

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
Norfolk Division**

**R.M.S. TITANIC, INC.,  
successor-in-interest to  
Titanic Ventures, limited partnership,  
Plaintiff,**

v.

**Civil Action No. 2:93cv902**

**THE WRECKED AND ABANDONED VESSEL,  
ITS ENGINES, TACKLE, APPAREL,  
APPURTENANCES, CARGO, ETC., LOCATED  
WITHIN ONE (1) NAUTICAL MILE OF A POINT  
LOCATED AT 41 43' 32" NORTH LATITUDE  
AND 49 56' 49" WEST LONGITUDE,  
BELIEVED TO BE THE R.M.S. TITANIC  
in rem,  
Defendant.**

**EMERGENCY MOTION TO INTERVENE  
AND, IF GRANTED, FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE AN APPEAL**

COMES NOW the United States of America, and moves pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24(a), or in the alternative Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24(b), to intervene in this matter for all purposes necessary to protect the United States' interests, and those of the *Titanic*, in the proper application of Section 113 of Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2017, Pub. L. No. 115-31 (May 5, 2017) ("Sec. 113") in this admiralty proceeding; to ensure RMST's activities are consistent with federal law; and, to protect the United States' ability to comply with its obligations under federal law and the International Agreement. The purposes for which the United States seeks to intervene include:

1. To move the district court to reconsider its May 18, 2020, Opinion pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 54(b) and 59(e);

2. To seek a declaratory judgment that Sec. 113 modified general maritime law and that RMST is required to comply with Sec. 113, and obtain an authorization from the Secretary of Commerce before conducting “any research, exploration, salvage, or other activity that would physically alter or disturb the wreck or wreck site of the *RMS Titanic*;”
3. To enjoin RMST from conducting “any research, exploration, salvage, or other activity that would physically alter or disturb the wreck or wreck site of the *RMS Titanic*” until it has obtained a Sec. 113 authorization for such activity from the Secretary of Commerce; and
4. To move for an extension of time pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a)(5) to appeal the district court’s May 18, 2020, Opinion, so that this Court will have sufficient time to rule on the declaratory and injunctive relief sought by the United States, and to ensure the United States remains able to protect its interests through appeal, if necessary. The United States requests until July 17, 2020 to appeal or 14 days from the date of this Court’s order granting an extension, whichever is later, as permitted under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a)(5)(C).

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24(c), a Verified Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief is attached hereto.

The United States respectfully requests the Court consider this motion to intervene, and if intervention is granted, a request for an extension of time to file an appeal, on an emergency basis in light of the rapidly approaching deadline for noticing an appeal (June 17, 2020), Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A), as well as the deadline for filing a motion pursuant to Rule 59(e) (June 15, 2020), Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e).

The United States further respectfully requests that the Court grant the motion to intervene *nunc pro tunc* to the date of this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

G. Zachary Terwilliger  
United States Attorney

By: /s/ Kent P. Porter  
Kent P. Porter, VSB No. 22853  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Attorney for the Intervenor United States  
United States Attorney's Office  
8000 World Trade Center  
101 West Main Street  
Norfolk, VA 23510  
757-441-6331  
Fax: 757-441-6689  
[kent.porter@usdoj.gov](mailto:kent.porter@usdoj.gov)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 8th day of June, 2020, I will electronically file the foregoing document with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will then send a notification of electronic filing (NEF) to the following:

<b>Brian Andrew Wainger</b> Kaleo Legal 4456 Corporation Lane Suite 135 Virginia Beach, VA 23462 Email: <a href="mailto:bwainger@kaleolegal.com">bwainger@kaleolegal.com</a>	<b>David G. Barger, VSB #21652</b> GREENBERG TRAUERIG, LLP 1750 Tysons Boulevard, Suite 1200 McLean, Virginia 22102 Tel: (703) 749-1300 Fax: (703) 749-1301 E-Mail: <a href="mailto:BargerD@gtlaw.com">BargerD@gtlaw.com</a>
<b>David G. Concannon</b> Concannon & Charles 100 Sun Valley Road, No. 329 Sun Valley, Idaho 83353 Email: <a href="mailto:david@davidconcannon.com">david@davidconcannon.com</a>	

/s/ Kent P. Porter  
Kent P. Porter, VSB No. 22853  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Attorney for the Intervenor United States  
United States Attorney's Office  
8000 World Trade Center  
101 West Main Street  
Norfolk, VA 23510  
757-441-6331  
Fax: 757-441-6689  
[kent.porter@usdoj.gov](mailto:kent.porter@usdoj.gov)

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
Norfolk Division**

**R.M.S. TITANIC, INC., successor-in-interest to  
Titanic Ventures, limited partnership,  
Plaintiff,**

v.

