in the first quarter of 2015.
- Noninterest expense was \$30.9 million in the first quarter of 2016 compared to \$28.0 million in the fourth quarter of 2015. The increase from the prior quarter was primarily due to \$1.2 million of merger and strategic initiative related expenses, which includes costs associated with the acquisition of PENSCO, as well as severance associated with our expense reduction and efficiency improvement strategy, and \$3.4 million of seasonal compensation and benefits expenses.
- Our efficiency ratio was 47.9% for the first quarter of 2016 compared to 44.7% for the fourth quarter of 2015 and 55.2% for the first quarter of 2015. Excluding \$1.2 million in merger and strategic initiative related expenses, including severance associated with our expense reduction and

1 efficiency improvement strategy, and \$3.4 million in
2 seasonal compensation and benefits expense during
3 the first quarter of 2016, our adjusted efficiency
4 ratio was 40.8%, compared to 43.1% in the fourth
5 quarter of 2015.

- 6 • Return on average tangible equity increased to
7 11.5% for the first quarter of 2016 compared to
8 11.2% for the fourth quarter of 2015 and 8.1% for
9 the first quarter of 2015. Return on average assets
10 was 1.03% for the first quarter of 2016 and the
11 fourth quarter of 2015 compared to 0.85% for the
12 first quarter of 2015.

- 13 • Nonperforming assets totaled 0.62% of total assets
14 at March 31, 2016 compared to 0.37% at December
15 31, 2015 and 0.23% at March 31, 2015. The linked
16 quarter increase was due to one loan relationship
17 placed on nonaccrual during the first quarter of 2016
18 for which the required allowance remained
19 materially unchanged from the fourth quarter of
20 2015.

- 21 • Provision expense for the first quarter of 2016 was
22 \$4.9 million compared to \$8.0 million for the fourth
23 quarter of 2015. Net chargeoffs were \$302,000 for
24 the first quarter of 2016, or 0.02% of average loans
25 (annualized), compared to \$676,000 and 0.05% of
26 average loans (annualized), respectively, during the
27 fourth quarter of 2015.

- 28 • Our allowance for loan losses increased to 0.85% of
loans as of March 31, 2016 from 0.80% as of
December 31, 2015, and our coverage ratio, which
includes the remaining discount on the acquired
loan portfolio, was 1.03% as of March 31, 2016,
compared to 1.08% as of December 31, 2015.

- Our tangible book value per as-converted share at
March 31, 2016 increased to \$18.73 from \$18.28 at
December 31, 2015 and \$17.08 at March 31, 2015.

1 Stephen H. Gordon, Founding Chairman, Chief Executive
2 Officer and President of Opus Bank, stated, “We entered
3 2016 having achieved a record \$763 million of new loan
4 fundings during the final quarter of 2015. While the first
5 quarter normally represents Opus’ lowest quarter of loan
6 fundings during the year, we completed the first quarter of
7 2016 having achieved our highest level of first quarter loan
8 fundings in our 5½ year history, along with a 17 basis
9 point increase in our contractual net interest margin and a
10 very robust loan pipeline to fuel anticipated future growth.
11 Our earnings for the first quarter of 2016, when adjusted
12 for merger and strategic initiative related expenses, as well
13 as seasonal compensation and benefits costs, resulted in an
14 adjusted efficiency ratio that exemplifies our ability to
15 meaningfully scale our revenue growth and returns.”

12 Gordon added, “We are very excited to have completed the
13 acquisition of PENSCO and to now begin the realization of
14 our mutual benefits and vision. This transformational
15 acquisition brings Opus to now having over \$7 billion of
16 assets on-balance sheet, nearly \$11 billion of custodial
17 assets off-balance sheet and a new source of diversified
18 revenue through our entry into the wealth services business
19 with the addition of over 45,000 new clients.”

18 Gordon concluded, “We are pleased to announce today
19 that the Board of Directors has approved increasing our
20 quarterly cash dividend by 20% to \$0.18 per share, which
21 reflects our strong capital position, asset quality, liquidity,
22 increasing earnings power and return metrics, and
23 confidence in our ability to meaningfully scale revenue
24 while increasing our return on capital.”

23 * * *

24
25 Asset Quality

26 We continue to experience strong asset quality as our loan
27 portfolio seasons, evidenced by the low balance of
28 nonperforming assets and low ratio of nonperforming

1 assets to total assets. Nonperforming assets totaled \$42.8
2 million, or 0.62% of total assets as of March 31, 2016,
3 compared to \$24.3 million, or 0.37% of total assets as of
4 December 31, 2015, and \$12.7 million, or 0.23% of total
5 assets as of March 31, 2015. The increase in
6 nonperforming assets during the first quarter of 2016 was
7 due to one current loan relationship placed on nonaccrual
8 during the first quarter of 2016 for which the required
9 allowance remains materially unchanged from the fourth
10 quarter of 2015.

11 We recorded a total provision for loan losses of \$4.9
12 million in the first quarter of 2016 compared to \$8.0
13 million in the fourth quarter of 2015 and \$3.6 million in
14 the first quarter of 2015. The provision recapture on the
15 acquired loan portfolio totaled \$151,000 in the first quarter
16 of 2016, \$359,000 during the fourth quarter of 2015 and
17 \$202,000 in the first quarter of 2015. A provision for loan
18 losses of \$5.1 million was recorded on the originated loan
19 portfolio during the first quarter of 2016 compared to \$8.4
20 million in the fourth quarter of 2015 and \$3.8 million in
21 the first quarter of 2015. The provision for loan losses
22 during the current quarter on the originated loan portfolio
23 was comprised of \$1.9 million for portfolio growth and
24 \$3.2 million for changes in specific reserves, individual
25 risk ratings and loss factors. Net charge offs totaled
26 \$302,000, or 0.02% of average loans (annualized), for the
27 first quarter of 2016 compared to \$676,000 and 0.05%,
28 respectively, during the fourth quarter of 2015.

Our allowance for loan losses represented 0.85% of our
total loan portfolio at March 31, 2016 as compared to
0.80% at December 31, 2015 and 0.57% at March 31,
2015. We have continued to see the allowance as a
percentage of total loans increase due to our strategic shift
in the mix of our loan portfolio toward more commercial
business loans, which require a higher allowance relative
to our multifamily loans. At March 31, 2016, the total
originated loan portfolio was comprised of 48%
multifamily loans with the remaining portfolio comprised
primarily of commercial business loans. This compares to

1 49% multifamily loans as of December 31, 2015 and 58%
2 multifamily loans as of March 31, 2015. The coverage
3 ratio for the total loan portfolio, which includes the
4 remaining discount on the acquired loan portfolio, at
5 March 31, 2016 was 1.03% compared to 1.08% at
6 December 31, 2015 and 1.45% at March 31, 2015,
7 declining as the originated loan portfolio continues to
8 increase as a percentage of total loans. Our allowance for
9 loan losses on originated loans resulted in a coverage ratio
10 of 0.87% at March 31, 2016, an increase from 0.82% at
11 December 31, 2015 and 0.57% at March 31, 2015.