**Civil Action No. 2:93cv902**

**THE WRECKED AND ABANDONED VESSEL,  
ITS ENGINES, TACKLE, APPAREL,  
APPURTENANCES, CARGO, ETC., LOCATED  
WITHIN ONE (1) NAUTICAL MILE OF A POINT  
LOCATED AT 41 43' 32" NORTH LATITUDE  
AND 49 56' 49" WEST LONGITUDE,  
BELIEVED TO BE THE R.M.S. TITANIC  
in rem,**

**VERIFIED COMPLAINT**

**Defendant,**

**and**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Intervenor.**

**INTERVENOR'S VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR  
DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

**INTRODUCTION**

1. This intervenor complaint brought by the United States of America requests declaratory and injunctive relief, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, to resolve important legal questions concerning the applicability of federal law to this admiralty proceeding.

2. The purpose of this complaint is to protect the United States' interests in the proper application and implementation of Section 113 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2017, Pub. L. No. 115-31 (May 5, 2017) ("Sec. 113"); to clarify the scope of Plaintiff R.M.S. Titanic, Inc.'s salvage activities and obligations under federal law; to ensure Plaintiff R.M.S. Titanic, Inc.'s compliance with Sec. 113; and, to ensure the United States' ability to comply with its

obligations under federal law and the Agreement Concerning the Shipwrecked Vessel *R.M.S. Titanic* and its Annex Rules (together, the “International Agreement”).

3. To that end, this complaint seeks a declaration that R.M.S. Titanic, Inc. must comply with applicable law when conducting activities at *Titanic*; is specifically required to comply with Sec. 113; and, must obtain an authorization from the Secretary of Commerce before conducting “any research, exploration, salvage, or other activity that would physically alter or disturb the wreck or wreck site of the RMS Titanic.”

4. This complaint also seeks to enjoin R.M.S. Titanic, Inc. from conducting “any research, exploration, salvage, or other activity that would physically alter or disturb the wreck or wreck site of the RMS Titanic” unless and until it has obtained an authorization for such activity from the Secretary of Commerce pursuant to Sec. 113.

#### **PARTIES**

5. Intervenor United States of America has an independent interest in the protection and preservation of the wreck, wreck site and artifacts of the *R.M.S. Titanic* (“*Titanic*”), and also asserts a right to seek such protection and preservation on *Titanic*’s behalf, by virtue of the RMS Titanic Maritime Memorial Act of 1986 (the “1986 Memorial Act”); the “Guidelines for Research, Exploration and Salvage of *RMS Titanic*” (“NOAA Guidelines”); Sec. 113; the International Agreement; and the orders of this Court. The United States’ responsibilities under the foregoing are carried out by, among others, the Secretary of Commerce, the Secretary of State, and the Administrator of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (“NOAA”).

6. R.M.S. Titanic, Inc. (“RMST”) is a wholly owned subsidiary of Premier Acquisition Holdings LLC (“PAHL”), a Delaware Limited Liability Corporation. Since June 1994, RMST

has served as the exclusive salvor-in-possession of the *Titanic* wreck and wreck site pursuant to an order of this Court.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

7. Jurisdiction is proper in this Court as the United States is asserting causes of action and because the case raises important questions of the application of federal law to admiralty proceedings. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1333, 1345.

8. Venue is proper in this District and Division because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred herein in connection with this admiralty proceeding. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (b)(3); Local Rule 3(C).

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

9. On April 15, 1912, the *Titanic* struck an iceberg roughly 400 miles off the coast of Newfoundland in international waters. Within three hours, *Titanic* broke in two and sank to the ocean floor, scattering thousands of artifacts in a debris field between the two pieces of the hull, and taking 1,500 passengers and crew to their death, many of whom were still on the ship when it sank. *Titanic's* location remained a mystery until 1985 when it was discovered by a joint United States-French expedition.

10. RMST's predecessor began salvage operations at *Titanic* in 1987 and, by 2004, had recovered approximately 5,500 artifacts.

11. In 1993, RMST brought some of those artifacts to the Eastern District of Virginia and commenced this admiralty proceeding. Dkt. No. 1. The district court assumed constructive *in rem* jurisdiction over the wreck and wreck site, and, on June 7, 1994, awarded RMST exclusive salvor-in-possession status. *See* Dkt No. 37; *see also R.M.S. Titanic, Inc. v. Haver*, 171 F.3d 943, 951-53 (4th Cir. 1999). RMST continues to be the exclusive salvor-in-possession.

12. On July 28, 2000, the district court issued an order stating, “RMS *TITANIC*, Inc. and all of its employees, agents, or subcontractors are forbidden to in any way cut into the wreck or detach any part of the wreck.” Dkt No. 164 at 3 (the “2000 Order”). The district issued the 2000 Order because of information it received that RMST planned to penetrate the hull to access the cargo hold in search of first-class luggage, mail, and an alleged diamond stash. *Id.* at 6-7.

13. In 1986, Congress enacted the 1986 Memorial Act. 16 U.S.C. §§ 450rr *et seq.* The express purpose of the 1986 Memorial Act was to “encourage international efforts to designate the R.M.S. Titanic as an international maritime memorial to those who lost their lives aboard her in 1912.” *Id.* at § 450rr-5.

14. The 1986 Memorial Act directed the United States, through the NOAA Administrator, to consult with interested nations to develop international guidelines for research on, exploration of, and if appropriate, salvage of the *Titanic*. *Id.* at § 450rr-5. In directing negotiations of guidelines and an agreement, Congress clearly understood the importance of coordinated and concerted action to achieve its objectives, and recognized that United States action alone would not be sufficient to protect *Titanic*.

15. The 1986 Memorial Act also called on the Secretary of State, in consultation with the NOAA Administrator, to enter into negotiations with interested nations to develop an international agreement: (1) to designate the *Titanic* as an international maritime memorial; and (2) to provide for research on, exploration of, and, if appropriate, salvage of *Titanic* consistent with the international guidelines. *Id.* at 450rr-6.

16. The 1986 Memorial Act made clear that it was the sense of Congress that, “pending such international agreement” or guidelines, “no person should conduct any such research or

exploratory activities which would physically alter, disturb, or salvage the R.M.S. Titanic in any research or exploratory activities which are conducted.” 16 U.S.C. § 450rr(b)(4).

17. In 2001, after a period of public notice and comment consistent with the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 701 *et seq.*, the NOAA Administrator issued its NOAA Guidelines concerning research, exploration and salvage of *Titanic* as directed by the 1986 Memorial Act. 66 Fed. Reg. 18905 (Apr. 12, 2001). The NOAA Guidelines were developed in consultation with the United Kingdom, France, and Canada, and were negotiated in conjunction with the development of the Annex Rules to the International Agreement. *Id.*; *see also* Int’l Agr., Art. 1 (c).

18. Like the 1986 Memorial Act, the final NOAA Guidelines provided that until an international agreement or guidelines were adopted and implemented, “no person should conduct any . . . research or exploration activity which would physically alter, disturb, or salvage the *RMS Titanic*.” 66 Fed. Reg. at 18912.

19. In developing its Guidelines, NOAA concluded that “activities that would accelerate the ship’s deterioration[, such as] cutting holes in the ship’s hull to access artifacts in the interior of the wreckage” should be discouraged, and that, consistent with the 1986 Memorial Act, it was “appropriate to treat *RMS Titanic* as a gravesite.” 66 Fed. Reg. 18907-18908.

20. The NOAA Guidelines set forth NOAA’s position that salvage activities within the wreck should be prohibited: “[E]ntry into the hull sections of *RMS Titanic* should be avoided so that they, other artifacts and any human remains are not disturbed.” 66 Fed. Reg. at 18912.

21. Negotiation of the International Agreement began with informal discussions in 1995 and concluded in 2000. The United Kingdom signed and accepted the Agreement in 2003. The

United States signed the International Agreement in 2004, noting that United States' acceptance was subject to adoption of implementing legislation.<sup>1</sup>

22. The International Agreement calls for *Titanic* to be recognized as “a memorial to those men, women and children who perished and whose remains should be given appropriate respect, in accordance with this agreement;” and “an underwater historical wreck of exceptional international importance having a unique symbolic value.” Int’l Agr., Art. 2(a) and 2(b).