12 24. On May 6, 2016, Defendants caused the Company to file its Quarterly
13 Report with the FDIC on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2016.
14 The Company's Form 10-Q was signed by Defendant Gordon, and reaffirmed the
15 Company's financial results announced in the press release issued on April 25,
16 2016. The Form 10-Q contained certifications pursuant to SOX, one which was
17 signed by Defendant Gordon.

18 25. On July 25, 2016, Defendants caused the Company to issue a press
19 release entitled *Opus Bank Announces Second Quarter 2016 Results*. It was in this
20 press release that the Company reported in relevant part:

21 IRVINE, Calif. -- (BUSINESS WIRE) -- Opus Bank
22 ("Opus") (NASDAQ: "OPB") announced today net
23 income of \$16.1 million, or \$0.46 per diluted share, for the
24 second quarter of 2016 compared to \$17.3 million, or
25 \$0.51 per diluted share, for the first quarter of 2016 and
26 \$17.5 million, or \$0.52 per diluted share, for the second
27 quarter of 2015. Net income increased by 17% to \$33.4
28 million, or \$0.97 per diluted share, for the six months
ended June 30, 2016 from \$28.6 million, or \$0.86 per
diluted share, for the six months ended June 30, 2015. Net
income in the second quarter included \$3.4 million of
merger and strategic initiative related expenses, including
costs associated with the acquisition of PENSICO Services,
LLC and its wholly-owned subsidiary PENSICO Trust
Company ("PENSICO"), which closed on April 13, 2016,
and the addition of \$7.6 million of provision expense

1 related to four specific loan relationships, including loans
2 in our Technology Banking portfolio. Pre-tax pre-
3 provision earnings increased 11% to \$37.3 million for the
4 second quarter of 2016 from \$33.6 million in the prior
5 quarter, and increased 26% to \$70.9 million for the six
6 months ended June 30, 2016 from \$56.2 million for the six
7 months ended June 30, 2015.

8 Additionally, Opus announced that its Board of Directors
9 approved increasing its quarterly cash dividend by 11% to
10 \$0.20 per share payable on August 18, 2016 to common
11 and preferred shareholders of record as of August 4, 2016.

12 Stephen H. Gordon, Founding Chairman, Chief Executive
13 Officer and President of Opus Bank, stated, “Opus’
14 performance during the second quarter of 2016 was
15 marked by record second quarter loan fundings, continued
16 strong growth in both loans and low-cost core deposits,
17 and record fee income contributed by our Merchant Bank,
18 Escrow and Exchange divisions, and our PENSCO Trust
19 Company subsidiary acquired during the quarter. We also
20 achieved record levels of net interest income, total
21 revenues, and pre-tax pre-provision earnings in the second
22 quarter, aided by our continued focus on waste avoidance
23 and efficiency improvement, and our initiatives undertaken
24 earlier this year.” Gordon added, “The quarter was also
25 marked by elevated provision for potential loan losses
26 associated with Opus’ Technology Banking division loans,
27 as well as provisions required due to our record second-
28 quarter new loan fundings and continued success shifting
the mix of our loan portfolio more heavily toward C&I
loans.” Gordon concluded, “Given the recent volatility in
the Tech markets, this quarter’s resultant low risk-adjusted
returns experienced in our Tech lending division, and that
Opus’ second quarter earnings were masked in part by
provisions due to downgrade-related movements in this
portfolio, we’ve determined here at the start of the current
third quarter to deemphasize our Technology Banking
niche lending focus for the foreseeable future, and thereby
unleash Opus’ true earnings potential. Strong growth
within Opus’ other Commercial and Specialty Banking

1 divisions and its Income Property Banking division
2 continue to drive strong risk-adjusted returns and result in
3 no change to Opus' previously established growth goals.”

4 Second Quarter 2016 Highlights

- 5 • PENSCO's assets under custody increased to \$12.0
6 billion as of June 30, 2016 compared to \$10.7
7 billion as of the close of the acquisition on April 13,
8 2016. The referral agreement with Morgan Stanley
9 Smith Barney LLC resulted in a bulk transfer of
10 over 5,800 self-directed individual retirement
11 accounts and \$1 billion of assets during the second
12 quarter, and has resulted in over 200 referral
13 accounts representing \$62 million of assets through
14 June 30, 2016. Additionally, Opus entered into a
15 successor custodian and referral agreement with
16 UBS Financial Services Inc. during the second
17 quarter.
- 18 • Following the close of the acquisition on April 13,
19 2016, PENSCO generated \$6.3 million of trust
20 administrative fee income during the second quarter
21 of 2016.
- 22 • As of June 30, 2016, PENSCO ancillary custodial
23 client cash balances held on deposit at Opus totaled
24 \$863.3 million with a weighted average rate of
25 0.02%. PENSCO's ancillary custodial cash balances
26 still held at other financial institutions totaled \$318.5
27 million at June 30, 2016.
- 28 • Opus' cost of deposits decreased four basis points to
0.44% for the second quarter of 2016 from 0.48%
for the first quarter of 2016 due to the increase in
low-cost core transaction account deposits from the
transition of PENSCO ancillary custodial client cash
balances during the quarter.
- New loan fundings increased to \$660.6 million in
the second quarter of 2016 compared to \$551.7

1 million in the first quarter of 2016 and \$543.8
2 million in the second quarter of 2015. Loan
3 commitments of \$767.2 million were originated
4 during the second quarter of 2016 compared to
5 \$630.1 million in the first quarter of 2016 and
6 \$598.9 million during the second quarter of 2015.
7 These levels of new loan fundings and loan
8 commitments originated during the second quarter
9 of 2016 were both record highs for second quarter
10 volumes of any year.

- 11 • Total loans held-for-investment, including acquired
12 loans, increased by \$362.7 million, or 6%, during
13 the second quarter of 2016 to \$6.1 billion and
14 increased by \$1.5 billion, or 32%, from the second
15 quarter of 2015. Originated loans increased by
16 \$398.5 million, or 7%, during the second quarter of
17 2016 to \$5.9 billion, and increased by \$1.7 billion,
18 or 39%, from the second quarter of 2015.
- 19 • Commercial and Specialty Banking divisions
20 represented 64% of total new loan commitments
21 originated and 58% of new loan fundings during the
22 second quarter of 2016.
- 23 • The loan origination pipeline remains robust
24 entering the second quarter and continues to reflect
25 the growth and maturation of our Commercial and
26 Specialty Banking divisions, which represented
27 68% of the pipeline on July 1, 2016.
- 28 • Total assets increased 8% to a record \$7.5 billion at
June 30, 2016 from \$6.9 billion at March 31, 2016
and increased 28% from \$5.8 billion at June 30,
2015.
- Total deposits increased \$940.5 million, or 18%,
during the second quarter of 2016 and increased

1 \$1.6 billion, or 35%, from the second quarter of
2 2015, which included significant contribution from
3 our PENSICO acquisition. Also, our Municipal
4 Banking division, which banks local municipalities
5 in western markets in which Opus operates, added
6 \$94.8 million of deposits during the second quarter
7 of 2016. As a result, the percentage of low-cost core
8 transaction account deposits rose to over 91% of
9 total deposits as of June 30, 2016.

- 10 • FHLB advances decreased to \$135.0 million as of
11 June 30, 2016 compared to \$750.0 million as of
12 March 31, 2016 and \$365.0 million at June 30,
13 2015.
- 14 • Opus' loan to deposit ratio decreased to 99% as of
15 June 30, 2016 from 110% as of March 31, 2016,
16 primarily as a result of the transition of PENSICO
17 ancillary custodial client cash balances during the
18 second quarter.
- 19 • Net interest income increased 6% to \$62.5 million
20 for the second quarter of 2016 compared to \$59.1
21 million for the first quarter of 2016. Interest income
22 from originated loans increased 4% due to higher
23 average balances during the second quarter of 2016.
24 Interest income from the acquired loan portfolio
25 increased 10% from the first quarter of 2016 due to
26 higher accretion income, partially offset by lower
27 balances of acquired loans. During the second
28 quarter of 2016, we recognized \$4.4 million of
accretion income from loans that closed through
prepayment, foreclosure and sale, compared to \$3.1
million during the first quarter of 2016
- Noninterest income during the second quarter of
2016 increased to \$13.2 million compared to \$5.3
million in the first quarter of 2016 and \$8.1 million
in the second quarter of 2015. The increase from the

1 prior quarter was primarily due to the addition of
2 \$6.3 million of trust administrative fees generated
3 by our PENSICO subsidiary, which was acquired on
4 April 13, 2016, a record \$2.0 million of fees
5 generated by our Escrow and Exchange divisions
6 and \$1.8 million of advisory fee income generated
7 by our Merchant Bank, including our broker-dealer
8 subsidiary, Opus Financial Partners. Net equity
9 warrant valuation changes reduced total noninterest
10 income by \$942,000 during the second quarter.

- 11 • Noninterest expense was \$38.4 million in the second
12 quarter of 2016 compared to \$30.9 million in the
13 first quarter of 2016 and \$28.6 million in the second
14 quarter of 2015. The increase from the prior quarter
15 was primarily due to \$3.4 million of merger and
16 strategic initiative related expenses, which includes
17 costs associated with the acquisition of PENSICO, as
18 well as higher compensation and benefits expense,
19 occupancy expense, office services expense, and
20 amortization of intangible assets due to the
21 acquisition and integration of PENSICO.
- 22 • Our efficiency ratio was 50.7% for the second
23 quarter of 2016 compared to 47.9% for the first
24 quarter of 2016 and 45.3% for the second quarter of
25 2015. Excluding the \$3.4 million in merger and
26 strategic initiative related expenses incurred during
27 the second quarter of 2016, our adjusted efficiency
28 ratio was 46.3%.
- Return on average tangible equity was 11.14% for
the second quarter of 2016 compared to 11.46% for
the first quarter of 2016 and 12.54% for the second
quarter of 2015. Return on average assets was
0.89% for the second quarter of 2016 compared to
1.03% for the first quarter of 2016 and 1.23% for
the second quarter of 2015. Excluding merger and
strategic initiative related expenses during the
second quarter, our adjusted return on average
tangible equity and return on average assets were

1 12.56% and 1.01%, respectively.

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- Nonperforming assets totaled 1.06% of total assets at June 30, 2016 compared to 0.62% at March 31, 2016 and 0.22% at June 30, 2015. The linked quarter increase in nonperforming assets was primarily due to continued resolution efforts on three loan relationships, including two large Technology Banking division relationships which moved to nonaccrual status during the second quarter, impacting both nonperforming assets and the allowance for loan losses.
 - Provision expense for the second quarter of 2016 was \$10.9 million compared to \$4.9 million for the first quarter of 2016 and \$5.8 million for the second quarter of 2015. The increase in provision expense during the second quarter of 2016 was primarily due to four loan relationships, including two Technology Banking division loans, one Commercial Banking division loan, and one Healthcare Banking division loan, that experienced risk rating migration and required additional specific reserves representing \$7.6 million of the total, as well as an additional \$3.2 million provision for loan growth during the quarter. Net charge-offs were \$24,000 for the second quarter of 2016, or 0.00% of average loans (annualized), compared to \$302,000 and 0.02% of average loans (annualized), respectively, during the first quarter of 2016.
 - During the third quarter of 2016, Opus decided to deemphasize our Technology Banking lending focus, as risk-adjusted returns within this division do not meet our standards for profitability at this stage of Opus' evolution. As of June 30, 2016, Technology Banking division loans outstanding totaled \$279.5 million and total loan commitments were \$316.4 million, or approximately 4.5% of Opus total loans outstanding and 4.7% of total loan commitments, respectively.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- Our allowance for loan losses increased to 0.97% of loans as of June 30, 2016 from 0.85% as of March 31, 2016, and our coverage ratio, which includes the remaining discount on the acquired loan portfolio, was 1.07% as of June 30, 2016, compared to 1.03% as of March 31, 2016.
 - On June 29, 2016 we completed a public offering and sale of \$135.0 million of 5.50% fixed-to-floating rate subordinated notes due 2026 (the “Notes”). The Notes were sold at par, resulting in net proceeds, after discounts and estimated offering expenses, of approximately \$132.3 million. The Kroll Bond Rating Agency has assigned a rating of BBB+ to the Notes and the proceeds are treated as Tier 2 capital for regulatory purposes at June 30, 2016.

13

14

* * *

15

Asset Quality

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Nonperforming assets totaled \$79.4 million, or 1.06% of total assets as of June 30, 2016, compared to \$42.8 million, or 0.62% of total assets as of March 31, 2016, and \$12.6 million, or 0.22% of total assets as of June 30, 2015. The increase in nonperforming assets during the second quarter of 2016 was primarily due to three loan relationships, including two Technology Banking division relationships, which moved to nonaccrual status during the second quarter through efforts reflecting the bank’s continued support for resolution.