23. The International Agreement requires Parties to the Agreement to regulate activity at *Titanic* by its nationals and vessels “through a system of project authorizations.” Int’l Agr., Art. 4.

24. The International Agreement provides that, for proposed activity *within* the wreck, that Parties to the International Agreement “shall . . . regulate . . . entry into the hull sections of RMS *Titanic* so that they [the hull sections], other artifacts and any human remains are not disturbed.” Int’l Agr., Art. 4, ¶ 1(a).

25. On the other hand, for activities aimed at artifacts “found outside the hull of the wreck,” the International Agreement permits such activities; provided that “all such activities are, to the maximum extent practicable, conducted in accordance with the [Annex] Rules.” Int’l Agr., Art. 4, ¶ 1(b).

26. The International Agreement’s Annex included Rules to govern the review and issuance of project authorizations by Parties, entitled “Rules Concerning Activities Aimed at the RMS *Titanic* and/or Its Artifacts” (“Annex Rules”). Int’l Agr., Art. 1(c) and Annex Rules.

27. The International Agreement imposed on each Party the obligation to consult with other Parties whenever it received a request for an authorization to conduct activity at *Titanic*, with the

---

<sup>1</sup> The International Agreement can be found at <https://www.state.gov/multilateral-19-1118>.

objective being to harmonize the regulation and enforcement of activity at *Titanic* between Parties to the Agreement. Int'l Agr., Art. 5, ¶¶ 1-5. The International Agreement also mandates consultation among the Parties if a dispute arises concerning the interpretation or application of the Agreement. Int'l Agr., Art. 8.

28. The International Agreement imposed on each Party the obligation to provide other Parties notice of authorization requests, provide the other Parties 90 days within which to submit comments, and for the first Party to consider those comments in making a decision on the request. Int'l Agr., Art. 5, ¶ 2.

29. Because of the United States' responsibility (through the Secretary of Commerce and NOAA) to represent the public interest in *Titanic* under, among other things, the 1986 Memorial Act, the NOAA Guidelines, and the International Agreement, the district court entrusted the United States to oversee RMST's activities vis-à-vis *Titanic* in the admiralty proceeding. *See R.M.S. Titanic, Inc. v. Wrecked & Abandoned Vessel*, 531 F. Supp. 2d 691, 693 (E.D. Va. 2007); Covenants & Conditions, Part II.K.<sup>2</sup>

30. On May 5, 2017, Sec. 113 was enacted into law.

31. The enactment of Sec. 113 provided the necessary implementing legislation for the International Agreement, enabling the United States to deposit the United States' instrument of acceptance with the United Kingdom, thereby triggering the International Agreement's entry into force on November 18, 2019. *See* Dkt. Nos. 581 and 581-1.

---

<sup>2</sup> The Covenants and Conditions can be found as an attachment to *R.M.S. Titanic, Inc. v. Wrecked and Abandoned Vessel*, 742 F.Supp.2d 784 (E.D.Va. 2010). The Covenants and Conditions were agreed to by RMST and approved by this Court as a condition to the Court awarding RMST an *in specie* award of certain of the *Titanic* artifacts, and acknowledge that NOAA is "the federal agency that represents the public interest in TITANIC [artifact] Collections" and that "NOAA's authority to represent the public interest in this matter is consistent with NOAA's authority under the RMS TITANIC Maritime Memorial Act of 1986 and NOAA's 2001 implementing Guidelines." Accordingly, the Covenants and Conditions tasked NOAA with providing oversight of RMST's compliance with the Covenants and Conditions.

32. The law of salvage applicable to these proceedings is the general maritime law, as modified by governing statutes and treaties.

33. Sec. 113 modified the general maritime law as it pertains to *Titanic* to require any person subject to United States law wanting to “conduct any research, exploration, salvage, or other activity that would physically alter or disturb the wreck or wreck site of the *RMS Titanic*” to seek an authorization from the Secretary of Commerce before conducting such activity. In the absence of an authorization, “physically alter[ing] or disturb[ing] the wreck or wreck site of the *RMS Titanic*” is prohibited by Sec. 113.