24

25

26

27

28

We recorded a total provision for loan losses of \$10.9 million in the second quarter of 2016 compared to \$4.9 million in the first quarter of 2016 and \$5.8 million in the second quarter of 2015. A provision for loan losses of \$11.1 million was recorded on the originated loan portfolio during the second quarter of 2016 compared to \$5.1 million in the first quarter of 2016 and \$6.2 million in the

1 second quarter of 2015. The provision for loan losses
2 during the current quarter on the originated loan portfolio
3 was comprised of \$3.2 million for portfolio growth and
4 \$7.9 million for changes in specific reserves, individual
5 risk ratings and loss factors, predominantly the increase in
6 provision against four loan relationships, as the bank
7 continues to work the relationships for optimal outcome.
8 Net charge-offs totaled \$24,000, or 0.00% of average loans
9 (annualized), for the second quarter of 2016 compared to
10 \$302,000 and 0.02%, respectively, during the first quarter
11 of 2015. The provision recapture on the acquired loan
12 portfolio totaled \$145,000 in the second quarter of 2016,
13 \$151,000 during the first quarter of 2016 and \$387,000 in
14 the second quarter of 2015.

15 Our allowance for loan losses represented 0.97% of our
16 total loan portfolio at June 30, 2016 as compared to 0.85%
17 at March 31, 2016 and 0.66% at June 30, 2015. As
18 expected, we have continued to see the allowance as a
19 percentage of total loans increase due to our strategic shift
20 in the mix of our loan portfolio toward more commercial
21 business loans, which require a higher allowance relative
22 to our multifamily loans. At June 30, 2016, the total
23 originated loan portfolio was comprised of 47%
24 multifamily loans with the remaining portfolio comprised
25 primarily of commercial business loans. This compares to
26 48% multifamily loans as of March 31, 2016 and 55%
27 multifamily loans as of June 30, 2015. The coverage ratio
28 for the total loan portfolio, which includes the remaining
discount on the acquired loan portfolio, at June 30, 2016
was 1.07% compared to 1.03% at March 31, 2016 and
1.22% at June 30, 2015. The remaining discount on
acquired loans was \$6.1 million as of June 30, 2016,
compared to \$10.7 million as of March 31, 2016 and \$26.1
million as of June 30, 2015. Our allowance for loan losses
on originated loans resulted in a coverage ratio of 1.00% at
June 30, 2016, an increase from 0.87% at March 31, 2016
and 0.67% at June 30, 2015.

26. On August 5, 2016, Defendants caused the Company to file its
Quarterly Report with the FDIC on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30,

1 2016. The Company's Form 10-Q was signed by Defendant Gordon , and
2 reaffirmed the Company's financial results announced in the press release issued on
3 July 25, 2016. The Form 10-Q contained certifications pursuant to SOX, one which
4 was signed by Defendant Gordon.

5 27. The above statements were materially false and/or misleading, as well
6 as failed to disclose material adverse facts about the Company's business,
7 operations, and prospects. Specifically, these statements were false and/or
8 misleading statements and/or failed to disclose that: (1) certain of the Company's
9 loans were of poor quality; (2) the Company was over-representing the quality of
10 the loans to the public; (3) as such, the Company failed to properly account for the
11 loans in violation of GAAP; (4) as a result, the Company would be forced to
12 recognize large charge-offs associated with the loans; (5) the Company lacked
13 adequate internal controls over accounting and financial reporting; and (6) as a
14 result of the foregoing, Defendants' positive statements about Opus's business,
15 operations, and prospects, were false and misleading and/or lacked a reasonable
16 basis.

17 **DISCLOSURES AT THE END OF THE RELEVANT PERIOD**

18 28. On October 17, 2016, Defendants caused the Company to issue a press
19 release entitled *Opus Bank Announces Loan Charge-Offs Will Impact Third*
20 *Quarter Earnings*. It was in this press release that the Company reported in
21 relevant part:

22 IRVINE, Calif. -- (BUSINESS WIRE) -- Opus Bank
23 ("Opus") (NASDAQ: OPB) announced today that earnings
24 for the third quarter 2016, which will be announced on
25 October 24, 2016 before the market opens, will include a
26 \$0.59 per diluted share impact from loan charge offs and is
27 expected to result in a net loss of approximately \$0.05 per
28 diluted share for the third quarter of 2016.

As part of the credit review process of impaired loans, new

1 developments supported charge-offs being recognized on
2 eight loan relationships through the allowance for loan
3 losses at September 30, 2016. Charge-offs were recorded
4 on these eight loan relationships, which have been
5 impacting the provision for loan losses and earnings for the
6 past eight quarters and include three of the same loan
7 relationships that were discussed during Opus' second
8 quarter 2016 earnings conference call. Charge-offs for the
9 eight loan relationships totaled \$38.8 million and had
10 specific reserves of \$16.7 million previously recorded. In
11 addition, these charge-offs increased the reserve levels
12 recorded against the remaining loan portfolio by \$13.6
13 million as a result of higher loss factors incorporated into
14 our allowance for loan losses methodology to reflect the
15 charge-offs in the third quarter of 2016.

16 Two loan relationships originated by our Technology
17 Banking division, which we previously announced would
18 be deemphasized, contributed \$22.2 million, or 57%, of
19 the charge-offs and \$8.1 million, or 60%, of the increased
20 reserves as a result of higher loss factors. The remaining
21 six loan relationships that had \$16.6 million of charge-offs
22 were from across our Commercial and Specialty Banking
23 divisions. These eight loan relationships had a remaining
24 balance of \$19.1 million as of September 30, 2016 and
25 have been charged off to the estimated fair value of each
26 loan's underlying collateral.

27 Total nonperforming assets decreased 44% to \$44.8
28 million, or 0.58% of total assets, as of September 30, 2016
compared to \$79.4 million, or 1.06% of total assets, as of
June 30, 2016. Total delinquencies decreased 55% to
\$21.7 million as of September 30, 2016 compared to \$48.5
million as of June 30, 2016, and total criticized loans were
\$147.4 million as of September 30, 2016 compared to
\$146.5 million as of June 30, 2016. The ratio of our
allowance for loan losses to total loans was 0.94% as of
September 30, 2016 compared to 0.97% as of June 30,
2016. Our coverage ratio, which includes the remaining
discount on the acquired loan portfolio, was 1.01% as of
September 30, 2016 compared to 1.07% as of June 30,

1 2016.

2 Our Tier 1 leverage ratio is expected to be 8.16%,
3 Common Equity Tier 1 ratio is expected to be 9.24% and
4 total risk-based capital ratio is expected to be 12.29% as of
5 September 30, 2016, compared to 8.52%, 9.74% and
6 12.93%, respectively, as of June 30, 2016.

7 29. On this news, the Company's stock price fell \$7.25 per share, or 21%,
8 to close at \$27.20 per share on October 17, 2016.

9 30. The stock continued to fall over the subsequent trading sessions, and
10 fell a further \$7.10 per share, or nearly 27%, to close on October 26, 2016 at \$20.10
11 after the Company held a conference call with investors on October 24, 2016, to
12 discuss the loan losses.

13 **DUTIES OF INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS**

14 31. By reason of their positions as officers, directors, and/or fiduciaries
15 of Opus and because of their ability to control the business and corporate affairs
16 of Opus, Defendants owed the Company and its shareholders fiduciary
17 obligations of trust, loyalty, good faith and due care, and were and are required to
18 use their utmost ability to control and manage Opus in a fair, just, honest, and
19 equitable manner. Defendants were and are required to act in furtherance of the
20 best interests of Opus and its shareholders so as to benefit all shareholders
21 equally, and not in furtherance of their personal interest or benefit.

22 32. Each director and officer of the Company owes to Opus and its
23 shareholders the fiduciary duty to exercise good faith and diligence in the
24 administration of the affairs of the Company and in the use and preservation of its
25 property and assets, as well as the highest obligations of fair dealing. In addition,
26 as officers and/or directors of a publicly held company, Defendants had a duty to
27 promptly disseminate accurate and truthful information with regard to the
28 Company's operations, finances, financial condition, and present and future

1 business prospects so that the market price of the Company's stock would be
2 based on truthful and accurate information.

3 33. Defendants, because of their positions of control and authority as
4 directors and/or officers of Opus, were able to and did, directly and/or indirectly,
5 exercise control over the wrongful acts complained of herein, as well as the
6 contents of the various public statements issued by the Company. Because of
7 their advisory, executive, managerial and directorial positions with Opus, each of
8 the Defendants had access to adverse non-public information about the financial
9 condition, operations, sales and marketing practices, and improper
10 representations of Opus.

11 34. To discharge their duties, the officers and directors of Opus were
12 required to exercise reasonable and prudent supervision over the management,
13 policies, practices, and controls of the financial affairs of the Company. By
14 virtue of such duties, the officers and directors of Opus were required to, among
15 other things:

16 a) ensure that the Company complied with its legal obligations
17 and requirements, including acting only within the scope of its legal authority and
18 disseminating truthful and accurate statements to the investing public;

19 b) conduct the affairs of the Company in an efficient, business-
20 like manner so as to make it possible to provide the highest quality performance
21 of its business, to avoid wasting the Company's assets, and to maximize the value
22 of the Company's stock;

23 c) properly and accurately guide investors and analysts as to the
24 true financial condition of the Company at any given time, including making
25 accurate statements about the Company's business prospects, and ensuring that
26 the Company maintained an adequate system of financial controls such that the
27 Company's financial reporting would be true and accurate at all times;

28

1 d) remain informed as to how Opus conducted its operations,
2 and, upon receipt of notice or information of imprudent or unsound conditions or
3 practices, make reasonable inquiry in connection therewith, take steps to correct
4 such conditions or practices and make such disclosures as necessary to comply
5 with federal and state securities laws; and

6 e) ensure that the Company was operated in a diligent, honest
7 and prudent manner in compliance with all applicable federal, state and local
8 laws, rules and regulations;

9 35. Each Defendant, by virtue of his position as a director and/or officer,
10 owed to the Company and to its shareholders the fiduciary duties of loyalty, good
11 faith, and the exercise of due care and diligence in the management and
12 administration of the affairs of the Company, as well as in the use and
13 preservation of its property and assets. The conduct of Defendants complained of
14 herein involves a knowing and culpable violation of their obligations as directors
15 and officers of Opus, the absence of good faith on their part, and a reckless
16 disregard for their duties to the Company and its shareholders that Defendants
17 were aware or should have been aware posed a risk of serious injury to the
18 Company.

19 36. Each director and officer of the Company owed to Opus the fiduciary
20 duty to exercise due care and diligence in the administration of the affairs of the
21 Company and in the use and preservation of its property and assets, and the highest
22 obligations of good faith and fair dealing. In addition, as officers and/or directors
23 of a publicly held company, Defendants had a duty not to advance their own
24 personal, financial, or economic interests over, and at the expense of, the
25 Company's public shareholders, or to allow other Opus directors, officers, and/or
26 employees to do so. Each director and officer of the Company also owed Opus and
27 its shareholder-owners the duty to maintain the Company's confidential
28

1 information and prevent others from misappropriating and/or trading while in
2 possession of the Company's proprietary, confidential information.

3 37. Defendants breached their duties of loyalty and good faith by causing
4 the Company to misrepresent the information as detailed *infra*. Defendants'
5 subjected the Company to the costs of defending and the potential liability from a
6 class action lawsuit for violations of the federal securities laws. As a result, Opus
7 has expended, and will continue to expend, significant sums of money.

8 38. Defendants' actions have irreparably damaged Opus's corporate
9 image and goodwill. Moreover, Defendants have misled the investing public to
10 such an extent that Opus's ability to raise equity capital or debt on favorable
11 terms in the future is now impaired.

12 **DERIVATIVE AND DEMAND FUTILITY**

13 **ALLEGATIONS FOR THE BOARD OF OPUS**

14 39. Plaintiff will adequately and fairly represent the interests of Opus and
15 its shareholders in enforcing and prosecuting its rights.

16 40. Plaintiff brings this action derivatively in the right and for the benefit
17 of Opus to redress injuries suffered and to be suffered by Opus because of the
18 breaches of fiduciary duty by the Individual Defendants.

19 41. Because of the facts set forth herein, Plaintiff has not made a demand
20 on the Board of Opus to institute this action against Defendants. Such demand
21 would be a futile and useless act because the Board is incapable of making an
22 independent and disinterested decision to institute and vigorously prosecute this
23 action.

24 42. The Opus Board is currently comprised of Gordon, Glovier, Bowers,
25 Cicirelli, King, Schaffer, Meyer and Schackleton. Thus, Plaintiff is required to
26 show that a majority of Defendants, *i.e.*, four (4), cannot exercise independent
27 objective judgment about whether to bring this action or whether to vigorously
28 prosecute this action.

1 43. Defendants face a substantial likelihood of liability in this action
2 because they caused Opus to issue false and misleading statements concerning the
3 information described herein. Because of their advisory, executive, managerial,
4 and directorial positions with Opus, Defendants had knowledge of material non-
5 public information regarding the Company and was directly involved in the
6 operations of the Company at the highest levels.

7 44. Defendants either knew or should have known of the false and
8 misleading statements that were issued on the Company's behalf and took no steps
9 in a good faith effort to prevent or remedy that situation, proximately causing
10 millions of dollars of losses for Opus shareholders.

11 45. Defendants (or at the very least a majority of them) cannot exercise
12 independent objective judgment about whether to bring this action or whether to
13 vigorously prosecute this action. For the reasons that follow, and for reasons
14 detailed elsewhere in this Complaint, Plaintiff has not made (and is excused from
15 making) a pre-filing demand on the board to initiate this action because making a
16 demand would be a futile and useless act.