34. The Secretary of Commerce is charged with carrying out Sec. 113 “consistent with” the International Agreement. To that end, Sec. 113 provides that requests for authorizations to conduct activity at *Titanic* must be evaluated “per the provisions” of the International Agreement.

35. On August 29, 2018, the Secretary of Commerce delegated his authority to review and issue authorizations under Sec. 113 to the NOAA Administrator. Dkt Nos. 491 and 491-1. The Secretary of Commerce retained his authority to address any conflicts arising between Parties to the International Agreement under Article 5, pertaining to the proper disposition of an authorization request. *Id.*

36. On August 5, 2019, NOAA issued a Federal Register notice outlining how NOAA intended to implement Sec. 113 and coordinate its actions with the district court. 84 Fed. Reg. 38,012 (Aug. 5, 2019); Dkt. Nos. 564 and 564-2. The notice acknowledges the district court’s constructive in rem jurisdiction and RMST’s exclusive salvage rights to *Titanic*. *Id.*

37. On March 27, 2020, RMST filed a Research Design with the district court detailing the objectives of an expedition to *Titanic* to occur during the summer of 2020. Dkt. No. 601-1; *see also id.* 580, 585, 590.

38. Based on information and belief, the 2020 Expedition is expected to occur by the end of August 2020.

39. RMST's Research Design's identifies three primary objectives: (1) video surveillance mapping of the interior and exterior of the wreck; (2) artifact recovery from the debris field; and (3) cutting into the wreck and recovery of components of the Marconi Wireless Telegraph and related artifacts from within the hull of the ship. *Id.*

40. The Research Design's objectives for the proposed 2020 Expedition involve "research, exploration, salvage, or other activity that would physically alter or disturb the wreck or wreck site of the RMS *Titanic*."

41. RMST has been notified on multiple occasions of the existence of Sec. 113, and its incorporated provisions of the International Agreement; that Sec. 113 applies to the type of activities contemplated by the Research Design for the 2020 Expedition; and that Sec. 113 prohibits RMST's proposed plan to cut into *Titanic* or conduct salvage within the wreck. *E.g.* Dkt Nos. 430, 549-5, 602 (at 16 and n. 16); Exhibit A.

42. RMST refuses to comply with the provisions of Sec. 113 or recognize the limitations Sec. 113 and the incorporated provisions of the International Agreement impose on RMST's proposed activities at *Titanic*. *See* Dkt. No. 605 at 13 ("RMST will take its orders from this Court, not from NOAA.")

43. On May 18, 2020, the district court in this admiralty proceeding conditionally granted RMST's motion to amend the 2000 Order, and allowed RMST to cut into *Titanic* and detach

artifacts from inside the wreck. Dkt. No. 612. Because the district court viewed the question before it as a narrow one, it did not reach any questions concerning the applicability or consequences of Sec. 113 to the scope of RMST's salvage activities at *Titanic*.

44. On May 28, 2020, NOAA advised RMST that, notwithstanding the district court's May 18, 2020, Opinion, RMST was still required to obtain an authorization consistent with Sec. 113 before engaging in the activities set forth in the Research Design or authorized by the district court. *See* Exhibit A.

45. Based on RMST's previously-stated refusal to comply with Sec. 113, it is not anticipated that RMST will comply with Sec. 113 absent a Court order to do so.

46. Should RMST proceed with the activities described in its Research Design without obtaining a prior authorization from the Secretary of Commerce, it will do so in violation of federal law.

### LEGAL CLAIMS

47. In the case of an "actual controversy," a district court may "declare the rights and other legal relations of any interested party seeking such declaration. 28 U.S.C. § 2201. "Further necessary or proper relief" may be sought based on any such declaration and the rights of the United States. 28 U.S.C. § 2202; *see United States v. United Mine Workers*, 330 U.S. 258 (1947).

48. "A declaratory judgment is appropriate when the judgment will serve a useful purpose in clarifying and settling the legal relations in issue" or "will terminate and afford relief from the "uncertainty, insecurity, and controversy giving rise to the proceeding." *United Capitol Ins. Co. v. Kapiloff*, 155 F.3d 488, 493 (4th Cir. 1998) (internal quotation marks omitted).