17 46. Any suit by the Board to remedy these wrongs would likely expose
18 the Company to further violations of the securities laws that would result in civil
19 actions being filed; thus, the Board members are hopelessly conflicted in making
20 any supposedly independent determination about whether to sue themselves.

21 47. Defendants approved and/or permitted the wrongs alleged herein to
22 have occurred and participated in efforts to conceal or disguise those wrongs from
23 the Company's stockholders or recklessly and/or with gross negligence disregarded
24 the wrongs complained of herein, and are therefore not disinterested parties.

25 48. Defendants authorized and/or permitted the Company to make false
26 statements that disseminated directly to the public and made available and
27 distributed to shareholders, authorized and/or permitted the issuance of various
28 false and misleading statements, and are principal beneficiaries of the wrongdoing

1 alleged herein, and thus, could not fairly and fully prosecute such a suit even if they
2 instituted it.

3 **Defendants Are Not Independent**

4 **Defendant Gordon**

5 49. Gordon is Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”),
6 and President of Opus.

7 50. Defendant Gordon is not disinterested or independent, and therefore,
8 is incapable of considering demand because Gordon (as CEO) is an employee of
9 the Company who derived substantially all of his income from his employment
10 with Opus, making him not independent. As such, Gordon cannot independently
11 consider any demand to sue himself for breaching his fiduciary duties to the
12 Company, because that would expose him to liability and threaten his livelihood.

13 51. Gordon also lacks independence from Cicirelli, Schaffer, Meyer,
14 Schackleton, and Bowers, all who are not disinterested and who exerted influence
15 over Gordon’s compensation by virtue of their positions as representing the entire
16 Compensation Committee.

17 52. Gordon also owns 6.04% of all the outstanding shares.

18 53. Further, Gordon previously served as the Chairman of the Board of
19 Fremont General Corporation (“Fremont”) and Fremont Reorganizing
20 Corporation, formerly Fremont Investment and Loan (“FRC”) from November
21 2007 to June 2010 and as Chief Executive Officer of FRC from December 2007
22 to September 2008.

23 54. Prior to Fremont, Gordon was the Founding Chairman and Chief
24 Executive Officer of Commercial Capital Bancorp, Inc. (“CCBI”), and its
25 subsidiary companies: Commercial Capital Bank (“CCB”), a federally chartered
26 savings bank headquartered in Irvine, CA; Commercial Capital Mortgage, a
27 commercial mortgage banking company; and Comcap Financial Services, a
28

1 registered broker dealer. CCBI was acquired by Washington Mutual, Inc., in an
2 all-cash transaction valued at approximately \$1 billion in October 2006.

3 **Defendant Glovier**

4 55. Glovier has served as a member of the Board since September 2010.

5 56. Glovier is also Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of PensCo
6 Trust Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Opus; Senior Executive Vice
7 President, Head of Wealth Services of Opus; and Senior Managing Director of
8 the Merchant Banking division.

9 57. Glovier is not disinterested or independent, and therefore, is
10 incapable of considering demand because Glovier (Senior Executive Vice
11 President, Head of Wealth Services of Opus) is an employee of the Company
12 who derived substantially all of his income from his employment with Opus,
13 making him not independent. As such, Glovier cannot independently consider
14 any demand to sue himself for breaching his fiduciary duties to the Company,
15 because that would expose him to liability and threaten his livelihood.

16 58. Glovier also lacks independence from Cicirelli, Schaffer, Meyer,
17 Schackleton, and Bowers, all who are not disinterested and who exerted influence
18 over Glovier's compensation by virtue of their positions as representing the entire
19 Compensation Committee.

20 59. From May 2007 to July 2016, Glovier served as a Managing
21 Director at Fortress, responsible for the private equity effort within Fortress's
22 credit business.

23 60. Glovier is also a Managing Director at Fortress, responsible for the
24 private equity effort within Fortress's credit business. In that capacity, he manages
25 the origination, execution, and monitoring of private equity, mezzanine, and other
26 investments.

27 61. Glovier is also on the investment committee of a number of Fortress
28 investment funds, including the Fortress Credit Opportunities Funds, which

1 invest in mortgage-backed securities, loans, and other credit-related
2 opportunities.

3 62. Fortress owns 15.65% of all the outstanding shares of the Company
4 stock.

5 **Defendant Bowers**

6 63. Bowers has served as a member of the Company's Board since June
7 2016.

8 64. Bowers is a member of the Compensation Committee.

9 65. Bowers serves as Managing Director and Chief Operating Officer of
10 Starwood Capital Group. Bowers serves on Starwood's Executive and
11 Investment Committees, and is a Trustee of Colony Starwood Homes (NYSE:
12 SFR), a Starwood Capital Group affiliate that is one of the largest publicly traded
13 investors, owners, and operators of single-family homes in the nation.

14 **Defendant Cicirelli**

15 66. Cicirelli has served as a member of the Company's Board since
16 March 2012.

17 67. Cicirelli is a member of the Audit Committee.

18 68. During the Relevant Period, Cicirelli served as a member of the
19 Audit Committee. Pursuant to the Company's Audit Committee Charter, the
20 members of the Audit Committee are responsible for, *inter alia*, overseeing the
21 accounting and financial reporting processes of the Company and the audits of
22 the financial statements of the Company. Specifically, the members of the Audit
23 Committee are required to: (i) review the type and presentation of information to
24 be included in the Company's earnings press releases, as well as financial
25 information and earnings guidance provided by the Company to analysts and
26 rating agencies, (ii) review the adequacy and effectiveness of the Company's
27 accounting and internal control policies and procedures on a regular basis, and
28 (iii) review the adequacy of the Company's independent auditor. Cicirelli

1 breached his fiduciary duties of due care, loyalty, and good faith, because the
2 Audit Committee, *inter alia*, allowed or permitted false and misleading
3 statements to be disseminated in the Company's FDIC filings and other
4 disclosures and, otherwise, failed to ensure that adequate internal controls were in
5 place regarding the serious accounting issues and deficiencies described above.
6 Therefore, Cicirelli face a substantial likelihood of liability for their breach of
7 fiduciary duties and any demand upon them is futile

8 69. Cicirelli is also a member of the Compensation Committee.

9 70. Cicirelli is a Portfolio Manager at Elliott Management Corporation
10 ("Elliott"), a multi-strategy hedge fund with approximately \$23 billion of assets
11 under management. Cicirelli joined Elliott in 2005 and specializes in financial
12 services and real estate.

13 71. Elliott Associates, L.P. and Elliott Opus Holdings LLC have a
14 combined controlling interest of 16.33% of all the outstanding shares of the
15 Company.

16 **Defendant King**

17 72. King has served as a member of the Company's Board since October
18 2016.

19 73. King is a member of the Audit Committee.

20 74. During the Relevant Period, King served as a member of the Audit
21 Committee. Pursuant to the Company's Audit Committee Charter, the members
22 of the Audit Committee are responsible for, *inter alia*, overseeing the accounting
23 and financial reporting processes of the Company and the audits of the financial
24 statements of the Company. Specifically, the members of the Audit Committee
25 are required to: (i) review the type and presentation of information to be included
26 in the Company's earnings press releases, as well as financial information and
27 earnings guidance provided by the Company to analysts and rating agencies, (ii)
28 review the adequacy and effectiveness of the Company's accounting and internal

1 control policies and procedures on a regular basis, and (iii) review the adequacy
2 of the Company's independent auditor. King breached his fiduciary duties of due
3 care, loyalty, and good faith, because the Audit Committee, *inter alia*, allowed or
4 permitted false and misleading statements to be disseminated in the Company's
5 FDIC filings and other disclosures and, otherwise, failed to ensure that adequate
6 internal controls were in place regarding the serious accounting issues and
7 deficiencies described above. Therefore, King face a substantial likelihood of
8 liability for their breach of fiduciary duties and any demand upon them is futile

9 75. Prior to joining Fortress in 2014, King founded and led Culpeper
10 Capital Partners LLC.

11 76. King served at Fortress at the same time as Glovier.

12 **Defendant Schaffer**

13 77. Schaffer has served as a member of the Board since September
14 2010.

15 78. Schaffer is a member of the Audit Committee.

16 79. During the Relevant Period, Schaffer served as a member of the
17 Audit Committee. Pursuant to the Company's Audit Committee Charter, the
18 members of the Audit Committee are responsible for, *inter alia*, overseeing the
19 accounting and financial reporting processes of the Company and the audits of
20 the financial statements of the Company. Specifically, the members of the Audit
21 Committee are required to: (i) review the type and presentation of information to
22 be included in the Company's earnings press releases, as well as financial
23 information and earnings guidance provided by the Company to analysts and
24 rating agencies, (ii) review the adequacy and effectiveness of the Company's
25 accounting and internal control policies and procedures on a regular basis, and
26 (iii) review the adequacy of the Company's independent auditor. Schaffer
27 breached his fiduciary duties of due care, loyalty, and good faith, because the
28 Audit Committee, *inter alia*, allowed or permitted false and misleading

1 statements to be disseminated in the Company's FDIC filings and other
2 disclosures and, otherwise, failed to ensure that adequate internal controls were in
3 place regarding the serious accounting issues and deficiencies described above.
4 Therefore, Schaffer face a substantial likelihood of liability for their breach of
5 fiduciary duties and any demand upon them is futile

6 80. Schaffer is a member of the Compensation Committee.

7 81. Schaffer served as a director of Fremont and FRC from January
8 2008 to June 2010. He was the chairman of Fremont's Legal Committee and also
9 served on the company's audit and compensation committees.

10 82. Prior to the Fremont companies, Schaffer served as a director of
11 CCB, a federally chartered savings bank and wholly owned subsidiary of CCBI,
12 from March 2003 until October 2006, when CCBI was acquired by Washington
13 Mutual. Schaffer also served as a director of CCBI from February 2004 to June
14 2004.

15 83. Schaffer served at Fremont at the same time Gordon served.

16 **Defendant Meyer**

17 84. Meyer has served as a member of the Board since September 2010.

18 85. Meyer is a member of the Audit Committee.

19 86. During the Relevant Period, Meyer served as a member of the Audit
20 Committee. Pursuant to the Company's Audit Committee Charter, the members
21 of the Audit Committee are responsible for, *inter alia*, overseeing the accounting
22 and financial reporting processes of the Company and the audits of the financial
23 statements of the Company. Specifically, the members of the Audit Committee
24 are required to: (i) review the type and presentation of information to be included
25 in the Company's earnings press releases, as well as financial information and
26 earnings guidance provided by the Company to analysts and rating agencies, (ii)
27 review the adequacy and effectiveness of the Company's accounting and internal
28 control policies and procedures on a regular basis, and (iii) review the adequacy

1 of the Company's independent auditor. Meyer breached his fiduciary duties of
2 due care, loyalty, and good faith, because the Audit Committee, *inter alia*,
3 allowed or permitted false and misleading statements to be disseminated in the
4 Company's FDIC filings and other disclosures and, otherwise, failed to ensure
5 that adequate internal controls were in place regarding the serious accounting
6 issues and deficiencies described above. Therefore, Meyer face a substantial
7 likelihood of liability for their breach of fiduciary duties and any demand upon
8 them is futile

9 87. Meyer is also a member of the Compensation Committee.

10 **Defendant Shackleton**

11 88. Shackleton has served as a member of the Board since September
12 2010.

13 89. Shackleton is the Chairman of the Audit Committee.

14 90. During the Relevant Period, Shackleton served as a member of the
15 Audit Committee. Pursuant to the Company's Audit Committee Charter, the
16 members of the Audit Committee are responsible for, *inter alia*, overseeing the
17 accounting and financial reporting processes of the Company and the audits of
18 the financial statements of the Company. Specifically, the members of the Audit
19 Committee are required to: (i) review the type and presentation of information to
20 be included in the Company's earnings press releases, as well as financial
21 information and earnings guidance provided by the Company to analysts and
22 rating agencies, (ii) review the adequacy and effectiveness of the Company's
23 accounting and internal control policies and procedures on a regular basis, and
24 (iii) review the adequacy of the Company's independent auditor. Shackleton
25 breached his fiduciary duties of due care, loyalty, and good faith, because the
26 Audit Committee, *inter alia*, allowed or permitted false and misleading statements
27 to be disseminated in the Company's FDIC filings and other disclosures and,
28 otherwise, failed to ensure that adequate internal controls were in place regarding

1 the serious accounting issues and deficiencies described above. Therefore,
2 Shackleton face a substantial likelihood of liability for their breach of fiduciary
3 duties and any demand upon them is futile.

4 91. Shackleton is also the Chairman of the Compensation Committee.

5 92. Shackleton served as a director of Fremont and FRC from January
6 2008 to June 2010. He was the chairman of Fremont's audit committee and a
7 member of Fremont's governance and nominating and compensation committees.
8 Shackleton was also chairman of FRC's audit committee.

9 93. Prior to the Fremont companies, Shackleton served as a director of
10 CCBI from February 2001 and as a director of CCB from February 2001 until it
11 was acquired by Washington Mutual in October 2006. He served as chairman of
12 the audit committee of both the bank and holding company.

13 94. Shackleton, Schaffer and Gordon all served at similar times at
14 Fremont and CCB.

15 **Related Transactions**

16 95. In connection with the recapitalization of Opus in September 2010, a
17 significant percentage of Opus capital stock was purchased by entities affiliated
18 with Elliott, Fortress, and Starwood Capital Group ("Starwood" and collectively
19 with Elliott and Fortress, the "Significant Investors").