49. An injunction is an equitable remedy that is available upon a showing of irreparable injury; inadequate remedies at law; that the balance of hardships favors an injunction; and that the public interest would not be disserved by an injunction. *SAS Institute, Inc. v. World Programming Limited*, 874 F.3d 370, 385 (4th Cir. 2017).

**COUNT ONE  
(Declaratory Relief)**

50. Paragraphs 1 through 49 above are incorporated herein by reference.

51. Sec. 113 is a lawful Act of Congress signed into law by the President in 2017.

52. Sec. 113 prohibits any person subject to the jurisdiction of the United States from conducting “any research, exploration, salvage, or other activity that would physically alter or disturb the wreck or wreck site of the *RMS Titanic*,” unless authorized by the Secretary of Commerce per the provisions of the International Agreement.

53. RMST is subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, and much of the activities it seeks to conduct during the proposed 2020 Expedition, as described in its Research Design, are prohibited by Sec. 113 unless authorized by the Secretary of Commerce.

54. Activities conducted by RMST pursuant to its exclusive salvage rights to *Titanic* are subject to regulation by NOAA to the extent that they fall within the scope of Section 113, and those rights are conditioned on and modified by Sec. 113.

55. As the exclusive salvor-in-possession, RMST disputes that Sec. 113 applies to any of its activities at *Titanic*, and has not requested an authorization from the Secretary of Commerce to conduct any of the activities described in the Research Design during the 2020 Expedition.

56. RMST’s refusal to comply with Sec. 113 prevents the United States from fulfilling its legal obligations under federal law, including the responsibility of the Secretary of Commerce to

review and evaluate the merits of RMST's proposed activity in accordance with the provisions of the International Agreement.

57. RMST's refusal to comply with Sec. 113 prevents the United States from fulfilling its legal obligations under the International Agreement, including its obligation to provide the United Kingdom 90 days to review and submit comments on an authorization request.

58. RMST's refusal to comply with Sec. 113 deprives *Titanic* of the protections to its integrity conferred by Sec. 113 and the incorporated International Agreement, including protection from having artifacts and any human remains within the hull sections disturbed.

59. A declaration clarifying the applicability of Sec. 113's requirements to RMST in this admiralty proceeding will aid in resolving the dispute between RMST and the United States, afford relief to the controversy between RMST and the United States, and provide the protection to *Titanic* that Congress has mandated.

**COUNT TWO  
(Injunctive Relief)**

60. Paragraphs 1 through 59 above are incorporated herein by reference.

61. RMST's refusal to comply with Sec. 113 irreparably harms the United States because such refusal prevents the United States from fulfilling its legal obligations under federal law and the International Agreement, thus impairing the United States' ability to implement the International Agreement.

62. *Titanic*, its wreck site and its artifacts are irreparably harmed by RMST's actions because they will be deprived of the Sec. 113 review and authorization process Congress deemed necessary before any actions that would physically alter or disturb the wreck or wreck site can occur; and, because some of the proposed activities in the Research Design – cutting into and

entering the wreck to conduct salvage – are prohibited by Sec. 113 and the incorporated International Agreement.

63. No post-recovery remedy adequately redresses the harm to the United States and *Titanic* because the conduct prohibited by Sec. 113 and the incorporated International Agreement will have already occurred and will result in irreversible injury.

64. The balance of hardships and public interest favors compelling RMST’s compliance with duly enacted laws of Congress, and compelling such compliance does not impermissibly interfere with or infringe on RMST’s salvage rights.

65. The balance of hardships and public interest favors compelling RMST’s compliance with duly enacted laws of Congress, because the public is harmed if the United States cannot implement and enforce duly enacted federal law, or comply with its obligations under international agreements.

66. Accordingly, RMST should be enjoined from conducting “any research, exploration, salvage, or other activity that would physically alter or disturb the wreck or wreck site of the *RMS Titanic*” unless and until it has obtained an authorization for such activity from the Secretary of Commerce.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, the United States of America requests that this Court should:

- DECLARE that:
  - o Sec. 113 modified the general maritime law as it pertains to *Titanic*;
  - o RMST is required to comply with Sec. 113, and to obtain an authorization from the Secretary of Commerce before conducting “any research, exploration, salvage,

or other activity that would physically alter or disturb the wreck or wreck site of the RMS *Titanic*;

- ENJOIN RMST from conducting “any research, exploration, salvage, or other activity that would physically alter or disturb the wreck or wreck site of the RMS *Titanic*” during any expedition to the *Titanic*, including the 2020 Expedition, absent the issuance of an authorization from the Secretary of Commerce pursuant to Sec. 113.
- AWARD such other relief as may be proper.