20 96. In connection with Opus's recapitalization in September 2010, Opus
21 entered into stock subscription agreements with each of the Significant Investors.
22 Pursuant to the terms of their respective stock subscription agreements, each of
23 these Significant Investors has the right to nominate a representative to the Opus
24 so long as such investor and its affiliates continue to satisfy the ownership
25 criteria.

26 97. Cicirelli and Glovier are the current board representatives of Elliott
27 and Fortress, respectively.

28

1 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

2 **Against All Defendants For Breach of Fiduciary Duty**

3 98. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges each allegation
4 contained above, as though fully set forth herein.

5 99. Defendants owed and owe Opus fiduciary obligations. By reason of
6 their fiduciary relationships, Defendants owed and owe Opus the highest
7 obligation of good faith, fair dealing, loyalty and due care.

8 100. Defendants, and each of them, violated and breached their fiduciary
9 duties of care, loyalty, reasonable inquiry, oversight, good faith and supervision.

10 101. The Relevant Period Defendants had actual or constructive
11 knowledge that they had caused the Company to improperly misrepresent the
12 business prospects of the Company and failed to correct the Company's publicly
13 reported financial guidance. These actions could not have been a good faith
14 exercise of prudent business judgment to protect and promote the Company's
15 corporate interests.

16 102. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' failure to perform
17 their fiduciary obligations, Opus has sustained significant and actual damages.
18 As a result of the misconduct alleged herein, Defendants are liable to the
19 Company.

20 103. Plaintiff, on behalf of Opus, has no adequate remedy at law.

21 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

22 **Against The Relevant Period Defendants For Gross Mismanagement**

23 104. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges each allegation
24 contained above, as though fully set forth herein.

25 105. By their actions alleged herein, the Relevant Period Defendants,
26 either directly or through aiding and abetting, abandoned and abdicated their
27 responsibilities and fiduciary duties with regard to prudently managing the assets
28

1 and business of Opus in a manner consistent with the operations of a publicly
2 held corporation.

3 106. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' gross
4 mismanagement and breaches of duty alleged herein, Opus has sustained
5 significant financial and reputational damage.

6 107. As a result of the misconduct and breaches of duty alleged herein,
7 Defendants are liable to the Company.

8 108. Plaintiff, on behalf of Opus, has no adequate remedy at law.

9 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

10 **Against Defendants for Unjust Enrichment**

11 109. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges each and every
12 allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth herein.

13 110. By their wrongful acts and omissions, Defendants were unjustly
14 enriched at the expense of and to the detriment of Opus in the form of salaries,
15 bonuses, and other forms of compensation.

16 111. Plaintiff, as a shareholder and representative of Opus, seeks
17 restitution from Defendants, and each of them, and seeks an order of this Court
18 disgorging all profits, benefits and other compensation obtained by these
19 Defendants, and each of them, from their wrongful conduct and fiduciary
20 breaches.

21 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

22 **Against Defendants for Violations of Section 14(a)**
23 **of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 14a-9**

24 112. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges each and every
25 allegation contained above, as though fully set forth herein.

26 113. Rule 14a-9 was enacted pursuant to § 14(a) of the Securities
27 Exchange of 1934, and provides in relevant part:
28

1 “No solicitation subject to this regulation shall be made
2 by means of any proxy statement, form of proxy, notice
3 of meeting or other communication, written or oral,
4 containing any statement which, at the time and in the
5 light of the circumstances under which it is made, is false
6 or misleading with respect to any material fact, or which
7 omits to state any material fact necessary in order to
8 make the statements therein not false or misleading”

9 114. In this case the Company’s Proxy statement dated March 6, 2015
10 violated § 14(a) and Rule 14a-9 because it omitted material information
11 regarding Defendants wrongful conduct, and included by reference materially
12 false and misleading financial statements.

13 115. The Company’s Schedule 14A, dated March 6, 2015 (the “2015
14 Proxy”) incorporated by reference all of the Company’s public filings over the
15 previous year.

16 116. Defendants caused the Company to issue the 2015 Proxy containing
17 false and misleading information and/or failed to disclose material adverse
18 information about the Company:

- 19 (a) certain of the Company’s loans were of poor quality;
- 20 (b) the Company was over-representing the quality of the loans to
21 the public;
- 22 (c) as such, the Company failed to properly account for the loans
23 in violation of GAAP;
- 24 (d) as a result, the Company would be forced to recognize large
25 charge-offs associated with the loans;
- 26 (e) the Company lacked adequate internal controls over
27 accounting and financial reporting; and
- 28 (f) as a result of the foregoing, Defendants’ positive statements
about the Company’s business, operations, and prospects, were false and
misleading and/or lacked a reasonable basis.

1 117. In the exercise of reasonable care, Defendants should have known
2 that the statements contained in the 2015 Proxy were materially false and
3 misleading.

4 118. The misrepresentations and omissions in the 2015 Proxy were
5 material to Company shareholders in voting on each of these proxies.

6 119. As a proximate result of Defendants' material misrepresentations
7 and omissions in the 2015 Proxy, the Company was damaged.

8 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

9 **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment as follows:

10 A. Against Defendants in favor of the Company for the amount of
11 damages sustained by the Company as a result of Defendants' breaches of
12 fiduciary duties, gross mismanagement, and unjust enrichment;

13 B. Awarding to Plaintiff the costs and disbursements of the action,
14 including reasonable attorney's fees, accountants' and experts' fees, costs, and
15 expenses; and

16 C. Granting such other and further relief as the Court deems just and
17 proper.

18 **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

19 Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

20 DATED: January 24, 2017

THE WAGNER FIRM

21 By: *s/ Avi Wagner* _____

22 Avi Wagner

23 1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100

24 Los Angeles, CA 90067

25 Telephone: (310) 491-7949

26 Facsimile: (310) 694-3967

27 Email: avi@thewagnerfirm.com

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

GAINEY McKENNA & EGGLESTON

Thomas J. McKenna
440 Park Avenue South, 5th Floor
New York, NY 10016
Tel: (212) 983-1300
Fax: (212) 983-0383
tjmckenna@gme-law.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

VERIFICATION

I, MELVYN KLEIN, declare that I have reviewed the Verified Shareholder Derivative Complaint ("Complaint") prepared on behalf of Opus Bank and authorize its filing. I have reviewed the allegations made in the Complaint, and to those allegations of which I have personal knowledge, I believe those allegations to be true. As to those allegations of which I do not have personal knowledge, I rely on my counsel and their investigation and for that reason believe them to be true. I further declare that I am a current holder, and have been a holder, of Opus Bank common stock at all relevant times.

Date: January 19, 2017


MELVYN KLEIN