Dated this 8th day of June, 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Intervenor

By: G. Zachary Terwilliger  
United States Attorney

By: /s/ Kent P. Porter  
Kent P. Porter, VSB No. 22853  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Attorney for Intervenor United States  
United States Attorney’s Office  
8000 World Trade Center  
101 West Main Street  
Norfolk, VA 23510  
757-441-6331  
Fax: 757-441-6689  
[kent.porter@usdoj.gov](mailto:kent.porter@usdoj.gov)

### VERIFICATION

The undersigned counsel of record for the Intervenor United States of America states under penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1726 that the following is true and correct: (a) the foregoing complaint is true to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief; (b) that the sources of my information are the publically filed records in this case as well as publically available records, such as the lengthy legal history of this case reflected in published and unpublished opinions of the district and/or appellate court; (c) the undersigned counsel is authorized to attend to the interests of the United States pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 517 and submit this complaint on behalf of the United States.

By: /s/ Kent P. Porter  
Kent P. Porter, VSB No. 22853  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Attorney for Intervenor United States  
United States Attorney's Office  
8000 World Trade Center  
101 West Main Street  
Norfolk, VA 23510  
757-441-6331  
Fax: 757-441-6689  
[kent.porter@usdoj.gov](mailto:kent.porter@usdoj.gov)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 8th day of June, 2020, I will electronically file the foregoing document with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will then send a notification of electronic filing (NEF) to the following:

<b>Brian Andrew Wainger</b> Kaleo Legal 4456 Corporation Lane Suite 135 Virginia Beach, VA 23462 Email: <a href="mailto:bwainger@kaleolegal.com">bwainger@kaleolegal.com</a>	<b>David G. Barger, VSB #21652</b> GREENBERG TRAUIG, LLP 1750 Tysons Boulevard, Suite 1200 McLean, Virginia 22102 Tel: (703) 749-1300 Fax: (703) 749-1301 E-Mail: <a href="mailto:BargerD@gtlaw.com">BargerD@gtlaw.com</a>
<b>David G. Concannon</b> Concannon & Charles 100 Sun Valley Road, No. 329 Sun Valley, Idaho 83353 Email: <a href="mailto:david@davidconcannon.com">david@davidconcannon.com</a>	

/s/ Kent P. Porter  
Kent P. Porter, VSB No. 22853  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Attorney for the United States  
United States Attorney's Office  
8000 World Trade Center  
101 West Main Street  
Norfolk, VA 23510  
757-441-6331  
Fax: 757-441-6689  
[kent.porter@usdoj.gov](mailto:kent.porter@usdoj.gov)



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  
Washington, D.C. 20230

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

May 28, 2020

Brian A. Wainger  
Kaleo Legal  
4456 Corporation Lane, Suite 135  
Virginia Beach, VA 23462  
Via e-mail: [bwainger@kaleolegal.com](mailto:bwainger@kaleolegal.com)

David G. Barger  
Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
1750 Tysons Boulevard, Suite 1200  
McLean, VA 22102  
Via e-mail: [BargerD@gtlaw.com](mailto:BargerD@gtlaw.com)

David G. Concannon  
Explorer Consulting  
100 Sun Valley Rd., Box 329  
Sun Valley, ID 83353  
Via e-mail: [david@davidconcannon.com](mailto:david@davidconcannon.com)

Re: Section 113 Authorization for RMST, Inc.'s 2020 Expedition

Dear Messrs. Wainger, Barger, and Concannon,

As you are aware, on May 18, 2020, Judge Rebecca Beach Smith in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia conditionally granted RMST's Motion to Amend or Modify the Court's July 28, 2000 Order, for the limited purposes of authorizing certain activities RMST proposes as part of a 2020 expedition to the *Titanic* wreck and wreck site. The Court's approval of RMST's 2020 expedition was conditioned on RMST filing a funding plan with the Court and NOAA by June 18, 2020. In support of its motion, RMST filed an Expedition Plan detailing RMST's plans to engage in photographic and video documentation of the 2020 expedition, to retrieve artifacts from the debris field, and to cut into the hull of *Titanic* and remove artifacts, including components of the Marconi wireless.

This letter is to notify you that federal law prohibits activities that would "physically alter or disturb" the *Titanic* wreck or wreck site absent authorization from the Secretary of Commerce, as delegated to NOAA.<sup>1</sup> Section 113 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2017 ("Section 113"), incorporates the provisions of the Agreement Concerning the Shipwrecked Vessel RMS *Titanic* and its Annex Rules ("International Agreement") as the standards for the NOAA

---

<sup>1</sup> On August 29, 2018, the Secretary of Commerce delegated, in part, Section 113 authority to the NOAA Administrator.

Administrator to evaluate requests for authorizations by U.S. nationals. The International Agreement, along with its Annex Rules, entered into force on November 18, 2019. Article 4 of the International Agreement obligates Parties to take the necessary measures to regulate through a system of project authorizations “entry into the hull sections of RMS Titanic so that they [the hull sections], other artifacts and any human remains are not disturbed.” Int’l Agr., Art. 4 ¶ 1(a). Section 113 implements this obligation for the United States and specifically provides:

*For fiscal year 2017 and each fiscal year thereafter, no person shall conduct any research, exploration, salvage, or other activity that would physically alter or disturb the wreck or wreck site of the RMS Titanic unless authorized by the Secretary of Commerce per the provisions of the Agreement Concerning the Shipwrecked Vessel RMS Titanic. The Secretary of Commerce shall take appropriate actions to carry out this section consistent with the Agreement.*

Any proposed expedition by RMST involving salvage or other activity that may alter or disturb the wreck or wreck site requires an authorization in accordance with Section 113, in addition to requirements imposed by the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia. Activities proposed in the Expedition Plan, including but not limited to cutting into the wreck and retrieving artifacts, inherently constitute activities that “would physically alter or disturb the wreck or wreck site” as contemplated by Section 113. Such activities are therefore prohibited without a Section 113 authorization. As NOAA has previously advised RMST, NOAA will not unreasonably withhold a Section 113 authorization, but may condition such authorization in a manner that ensures any activities undertaken at the wreck site are conducted in a manner that is consistent with Section 113, the International Agreement, and the NOAA Guidelines for Research, Exploration and Salvage for RMS *Titanic* (66 Fed. Reg. 18905, Apr. 12, 2001), which are consistent with the International Agreement’s Annex Rules.

NOAA recommends that a request for authorization under Section 113 be submitted at least 120 days prior to the proposed project date.<sup>2</sup> 84 Fed. Reg. 38012, Aug. 5, 2019. NOAA’s review of a request for a Section 113 authorization must be conducted in a manner consistent with the International Agreement. In particular, the United States is required to provide copies of requests for authorizations for new projects to other Parties to the International Agreement, together with the United States’ preliminary views on the request, and to give other parties 90 days to submit comments. Int’l Agr., Art. 5. Therefore, NOAA requests that your client send a letter addressed to David Alberg (David.Alberg@noaa.gov) as soon as possible, but no later than June 11, 2020, providing information that addresses Parts I through XIII of the Annex Rules. Note that Part I does not require specific information but, rather, states the General Principles that must be followed.

Additional information relating to expeditions to the *Titanic* wreck and wreck site, including the 1986 *Titanic* Maritime Memorial Act and the International Maritime Organization Circular MEPC.1/Circ. 779 (Pollution Prevention Measures in the Area Surrounding the Wreckage of RMS *Titanic*), is available on NOAA’s website at [https://www.gc.noaa.gov/gcil\\_titanic.html](https://www.gc.noaa.gov/gcil_titanic.html). Failure to request a Section 113 authorization, and

<sup>2</sup> RMST’s Expedition Plan indicates that RMST’s target expedition date is August 30, 2020; 120 days before that date was May 2, 2020.

proceeding to the site to engage in activities that will physically alter or disturb the wreck without such authorization would constitute a violation of federal law.

Please let me know if you have any questions. We look forward to receiving your Section 113 authorization request.

Sincerely,

Handwritten signature of Jackie Rolleri in black ink.

Jackie Rolleri  
Office of the General Counsel  
Oceans and Coasts Section  
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

cc: Kent Porter, DOJ